```
1
    A P P E A R A N C E S:
    DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE
2
    Counsel for the Board of Adjustment
 3
    MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE
    1223 Anderson Avenue
4
    Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024
5
    (201) 224-4000
    Counsel for the Applicants ABG Group Service, LLC,
    Jeong Mi Lee and 779-783 Paramus Road LLC
 6
    MARC D. MACRI ATTORNEY AT LAW
        MARC D. MACRI, ESQ.
    BY:
    1000 Anderson Avenue
    Fort Lee, New Jersey 07204
9
    (201) 969-0900
    Counsel to the Applicant 62 West Palisades LLC
10
11
12
    ALSOPRESENT:
13
    CHELSI IGUINA, BOARD SECRETARY
14
    STEVEN COLLAZUOL, P.E., BOARD ENGINEER
15
    STEPHEN HOYT, P.E., BOARD ENGINEER
    MICHAEL KAUKER, PP, AICP, BOARD PLANNER
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		J
1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2	WITNESSSWORN	_TESTIMONY
0		
3	Case No. 24-18 ABG Group Service, LLC	
4	535 4th Street	
_	Block: 321; Lot: 12	12
5	VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA 14	
6	Direct Examination By Mr. Sokolich	15
	Board/Professional Questions	
7	Mr. Terranova	2 9
8	Mr. Collazuol	31
O	STEVEN COLLAZUOL, P.E. 31	
9	,	
	STEPHEN HOYT, P.E. 31	
10	MICHAEL KAUKER, PP, AICP 31	
11	MICHAEL KAUKER, PP, AICP 31	
	DAVID SPATZ, AICP, PP 33	
12	Direct Examination By Mr. Sokolich	3 5
1.0	Board/Professional Questions	
13	Mr. Kauker	4 1
14	Case No. 25-01	
	Jeong Mi Lee	
15	545 5th Street	
16	Block: 325; Lot 12	4 6
10	VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA, 48	
17	Direct Examination By Mr. Sokolich	4 9
	Board/Professional Questions	
18	Mr. Kim Mr. Terranova	7 0 7 1
19	Mr. Collazuol	7 4
	Public Questions	
20	John Mantone	7 8
21	537 5th Street  Marie Alvarez	7 9
Z 1	534 4th Street	19
22		
0.0		
23		
24		
25		

Ī		4
1		
2	<u>INDEX(CON'T)</u> WITNESSSWORN	TESTIMONY
3	Case No. 25-03 779-783 Paramus Road LLC	
4	500 Second Street Block 310, Lot 1	
5	VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA 85	0.5
6 7	Direct Examination By Mr. Sokolich Board/Professional Questions Mr. Terranova	8 5 1 0 0
8	Mr. Collazuol	102
9	DAVID SPATZ, AICP, PP 104 Voir Dire Examination By Mr. Sokolich	105
10	Direct Examination By Mr. Sokolich Board/Professional Questions	105
11	Mr. Kauker	110
12	Case No. 24-20 62 West Palisades LLC 62 West Palisades Boulevard	
13	Block: 203; Lot: 18	115
14	VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA 116 Direct Examination By Mr. Macri	117
15	Board/Professional Questions Chairman Chung	123
16	Mr. Terranova Mr. Collazuol	125 125
17	DAVID SPATZ, AICP, PP 128	
18	Direct Examination By Mr. Macri Board/Professional Questions	129
19	Mr. Terranova Mr. Kauker	134
20	Ms. Testa	136
21		
22		
23		
25		
۷ ک		

		6
1	<u>I N D E X</u> (Continuing)	
2	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
3	No. Description	Ident/Evid
4	Case No. 24-18 ABG Group Service, LLC	
5	535 4th Street Block: 321; Lot: 12	
6		
7	A-1 Elevation, Site Plan and Zoning Info, Last Revised 9/26/24 18	16
8	A-2 Floor Plan Last Revised 9/26/24	2 4
9	A-3 Photoboard with Four Photographs	3 4
10	Case No. 25-01 Jeong Mi Lee	
11	545 5th Street Block: 325; Lot 12	
12		F 0
13	A-1 Zoning Schedule	5 9
14	A-2 Floor Plans	6 3
15	A-3 Floor Plans Last Revised 11/26/24	65
1 (	Case No. 25-03	
16	779-783 Paramus Road LLC 500 Second Street	
17	Block 310, Lot 1	
18	A-1 Elevations and Site Plan	8 6
19	A-2 Floor Plan Last Revised 1/24/25	9 5
20	A-3 Photoboard with Four Photographs	106
21	Case No. 25-03 779-783 Paramus Road LLC	
22	500 Second Street Block 310, Lot 1	
23		1.0.0
24	A-1 Photoboard with Four Photographs	129
25		

1	(Time noted 7:05 p.m.)
2	MS. TESTA: Okay. We'll call the
3	meeting to order. It's March 17th, 2025.
4	In accordance with the Open Public
5	Meeting notice, notice of this meeting has been
6	posted on the borough bulletin board.
7	Notice has also been published in two
8	official borough newspapers of the Borough of
9	Palisades Park.
10	This evening we have two new members
11	that will be joining us, so we'll invite them to come
12	up, Sophia Kim and Aaron Chiesa.
13	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes, please come up.
14	MAYOR KIM: They've got to be sworn in.
15	MS. TESTA: Yes, we're going to do that
16	now.
17	Okay. If could you all, please, both
18	raise
19	MAYOR KIM: Wait. Could you come
20	outside if you don't mind, like right over here, if
21	that's okay. I'm going to get some pictures.
22	Thank you.
23	It's a very honorable position. I'm
24	dying laughing.
25	MS. TESTA: Okay. If you could put

your hand on the Bible, please, raise your right hand and repeat after me.

MS. KIM: I, Sophia Kim, do solemnly swear or affirm that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey. And that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same and to the governments established in the United States and in this state under the authority of the people, so help me, God.

I do further solemnly swear or affirm that I will impartially and justly perform all the duties of board member of the Zoning Board of Adjustment of the Borough of Palisades Park according to the best of my ability, so help me, God.

MR. CHIESA: I, Aaron Chiesa, do solemnly swear or affirm that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey. And that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same and to the governments established in the United States and in this state under the authority of the people so help me, God.

I do further solemnly swear or affirm that I will impartially and justly perform all the duties of board member of the Zoning Board of

1	Adjustment of the Borough of Palisades Park according	
2	to the best of my ability so help me, God.	
3	MS. TESTA: Congratulations.	
4	Chelsea, do you want to do the roll	
5	call, please?	
6	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?	
7	MR. BROGNA: Here.	
8	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?	
9	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here.	
10	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?	
11	MR. KIM: Here.	
12	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?	
13	MR. TERRANOVA: Here.	
14	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?	
15	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Here.	
16	MS. IGUINA: Mrs. Yoon?	
17	VICE CHAIRWOMAN YOON: Here.	
18	MS. TESTA: New members.	
19	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?	
20	MR. CHIESA: Here.	
21	MS. IGUINA: Ms. Kim?	
22	MS. KIM: Here.	
23	MS. TESTA: Okay. We have some bills	
24	this evening.	
25	Oh, yes, I'm sorry, Pledge of	

1	Allegiance.
2	(Whereupon, all rise for a recitation
3	of the Pledge of Allegiance.)
4	MS. TESTA: There are some bills to be
5	paid, one is to Collazuol Engineering in the amount
6	of \$3,676.70. And the other Bill is for the court
7	reporter, \$903.50.
8	So we need a motion.
9	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: I'll make a motion.
10	MR. TERRANOVA: I'll second.
11	MS. TESTA: Roll call.
12	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
13	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
14	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
15	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
16	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
17	MR. KIM: Yes.
18	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
19	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
20	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
21	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
22	MS. IGUINA: Mrs. Yoon?
23	VICE CHAIRWOMAN YOON: Yes.
24	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?
25	MR. CHIESA: Yes.

1	MS. IGUINA: Ms. Kim?	
2	MS. KIM: Yes.	
3	MS. TESTA: And then we also have a	
4	resolution this evening.	
5	At our reorg, we appointed we have a	
6	new engineer, but we also have some cases that were	
7	filed back in 2024 that the board has decided to have	
8	Collazuol & Associates continue since they already	
9	started with the review.	
10	So I have a resolution on this evening	
11	before the board authorizing Collazuol & Associates	
12	to continue with the applications that had originally	
13	been filed back in 2024.	
14	So if I could have if the board is	
15	in favor, a motion and a second.	
16	MR. KIM: I'll make a motion.	
17	MR. ELEFTERIOU: I second.	
18	MS. TESTA: Roll call, please.	
19	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?	
20	MR. BROGNA: Yes.	
21	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?	
22	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.	
23	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?	
24	MR. KIM: Yes.	
25	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?	

1	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
2	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
3	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
4	MS. IGUINA: Mrs. Yoon?
5	VICE CHAIRWOMAN YOON: Yes.
6	MR. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?
7	MR. CHIESA: Yes.
8	MS. IGUINA: Mrs. Kim?
9	MS. KIM: Yes.
10	MS. TESTA: Okay. And so first case
11	will be 24-18, ABG Group Service, LLC, 535 4th
12	Street.
13	MR. SOKOLICH: Good evening, Chairman,
14	Members of the Board, Engaged Professionals.
15	And to the new members, congratulations
16	on your appointment.
17	For the record, my name is Mark
18	Sokolich. I appear before you on behalf of ABG Group
19	Service, LLC. The property is 535 4th Street,
20	designated as Lot 12, block 321.
21	Just by way of very brief background,
22	the property lies within the AA zone. The request is
23	for a duplex residential dwelling, which is an
24	expressly permitted use in the AA zone. The lot is
25	50 by 100.

1	Any as far as presentation is
2	concerned, we intend to present the testimony of
3	Mr. Cocoros, who has been before the board multiple
4	times in the past.
5	And then after Billy, we intended on
6	concluding the planning testimony for Mr. Spatz.
7	It's not often, but I'm only here
8	asking for one variance, and that relates to height.
9	MAYOR KIM: Wow.
10	MR. SOKOLICH: There should be bonus
11	points for that.
12	Thank you, Mayor.
13	And it's you know, the reason for
14	the request is consistent with the reason that has
15	been presented to the board many times in the past.
16	We're trying to develop a site where drainage will
17	work best and we won't create some type of flooding
18	issue there.
19	So unless the Chair had any questions
20	of I and also, Diane, if I'm not mistaken, I
21	presented you
22	MS. TESTA: Yes, at the last meeting.
23	MR. SOKOLICH: at the last meeting.
24	Thank you.
25	I'm always trying to get it to you so I

1	don't lose it, so that's why I gave it to you on the
2	last one.
3	MS. TESTA: Understood.
4	MR. SOKOLICH: So just for the record,
5	we submitted our affidavit of service with proof of
6	publication and the matter was carried to this
7	evening.
8	So, Chairman, as long as you're okay,
9	we'd ask permission to start with Mr. Cocoros getting
10	sworn in.
11	MS. TESTA: Do you swear the testimony
12	you will give in this application will be the truth,
13	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
14	MR. COCOROS: I do.
15	V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA
16	467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey,
17	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
18	MS. TESTA: Please state your name for
19	the record.
20	MR. COCOROS: Sure.
21	Vassilios, V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros,
22	C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs,
23	New Jersey 07632.
24	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you.
25	And I believe

1	MS. TESTA: Yes, he's been here
2	numerous times.
3	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
4	MR. SOKOLICH: A couple thousand at
5	this point.
6	Nothing has happened to your license
7	since last you were here?
8	MR. COCOROS: No, I'm still good.
9	MR. SOKOLICH: Good news.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
12	Q. So, Bill, a couple preliminary
13	questions. You're the architect that was engaged by
14	the ABG Group?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And just could you, for the record,
17	just place on the record what the scope of your
18	engagement was?
19	A. Sure.
20	It was to design a two-family dwelling
21	on the existing property, which is 535 4th Street.
22	It's located on the west side of 4th Street, which is
23	a downhill side, 430 feet north of Edsall Boulevard.
24	So it's basically, I think, it's closer
25	to the dead end there.

1	Q. Okay. So the scope of your engagement
2	was to design architectural plans, floor plans,
3	elevations for this proposed dwelling?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. And also in your capacity as an
6	architect, you've also prepared what I'll call a
7	modest drainage plan using a survey that you had?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. So I'm going to ask you to just present
10	the application, but start with existing conditions,
11	Bill, and then if you would focus on what we've
12	marked as A-1 which you've entitled, "Elevation, Site
13	Plan and Zoning Info."
14	MR. SOKOLICH: Diane, with your
15	permission, A-1.
16	MS. TESTA: Yes.
17	MR. SOKOLICH: And it's Last Revised
18	September 26, 2024.
19	(Whereupon, Elevation, Site Plan and
20	Zoning Info Last Revised September 26, 2024 is
21	marked as Exhibit A-1 for identification.)
22	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
23	Q. Existing conditions, Bill.
24	A. It's a 50-by-100 lot, 5,000 square
25	feet.

It's located in the AA zone, which allows for a two-family dwelling.

However, this property here since we're on the downhill side, has quite a bit of a topographical challenge.

Across the front going from -- let's say, from south to north, which is from left to right, you have an about a 3-foot difference in the driveway in the actual street.

So that has a 3-foot difference.

Now, the more intense one is basically from the front of the property to the back, we have 225 at the front on the front right-hand side and then that goes down to 213.63.

So it's almost a 12-foot drop on the property, which is pretty much typical for all these lots on the upper hills in Palisades Park where they're going downhill.

So the challenge here was to create a building, try to minimize the height; however, there's two things that are in our way is basically the topography and the fact that we're trying to keep the driveway slightly higher than the sidewalk and roadway on 4th Street.

Q. Bill, question: If the property were

1 level without a topographic condition, would we be
2 here?

A. No.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Yeah, you know, usually if it's on the other side, you know, we have the opposite where the back is higher and that would be totally compliant.
  - Q. Understood. Okay.
- A. And, you know, and also from the street, if it was across the street, you'd have the same look.

So we're trying to maintain a typical three-level configuration on the street which matches the newer, you know, let's say post 1980s development on the street, itself.

However, since the property does drop off, we are asking for the height variance which is based on the topography or we tried to use some of the existing retaining walls on our neighbors property on the right-hand side to kind of, you know, level off the property.

- Q. Bill, how do we define height here in Palisades Park?
- A. Height is the average grade which is taken form the four corners of the building to the

1 midpoint of the roof.

It's not peak, it's basically between, let's say the eave or soffit and the actual peak of the roof.

- Q. And because the property slopes dramatically down from front to back, the rear of this house is going to be predominantly or materially higher than what the front is going to be, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But that's as a result of a topographic condition beyond our control?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So if you would focus your attention in the upper left-hand corner, which is the front elevation, what are people going to see from the street as far as the height is concerned to the midline of that roof?
  - A. Yeah, it's basically --
  - O. To the midline?
- A. Midline, let's say from the left-hand side we have about 32 feet to the -- I'm sorry -- 29-feet-5-inches to the midpoint of the roof.
- Q. And in the back I know that it's materially higher because of the sloping of the property; is that correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. But the -- Bill, the point I'm trying to make is that the front elevation is a lot different than the rear elevation, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And the materials that you're going to have on the front elevation, you plan on using what?
- A. Yeah, basically the main finishing material is brick; however, we do have bay windows that will be finished either in a stucco or a panelized system that looks like stucco, but it's easier to maintain.
- Q. How did you do the utilities for a project like this on a piece of property that slopes so dramatically?
- A. Well, we basically have the stormwater system, there is none right now.

We have a stormwater system. We also incorporated some details on previous projects that the zoning board engineer recommended, mostly a protective kind of wrap around the stone trench for the seepage pits to minimize any leakage onto the properties behind us.

And then the other items are basically typical. We have two sewer lines winding together

- into one with one connection in the street.

  Electrical, depending on what's available, I don't

  think -- I think you'll probably be in overhead

  service like a typical duplex. I don't think there's
  - Q. New sidewalks?

A. Yes, new sidewalks.

any utility poles close by to do underground.

- Q. And the materials you propose to use for the driveway are?
- A. We have a paver driveway. We also have in the front of the driveway along our property line in the front, we have two trench drains to minimize any water basically coming from the garage door towards the street.
- Q. Now, on A-1 in the upper right-hand corner, you're referring to what I call an architectural site plan?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you do a great job with it, but the applicant does recognize that any action this board were to take would be subject to the board engineer's review of the utility plan that you prepared, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, for example, if they ask for more

gallonage with the seepage pits, you'd agree?

- A. Correct, yes.
- Q. Or if they asked for an additional seepage pit or addition drains with linear feet, in fact the applicant would comply?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Because we recognize that that's a condition.

You've already told us that there's going to be new sidewalks, correct?

Speak to us about landscape. Is there any landscape that's proposed here?

A. I mean, there's really landscaping along the sidewalks, you know, so basically on the left-hand side and right-hand side, we could probably do azaleas, maybe some boxwoods to kind of like align the driveway and walkway.

And then the middle portion, we have a small little planter area that could also have boxwood and azaleas. That planter area is basically 8-feet-4-inches off the front of the house, and that's to create a transition between the one driveway from the other, because we're trying to avoid too steep of a driveway.

So the driveway on the left-hand side

is about a foot, I think, lower than the driveway on the right-hand side because of the topo of the street.

Q. Understood.

Parking on-site?

- A. Parking on-site we have a driveway that's basically 7-feet-4-inches, a 4-foot-4 walkway, which is basically when we do a compliant duplex, it's the same configuration.
- Q. Okay. And by the way, I didn't ask you before, any environmental conditions we need to worry about on this property and any wetlands, any abandoned oil tanks that you're aware of, things of that nature?
  - A. Not that I know of.

I mean, you know, basically it's an existing, improved lot. There's no, you know, there's nothing as far as, like, ponds or anything in the backyard or behind the --

- Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to -- may I turn you to the next page?
  - A. Sure.

MR. SOKOLICH: So I'm going to turn you to what I premarked as A-2, you also label it as A-2. These are the floor plans. It was last

1 revised, Diane, September 26, 2024, and we marked it as A-2, if that's okay? 2 MS. TESTA: That's fine. 3 4 (Whereupon, Floor Plans Last Revised September 26th, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-2 5 for identification.) 6 BY MR. SOKOLICH: 8 So, Billy, I marked this as A-2, for Ο. 9 today -- happy St. Patrick's Day, by the way -- the 10 floor plans, but you can could go through it very 11 briefly, if you would. 12 Α. Sure. 13 We basically have a garage in the 14 front, a utility room off the garage, and a door into 15 the basement space. 16 Since the property does drop off in the 17 back, we have about a 4-foot difference from the 18 landing at the bottom of the stairs that go up to the 19 first floor, which is the main living space. There's about a 4-foot difference in the back. 20 21 We have a home office, recreation room, 22 egress doors, a bathroom, a closet, and a small 23 little wet bar, which is what we typically do on the compliant duplexes. 24 In the middle, we have the first-floor 25

1 plan, which is the main living space. We also have access from the sidewalk via staircases from the front door which opens up to the living room. 4 Behind that we have a dining room, 5 galley style kitchen with an island in the back, we're calling it a family room, but it could also be 6 an eating area. 8 Would you call it -- for this size of a Ο. 9 lot, would you call it pretty much a standard duplex 10 configuration? 11 Α. Yes, correct. I believe there's at deck that's off 12 Ο. 13 the family room to the rear, correct? 14 Α. Yes, that's 9-feet-by-12-foot-8 for 15 each side. 16 Q. And is there any way of accessing that deck other than from the interior? 17 18 Α. No. 19 Okay. And then once we go to the next 20 floor, I believe that's where you situated the 21 bedrooms? 22 Yes, our bedroom level we have 23 basically since we have the view out the back, we 24 have the master or primary suite with two walk-in 25 closets en suite master bath with a double sink,

1	soaking tub, a nice size shower and a toilet alcove.
2	And then two secondary bedrooms which
3	kind of basically from the middle to the front. Each
4	have their own closet.
5	There's a laundry room side by side in
6	the hallway which is behind the staircases.
7	And then we have the bathroom which is
8	shared by the two secondary bedrooms, pull down attic
9	stair, two closets.
10	We have also one would be a linen
11	closet and the other one would just be a general
12	purpose closet.
13	Q. Are there any decks off of the top
14	floor, Bill?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Is there a rooftop on top of this place
17	afterwards?
18	A. No.
19	Q. No. Anything else you'd like to offer
20	with regard to the floor plan?
21	A. No, I said, you know, this is based on
22	a typical one that, you know, that we submitted
23	before, you know, recently that has been approved.
24	Q. Understood.
25	I'm going to turn you now to what

you've labeled as -- this is the next one. That's it, yeah?

So back to, if I could, Billy, to your elevations on A-1, I believe you described to the board what you plan on doing with the exterior façade?

A. As you sit here, basically it's a brick on the main façade; however, we do have the bump out that's in the middle.

We have a window at each corner in the front and then the overhang bay in the front will either be a stucco, like a panelized system, like a Hardie board, or, you know, I don't know if he's going to do it, but there's also metal panels which could also work.

I think the stucco and the Hardie board are a warmer look at it looks a little more residential than commercial.

- Q. Billy, last question for you, and I know that we have a planner on deck who will talk about comparable heights in the area, that type of thing, but it's an important question.
  - A. Sure.
- Q. There are multiple criteria under the
  AA zone, bulk criteria that are required to be met by

1	an applicant that builds a home here in Palisades
2	Park and there's only one variance that's being
3	sought.
4	I want to be clear. Now, stories, I
5	believe, are subsumed within linear feet, but other
6	than the height variance, are there any other
7	variances that are being sought?
8	A. No, this is basically set up as a
9	typical, compliant side-by-side duplex.
10	Q. So, for example, the side yard setbacks
11	are compliant at six?
12	A. Correct we have the rear
13	Q. Just the rear yards are compliant,
14	correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And the front yards are compliant?
17	A. Yes, they are.
18	Q. How about coverage?
19	A. Coverage we have basically 1,999 square
20	feet, which is 40 percent.
21	Q. Yes?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. So other than height, is there
24	anything else you want to add, Bill?
25	A. No, like I said, we try to keep it

you know, we try to minimize the height, especially from the front, by sticking it down to a point where it's maybe 4 inches above the sidewalk, you know, because going down there, all the water kind of comes down from Bergen Boulevard and kind of coming down the hill.

- Q. Understood.
- A. So try to, you know, minimize any damages in the -- to the property for any big rain event. We have the driveway a little bit higher than the street.
  - Q. Thank you.

MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I offer

14 Mr. Cocoros.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15 CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Do board members have anything?

MR. TERRANOVA: Yeah.

18 The basement has a tub in the bathroom?

19 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I used -- as I

20 | said, I based it on the existing plan that was

21 | previously approved, you know, it was approved by the

22 | building department as of right, you know, I'd say

23 it's up to the board if, you know, they want us -- if

24 | they don't want it, you know, we're willing to --

MR. TERRANOVA: Well, I mean, you know

1	in the past we've automatically shunned that, so take
2	that out.
3	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Take it out.
4	MR. SOKOLICH: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Anybody
6	MS. TESTA: First our experts.
7	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: First our experts.
8	MS. TESTA: Yup.
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, we'll take the tub
10	out and just make it a powder room.
11	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you.
12	MR. COLLAZUOL: Good evening.
13	MS. TESTA: I'm sorry.
14	Steve, before I should swear the
15	experts in for the 2025. We didn't do that at the
16	last meeting.
17	MR. KAUKER: All at once?
18	MS. TESTA: Yeah, yup.
19	Steve, you might as well also.
20	Please raise your right hand.
21	Do you swear that the comments that you
22	will make before this board by representing the board
23	of adjustment Borough of Palisades Park, will be the
24	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth for
25	the year 2025?

1	MR. COLLAZUOL: I do.
2	STEVEV COLLAZUOL, P.E.
3	1610 Center Avenue, Suite 2, Fort Lee, New
4	Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as
5	follows:
6	MR. HOYT: I do.
7	STEPHEN HOYT, P.E.
8	1085 Raymond Boulevard, Suite 2102, Newark, New
9	Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as
10	follows:
11	MR. KAUKER: I do.
12	M I C H A E L D. K A U K E R, PP, AICP
13	356 Franklin Avenue, #3, Wyckoff, New Jersey,
14	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
15	MS. TESTA: Thank you.
16	MR. COLLAZUOL: Bill, on the wall in
17	the rear in the back left corner, it appears that the
18	wall is going to be 4 feet in height.
19	THE WITNESS: I think it's an existing
20	wall back there.
21	We basically, on the left-hand side, we
22	have 213.5 and then down below we have 2 or 9.5.
23	So it's a 4-foot wall, but it's
24	existing. We're not touching that wall.
25	MR. COLLAZUOL: Now, on the right-hand

1	side, could you give the board an idea of the height
2	of the wall at the back right corner so that there's
3	no issues with any walls in the rear being greater
4	than 4 feet in height.
5	THE WITNESS: I don't have any issues,
6	if anything, since the property goes uphill towards
7	the north, it might be a little bit less, you know.
8	I'm thinking it's either equal or a little bit less.
9	You know, I'm thinking it's either equal or a little
10	bit less.
11	If the people back there level the
12	backyard, it should be the same, the same height,
13	4 feet, basically from the finished grade at the
14	lower portion and then to the top of the wall,
15	itself. So it's either the same or slightly less.
16	MR. COLLAZUOL: Thank you.
17	I have nothing further.
18	MR. KAUKER: I have nothing of the
19	architect.
20	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
21	Anybody in the public.
22	MS. TESTA: Does anybody have any
23	questions with regards to this expert?
24	(No Response.)
25	MS. TESTA: Okay. Mayor, want to call

1	your next
2	MR. SOKOLICH: We call David Spatz with
3	your permission.
4	Thank you, Bill.
5	MS. TESTA: Okay. Please raise your
6	right hand.
7	Do you swear the testimony you will
8	give in this application will be the truth, the whole
9	truth, and nothing but the truth?
10	MR. SPATZ: Yes, I do.
11	DAVID SPATZ, P.P.,
12	60 Friend Terrace, Harrington Park, New Jersey,
13	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
14	MS. TESTA: Please state your name for
15	the record.
16	MR. SPATZ: Yes, David Spatz,
17	S-P-A-T-Z.
18	My business address is 60 Friend
19	Terrace, Harrington Park, New Jersey.
20	MS. TESTA: Thank you.
21	And, Chairman, you know, Mr. Spatz has
22	testified numerous times before this board, so we'll
23	continue to accept him as an expert in planning.
24	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes, yes.
25	MR. SOKOLICH: Diane, with your

1	permission, I'm going to mark a series of four
2	photographs that I just distributed as A-3; is that
3	okay?
4	MS. TESTA: Yes.
5	MR. SOKOLICH: I'll initial it and date
6	it today, the 17th.
7	(Whereupon, Four Photographs are marked
8	as Exhibit A-3 for identification.)
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
11	Q. David, your credentials have been
12	accepted as an expert in the field of planning.
13	I just distributed a one-page set of
14	color photographs, four, that we've identified as
15	A-3. You took the photos?
16	A. I did, yes.
17	Q. Would you just identify them going
18	clockwise for the benefit of the record?
19	A. Certainly.
20	The top left-hand photograph is of the
21	subject property, it's a single-family home.
22	The top right is looking to the right
23	or to the north of our property and there are a
24	couple of older two-family homes there similar in
25	height to what we're proposing.

1 The bottom left is looking to the left of our property or to the south and there's an older, 2 two-family home. And then as you can see, there are 4 several newer two-family homes, again, in a similar 5 style to what we're proposing. And then the bottom right is directly 6 across the street from us, which is a newer 7 8 two-family home as well, three stories with a peaked 9 roof on it. 10 Q. Thank you. 11 Now, David, the scope of your engagement by ABG Group, LLC, was what? 12 13 Α. Certainly. 14 To review the plans; visit the 15 property; to prepare the photo exhibit; and to review 16 the neighborhood; review the zoning ordinance and 17 master plan. And then prepare testimony for 18 tonight's meeting. 19 And, in fact, you've done that 0. 20 assessment? 21 Α. I have, yes. So whatever you deem best, David, we 22 Q. 23 would ask you to please articulate what your 24 assessment and what your findings are to the board? 25 Α. Certainly.

1	We are in the AA zone which permits the
2	two-family dwelling that we're proposing.
3	The lot conforms or the lot area with
4	the depth requirements of the zone.
5	There is one variance as it relates to
6	height, the D variance, as measured in feet.
7	Technically the stories there's a
8	C variance, but I will treat the testimony all the
9	same.
10	So it's one D variance and a C variance
11	for height, no other variances are required for what
12	we're proposing.
13	So looking particularly at that height
14	variance, I think the side is particularly well
15	suited for what we're proposing.
16	The zone, as I indicated, permits
17	two-family dwellings.
18	The street is developed with both older
19	and newer two-family homes primarily, so we certainly
20	are consistent with the neighborhood.
21	To look at the special reasons to
22	support the D variance, we looked at the Municipal
23	Land Use Law and the purposes of zoning.
24	And I believe we meet a number of those
25	purposes by what we're proposing.

Purpose A, which is promoting public health, safety, morals and general welfare. We're providing a residential use in a residential zone that meets the density standards for the zone.

Purpose E, which is establishing an appropriate population densities, again, two families are permitted within the zone and that's what we are proposing.

And then lastly, Purpose I, which is promoting a desirable visual environment. We're replacing an older home with a newer dwelling which is consistent, more consistent with the surrounding neighborhood and the newer uses that are taking place.

Mr. Cocoros described the topographical conditions on the property and the building has been designed to fit in with that, fit in with the neighborhood, as well as providing conforming setbacks, which I think is certainly important.

Looking particularly at the height variance, what we need to look at is whether the extra height is consistent with the neighborhood and I think the photographs demonstrate that it is. Both the dwellings directly next to us towards the north which are older dwellings.

1 The dwellings to the south of us, newer two families. 2 3 And then certainly, the two-family home 4 across from us. 5 So I think that we certainly meet that 6 standard. 7 So because there are no other variances 8 being sought, we'll go straight to the negative 9 criteria. And what we need to look at is whether 10 anything is substantially negative, and I don't 11 believe that it is. We are in character with the 12 surrounding properties and a permitted use as well. 13 The building conforms to the setbacks 14 or the coverage limitations for the zone. 15 So there's no impact on light, air or open space for the adjacent properties. 16 The 17 properties to the north are at a higher elevation 18 than us and the properties to the west or behind us are significantly lower than us, and are slightly 19 20 excessive building height, would not have an impact 21 on them at all. 22 And again, we are consistent with the 23 neighborhood. In terms of improvements to the 24 25 property, as Mr. Cocoros described, we are providing

drainage improvements on the property. The building has been designed so that the drainage off the driveway will be captured on the property.

There are no drainage facilities on the site, so that is an improvement that's taking place that benefits both the property as well as the surrounding properties.

And then, lastly, we are providing a conforming amount of parking on the property which would reduce impacts on the adjacent properties.

So on balance, I believe that the positive criteria is met for our variance and they exceed what might be considered negative.

Q. David, just a couple quick follow ups.

So do you have an opinion as to whether or not were the board to act favorably on this application and grant this height variance, whether it would materially impact in a negative way either adjacent properties or the zone plan and the housing plan in that particular area?

A. No, I don't believe so.

In terms of the height, it is consistent with the height of both the older and the newer dwellings and there is a significant topographical change on the site and the building is

designed to fit in with that.

From the street, it will be similar in height to the existing properties. And in the back, it will be a little bit higher, but that's because of the slope of the property.

Q. In the world of zoning, there's a term "particularly suitable," particular suitability, if you will.

In other words, the property and its existing conditions and what challenges the property has fits the variance that's being sought or the other way around?

A. Yes, site suitability is one of the prime tests to support a use variance.

And I think that the site is particularly well suited, again, in terms of its -- the permitted use and the fact that the neighborhood itself consists primarily of two-family homes.

O. Understood.

And I think the topographic condition too, would that be taken into consideration also or no?

A. Yeah, certainly in the design of the property and the support for the height variance, you know, the -- as Mr. Cocoros indicated, the building

1	has been designed to have a pitch so that the
2	driveway, the water does not run into the building,
3	it's being captured on the site.
4	We could possibly drop it down further,
5	but that would create drainage problems that we're
6	not creating.
7	Q. And seeking to avoid?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Thank you, David.
10	MR. SOKOLICH: Mr. Chairman, I have
11	nothing further of Mr. Spatz.
12	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
13	Board Members, do you have any?
14	(No Response.)
15	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Experts? Mike?
16	Steve?
17	MR. KAUKER: Yeah, I just have one
18	question.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	MR. KAUKER: And I'm at somewhat of a
21	disadvantage because I didn't get to look at your
22	great photo exhibit, but
23	MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, I'm so sorry.
24	MR. KAUKER: That's okay.
25	You always forget.

1	MR. SOKOLICH: I apologize.
2	He barely gives me enough with all due
3	<del></del>
4	THE WITNESS: Planners are always
5	forgotten, I understand that.
6	MR. KAUKER: So in any event, and you
7	gave some testimony with respect to the uses in the
8	neighborhood and the consistency of the core
9	development, but I'm more interested specifically on
10	the same side, 4th Street.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	MR. KAUKER: Is the home and I'm
13	assuming the topography on your site is similar to
14	the other lots on that side of 4th Street, correct?
15	THE WITNESS: It's similar in terms of
16	the dropping down in the rear, but 4th Street also
17	slopes upwards towards the north.
18	So the properties to the north of us
19	are actually at the higher elevation than us.
20	MR. KAUKER: So just generally
21	speaking, limiting it to 4th Street and that side of
22	4th Street, is the height of the structure that's
23	proposed consistent with the height of the existing
24	structures on that side of 4th Street?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, absolutely.

1	The photographs that the board has, and
2	I hope you've gotten a chance to look at the property
3	to the north of us are three stories with higher
4	peaked elements of their roof and they're at a higher
5	elevation.
6	So our building will not be any higher
7	than those.
8	And then the bottom left-hand
9	photograph shows the properties to the south of us on
10	our side of the street. And they are all
11	three-story, two-family dwellings as well.
12	So we are absolutely consistent with
13	the neighborhood.
14	MR. KAUKER: Okay.
15	So as a result of the existing height
16	of those homes and the changing topography or the
17	unique topography on that site that meets that
18	criteria
19	THE WITNESS: That's right. They're
20	all affected by it in a certain instance and that's
21	why they are the height that they are.
22	MR. KAUKER: All right.
23	Thank you very much, I appreciate it.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
25	MR. KAUKER: I have nothing else.

1	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you, Mike.
2	MS. TESTA: Open to the public.
3	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes, open to the
4	public.
5	Is anybody out there in the public?
6	(No Response.)
7	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: No? Okay.
8	MS. TESTA: Counsel, do you have any
9	MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further
10	witnesses.
11	That would conclude our direct
12	application and I have nothing further to present to
13	the board.
14	I did have a brief closing statement if
15	the board is so inclined?
16	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you.
17	MS. TESTA: If you'd like.
18	MR. SOKOLICH: Just that we do believe
19	and we believe that the experts that were put before
20	you demonstrate really the single most important
21	characteristic of this application that there's a
22	consistency with what we're presenting vis-a-vis what
23	now exists.
24	The zone plan after 1980 has had
25	heights that are consistent and that holds true in

1 the pictures that we distributed. We do believe that we would maintain the neighborhood character which is contained in some 4 of the case law that relates to justifying height 5 variances, but we have a reason. That topographic condition really poses 6 7 a very, very, perplexing challenge. And in an effort to make sure that this house doesn't flood and we 9 have the correct pitch on that driveway and we don't 10 visit upon two families water problems, which as you 11 know, probably the worst problem a homeowner can 12 have. 13 We would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application. 14 15 And thank you for your consideration. 16 CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you. 17 I move that we accept the application. 18 MS. TESTA: You're going to make a 19 motion. 20 CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes, I'll make a 21 motion. 22 MS. TESTA: Motion to approve the 23 application with the -- amended application and that

they remove the bathtub and the shower in the

basement, making it into a powder room.

24

1	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you.
2	MS. TESTA: And you need a second.
3	MR. TERRANOVA: I'll second.
4	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
5	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
6	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
7	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
8	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
9	MR. KIM: Yes.
10	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
11	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
12	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
13	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
14	MS. IGUINA: Mrs. Yoon?
15	VICE CHAIRWOMAN YOON: Yes.
16	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?
17	MR. CHIESA: Yes.
18	MS. TESTA: And Ms. Kim would not vote
19	since we have seven members.
20	MS. IGUINA: Okay.
21	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, Members of the
22	Board, thank you.
23	Thank you for your consideration.
24	MS. TESTA: So next case will be 25-01,
25	Jeong Mi Lee, 545 5th Street.

1	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, just give us a
2	second to get our stuff together.
3	Chairman, are you ready for us?
4	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
5	MS. TESTA: Yes.
6	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you.
7	The next application again, Mark
8	Sokolich on behalf of the applicant Jeong Mi Lee.
9	This relates to property known as
10	545 5th Street here in Palisades Park in the AA zone,
11	Lot 12 and block is 320 Block 325.
12	The client, the applicant, Jeong Mi
13	Lee.
14	Again, by way of housekeeping, we had
15	presented, I believe, Diane the original affidavit.
16	MS. TESTA: Yes.
17	MR. SOKOLICH: So we've already
18	presented proof of service and publication to the
19	board vesting jurisdiction with the zoning board.
20	Same battery of witnesses for this
21	application as was the last: Vassilios Cocoros who
22	is the engaged architect engaged by the applicant to
23	design a three-family structure at the property we
24	just identified; and then, of course, concluding with
25	planning testimony from Mr. Spatz.

1	And unless there's anything that the
2	board requires further of me, we would like to
3	present the testimony of Mr. Cocoros if that's
4	acceptable.
5	MS. TESTA: Okay.
6	Do you swear the testimony you will
7	give in this application will be the truth, the whole
8	truth, and nothing but the truth?
9	MR. COCOROS: I do.
10	V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA
11	467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey,
12	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
13	MS. TESTA: Please state your name for
14	the record.
15	MR. COCOROS: Sure.
16	. Vassilios, V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S,
17	Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood
18	Cliffs, New Jersey 07632.
19	MS. TESTA: Okay. Once again, Bill has
20	testified numerous times and the board accepts you as
21	an expert.
22	MR. COCOROS: Thank you.
23	MR. SOKOLICH: Pardon me, Bill, your
24	credentials have been accepted as an architect,
25	correct, licensed in the state of

1	MS. TESTA: Counsel, before Ms. Yoon is
2	going to recuse herself. She has a conflict. So let
3	the record reflect.
4	(Whereupon, Vice Chairwoman Yoon
5	recuses herself and steps off the dais.)
6	MS. TESTA: So, Ms. Kim, on this
7	application, you will be voting.
8	Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. SOKOLICH: You're welcome.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
12	Q. Bill, you were the architect engaged by
13	the applicant Jeong Mi Lee, correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. And as we do with every
16	application, if you could just state what the scope
17	of your engagement was?
18	A. Sure.
19	It was to design an existing design
20	a new three-family dwelling on the property which is
21	located on the west side of 5th Street at the dead
22	end which backs up into Oakdene Avenue which is the
23	access road for the supermarket behind us.
24	Q. Got it.
25	And, in fact, you prepared the

1	architectural drawings for Mr. Lee?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Ms. Lee, excuse me. And those
4	architectural drawings are now depicted on plans that
5	I've premarked as A-1, A-2, and I believe this
6	there's a set of three pages on this one, correct?
7	A. I think it's five, actually. We have
8	a
9	Q. But the three we're going to talk
10	about?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. So the first one we're going to talk
13	about is A-1 which is entitled, "Elevations."
14	And this is what we're we have the
15	zoning information, we have the existing use, we're
16	surveying, if you would?
17	Where we'd like to start, Bill, is if
18	you could describe the property by size and also the
19	existing conditions that are on the site now.
20	A. Sure.
21	The property is oversized. It's
22	basically 74-feet-11-inches in the front. And in the
23	back it widens out to about 76-feet-1-inch, 100-feet
24	deep. Total lot area is 7,549.5 square feet.
25	A typical lot in the AA zone for a two

family is a 50-by-100 or 5,000 square feet, so we're basically one-and-a-half times our typical 50-by-100 lot.

The property itself, as the previous one, has some topographical differences. It's basically in a similar location, however, it's one block, I guess it would be one block above 4th Street.

The property goes up the front about 2-and-a-half feet. And then the back we have a drop-off of approximately we have 246 in the front and then in the back behind us, it goes down to about 239. So there's about a 7-foot drop on the -- I'm sorry, the lots. Yeah, 7-foot drop from front to back.

- Q. Was you characterize that as a pretty substantial topographical condition, Bill?
  - A. Yes.

And, you know, it's not as bad as the other one, but it's still, you know, challenging as far as --

- Q. But 7, 8 feet is something that you have to design around, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And it's going to impact some of

the bulk dimensions of any house that you
A. Yes.
Q. So staying in the upper right-hand
corner, what we call that architectural site plan,
could you describe what improvements are on the site
now?
A. Actually, it's on the other sheet, the
S-1 I think.
Q. It's an old residence, correct?
A. Yeah, it's an old, yeah.
Q. And all the improvements are slated to
be taken down?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any
exceptional or adverse environmental conditions that
we need to report to the board?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. Okay.
Again, focusing on the survey, if you
could just describe the size of the residence and
where you propose to place the residence that's
before the board for approval?
A. Sure.
It's a three-family dwelling; however,
it's not your typical, let's say, townhouse.

1 We set it up where we have the right-hand side as a duplex configuration. 2 And then on the left hand side, it's 3 4 set up as a six-over-six configuration. 5 basically one, two -- three dwellings. The building is proposed to be 6 61-feet-4-inches wide with a 7-foot side yard on each 8 side. A little bit more on the irregular side where 9 we have 7-feet-5-and-three-eighths of an inch at the 10 rear right corner. 11 At the front, it's a little bit more. It's about 8-feet-and-a-half-inch. 12 13 And then on the left-hand side, we 14 basically have a consist 7 feet to the building line itself. 15 16 Now, this is basically an, I guess it 17 wouldn't be considered a duplex, so the side yard 18 setbacks are basically setup where we have 5 feet 19 minimum. We have 7-feet-4-inches on each side or, 20 you know, a little slightly half inch higher. 21 And then the combined side yard requirement for a non-duplex is 14 feet. So we do 22 23 meet the side yards as far as the volume of the 24 building.

In addition, we do have the staircase

for the duplex unit, which is Unit C, which is on the right-hand side. We have a staircase that's in the side yard; however, we do meet the -- we actually exceed the 3-foot setback requirement for a staircase in the side yard.

In addition, we're really affecting anybody because that's the driveway for the supermarket behind us that's in Fort Lee.

It's basically a boundary line on the property between two boroughs.

And then what we did is we're trying to maintain as many of the trees along the portion of Oakdene Avenue just for privacy purposes and maintain a similar landscaping.

However, we'll probably have to remove two of them in the front to provide access to the driveway.

In addition, try to level off the property a little bit, we've actually built a retaining wall in the back and that retaining wall will try to minimize the height where we're basically at about 2-feet-8-inches.

So it's basically a landscaped wall, it's not a structured wall. However, if the board engineer wants any additional details, we can provide

1 that as part of the site plan.

- Q. So, Bill, you've also -- and there's no, not that you are aware of, is there any existing stormwater management plan on-site now?
  - A. No.

- Q. But you, in fact, have designed one that you're going to submit to the board engineer for review and approval, correct?
- A. Correct, we have CULTEC chambers in the back that will be -- the bottom one will be lower than the property behind us to avoid any spillage in the wall or onto the adjoining property.
  - Q. Understood.

And same thing, if there's any comments, any requested revisions, if they want more of a robust system or less of a system, in fact, this applicant will comply with any requirements imposed by the board engineer, correct?

- A. Correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. As far as parking, Bill, I know -- so I guess you could describe this as half a duplex connected to a six-over-six?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's how you comply or that's how these units are comprised of?

1 Α. Yes. Because from the street level, there is 2 Q. a door to the side for the duplex unit that's to the 4 right, but then there are two doors in the middle 5 that creates the impression that you're looking at a larger duplex, not a three family? 6 7 Α. Correct. 8 And then again, also the staircase is 9 in the middle of the building instead of on the 10 neighborhood to the left of us. 11 Q. Correct. 12 And what's critical in any neighborhood 13 is parking? Α. 14 Yes. 15 Are there going to be cars all over the place, or is there ample parking that's provided 16 on-site? 17 18 I mean, there's two driveways, you know, we do have the overflow portion where we have 19 20 three -- we could park three cars across the front. We do have a three-car garage set up; however, you 21 know, worst case scenario, one of the spaces could be 22

The duplex, itself, will have two cars

used for storage and we still have the requisite two

parking spaces per dwelling unit.

23

24

in the driveway and we could actually fit two cars in the garage because I think we have an oversized garage and I think they're both side-by-side duplex.

- Q. Okay. And do you anticipate a parking problem based on what you've designed here?
  - A. No.

- Q. Okay. Then shifting your attention further to the left, you have your elevations, Bill.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. If you'd describe to the board the type of architectural features, the exterior façade that you intend for this development, what is the neighborhood going to see if the board were to act favorably on the application?
- A. It will be a combination of brick, stucco and a panelized system. These buildings before, I've done a few houses in town, they came out pretty nice.
- So, you know, the level of finishing will be commensurate to their previous developments. However, you know, we do try to mix it up where we have brick, stucco or a panelized system, and then maybe a secondary panelized system to create an accent.
- Q. And, you know, it's interesting because

1 a lot of times, field changes are made, right? Α. Correct. 2 3 Ο. The builder will end up putting a 4 different material on the façade that you're indicating that will be, but I'm trying to give the 5 board a comfort level here that whatever you're 6 attesting to, that, in fact, will be constructed on the property or alternatively, a product that's even 9 superior to what you're saying. 10 In other words, this isn't going to be 11 a cheap structure. It's going to be a beautiful structure and something that's going to require an 12 13 investment by whoever is building it; is that a fair 14 statement? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Okay. And it will be a variety of Q. 17 materials, metal stucco? 18 Yeah, we'll try to keep it at least a minimum of three. 19 20 Q. Understood. Understood. 21 And the treatment that we're going to see in the front, will that be consistent on the 22

Or is there going to be a cheaper

sides and in the rear also?

Yes.

Α.

Q.

23

24

1	material used on the other three sides?
2	A. No, it will match what's proposed on
3	the front.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. Got to keep the quality of the design
6	intact.
7	(Whereupon, Zoning Schedule is marked
8	as Exhibit A-1 for identification.)
9	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
10	Q. Now, you also have a zoning schedule on
11	what we've marked as A-1, correct?
12	A. Correct, yes.
13	Q. Now, I know we have David on deck and
14	I'm trying to move you along as quickly as I can, but
15	if you would just identify the variances that are
16	being sought, Bill?
17	A. Yes.
18	Now, the dwelling units basically, the
19	lot size complies, it actually exceeds the
20	requirement.
21	The dwelling units, it's an AA zone, so
22	two are allowed and we're proposing three.
23	Lot area per dwelling unit is in
24	compliance with the AA zone of
25	two-and-a-half-thousand square feet per dwelling

	60
1	unit.
2	Building coverage, there's a
3	2,500 square feet max; however, we do have a
4	3,050 square feet which is basically 40.4 percent.
5	Q. But, Bill, let me ask you a question, I
6	don't mean to interrupt you.
7	So the coverage percentage is
8	40 percent?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. But that's applying to an AA zone in
11	fairness, right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And, in fact, what's being constructed
14	is a building that's going to be 40.4 percent of the
15	lot.
16	So really it's a de minimis amount over
17	the requirement?
18	A. Yes, before the percentage requirement.
19	Q. So if I were to ask you eliminate that
20	variance, we would gladly do it, the problem is the
21	square foot exceeds the 2500 by a considerable
22	amount?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. In other words, it's a percentage
25	that's truly applied to the AA zone, not the type of

1 building that we're constructing? Α. Correct. Q. All right. Also, you know, the bulk requirements 4 Α. 5 as far as the setbacks, we do comply with 25 feet in the front, 25 feet in the rear, 7 feet on each side 6 where the minimum is 5 feet, but the combined we do comply where 14 feet is a required, we have 14.04, 9 it's actually a little bit more because of the 10 increase on the setback on the right-hand side. 11 Q. Understood. 12 So it's the density of three it's the 13 coverage, not percentage because percentage we'd 14 agree to go to 40 percent, that's not the issue, it's 15 the square footage in coverage? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Ο. Because there's a numerical -- there's 18 a numerical threshold not to exceed 2500 square feet? 19 Α. Correct. 20 But is that a fair number to apply to a Q. 21 lot that's 7500 square feet in size? I mean, yeah, it's based on, you know, 22 Α. 23 usually 50-by-100 lots. 24 Here it's -- we have the luxury of

having a wider lot. Wherever you look at it, the

bulk requirements comply. 1 However, like I said, the footprint, 2 3 I'm not sure, that requirement might only apply to 4 duplexes. This is not a duplex. 5 Ο. Got it. And then, of course, there's the height 6 7 variance, correct? 8 Yes, and the height variance is similar 9 to the previous applications where we have a 10 topographic -- in the front to the back, however, 11 this one is a little bit less than the previous one. 12 As mentioned before, we try to keep the 13 driveways a little bit higher than the sidewalk and the street. 14 15 We are proposing a new curb along the 16 front of the property; however, there are no 17 sidewalks on the side of the street. I would leave 18 it up to the board engineer to see if -- or the DPW if they wanted any sidewalk in front of the property 19 20 for any future developments along that side or they 21 could be continuous. And the applicant would be willing to 22 Q. do that? 23 24 Α. Yes.

25

Q.

And the materials that you intend to

1 use for the driveway will be different than the materials, for example, in the sidewalk? 2 Α. 3 Yes. 4 Like I said, you know, I think they say 5 concrete sidewalk and we have paver draft, so there's delineation between the two. 6 7 Q. Thanks. 8 I'm going to turn you, Billy, to what 9 we've premarked as A-2? 10 MR. SOKOLICH: Now, A-2 is entitled --11 where are we -- floor plans. And it was last revised, Diane, November 26th of 2024. 12 13 MS. TESTA: Thank you. 14 (Whereupon, Floor Plans are marked as Exhibit A-2 for identification.) 15 BY MR. SOKOLICH: 16 17 So we've marked that as A-2, Bill, and 18 this goes into more detail with regard to not only the height, but also the ground floor level, I 19 believe, correct? 20 21 Α. Correct. The lowest level where we basically 22 23 have the, let's say the right-side units, the half 24 duplex where you basically have -- you would see 25 garage in the front, the two-car garage.

1 And then behind that we have the utility room that's access off the garage, and the 2 door into the main living space, which is a hallway, 4 a staircase up to the first floor which is the new 5 living space. We do have a powder room, no full bathroom downstairs on both sides. 6 7 And then we have a door, two windows 8 out the back, we have a little bit of an overhang 9 above us, then we have the deck in the backyard. 10 The decks, themselves, are, you know, 11 they're not oversized. They're 10-feet-by-8-feet on 12 the one deck and then we have 8-foot-6 on the 13 left-hand side where the six-over-six portion is and that's 13-feet-8-inches. 14 15 So, you know, we're basically trying to 16 minimize the decks in the backyard and then we're 17 keeping them set back. 18 Billy, you also have on A-2 a cut Ο. sheet, if you will, on the height of the building? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. What's the height of this building to the first roof eave? Just so we could bring the 22 board --23 24 Α. The eave? 25 Q. Eave.

1	A. The eave is basically
2	27-feet-10-inches.
3	Q. And then to the midpoint of the roof?
4	A. The midpoint is 32 feet.
5	Q. And then, of course, there's then the
6	higher height, which is what the variance request is
7	for, correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And this also depicts the façade and
10	the material that you're using?
11	A. Correct, they're similar in the front.
12	Q. Correct.
13	Is there anything else that you'd like
14	to add on A-2?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Now we have A-3.
17	Now, A-3 are the balance of the floor
18	plans, correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	MR. SOKOLICH: A-3, Diane, with your
21	permission, is entitled "Floor Plans," Last Revised
22	date November 26, 2024. And that's A-3.
23	(Whereupon, Floor Plans, Last Revised
24	November 26, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-3 for
25	identification.)

THE WITNESS: Now, A-3 I guess we're, you know, basically on the left side of the building is a six-over-six portion which the first floor is connected to the basement level down below, the ground floor level down below.

We have a living room, kitchen, family room or dining room on the, I guess that would be the right side of the six-over-six portion of the development.

And then in the left-hand side, we have the bedrooms. We have basically a front bedroom, a home office that's access off the hallway, two secondary bedrooms, one master in the back, a primary bedroom with an en suite bathroom with a nice size shower, toilet, double sink.

And then we have the door from the master bedroom. The master bedroom has two walk-in closets and then a laundry room and then a powder room.

And then there's a hall bathroom that's shared by the two secondary bedroom. Then the kitchen, itself, we set it up where we have a galley style kitchen with a sink in the island just to kind of, you know, keep it as a modern look.

And then we have the decks off the back

1	portion which are 8-feet-6-inches deep by on the
2	six-over-six portion. And then the second floor on
3	this side is basically the same as the first floor,
4	you know, slightly different because of the stairs.
5	We have an additional closet where the entry would
6	have been down below.
7	And then if you go to the right-hand
8	side, that's the
9	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
10	Q. Duplex.
11	A. Duplex portion. As I mentioned before,
12	you know, the basement
13	Q. Or half a duplex portion.
14	A. Yeah, half a duplex, that portion.
15	So this is set up where you have first
16	floor living space where we have living room, dining
17	room.
18	There's also a staircase that's
19	accessed from the sidewalk level up to the front
20	door, same thing for the six-over-six portion or for
21	the driveway, we have a staircase up, a little
22	covered platform.
23	The door on the left is for the
24	first-floor unit.
25	The door on the right is for the

1 second-floor unit.

The -- so the basic setup for the half duplex portion is a living room, dining room, kitchen, galley style configuration in the back family room or whatever they want to call it, it could be additional dining area.

We have also a coat closet and a powder room that's right kind of at the entrance.

Second floor plan, as I mentioned before, there's the six-over-six portion is similar to the first floor. The half duplex politics on the right-hand side consists of a two-bedroom configuration, one being the master or primary suite with its own en suite bathroom, double sink, soaking tub, a toilet and a shower.

And behind that, we have two secondary bedroom which share a bathroom with a Jack-and-Jill configuration where you have basically a double sink, and you have a door that goes into a toilet area and a shower/bathtub with the -- for privacy purposes.

Also in the hallway, we have it set up where we have a side-by-side laundry and a linen closet.

Q. So pretty standard duplex unit configuration?

- A. Yeah, you know, it's, like, typical.
- Q. I'm going to just bring you back to A-1 with one concluding question because I'm trying to move it along as expeditiously as we can because I know the board has a busy agenda.
  - A. Sure.

- Q. But when you look at the tax map reference which is incorporated into your A-1, this property which is 75-by-100 is peculiar in the sense that I don't see any other, at least within that 200-foot radius, do you?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And do you have an opinion as to whether or not the property is particularly suited for three units?
- A. I mean, it's oversized and the fact that the end of the block is kind of, you know, it's not like, you know, there's commercial behind us.

You know, there's basically, you know, the rest of the houses, if we put it in the middle of the block, it might stick out more.

Back here, it's basically at the end.

It's a little more secluded than the rest of the portion.

And then you have -- basically I'm

1	trying to keep some of the landscaping in the front.
2	We have plenty of room for landscaping on either side
3	of us because we have the increased side yard setback
4	of 7 feet instead of typical, let's say, 6-foot. And
5	then if you have a staircase, it's like 3 feet.
6	So, you know, we have plenty of room to
7	do the landscaping in the front. We also have the
8	drainage system including the trench drain and the
9	driveway to prevent, you know, to minimize the water
10	running onto 5th Street.
11	Q. Thank you, Bill.
12	Is there anything else that will you
13	would like the add?
14	A. No.
15	You know, I know I said, you know, this
16	lot here, it's oversized, you know, it's in a suited
17	location where it's, like, out of the way from the
18	main portion of that block.
19	Q. Thank you.
20	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I offer
21	Mr. Cocoros.
22	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Board members?
23	MS. TESTA: Board members.
24	MR. KIM: There's a tub in the
25	basement.

1	THE WITNESS: No, I took it out.
2	MR. TERRANOVA: No, there's no tub.
3	MR. KIM: Oh, I see. Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay.
5	Dave?
6	MR. TERRANOVA: First of all, I don't
7	see a need for a three-family house, that's number
8	one.
9	Number two, you have explain this,
10	it's literally right at the end of the block on 5th
11	Street and there's already a planter or something
12	there.
13	You have a note here that says, "new
14	road barrier."
15	What's going to happen there?
16	THE WITNESS: Well, right now there's a
17	planter, you know, I guess it would be a wall, you
18	know, we could probably take the planter because the
19	driveway, itself, is at the line of the planter.
20	MR. TERRANOVA: You literally you
21	have the line of the planter right up against the
22	line of the side of the driveway.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	MR. TERRANOVA: So if that guy backs
25	up, I realize there's nothing across the street, but

1	is he going to have enough room to get out?
2	THE WITNESS: I mean, you know, there's
3	a couple things we could do.
4	We could push back the you know, the
5	gates. I guess we could coordinate with DPW or the
6	police department, however you want to handle that,
7	you know, that stoppage at the end of the street.
8	MR. TERRANOVA: Right.
9	THE WITNESS: And then what we could do
10	is maybe just, you know, move that planter back and
11	do a new planter with Belgian block maybe a couple
12	feet back, so if he's backing out, he has a little
13	bit of room to turn the car so he could, you know,
14	make the K-turn out.
15	MR. TERRANOVA: Okay.
16	And then, I mean you made mention on
17	the last application that you had the topographical
18	changes, but these aren't the same, they are
19	different topographical distances.
20	THE WITNESS: No, it's less intense.
21	And the other portion is the fact that it's
22	MR. SOKOLICH: Let him
23	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
24	MR. TERRANOVA: This doesn't propose
25	the same topographical differences, but you still

1	have a height, you know, that is tremendously higher.
2	And then explain the on the zoning
3	chart, you have two-and-a-half stories, but you have
4	25. You need 28, correct?
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, well, it's not a
6	duplex.
7	MR. TERRANOVA: It's not a duplex?
8	THE WITNESS: No, it's a three family.
9	So if it was a duplex, you're allowed 28 feet to the
10	midpoint of the roof.
11	MR. TERRANOVA: Right.
12	So we're going by a six-over-six, so
13	it's 25 that you're
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	MR. TERRANOVA: So you're 9-and-a-half
16	feet or 10 feet over?
17	There's nothing we could do to reduce
18	the height of the building.
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I could play with
20	the roof pitches, you know, kind of, you know, bring
21	it down maybe.
22	The only thing is, like I said, if I
23	bring the roof pitch down, it might be a
24	foot-and-a-half of actual reduction of the roof,
25	however, that translates into a 9-inch difference.

1	If I do 2 feet, it's basically a reduction of the
2	height by 1 foot because it's a midpoint measurement.
3	MR. TERRANOVA: So basically the most
4	you can bring it down would be 1 foot?
5	MR. SOKOLICH: I think, if I may, Bill,
6	I'm going to interrupt you.
7	THE WITNESS: Sure.
8	MR. SOKOLICH: We're taking copious
9	notes and we plan on working hard on this plan before
10	the next meeting.
11	So we're going to do better than
12	1 foot, it's just that I think we need a little time
13	to do that.
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
15	MR. SOKOLICH: So we don't want to
16	commit only 1 foot. We're going to try to
17	accommodate you.
18	MR. TERRANOVA: Please, thank you.
19	That's all I have.
20	MR. COLLAZUOL: Bill, I have three
21	points.
22	Again, with the walls in the back, it
23	doesn't appear that anything is greater than 4 feet
24	in height, correct.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's basically I

1 think it's 2-foot-4.

Yeah, about 2-foot-4 at the height, you know, so it's not a structural wall.

MR. COLLAZUOL: Right.

The walls between the units in the back that runs across from the back of the building to the back wall, same thing there, right.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, steps --

MR. COLLAZUOL: Okay. Moving on to parking with respect to the RSIS standards, do you have any idea what it would required under the RSIS standards?

THE WITNESS: I know, like I said, the, you know, we basically have, let's say, if you do RSIS on the right-side unit, it's basically set up where you have, let's say, three spaces. You have four spaces, technically, but it's a one-car garage door, so that would be basically three spaces as recognized by RSIS.

Now, the other portion, the six-over-six portion, we have three across the driveway and then we have three in the garage; however, the one garage has a single door, so that one we basically recognize as five units.

MR. COLLAZUOL: So it would --

1 THE WITNESS: Five parking spaces by RSIS, but it's actual six. 2 3 MR. COLLAZUOL: So it would comply? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. COLLAZUOL: Okay. The last, as David mentioned earlier, this -- I made a comment in 6 our report, the road barrier in 5th Street is showing to be modified. 8 9 The existing barrier is a raised 10 planter with wood ties and plantings. 11 As I recall, these roads became dead ends many, many years ago and these were constructed 12 13 in that way, but the road curb to curb is 30 feet in 14 width, so technically, you really don't have to 15 remove or move that planter because you've got 16 30 feet to back out to begin with, right? THE WITNESS: Um-hmm. 17 18 MR. COLLAZUOL: But my point is the 19 proposed new road barrier may need further review 20 possibly by the Mayor and Council because that's a 21 borough road, it's not, I think, in the jurisdiction of the board of adjustment. 22 23 THE WITNESS: If we kept, let's say, the planning area by itself, would that be, you know, 24 25 we'd have to basically just keep it as is, you know,

1	I was thinking maybe just for purposes of, like, you
2	know, safety and, like, you know, and looks to try to
3	give it a new barrier, you know.
4	We'll also coordinate with the police
5	or the Mayor and Council to see if they wanted
6	emergency on it.
7	MR. COLLAZUOL: Yeah, I think it would
8	incur that.
9	I don't know if police you might
10	have comments regarding that. Certainly the road's
11	closed, so I don't know what they're going to say.
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
13	MR. COLLAZUOL: DPW would probably
14	acquiesce to either the board here or the Mayor and
15	Council, but I think the point is you could actually
16	leave that alone and still
17	MR. SOKOLICH: And it will function.
18	MR. COLLAZUOL: being able to
19	function and back a vehicle in because you have
20	30 feet on the right.
21	MR. SOKOLICH: But we would stipulate
22	that if we did anything, anything to it, replace it,
23	repair it, change it, alter it, we would seek
24	permission from the Mayor and Council.

MR. COLLAZUOL: Fine.

1	I have nothing further.
2	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay. Anybody in the
3	public?
4	MR. MANTONE: Yeah, I have a bunch of
5	stuff I'd like to review.
6	MS. TESTA: Okay. At this point, it
7	would just be questions to the architect.
8	At a later point, it will be open to
9	the public for general comment, but do you have any
10	questions now of the architect.
11	MR. MANTONE: Well, all of these things
12	are questions, all the things I wrote down here.
13	MS. TESTA: Are they geared towards his
14	testimony that we just heard?
15	MR. MANTONE: It's geared towards this
16	building, the height of it
17	MS. TESTA: But how about as far as the
18	testimony of Mr. Cocoros, are they geared towards
19	that or do you want to hold it till the end?
20	MR. MANTONE: Well, his testimony of
21	what he read with I'm fine with, but I'm not fine
22	with what they're trying to build.
23	MS. TESTA: Okay.
24	They'll be time for you to state your
25	comments and ask questions.

1	MR. MANTONE: Okay.
2	THE COURT REPORTER: Sir, could you
3	just state and spell your name for me.
4	MS. TESTA: Oh, I'm sorry, yes.
5	MR. MANTONE: It's John Mantone,
6	M-A-N-T-O-N-E, 537 5th Street.
7	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you, John.
9	MS. TESTA: Anybody else in the public
10	have any questions at this time with regards to the
11	testimony by the architect?
12	MS. ALVAREZ: Marie Alvarez, 534 4th
13	Street.
14	This is going to have two, like, areas
15	in the basement that can possibly be rented?
16	THE WITNESS: I mean, you know, like I
17	said, it's basically there's no full bathroom, so
18	there's a little more difficult, you know, it's
19	difficult to rent that out.
20	MS. ALVAREZ: But it's possible that
21	it's rentable space in the basement like every other
22	duplex around here?
23	THE WITNESS: I'm not going to be the
24	owner of the property, so, you know, it's like if it
25	was me, you know, it's like we try to keep it, like

1 MR. SOKOLICH: But the idea is that 2 would be a violation of the law, would it not, Bill? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. SOKOLICH: So he'd be breaking the law, so it's not the intent to rent those out. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Correct. 8 MR. SOKOLICH: Simple answer. 9 MS. ALVAREZ: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you. 11 MS. TESTA: Anybody else? 12 (No Response.) 13 MS. TESTA: Okay. 14 MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I think we've 15 heard quite a bit over the course of the last few 16 minutes between the board and members of the public 17 and Mr. Collazuol. 18 And I think we need an opportunity to 19 go back and relook at some of the improvements that 20 we're proposing, with the board's permission. 21 I know you also have a busy agenda, but we would like an opportunity to maybe revise these 22 23 plans a little bit, relook at the height and a couple 24 other concerns that were raised by the board before

the next meeting, if possible.

1	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
2	Thank you.
3	MS. TESTA: Okay. So at this time
4	so you're asking for it to be carried to the April
5	meeting?
6	MR. SOKOLICH: I am. I am.
7	MS. TESTA: Okay. So at this time, we
8	need a motion from the board to allow the applicant
9	to carry this matter to the April meeting, which is
10	April 21st, 2025, at 7:00 p.m. No further notice.
11	And then the applicant waives any time
12	constraints?
13	MR. SOKOLICH: We do. We do.
14	MS. TESTA: Okay. So we need a motion
15	for that.
16	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: I make a motion.
17	MR. TERRANOVA: I second.
18	MS. TESTA: Roll call, please.
19	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
20	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
21	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
22	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
23	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
24	MR. KIM: Yes.
25	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?

1	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
2	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
3	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
4	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?
5	MR. CHIESA: Yes.
6	MS. IGUINA: Mrs. Kim?
7	MS. KIM: Yes.
8	MS. TESTA: So applicant so Case
9	No. 25-01, Jeong Mi Lee, 545 5th Street at the
10	request of the applicant is being carried to the
11	April 21st meeting at 7 p.m.
12	No further notice will be provided to
13	the public. This officially is your notice.
14	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, Counsel, thank
15	you.
16	MS. TESTA: Okay. And you'll have the
17	plans in at least 10 days before?
18	MR. SOKOLICH: We shall. Yes, we will.
19	Thank you.
20	MS. TESTA: Counsel. Mark.
21	MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. TESTA: Do you want to do the next
23	or you want a break or you want to
24	MR. SOKOLICH: It's up to the board.
25	You want to take a quick one and then

1	MS. TESTA: We'll take a quick break
2	and then you'll come up and
3	MR. SOKOLICH: That would be great.
4	Thank you so much.
5	(Whereupon, a brief recess is held at
6	8:11 p.m. to 8:19 p.m.)
7	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
8	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
9	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
10	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
11	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
12	MR. KIM: Yes.
13	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
14	MR. TERRANOVA: Here.
15	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
16	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Here.
17	MS. IGUINA: Mrs. Yoon?
18	VICE CHAIRWOMAN YOON: Here.
19	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?
20	MR. CHIESA: Here.
21	MS. IGUINA: And, Ms. Kim?
22	MS. KIM: Here.
23	MS. TESTA: Okay, Counselor, we're
24	ready.
25	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you.

Thank you, Counsel.

Chairman, Members of the Board, engaged professionals, legal counsel, for the third time, my condolences, but Mark Sokolich on behalf of the applicant.

The applicant on this is

779-783 Paramus Road, LLC. The address of the

property which is the subject of the application is

500 2nd Street here in Palisades Park, Lot 1,

Block 310.

The property is in the AA zone where two-family residences are expressly permitted. This is an application seeking approval of a duplex residence.

The curve ball on this one is it is a corner lot which then poses different types of challenges. The board has addressed and wrestled with corner lots consistently over the years. We appear for variance relief.

As far as witness testimony is concerned, it's the expectation of the applicant to present Bill Cocoros the licensed architect and David Spatz the license planner.

And again, by way of housekeeping, Diane, I'm sorry, I think we had handed in the

1	affidavit of service.
2	MS. TESTA: I believe so, yes.
3	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you.
4	MS. TESTA: Okay. Please raise your
5	right hand, do you swear the testimony you will give
6	in this application will be the truth, the whole
7	truth, and nothing but the truth?
8	MR. COCOROS: I do.
9	V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA
10	467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey,
11	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
12	MS. TESTA: Please state your name for
13	the record.
14	MR. COCOROS: Sure.
15	Vassilios, V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros,
16	C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs,
17	New Jersey 07632.
18	MS. TESTA: Thank you.
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
21	Q. Bill, I trust that you are still an
22	architect and nothing's happened to your license
23	since last you've been here about 15 minutes ago?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. You are the architect for the applicant

1	at 779, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And for the record, the scope of your
4	engagement?
5	A. Was to design two-family side-by-side
6	duplex dwelling on a corner lot, on the corner of I
7	guess it would be the southwest corner of Second
8	Street and East Edsall Boulevard.
9	Q. And in fact, you prepared all the
10	architectural drawings for this application?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. I'm going to pre-mark as A-1 what you
13	also identified as A-1, and that's entitled
14	"Elevations and Site Plan, Two-Family Dwelling,
15	500 Second Street."
16	MR. SOKOLICH: With a last revised
17	date, Diane, of January 24, 2025.
18	(Whereupon, Elevations and Site Plan,
19	Two-Family Dwelling, 500 Second Street, Last
20	Revised January 24th, 2025 is marked as
21	Exhibit A-1 for identification.)
22	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
23	Q. So, Bill, referring to A-1 in the upper
24	right-hand corner, you have the tax map reference and
25	you also have what I referred to as the architectural

1 survey.

Could you just describe generally where the property is and existing conditions?

A. Correct, the property is on the -- like I said, I mentioned the southwest corner of East Edsall Boulevard and Second Street, 50 feet frontage along Second Street and hundred-foot depth along East Edsall Boulevard. It's a 50-by-100 lot which is 5,000 square feet which is compliant in the AA zone.

Let me just get the existing survey.

Right now there's a two-story framed dwelling with a detached garage that's basically about a foot off the property line at the rear of the property as you're looking at it from Second Street.

The one-car garage framed driveway which is about 6 feet off of the property line along Edsall Boulevard is accessed from Edsall Boulevard.

So, you know, we're proposing the new two family will have a two driveway configuration.

I'll go over the footprint. It's basically 10 feet off of the setback along East Edsall Boulevard, which is a typical setback for this configuration of house that the board has seen before.

I guess it would be considered the left

side yard setback, which is basically the back of the building is a 5-foot side yard setback which is allowed in the corner lot configuration.

The units themselves are basically side by side from Edsall Boulevard which is the, I guess it's considered the right side yard portion. And then the front from a zoning point of view is left side of the proposed dwelling.

Edsall Boulevard, we try to minimize the driveway that's not on Edsall Boulevard. It's a three-story configuration where we have a two-car driveway and two-car garage for Unit A, which is as you're looking at it from East Edsall Boulevard, the left-side unit. There's a two-car garage and two-car driveway that go in from Second Street.

The Unit B has a new driveway that is going to be off of Edsall Boulevard. Right now we have a 700-foot -- I'm sorry -- 17-foot drop because there was a height grade.

However, if the client decides to go to the Mayor and Council, that could be relocated to have the full width of the driveway which is 20-feet wide that can accommodate two cars on the portion for Unit B.

In addition, since the setback is 10 feet, we do have a recess of 8 feet to the garage wall which basically you have 18 feet for parking space on the property, which is typical depth for a parking space.

In addition to that, you have another 3-and-a-half feet to the actual sidewalk line. So, you know, there will be no impediment of anybody walking along the sidewalk.

- Q. It's new sidewalks, Bill?
- Yes, all new sidewalks and curbs. There is an existing corner detectable mat configuration for ADA compliance, you know, we're proposing that to stay, but like you mentioned before, whatever the engineer and the borough require, you know, we will take care of that.
- As far as utilities, there's no intentional stormwater management on-site now, correct?
  - Α. No.

Α.

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And, in fact, you propose one that would be subject to review by the board's engineer, correct?
- Yes, we have a system on each side that Α. would accommodate the gutters and the roof.

- Q. Like I asked you on the prior applications, in the event the board engineer would ask you to do more or less or something more robust or something with more volume or do it in a different way, in fact, this applicant would comply, correct?

  A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay.

- A. Now, also I forget to mention behind the property you're looking at from Second Street, there is an existing adjacent multifamily building that's behind us, you know, so, you know, the side yard setback or actually, the rear yard setback is adjacent to a multifamily building, not a typical one or two-family house.
  - Q. Understood.
- And all the improvements on-site, by the way, are intended to be demolished and replace with the new?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. As we move further to the left on your A-1, you have an elevation of the right elevation which is facing East Edsall Boulevard?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Starting there first, the materials, if you would.

A. They're basically a brick structure; however, we do have a bay window here and we do have a little panel system between the windows over the front door.

Those are probably going to be a stucco or a panelized system, as I mentioned before. And then we have it may be a metallic panel accent between the two windows along the front.

Then we have the entry doors which would have a sidelight and single, 36-inch door.

Above that we have a canopy. No columns, the canopy itself comes out from the structure, itself.

So we try to minimize, you know, as far as the overall look of the building.

In addition, you're entering on the ground floor which eliminates staircases, like, you know, you basically have, like, maybe 15 feet of stairs on a typical duplex.

Here you're basically entering up a couple of steps from the existing grade up to landing and then 6 inches up to the ground-floor level or the basement level where you have your entry door and the stairs up of the first floor.

Q. Now, you designed it that way so that the staircase doesn't protrude from the building; is

that one of the reasons?

A. Yeah, yeah, yeah.

As I said, it's already, like, corner lots are tough, you know, previously we used to have, like, a 4-foot stoop and, like, three or four stairs that were a little closer from the property line and then we figured out a way of doing it where you entering the ground floor, like, a townhouse and you go up to the main living space from that portion, you know, which is, you know, it looks nicer and in addition, it frees up that 10 foot side yard.

O. Understood.

And parking on East Edsall on-site?

- A. Yes, on-site we basically have an oversized one car garage for storage and then we also have a 20-feet wide driveway; however, we propose a 17-foot curb cut; however, it's a fire hydrant in the way there. I guess that would be Mayor and Council's job.
- Q. It would be. But two cars could manipulate a 17-foot driveway, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So then would it be fair to say that there's three comfortable parking spaces allotted for that property?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. And the building materials
3	quickly?
4	A. Brick, you know, stucco panelized
5	system and then we have metal accents basically on
6	all four sides.
7	Q. And that's great. That's consistent
8	throughout the entire site?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. The entrance for the other unit is
11	depicted on what you're showing as
12	A. It's to
13	Q is to the left of what you're
14	depicting as the right elevation?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. So same thing, are they just
17	asymmetrical?
18	A. They're a mirror image except for the
19	driveway configuration and the garage configuration.
20	Q. And the garage is for the other unit is
21	on which block?
22	A. It's on Second Street.
23	Q. Um-hmm.
24	A. So it's basically on the as you're
25	looking at it from Second Street, that wall is the

we consider it the front elevation, however, if you're looking Edsall Boulevard, it would be the left-hand side.

O. Got it.

Q. GOL II.

And I believe, Bill, you also have a schematic site plan that's on your A-1?

Now, I know Mr. Spatz is on deck, but again, we have variances that are being sought for coverage, for height, and I believe combined side yard?

A. Correct.

And the height is actually within 10 percent of the threshold.

Q. Understood.

 $\hbox{ Is there anything that you want to add } \\ \hbox{with regard to the other elevations that are on $A-1$?}$ 

A. We're basically keeping it at 2 feet.

At the front here, we do have -- since we have a driveway down below, there's no real deck that's really -- it's more of a balcony that comes out from the building and it's a cantilever and it's 5 feet, you know, just enough for a couple chairs. You want to smoke a cigarette, it's right there.

Q. Understood. Understood.

I'm going to turn you, Bill, which I'm

1 going to, with counsel's permission, mark as A-2? You also marked it a A-2, it's entitled 2 3 "floor plan," with a last revised indicate of 4 January 24th, 2025. 5 (Whereupon, Floor Plan Last Revised January 24th, 2025 is marked as Exhibit A-2 6 7 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: 8 Now, Bill, I believe there are three 9 10 levels which are comprised of two units, you start, I 11 presume, at the ground floor plan? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Like I said, I mean, three levels, no 14 roof decks. It's basically, you know, straight up 15 three level configuration. 16 If you look at the ground floor plan, 17 it's oriented where the bottom is Second Street and 18 then on the right-hand side of the ground floor plan 19 is Edsall Boulevard. 20 You look at Unit A, you see here we 21 have the garage accessed off of Second Street, it's a 22 two-car garage, two-car driveway. And we have at the 23 front, let's say, right corner is the existing -- I'm 24 sorry, the entry hall which has a door from the

covered platform with a cantilever overhang and then

a staircase up -- an L-shaped staircase up to the first floor.

In addition to that, we have an opening. So when you go up the staircase, you have, you know, it's like a two-story configuration instead of having, like, a narrow staircase up.

So it feels a little more open and it looks nicer too.

Then we have coat closet, recreation room, powder room, utility room and then a door from the side for egress purposes on the right-hand side, which is technically the back portion of the units.

If you go in the upper portion of that plan, we have Unit B. As you can see here, we have the recess for the garage. The garage, itself, is 17-feet-10, you know, it probably could be accommodated to make it an actual two-car garage, I would just have to reconfigure that recreation room.

And then at the rear right corner, we have the entry, which is basically similar to the Unit A where you have a covered portion with a cantilever above it, a door with a sidelight, coat closet, a staircase up. That staircase that was mentioned would have, like, a little slot, so it's basically, like, an entry foyer for, like, a

1	one-family house.
2	Q. Understood.
3	A. And behind that we have it set up where
4	we have recreation room, powder room, and then we
5	have the door egress door from the side.
6	In addition, we have the AC units. We
7	would put the one for Unit B in the rear it would
8	be the rear-left corner.
9	And then for Unit A, we have it in the
10	front yard; however, we can screen it with the
11	landscaping or some sort of screening device for
12	certain purposes.
13	Q. Sound attenuation?
14	A. Sound attenuation and visual.
15	Q. The first floor?
16	A. Is basically a living space. We have
17	it set up, three-room configuration. We have a
18	living room, you see the staircase that kind of comes
19	up from the ground floor down below.
20	Q. Yes.
21	A. Powder room, kitchen. The kitchen has

No.

a little balcony for the Unit A.

Q.

Α.

than from the kitchen?

22

23

24

25

Any way to access that balcony other

1 Q. So there's no stairs that lead down to the backyard, correct? 2 Α. 3 Not at all. 4 And then we have, you know, the, you 5 know, the dining room or it could be a family room off of the kitchen, a powder room kind of off to the 6 side. 8 And then basically the same 9 configuration on the Unit B, however, you know, I 10 think we have a slightly bigger deck at 6 feet which 11 abuts the apartment building behind us. 12 In addition to that, there's a post 13 down below that would support that. Second floor is the main bedroom level 14 15 where we have a three-bedroom configuration. We have 16 a master suite in the front as you're looking at it from Edsall Boulevard that has two closets, en suite 17 18 bathroom where you have side-by-side lavatories, a 19 toilet, shower and a soaking tub. 20 There are two secondary bedrooms that 21 share a hall bathroom. And in addition to that, we have a 22 23 laundry, a side-by-side washer and dryer, and a 24 little bit more space than your typical 3-foot

25

closet.

1 In the hallways, it's basically just a typical hallway, kind of a T-shape where you have the 2 access to the one bathroom and then the access to the 4 secondary -- the third bedroom in the back. 5 Like I said, the configuration is the same on either side. You can also see the way the 6 staircase is where you have that L-shaped staircase 8 with the opening down below. 9 So you basically will be on the ground 10 floor, look all the way up to the top floor, which is kind of nice. 11 12 And, Bill, just to confirm, no rooftop Ο. 13 decks of any nature, correct? Α. 14 No. 15 Is there any other livable space other 16 than the two levels that you described and are 17 depicted on A-2, correct? 18 Α. No. 19 Okay. Is there anything else that you would like to add from an architectural standpoint 20 21 with regard to the application? Like I said, you know, the house, 22 23 itself, if your typical corner duplex. 24 However, since, you know, we have the 25 issue of Edsall Boulevard, we try to minimize the

1 driveways on that.

2

4

5

6

8

9

And the Unit A driveway would have been really close to the corner, so we thought it was a better idea to move it to the Second Street side which kind of, you know, gives it a little bit of a different look and it's a safer configuration and it also would help with the sight triangle along that corner.

- Q. Thank you, Bill.
- 10 A. You're welcome.
- 11 MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I offer
- 12 Mr. Cocoros.
- 13 CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you.
- 14 Board Members?
- MR. TERRANOVA: Yeah, I'm just a little concerned with the deck above the garage, I don't think I've quite ever seen that before.
- It just seems to be more of a hazard,

  19 if anything?
- 20 THE WITNESS: I mean, structurally, you 21 know, we basically have, like, let's say a 2-by-12 22 joist system for the main joist in the house, they
- 23 pack them out to make them solid.
- I mean, it's, you know, like I said, if
  it's done right, it's fine because we also have -- we

1 put a curb at the sliding glass door so we have -- we could flash it up against it so the water doesn't 2 infiltrate into that eating area. 4 MR. SOKOLICH: I think also, I don't 5 want to mischaracterize the board member, I don't think it's a question of whether we could build, I 6 think it was whether is this something that you've 8 seen and designed before? 9 MR. TERRANOVA: And safe, you know. 10 MR. SOKOLICH: And safe. MR. TERRANOVA: I mean, you've got 11

MR. TERRANOVA: I mean, you've got people there and then you have problems underneath and --

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yeah, we'd have to do, like, let's say a 42-inch guardrail, you know, so you have kids, you know, young kids that can't reach the top of the rail.

MR. TERRANOVA: Yeah, I know. It just, I don't know, I'm not a fan of it, the way that looks.

I get the deck on the other side, I'm not too sure about the deck on that side.

THE WITNESS: I mean, you know, the other way, you know, we could put posts in the ground floor, but I was trying to minimize the overall look

1	along Second Street.
2	MR. TERRANOVA: Yeah, I understand.
3	I mean, it makes in theory, it makes
4	sense to put the garage on that side of the building.
5	You don't want them both on the same side of the
6	street because Edsall is a busy street, of course,
7	compared the Second, but still, it's a little bit
8	more of a hazard than anything, so I'm not a fan.
9	THE WITNESS: Understood.
10	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
11	Anybody else?
12	MR. COLLAZUOL: Bill, I have just a
13	couple points.
14	In your and just to be quick here,
15	on your key plan, you have a north arrow, it
16	describes the property as the opposite corner.
17	It's the northeast of Second and East
18	Edsall, correct, as your key plan shows.
19	THE WITNESS: No.
20	Actually, if you look at East Edsall
21	Boulevard, you have Second Street, the I guess it
22	would be is it on the other side of the street
23	then.
24	MR. COLLAZUOL: If you look at your
25	arrow in your key plan, the north arrow appears

1	correct.
2	However, the arrow in your schematic
3	site plan to the right is opposite as well as the
4	surveyor's north arrow. So I would just ask you to
5	correct that.
6	THE WITNESS: Will do.
7	MS. TESTA: So is the property
8	northeast or where is the property located?
9	MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, it's the northeast
10	corner.
11	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Northeast corner.
12	MS. TESTA: Okay.
13	MR. COLLAZUOL: And then with respect
14	to the hydrant, I would suggest that you reduce the
15	flair on the apron and just have it straight out,
16	keep your construction away from the hydrant.
17	THE WITNESS: We would have to relocate
18	the hydrant.
19	MR. COLLAZUOL: I don't think you have
20	to relocate the hydrant also.
21	And I but I would keep the concrete
22	the way you've got the flare towards the hydrant, I
23	would keep it away from it. Just run the apron
24	straight out at that end.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, you're right.

```
1
                   I'm looking at Mark's site plan, he's
    got the right arrow.
2
3
                   MR. COLLAZUOL: I'm looking at the
4
    existing site on S-1, yeah, that's opposite that.
5
    Yeah. Very good.
                   Yup, that's it, thank you.
6
7
                   That's all I have.
8
                   MS. TESTA: Does anybody in the public
9
    have any questions with regards to this application
10
    for the architect, anything based on his testimony
11
    that you've just heard?
12
                   Okay, Counsel.
13
                   MR. SOKOLICH:
                                  Thank you.
14
                   We call Mr. Spatz.
15
                   Thank you, Bill.
16
                   THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
17
                   MS. TESTA: Mr. Spatz, please raise
18
    your right hand.
19
                   Do you swear the testimony you will
20
    give in this application will be the truth, the whole
21
    truth, and nothing but the truth?
                   MR. SPATZ: Yes, I do.
22
23
    DAVID
                  S P A T Z, P.P.,
         60 Friend Terrace, Harrington Park, New Jersey,
24
25
        having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
```

1 MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record. 3 MR. SPATZ: David Spatz, S-P-A-T-Z. My business address is 60 Friend Terrace in Harrington 4 5 Park, New Jersey. MS. TESTA: Thank you. 6 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. SOKOLICH: 8 9 David, I presume your license remains 10 in good standing since 25 minutes ago? 11 Α. I stayed out of trouble for 10 minutes, 12 yeah. DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. SOKOLICH: 14 15 But we're in a separate record, right? 0. 16 Α. Correct. 17 So just if you could take 30 seconds 18 and describe what the scope of your engagement was? 19 Α. Certainly. 20 To review the site plan, to visit the 21 property, to take the photo exhibit, review the neighborhood, review the master plan and zoning 22 23 ordinance, and then prepare the testimony for this 24 evening.

And I've taken up enough time with this

25

Q.

```
1
    board this evening, so we're going to expeditiously
    go through things, but we're going to pre-mark it, if
2
     I may, David, by as A-3.
4
            Α.
                   A-3.
5
            Ο.
                   A-3, correct.
                   A-3, got it.
6
            Α.
7
            Q.
                   A series of four photographs that I
8
    believe you took?
9
            Α.
                   I did, yes.
10
                    (Whereupon, Photoboard with Four
11
            Photographs is marked as Exhibit A-3 for
12
            identification.)
    BY MR. SOKOLICH:
13
14
            Q.
                   And I've already distributed them to
    the board.
15
16
            Α.
                   Correct.
17
            Q.
                   Can you just describe them briefly
18
     clockwise?
19
            Α.
                   Sure.
20
                   Starting up at the top left, that is
21
    the subject property viewed from Second.
22
                   The top right is looking to our left of
23
    our building and it's a two-family, a single-family,
24
    then another two-family.
25
                   The bottom right is looking directly
```

across the street. On the opposite side of Second from ours, there's a newer two-family and there's a newer two-family next to it.

And then the bottom left is looking at our property from East Edsall and you can see at the right edge of it, the brick that's a three-story multifamily building that's adjacent to our property on East Edsall.

So we're located in the AA zone which permits the two-family that we're proposing. The lot conforms with the lot area with the depth requirement.

There are no D variances required for this, just C variances: Side yard, rear yard, lot coverage and building height.

And since we're listed at 10-percent over, that is a C variance. This board has jurisdiction because no site plan approval is required for our one- or a two-family and we have just a variances.

So looking at those bulk variances and the positive criteria, the existing residence is oriented towards Second Street and the driveway.

There's a detached garage at the rear of our property is actually on East Edsall Boulevard.

Because we're at corner with two street frontages, the street side yard does not confirm.

However, the side yard -- if we treated that as a side yard with an interior lot, it would be a conforming side yard. So it's actually only because we front on East Edsall Boulevard that you need the variance.

And then same thing is for the rear yard, we are a corner lot. The building is set back further from the adjacent property than a side yard would be, it is further away from that adjacent property than the detached garages being removed, so we're actually increasing the setback between the structures on our property and those adjacent structures.

In terms of the lot coverage, we don't meet the percentage requirement, but in terms of square footage, we actually are conforming, it's just because of the way the ordinance is written, so we do need that.

Addition drainage and improvement as we described will be provided which will mitigate against the slightly larger building. There are currently no such facilities on the site, so we are making a net improvement both for our property, as

well as the adjacent property.

In terms of the height, we are less than 3 feet over. We are smaller than the -- lower down than the multifamily building next to us on East Edsall.

And as you can see from the photograph, the bottom right, we are similar in height, we're three stories in height, to that newer two family. So we are, I believe, still consistent with the neighborhood.

In terms of the negative criteria, I don't believe anything is substantially negative. We are a permitted use. We're consistent with the development in the neighborhood. The setbacks are approved by the removal of the existing building as well at the detached garage, so I don't believe we have any impact on light, air and open space for the surrounding properties.

And as was described, we have a conforming amount of parking on our site. So on balance, I think that the positive criteria is met, it outweighs what might be considered negative, and I believe its would be appropriate to grant the variances that we're seeking.

Q. Thank you, David.

1	MR. SOKOLICH: Mr. Chairman, I offer
2	Mr. Spatz.
3	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay.
4	Board Members?
5	(No Response.)
6	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Mike? Steve?
7	MR. KAUKER: Yeah, just a couple
8	questions.
9	I'd like to, kind of, go over a couple
10	of the variances that your requesting there's a side
11	yard setback along East Edsall.
12	THE WITNESS: Correct.
13	MR. KAUKER: Would that be how does
14	that compare to the setback of that existing building
15	to the, I guess, to the east?
16	THE WITNESS: On East Edsall, the
17	MR. KAUKER: The multifamily building.
18	THE WITNESS: The multifamily building
19	is about the same setback as ours.
20	We're 10-foot away from that side yard
21	where it's 15 feet.
22	So in terms of the setback that
23	streetscape is consistent now.
24	MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then just
25	finally with respect to the side yard setback, just

1 in terms of clarifying the variance because it's a --I understand the conditions that you presented, but it is still quite significant in terms of the 4 percentage deviation. 5 I guess number one, was it -- because I'm just trying to understand the justification. 6 Would that be a C(2) justification in terms of the benefit in terms of it being more consistent with the 8 9 neighborhood in terms of the side yard or was it a 10 hardship? 11 THE WITNESS: We're talking about the setback from East Edsall? 12 13 MR. KAUKER: No, the rear yard. 14 THE WITNESS: The rear yard? 15 MR. KAUKER: Yeah. 16 Again, because we have a THE WITNESS: 17

corner lot, the rear yard is the side yard of the building.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the side yard -- as a required side yard would be 6 feet, we are further than that.

which is how the building is designed, we'd have a conforming side yard, but because it's treated as a rear yard because we have frontage, it's considered on East Edsall, it becomes a rear yard which has a

1	requirement.
2	So it's part hardship because we're on
3	a corner property, so we have two front yards which
4	skews the front, rear yard and the side yard.
5	But also in that area by removing the
6	detached garage, even though we need a variance,
7	there is still a greater setback between our building
8	and the adjacent properties that currently exists.
9	MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then does the
10	fact that it's adjacent to a multiple family, does
11	that come into the calculation too in terms of you
12	making that assessment?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	On that side yard, again, it's a
15	multifamily building, as Mr. Cocoros described, so
16	the setback is still they have a substantial
17	setback between that building and our building.
18	So combined setbacks, there's more than
19	sufficient space between the two buildings.
20	MR. KAUKER: Okay.
21	Thank you.
22	I have nothing else.
23	MS. TESTA: Okay. We'll open to the
24	public.
25	Any comments with regards to the

```
1
    planning testimony that was just heard?
                   Okay, Counsel.
2
3
                   MR. SOKOLICH: That concludes,
4
    Chairwoman -- Chairman, excuse me, and Counsel.
                                                       Ι
5
    could dispense with a closing argument if you'd
6
    prefer.
7
                   MS. TESTA: Right.
8
                   I guess we'll open it to the public
9
    just if they have any comments with regards to this
10
    application at all.
11
                   (No Response.)
                   MS. TESTA: Okay. I see no --
12
13
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Seeing none, we would
14
    just ask respectfully that the board act favorably on
15
    the application.
16
                   We abut a multifamily dwelling.
17
    face the corner lot challenge having two front yards.
18
    We do belive that the design presented by Mr. Cocoros
19
    is one that takes into account air, light, open
20
    space.
21
                   There is adequate landscaping.
                                                    The
    modest height variances being sought, we do not
22
23
    believe that there's any inconsistency whatsoever
24
    with what's proposed versus what now exists.
25
                   For all of the reasons stated by
```

_	
1	Mr. Cocoros and in particular, Mr. Spatz, we would
2	ask the Board to act favorably and thank you for your
3	consideration.
4	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
5	Anybody?
6	(No Response.)
7	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: No?
8	MS. TESTA: A motion?
9	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: I'll accept the
10	application.
11	MS. TESTA: You're going to make a
12	motion to approve the application as set forth?
13	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
14	Second?
15	MR. BROGNA: I'll second.
16	MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Brogna seconds.
17	Roll call.
18	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
19	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
20	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
21	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
22	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
23	MR. KIM: Yes.
24	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
25	MR. TERRANOVA: No.

1	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
2	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
3	MS. IGUINA: Mrs. Yoon?
4	VICE CHAIRWOMAN YOON: Yes.
5	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?
6	MR. CHIESA: Yes.
7	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, Members of the
8	Board, thank you.
9	Thank you for your consideration and
10	goodnight. I hope I didn't take up too much of your
11	time this evening.
12	Thank you.
13	MS. TESTA: Have a good evening.
14	Next is Case No. 24-20, 62 West
15	Palisades LLC.
16	MR. MACRI: Good evening, Mr. Chairman,
17	Members of the Board.
18	For the record, my name is Marc Macri.
19	I represent the applicant, 62 West Palisades LLC.
20	He was the contract purchaser of the
21	property located at 62 West Palisade Avenue here in
22	the Borough of Palisades Park.
23	We're here this evening seeking the
24	Board's approval to construct a two-family dwelling
25	which would be top-over-bottom, six-over-six.

1	With me this evening, I have two
2	experts. I have our architect, Mr. Vassilios Cocoros
3	and our professional planner, Mr. David Spatz.
4	I'd like to begin by having Mr. Cocoros
5	sworn in.
6	MS. TESTA: Okay. Do you swear the
7	testimony you will give in this application will be
8	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
9	truth?
10	MR. COCOROS: I do.
11	V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA
12	467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey,
13	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
14	MS. TESTA: Please state your name for
15	the record.
16	MR. COCOROS: Sure.
17	Vassilios, Vassilios,
18	V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan
19	Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632.
20	MS. TESTA: Okay.
21	MR. MACRI: Thank you.
22	Does the board accept him as an expert?
23	MS. TESTA: Yes, he's been here many
24	times.
25	MR. MACRI: Thank you very much.

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. MACRI:
3	Q. Mr. Cocoros, the plans that you have on
4	the easel are the same plans that were submitted to
5	the board in anticipation of tonight's presentation,
6	correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Can you please tell me the date of
9	those plans?
10	A. Sure.
11	These are initially dated 10/20/24,
12	last revised sorry, actually no. It's zoning
13	review 10/14/24.
14	MS. TESTA: That was the last revision,
15	10/14?
16	MR. MACRI: That's the last revision,
17	yes.
18	BY MR. MACRI:
19	Q. And, Bill, can you please explain to
20	the board what the client proposes to construct upon
21	the property?
22	A. Sure.
23	The existing property is located at the
24	corner of Hillside and West Palisades Boulevard.
25	Basically the front from a zoning point

of view is on West Palisades Boulevard, and I think
the -- you know, it looks like it's correct. It's
basically the southwest corner of West Palisades
Boulevard.

The lot, itself, is

40-feet-wide-by-100-feet-deep, so it has a

4,000-square foot lot area. It's a preexisting

nonconforming condition and there's no opportunity to

get any additional land because the next two houses

to the right of us are 40-feet wide and then three

more are 45-feet wide.

 $\hbox{ So all those lots there are previously} \\ \\ \hbox{nonconforming lots.} \ \ \hbox{We are posing a --} \\ \\ \\$ 

- Q. And they're also developed, correct?
- A. Yes.

So we're proposing a six-over-six, two-family dwelling on the existing property. We're proposing a setback of 20 feet in the front which lines up with the -- actually, further back than the existing adjacent dwelling.

And then in the back, we have a setback proposed of 23 feet, rear yard setback. We basically set it up on a three-level configuration, two apartments. The first floor apartment is connected to the ground floor and there is a two-car garage

that's shared by the two apartments or the two dwelling units.

And then above that, we have the second floor. Each apartment has their own entry door. If you look at it from the staircases, what we did is we put the staircases on the right side of the building to minimize the visual impact and the site -- minimize the sight triangle on the corner of Hillside and West Palisades Boulevard.

If you look at it from the front, you could see the staircase, that stairs up. The right-hand door is for the second-floor apartment, the left-hand door is for the first-floor apartment.

If you go to A-2, I have my floor plans. As mentioned before on another application, it's a brick structure with a secondary material, like a stucco or a panelized system.

In most cases, the side and the front bump-out will be stucco and we'll do something a little bit more upscale on the front bay window since that's the first thing you see as you're driving along West Palisades Boulevard.

If you go to sheet A-2 -- and also there's three sheets in this exhibit. Going from left to right, we have the basement and ground floor

plan, first floor plan, second floor plan.

We have an entry from the front. We have a door at the platform down below, if you want to say coming from the driveway and going directly into your first-floor unit.

And then there's a two-car garage, which basically each unit has a 9-foot garage door. There's two parking spaces in the driveway. We have a utility room and we have a hallway that connects the backspace recreation room area to the hallway.

At the hallway we have the staircase up to the first floor, which is the main apartment.

And as I mentioned before, the lower level, at least the portion behind the utility room and where the staircase is are part of the first-floor unit.

In the back we have a powder room, recreation room, a home office and a den and we have a door for access purposes.

One of the -- like I said, this is based on typical 40-by-100 six-over-sixes. So we have basically the same plan.

However, this applicant wants to do slight different elevation and a little bit more upscale as far as the look of the house.

The first floor and the second floor are basically the same. If you go to the middle sheet where the first floor is, we have the stairs up from the first floor, entry door for the second floor on the right hand side, and then the first floor we have an entry door.

And then we have living room, dining room, kitchen, and the gallery configuration, a pantry, eating area.

And then on the left-hand side, we have three bedrooms. We have a master, a primary suite in the back with a nice size shower, toilet and double sink.

A walk-in closet, a secondary, and then we have two secondary bedrooms, one in the front and one in the middle.

They share a hall bathroom with a small linen closet, tub/shower, toilet, lavatory, like an oversized lavatory with, like, a 4-and-a-half foot width.

In addition to that, we have a small coat closet, a nice little side-by-side laundry which is in the hallway that has access to the master bedroom in the back.

On each of these units like a typical

1 six-over-six, we have a wood deck that's feet off the back and 11-feet wide. And that will have support 2 columns that basically go from the ground floor, 4 first floor, and then we have a second floor deck. 5 And like I said, that deck is located on the -- that would be the right side which is 6 further away from a side street of Hillside. 8 The second floor, as mentioned before, 9 basically the same plan. The only difference is we 10 have an additional closet in the front corner where 11 the entry vestibule is down below, staircase up, and that's pretty much it. 12 13 The -- like I said, as I mentioned 14 before, it's your typical configuration on a 15 40-by-100. We've also done these before on a 16 37-and-a-half-by-50-feet-wide lots. The building, itself, is set back 17 4-foot-6 from Hillside Avenue and 3-and-a-half feet 18 19 from the right side setback, which I quess would be 20 considered the west side setback of the building. 21 So we're going to try to get a little 22 bit more room along Hillside. 23 Q. Thank you, Mr. Cocoros. 24 MR. MACRI: Chairman, I have no further 25 questions.

```
1
                   CHAIRMAN CHUNG:
                                    Okay. Yeah, I've got
    a little problem with that one on the Hillside side,
2
    too close to the sidewalk.
4
                   How many feet you got there, 5 feet?
5
                   THE WITNESS: I've got -- it's
    basically 4-and-a-half feet to the building.
6
7
                   And then we have another 5 feet from
8
    the property line to the sidewalk, so the building is
9
    about 9-and-a-half feet.
10
                   CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay. And also other
11
    side of the house also.
12
                   THE WITNESS: The other side is
    3-and-a-half feet.
13
14
                   CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Three-and-a-half feet?
15
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
16
                   CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Can you make that like
17
    4-and-a-half?
18
                   THE WITNESS: Yeah, we could do that,
19
    yeah, we could do 4.
                   CHAIRMAN CHUNG: It's too close to your
20
21
    -- too close to the other house.
22
                   MS. TESTA: So which side would that
    be?
23
24
                   MR. MACRI: So that would be the right
25
    side.
```

1 THE WITNESS: The right side, yeah, which is basically along the adjacent property. 2 The property next to us has at least --4 about a 6-foot setback. 5 So, yeah, we can accommodate 4 feet. CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay. And also the 6 fence, what are you going to do with the fence there 7 8 between the houses? 9 THE WITNESS: Their fence, you know, 10 it's over our line. 11 Technically, I think it's their fence. So I'm not sure if I could touch that. 12 13 CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Where are you putting 14 that? 15 THE WITNESS: We're going to probably fence in the backyard. However, on the right-hand 16 17 side, you know, it's like we coordinate with the 18 neighbor if they want to do a fence, because the neighbor's fence is about, is what, it's about a foot 19 20 into his property. 21 So it's like we can't really touch it because it's not our fence, you know, but we can 22 23 coordinate with the neighbor, you know, maybe give 24 them a new one. I'm not sure of the condition of the 25 fence.

1	But like I said, legally it's on his
2	property. It will be up to him to see what he wants
3	to do.
4	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
5	Board Members?
6	MR. TERRANOVA: Yeah. What about the
7	height? I see you have two, 9 foots and you've got
8	an 8 foot down at the bottom.
9	Is there any way to reduce the height
10	of the building?
11	I know you're about 6-and-a-half feet
12	over.
13	THE WITNESS: I mean, I can play with
14	the roof pitch a little bit, you know, I've got it on
15	12, I can go beyond 12, you know, give it a little
16	more room.
17	It might bring us down to, like,
18	10 inches. It's something, you know.
19	MR. TERRANOVA: Close to a foot.
20	Yeah, if you could do it, yeah, please
21	do it, please.
22	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
23	Steve?
24	MR. COLLAZUOL: Yeah.
25	Bill, the only thing I have, aside from

the report that we issued is the driveway distance to the corner.

I think Hillside Avenue is a one-way southbound, so I don't believe that there's an issue with vehicles stopping and sight triangle with vehicles coming north out of Hillside, but it looks like the driveway is quite close to the corner.

And I know this is not something I should really say, but could you flip the house so it's a mirror image for the drivers on the right-hand side?

THE WITNESS: If the board is okay with it, you know, I could put the stair on that corner since he says it's southbound Hillside, so there's no real sight triangle issue, because the staircase itself comes out --

MR. COLLAZUOL: Are you talking about the sight distance of the staircase?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, you know, because it's going to be close to that corner, you know.

I mean, I could easily do it, you know, it's just a matter of flipping it. It's just a matter of, like, if Hillside is only southbound, there's no real issue with nobody coming out the opposite way when you're making the turn into

1	Hillside.
2	MR. COLLAZUOL: Charley, isn't that
3	correct, Hillside is one-way south?
4	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes, one way, yes.
5	THE WITNESS: I have no problem
6	flipping it.
7	MR. MACRI: Is that the Board's
8	pleasure?
9	THE WITNESS: It actually will work
10	nicer because we'd have the living room, you know,
11	the dining room facing Hillside instead of the other
12	way around.
13	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay. That would
14	work.
15	MR. COLLAZUOL: It's safer because
16	really it's a two-car driveway. There could be two
17	cars coming out potentially at the same time.
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's further away
19	from the corner.
20	MR. COLLAZUOL: Right.
21	So that's my suggestion.
22	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
23	Any Members?
24	(No Response.)
25	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: No.

1	0 k a y .
2	MS. TESTA: Okay. Anybody from the
3	public have any comments or questions with regards to
4	the testimony provided by the architect at this time?
5	(No Response.)
6	MS. TESTA: Okay. You want to call
7	your next witness?
8	MR. MACRI: Yes, thank you.
9	I'd like to proceed with our
10	professional planner, Mr. David Spatz, and I do have
11	a handout to hand out.
12	MS. TESTA: Okay. Do you swear the
13	testimony you'll provide in this application will be
14	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
15	truth?
16	MR. SPATZ: Yes, I do.
17	DAVID SPATZ, P.P.,
18	60 Friend Terrace, Harrington Park, New Jersey,
19	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
20	MS. TESTA: Please state your name.
21	MR. SPATZ: David Spatz, S-P-A-T-Z. My
22	business address is 60 Friend Terrace in Harrington
23	Park, New Jersey.
24	MS. TESTA: Okay.
25	The document that

1	MR. MACRI: I'm going to have that
2	marked A-1.
3	MS. TESTA: Yes, with today's date?
4	MR. MACRI: Todays date March 17th. I
5	see everyone in green for St. Patty's day.
6	(Whereupon, Photoboard is marked as
7	Exhibit A-1 for identification.)
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. MACRI:
10	Q. Mr. Spatz, are you familiar with the
11	area?
12	A. I am, yes.
13	Q. Describe the name of it and the size of
14	the lots in the neighborhood and tell us your
15	findings.
16	A. Certainly.
17	There are mixtures of ones and twos in
18	the area.
19	Look at Photo Exhibit A-1, the top left
20	photograph is of the subject property facing West
21	Palisades.
22	The top right is looking to the right
23	of out of our property. There's a single-family.
24	Beyond that is a newer two-family which can be better
25	seen in the bottom left-hand photograph.

And then the bottoming right-hand photograph is looking at our property at the corner and beyond us just to the left of our property, there is a newer two-family on Hillside.

The opposite side of East Palisade on either side of Hillside are newer two-families and up and down Hillside are all two-family.

So it's a neighborhood which is changing from one to primarily two-family.

As was mentioned, the lot sizes are all undersized. Our lot is undersized as well, both in terms of lot area and lot width, but that is the typical neighborhood development. We are proposing a two family which is permitted in the AA zone.

We require one D variance which is for building height and it was described earlier, we're going to make an attempt to reduce the height of the building, itself.

And then there are C variances for lots area per unit. Because we have an undersized lot, we're at 4,000 square feet versus 5,000 square feet.

Side yards, again, which will have increased, one of the side yards, rear yard, and then lot coverage. Those are our C variances.

So looking at our one D variance to

building height, I think the property is particularly
well suited for what we're proposing.

We are proposing a two-family home on an existing lot. The neighborhood contains quite a number of two-family homes on similar sized undersized lots, approximately 4,000 square feet.

So this is the typical development pattern.

In terms of meeting the Municipal Land
Use Law and the purpose of zoning, we meet several of
those. Purpose A which is promoting public health,
safety, morals and general welfare.

Again, providing residential uses in a residential zone, it meets that standard.

Purpose E is establishing appropriate population densities even though we don't meet the lot area per unit standard because we have an undersized lot, two family are permitted within the zone.

So in terms of density on the lot, that is permitted.

And then lastly, Purpose I which is promoting a desirable visual environment, we're replacing an older home with a newer building that meets all of the current building codes and is

consist with the newer development that's taking place on the property.

Looking specifically at the height variance, all of the new two-family homes that are built both on Hillside.

Next to our property as well as the opposite as side of West Palisades are all three stories in height, similar in height to ours.

And again, as I noted, we're going to try to reduce the height of the building a bit to get it closer to conformity, but we are at our current height consistent with the surrounding properties.

So I think the positive criteria exists for our D variance.

In terms of the bulk variances, as I've noted, we're undersized in terms of lot area and lots width, as are many of the lots within the area.

The properties on the other side of us are fully developed, so we can't acquire additional property to make ours conforming, so we have the work with what we have on the property and the building has been designed to take acknowledgment of the fact that we're which a corner lot, which affects the side yards, but also the fact of the undersized nature of our property, the narrowness does cause that side

1 yard setback.

We have a conforming amount of parking within the building. So their building, therefore, is slightly wider than you could make it, because you need to have enough space within the building for the two cars.

So that makes the building a little bit wider, but I think the public benefit of providing parking on-site within a garage, I think, outweighs the slightly less side yard.

In terms of lot coverage, we meet the coverage in terms of the square footage that permitted, but not in terms of percentage.

But as Mr. Cocoros indicated, they'll be drainage improvements on the property which will benefit both our site as well as off-site because currently there are no drainage facilities on the site at all.

 $\label{eq:solution} \text{So I think the positive criteria exists} \\$  for the C variances.

In terms of the negative criteria, nothing is substantially negative. We're a permitted use within the zone. We are consistent with the surrounding development that's taking place, particularly the newer development on similar sized

1	lots with two-family homes.
2	The setbacks are consistent with the
3	neighborhood, consist with the developments on either
4	side of us.
5	The building only slightly exceeds the
6	lot coverage and the drainage improvements mitigate
7	that.
8	We have a conforming amount of parking
9	on the property, which I think is a benefit.
10	Currently there is no parking on the
11	site, so all the parking for the single-family home
12	is on the streets, but we are bringing it off the
13	streets to the property.
14	So I think the positive criteria
15	exceeds anything that might be negative and I believe
16	granting the variance would be appropriate.
17	MR. MACRI: Thank you, Mr. Spatz.
18	I have no further questions.
19	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you.
20	Board Members?
21	MR. TERRANOVA: I've got a question, I
22	don't even know if it's for you or not, just looking
23	at the photos, there's a lot of trees and even

Is that all going to be removed?

smaller trees around this house.

24

25

1 MR. COCOROS: The bushes, yes. There're more bushes, I guess, you know, it's like I 2 don't know if there was any actual trees, but yeah, 4 they probably will be removed, you know. 5 But we can provide landscaping. wouldn't put it in the corner because, you know, 6 the -- you know, we'll probably put some landscaping 8 along the back property line. 9 MR. TERRANOVA: Right, yeah. Because I 10 know there's a couple of trees right on the one side 11 and then there's one that's looks like none of it's coming back. 12 13 So you're definitely removing a lot of 14 trees here. So if you want to make sure that maybe 15 give something to Tree Preservation Fund. 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, there's a bigger 17 one on Hillside and a smaller one, then one that 18 looks like it's dead that we'll try to come down sort 19 of along the property line. 20 MR. TERRANOVA: It looks like 21 everything is being cleaned and nothing is coming 22 back. 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think we would 24 certainly provide a landscaped plan as part of the 25 follow up to this, certainly.

1	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you.
2	Anybody else?
3	(No Response.)
4	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Anybody in the
5	MR. KAUKER: Yeah, just a couple
6	questions.
7	First, to your point, I think there is
8	a requirement that they make a donation to the Tree
9	Preservation Fund in order to replace the tree,
10	itself, so that will probably be done.
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, we'll put them on
12	the property or if we can't, we will contribute to
13	the tree fund, yes.
14	MR. KAUKER: And then just in terms of
15	you indicated, I didn't catch it before, but you said
16	one of the side yards is being increased, could you
17	indicate which one and by what dimension?
18	THE WITNESS: I actually don't recall
19	saying that, it's oh, one of the side yards that
20	we're proposing is being increased, I think as part
21	of comments from the Board.
22	It's not increased over current
23	conditions, it's being increased over the submission.
24	MR. KAUKER: Right.
25	MS. TESTA: It's the right side, right,

1	the right side?
2	THE WITNESS: Right, right.
3	MR. KAUKER: So the right side is
4	currently 3-and-a-half feet?
5	THE WITNESS: Right, and I think it's
6	going to be 4 feet about.
7	MR. KAUKER: And what about the left
8	side, it's remaining the same?
9	MR. COCOROS: Yes.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	MS. TESTA: Which is what?
12	MR. COCOROS: Four-and-a-half feet.
13	And like I said, 4-and-a-half feet and then we have
14	another about 5 feet to the actual sidewalk because
15	Hillside is a 60-foot right-of-way, so it's not like
16	a tight it's not it doesn't feel as tight as
17	the other streets did.
18	MS. TESTA: Okay. So the right side
19	will be 4 feet, you're proposing?
20	MR. COCOROS: Yes.
21	MS. TESTA: And the left side will be
22	4-and-a-half feet?
23	MR. COCOROS: Four-and-a-half.
24	THE WITNESS: Correct.
25	MS. TESTA: And this is looking at the

1	building, correct?
2	THE WITNESS: Correct, yes.
3	MR. COCOROS: Yeah, from
4	MR. MACRI: From West Palisades.
5	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: You guys okay with
6	that, Board Members, 4-and-a-half feet?
7	MS. TESTA: Four. Right side 4, left
8	side 4-and-a-half.
9	MR. MACRI: Mr. Chairman, that also
10	includes the building coverage.
11	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay.
12	MR. SPATZ: We are 10-foot narrower
13	than what is required, so that makes it a little bit
14	more difficult, but we will make those changes.
15	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
16	Anybody else?
17	(No Response.)
18	MS. TESTA: Anything else, Mike?
19	MR. KAUKER: No, that's it.
20	MS. TESTA: All right.
21	We'll open it to the public if anybody
22	has any comments, questions at this time with regards
23	to this application.
24	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right. I'll make
25	a motion to accept this application and \$2,000.00

1	for the tree
2	MS. TESTA: The tree preservation and
3	then also a landscaping plan, put some landscaping in
4	the rear, but you'll submit a plan?
5	MR. COCOROS: Yeah, we actually along
6	the rear yard along Hillside.
7	MS. TESTA: And then also with regards
8	to the side yards.
9	MR. MACRI: Yeah, we have a 4-foot
10	right side yard.
11	MS. TESTA: Yes.
12	MR. MACRI: We're going to lose the
13	building by 10 inches.
14	The house is going to be flipped, so
15	it's going to look like it will be a mirror image.
16	MS. TESTA: Right.
17	MR. MACRI: And as a result of
18	increasing the right side yard, our building coverage
19	is actually going to be reduced.
20	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Right.
21	Thank you.
22	MS. TESTA: Okay. Are we're doing
23	anything with the height, did you say?
24	MR. MACRI: We're reducing it by
25	10 inches.

1		CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right.
2		MR. TERRANOVA: I'll second.
3		CHAIRMAN CHUNG: I'll make a motion we
4	accept that.	
5		MR. TERRANOVA: And I'll second it.
6		MS. TESTA: And, Dave, yeah.
7		Roll call, please.
8		MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
9		MR. BROGNA: Yes.
10		MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
11		MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
12		MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
13		MR. KIM: Yes.
14		MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
15		MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
16		MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
17		CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
18		MS. IGUINA: Mrs. Yoon?
19		VICE CHAIRWOMAN YOON: Yes.
20		MR. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?
21		MR. CHIESA: Yes.
22		MR. MACRI: All right. Thank you very
23	much.	
24		MS. TESTA: Thank you.
25		Nice seeing you.

```
MR. MACRI: Have a good rest of your
1
    evening.
2
3
                   MS. TESTA: The next case is 25-02,
    Justin J. Rattino, 266 9th Street.
4
5
                   Okay. Good evening. You want to just
    tell us who you are?
6
7
                   MR. NAM: My name is Yoon Ho Nam.
                                                       And
    I'm a site civil engineer licensed 2000 --
8
9
                   MS. TESTA: You have to speak up
10
    louder.
11
                   THE COURT REPORTER: Yeah, you have to
    speak louder. And just repeat your name and spell
12
13
    it, please.
14
                   MR. NAM: Okay. Yoon Ho Nam, Y-O-O-N,
15
    H-O. Last name is N-A-M.
16
                   My business address is 21 Grand Avenue,
    Suite 625, in Palisades Park, New Jersey.
17
18
                   And I am a license, professional
    engineer in the state of New Jersey. My license is
19
20
    in current and in good standing.
21
                   MS. TESTA: Okay. Where did you go to
22
    school?
23
                   MR. NAM: I went to Farmingdale in
24
    State University of New York. And I got master's in
25
    civil engineer in New Jersey Institute of Technology.
```

_	
1	MS. TESTA: And have you testified
2	before planning boards, board of adjustments in the
3	state of New Jersey?
4	MR. NAM: Yeah, I have testified in the
5	Borough of River Edge, and this will be my second
6	time.
7	MS. TESTA: Okay. And your license is
8	in good standing, you said?
9	MR. NAM: Okay. The board wants to
10	accept Mr. Nam as an expert?
11	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
12	MS. TESTA: Okay. You're good.
13	YOON HO NAM, P.E.,
14	21 Grand Avenue, Suite 625, in Palisades Park,
15	New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as
16	follows:
17	MR. NAM: Okay. This property is
18	located in 266 9th Street in Palisades Park which is
19	in between East Palisades Boulevard and East Central
20	Boulevard.
21	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay. Let me correct
22	that.
23	You are between Central Boulevard and
24	Brinkerhoff.
25	MR. NAM: Yeah, East Palisades
-	

	143
1	Boulevard.
2	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: East Palisades
3	Boulevard.
4	MR. NAM: It's 9th Street.
5	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: 9th Street.
6	MR. NAM: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: That's wrong. You are
8	between Central Boulevard and Brinkerhoff.
9	THE WITNESS: Oh, Brinkerhoff.
10	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Right.
11	MR. NAM: Okay.
12	I will fix it.
13	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: You've got to change
14	that. You should be one block over.
15	MR. NAM: I see.
16	I'll make the revision.
17	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay.
18	Thank you.
19	MR. NAM: And, yes, the block is 413
20	and Lot number is 5.
21	In the scope of work okay, so the
22	purpose of this application is to provide the parking
23	pad to provide a parking I'm sorry I'm a little
24	nervous to providing two parking spaces,
25	off-street parking spaces.

1 And the scope of work will include the installation of new retaining wall fronting -- this 2 is the building, and installation of stairs and paved 4 driveway in the front yard of proposed property. 5 So the existing conditions shows to appear on the retaining actual which is about 4 feet 6 in height. And the front of curb is almost like 8 9 4 feet from the existing curb cut to the other curb 10 So this provides two parking spaces on --11 on-street parking space. 12 And our purpose -- proposed parking 13 will have a driveway, 15-foot proposed driveway. So this will eliminate two off-street 14 15 parking space and -- but we will have -- we will try 16 to propose two on-street parking spaces, off-street 17 parking spaces, sorry. 18 MS. TESTA: What would be the 19 dimensions of the driveway? 20 MR NAM: The proposed parking pad is 21 17-feet wide and 19.36 in depth, so this will require two variances, one is for the depth of the parking 22 23 pad, because minimum depth of parking require 20 feet 24 while we are proposing 19.36 feet.

And the other the variance we are

25

seeking for is the location of the parking.

The code requires no vehicle shall be parked in the front yard unless the driveway leads to a garage.

Since this existing building doesn't have a garage, this will be part of our variance we're seeking for.

And as you can see, the photo of the property, this -- two neighbors between -- two existing property neighboring has a curb cut, on-grade parking driveway, while the proposed property I took here retaining wall which, you know, eliminated landscaped area.

So we're proposing something similar to this configuration. So we'll have a curb cut in between, driveway, retaining wall and provide two parking spaces.

So we are losing two on-street parking, but we'll provide two off-street parking space within the property.

And since we are removing the elevated soil, they'll be some conflicts for the existing utilities. And this will be verified in the field and addressed and coordinating it with the utility company during the construction and they will reroute

1 it or relocate it as required. And the soil volume is about 93-cubic 2 yard. And the stormwater management within this 4 driveway is not proposed. Since the retaining wall 5 we're proposing is right here, so they have 5 feet length of footing, which is pretty wide, it just 6 supports the road adjacent road as well. 8 So instead of providing stormwater 9 management system for the increased -- the improve --10 the parking pad, we are trying to just regrade the 11 parking spaces so that the -- the water from the driveway will flow down to the 9th Street to minimize 12 13 the impact consistent with the neighboring 14 properties. 15 And if you have any questions for me. 16 MS. TESTA: Right, Board Members? 17 CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Board Members? 18 MR. TERRANOVA: Yeah, how wide is -- it

MR. TERRANOVA: Yeah, how wide is -- it looks like the driveway is actually smaller than the actual, like, the entrance to it is smaller than the actual driveway itself.

19

20

21

22

23

THE WITNESS: No, driveway is 16 feet including flares.

MR. TERRANOVA: Wide, wide. How wide,
25 | 16-feet wide?

1	THE WITNESS: No, no, the parking pad
2	is 17-feet wide and driveway is 16-foot wide.
3	MR. TERRANOVA: But the actual, like,
4	coming down into the street
5	MR. NAM: Yeah, that's 13 feet.
6	MR. TERRANOVA: Thirteen feet. You're
7	going to fit two cars there?
8	MR. NAM: The one will be fitting here
9	and the other one on
10	MR. TERRANOVA: I don't know how that's
11	gonna happen. That's really tight. I was looking at
12	a picture. It does not look like you're going to get
13	two cars there.
14	MR. NAM: Or we can park one in the
15	parking space and the other one at the driveway.
16	MS. TESTA: You can't block your
17	driveway, you're not allowed by law. You can't block
18	a driveway.
19	MR. TERRANOVA: You can't block a
20	driveway.
21	So I mean, it looks like you're going
22	to lose two parking spots for one car park.
23	MS. PANTZER: I'm sorry. Can I say
24	something?
25	MS. TESTA: Not at this point.

1	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Not at this point.
2	All right. I went there three times on
3	your property. Can you shift it over a little bit
4	towards the right side of it, you can fit one car.
5	It will give you one. Yeah, save the other one.
6	So we are losing one, but you're
7	getting one.
8	MR. NAM: Yeah, I'll take that to be
9	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right. Yes.
10	MR. TERRANOVA: That makes a lot more
11	sense.
12	MR. NAM: I was trying not to
13	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: You're a total 40-feet
14	wide right now, to curb.
15	So from 13 feet from right side by the
16	pole here (indicating), you can put one car in there,
17	but you still saving one on the street.
18	MR. NAM: Oh, yeah.
19	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Does that make sense
20	to you?
21	MR. NAM: Yeah.
22	MR. TERRANOVA: Makes sense to me too.
23	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: All right. So if
24	you're willing to do that, I'll accept that.
25	MS. TESTA: Okay. Well, let's hear

from our experts.
CHAIRMAN CHUNG: I'm sorry.
MR. HOYT: I was actually agree with
suggestion, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Sorry.
MR. HOYT: I don't know that the board
got copies of it, but I did have a review letter that
was dated today that the applicant can confirm that
they received.
Is that fair?
MR. NAM: Yeah, I received a copy.
MR. HOYT: The question about the width
and the driveway and what was going on there was
included in there.
So I think the Chairman made a good
recommend to kind of resolve some issues there.
In terms of the width and access, I
know there's the utility pole there that may be a
controlling point, but I think you could shift things
to plan east and kind of make this work as suggested.
So I like the condition.
CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you. Is that
good?
MS. TESTA: Anything else?
MR. HOYT: I had a couple more things,

1	if the board doesn't mind.
2	MS. TESTA: Sure.
3	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Please.
4	MR. HOYT: Just to get it on the
5	record, can you run through the existing
6	nonconformities for the property as it relates to
7	some of the setbacks and the lot areas?
8	MR. NAM: Yeah, there are some
9	nonconforming conditions for minimum lot area. The
10	required area is 5,000-square foot and the property
11	is 2500 square feet.
12	And the minimum lot coverage requires
13	15 feet, but the lot has 25 feet.
14	And the minimum side yard requires
15	14 feet, but the existing condition has only 8 feet
16	in combined side yard.
17	MR. HOYT: Thank you.
18	With the new proposed retaining wall,
19	how is that going to interact with that existing wall
20	that we saw in the photo there for the property
21	that's plan plan right at this subject lot?
22	MR. NAM: Yeah, we'll provide the
23	general information and details based on the
24	construction parameters.
25	MR. HOYT: Okay. Based on the survey,

1	the comment in the letter for the Board's
2	information, I think that neighboring wall is
3	actually over the property line.
4	So I think it's for the neighbor, but I
5	believe it's on your applicant's property line.
6	MR. NAM: Um-hmm.
7	MR. HOYT: I would suggest that a
8	condition be that nothing happen to that wall without
9	the neighbor being notified because I think kind of
10	accommodates what they've got going on over there.
11	You touched on the stormwater. I think
12	I heard that you're revise the grading so that the
13	water is not direct at the neighbor.
14	MR. NAM: That's correct.
15	MR. HOYT: That would be the
16	preference.
17	Five had to do with the materials and,
18	again, the drainage.
19	Was there any proposed lighting
20	associated with this driveway?
21	MR. NAM: No, no lights are proposed on
22	the driveway.
23	MR. HOYT: Thank you.
24	And all of the frontage improvements,
25	curb, sidewalk, that will be in conformance with the

1 borough standards. MR. NAM: Yeah. 3 MR. HOYT: All right. I have no 4 further questions, Mr. Chairman. 5 MS. TESTA: Okay. Open it to the public if anybody would like to ask any questions or 6 make any comments with regards to this application, 8 this is your time to do so. 9 My name is Melody MS. PANTZER: 10 Pantzer, so I'm the owner of this property, 11 M-E-L-O-D-Y and then P-A-N-T-Z-E-R. 12 So we are the -- we took, like, a 13 single-family home that was literally falling apart, 14 like trash everywhere, gutters falling down, roof 15 falling apart. So we are making it brand new beautiful 16 like stucco brick to look like the neighbors. 17 18 only thing is that this lot absolutely has no garage 19 and no parking. 20 And right now I know you're saying 21 we're taking spots away, but the people that are parking in front of our house already have garages 22 23 and they already have driveways. 24 So they're just choosing not to park in

their garages or driveways and are just parking on

25

the street in front of our house.

So we would like to reclaim that parking and that goes with that house. And we have two cars, so those cars would just -- it would just be ours parking on the street versus actually taking them off the street, which is better for the street cleaning and all of that.

And any space that we can take to make that as big as possible because we would also where the trash cans are right now, where the previous owner had it was not in a good spot and the trash was going everywhere, including into the street and into the neighbor's yard.

So we would like to tuck that away and make everything clean and nice and look like the rest of the houses that are on the block. So that was my only addition to that.

So thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you. All right.

MS. TESTA: Anybody else?

(No Response.)

22 CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Okay. Mike, do you

23 have anything on this application?

MR. KAUKER: No, I don't have anything

25 on this one.

1	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you.
2	All right. I'll make a motion to
3	accept that with the changes. Cut 20 feet only, a
4	total of 40 feet. Cut 20 feet, all right, and save
5	the 20 feet on the left side. So he can park new car
6	or your old car or neighbor's car, whichever car. So
7	I'll give you one.
8	Thank you.
9	MS. TESTA: Okay. So you're going to
10	shift it so the 20 feet to the left will be remaining
11	so the dimensions can you tell me what the
12	dimensions of the driveway would be with this change?
13	Steve, can you assist?
14	MR. HOYT: For the sake of trying to
15	clarify, Mr. Chairman, are you suggesting taking the
16	13-foot-wide curb cut and shifting that, say, plan
17	right as much as possible
18	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
19	MS. TESTA: Yes.
20	MR. HOYT: keeping that as the width
21	of the proposed paver driveway, then there's going to
22	be a grass strip between the pavers and the
23	staircase?
24	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes, thank you.
25	MS. TESTA: Okay. So it will be

	155
1	13-feet wide coming from the right-hand side and then
2	it will be, right, some space before the steps.
3	MR. HOYT: That's how I understand it.
4	Is that amenable?
5	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Excuse me.
6	What's the length?
7	MR. HOYT: Depth on that, he's got
8	19.36 feet from property line to face of his new
9	wall. He needs 20. Part of the variance ask is that
10	essentially 8 inches he's short, to basically keep
11	the front patio land there.
12	MS. TESTA: Okay. So the Chairman has
13	made that motion.
14	Is there a second?
15	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Are you guys okay with
16	that?
17	MR. TERRANOVA: I'll second.
18	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
19	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
20	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
21	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
22	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
23	MR. KIM: Yes.
24	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
25	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.

	150
1	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
2	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
3	MS. IGUINA: Ms. Yoon?
4	VICE CHAIRWOMAN YOON: Yes.
5	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?
6	MR. CHIESA: Yes.
7	MS. TESTA: Very good.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Thank you.
10	MS. CHIESA: Diane, we just have to do
11	the minutes.
12	MS. TESTA: Oh, yes.
13	Before we leave, we just need a motion
14	to approve the minutes from the February 24th
15	meeting.
16	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yeah, sorry about
17	that.
18	MS. TESTA: Yeah, I forgot that too.
19	If I could have a motion to approve the
20	minutes.
21	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
22	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second.
23	MS. TESTA: So roll call, please.
24	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
25	MR. BROGNA: Yes.

	157
1	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
2	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
3	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
4	MR. KIM: Yes.
5	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
6	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
7	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
8	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: Yes.
9	MS. IGUINA: Ms. Yoon?
10	VICE CHAIRWOMAN YOON: Yes.
11	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chiesa?
12	MS. TESTA: Abstain.
13	You abstain because you weren't here,
14	so you don't know what's happening.
15	Now I guess motion to adjourn.
16	MR. TERRANOVA: I'll make the motion.
17	CHAIRMAN CHUNG: I'll second.
18	(Whereupon, this meeting is concluded.
19	Time noted: 9:32 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 1 <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u> 2 3 I, LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.C.R., R.P.R., a Remote Online Notary Public, Notary ID. #1810618, Certified 4 Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey, and a Registered Professional Reporter, hereby certify that 5 the foregoing is a verbatim record of the testimony provided under oath before any court, referee, board, 6 commission or other body created by statute of the State of New Jersey. I am not related to the parties involved in this action; I have no financial interest, nor am I related to an agent of or employed 9 by anyone with a financial interest in the outcome of this action. 10 This transcript complies with regulation 13:43-5.9 of the New Jersey Administrative 11 Code. 12 13 14 LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.C.R., R.P.R. License #XI02050, and RON Notary 15 Public Notary ID. #1810618, Notary 16 Expiration Date May 27, 2028 17 Dated: 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25