```
1
                   BOROUGH OF PALISADES PARK
1
                   ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
                  MONDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2024
2
                           7:00 p.m.
                                         TRANSCRIPT OF
3
                                      ) PROCEEDINGS
    Case No. 24-14
4
    John Chong-Man Kim
    Seung Hee Kim & Arthur K. Kim
    109 Princeton Place
5
    Block 715, Lot 20
6
    Case No. 24-15
    A.O.C LLC/Ioannis Gelestathis
    428 6th Street
7
    Block 403, Lot 5
8
    Case No. 24-16
    Hosu Lee
    432 Glen Avenue
9
    Block 320, Lot 8
10
    Case No. 24-19
    V Luppino LLC
    439 First Street
11
    Block 301, Lot 24
    Case No. 24-13
12
    Jeong Mi Lee
    74 Liberty Place
13
    Block 204, Lot 8
    _____
14
    B E F O R E:
    BOROUGH OF PALISADES PARK BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
15
    THERE BEING PRESENT:
16
    JOSEPH FERGUSON, CHAIRMAN
17
    PAUL ALBANESE, VICE CHAIRMAN (ABSENT)
18
    ELEFTERIOS ELEFTERIOU, MEMBER
19
    DAVID TERRANOVA, MEMBER
20
    JOHN GRALA, MEMBER
21
    SEONGHYE YOON, MEMBER (ABSENT)
2.2
    BRIAN KIM, MEMBER
23
    STEVEN BROGNA, ALTERNATE MEMBER 1
24
    CHARLIE CHUNG, ALTERNATE MEMBER 2
25
    ESTHER KIM, ALTERNATE MEMBER 3 (ABSENT)
```

		3
1	I N D E X	
2	<u>WITNESS</u> SWORN TESTIMONY	
3	Case No. 24-14	
4	John Chong-Man Kim Seung Hee Kim & Arthur K. Kim	
5	109 Princeton Place Block 715, Lot 20	
6	Case No. 24-15	
7	A.O.C LLC/Ioannis Gelestathis 428 6th Street	
8	Block 403, Lot 5	
9	Case No. 24-16 Hosu Lee	
	432 Glen Avenue	
10	B1002 320, 200 0	
11	Case No. 24-13 Jeong Mi Lee	
12	74 Liberty Place Block 204, Lot 8	
13	VASSILIOS COCOROS, RA 15	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Sokolich 16 Board/Professional Questions	
15	Chairman Ferguson 30 Mr. Collazuol 36	
16		
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Sokolich 42	
18	Board/Professional Questions Mr. Kauker 48	
19	Public Questions Patsy Park 49	
20	447 First Street	
21	Case No. 24-19 V Luppino LLC	
22	439 First Street Block 301, Lot 24	
23	VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA 56	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Sokolich 56	
24	Board/Professional Questions Chairman Ferguson 63	
25	Mr. Collazuol 65	

				4
1		I N D E X (Continuing)		
_			TESTIMONY	
2	WITNES	-	IESTIMONI	
3	V Lupp	o. 24-19 ino LLC		
4		rst Street 301, Lot 24 (Continued)		
5		SPATZ, P.P. 67		
6		Examination by Mr. Sokolich	68	
7				
8		EXHIBITS		
9	No	Description	Ident/Evid	
10	Case N	o. 24-13		
11	Jeong 1	Mi Lee erty Place		
12		204, Lot 8		
	A-1			
13		Architectural Plan Prepared by VCA Group, LLC,	1.0	
14		Last Revised July 9, 2024	16	
15	A – 2	Floor Plan Prepared by VCA Group, LLC, Last Revised		
16		July 9, 2024	27	
17	A-3	Four Photographs	4 3	
18				
19	V Lupp	o. 24-19 ino LLC		
20		rst Street 301, Lot 24		
21	A-1	Elevations, Site Plan and		
22	<del>-</del>	Zoning Info, Last Revised September 28, 2024	5 7	
		-	<i>J.</i>	
23	A-2	Floor Plans, Last Revised September 28, 2024	57	
24	A-3	Four Photographs	68	
25				

06:58PM	1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So call the
06:58PM	2	meeting to order.
06:58PM	3	And in accordance with the Open Public
06:58PM	4	Meetings Act, notice of this meeting has been posted
06:58PM	5	on the borough bulletin board, notice has been
06:58PM	6	provided to the official borough newspaper and also
06:58PM	7	filed in the borough clerk's office.
06:58PM	8	Okay. So we're going to do a roll
06:58PM	9	call.
06:58PM	10	MS. IGUINA: Joseph Ferguson?
06:58PM	11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Here.
06:58PM	12	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
06:58PM	13	Mr. Brogna: Here.
06:58PM	14	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
06:58PM	15	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here.
06:58PM	16	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Grala?
06:58PM	17	MR. GRALA: Here.
06:58PM	18	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
06:58PM	19	MR. KIM: Here.
06:58PM	20	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
06:58PM	21	MR. TERRANOVA: Here.
06:58PM	22	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
06:58PM	23	MR. CHUNG: Here.
06:58PM	24	MS. IGUINA: Ms. Yoon?
06:58PM	25	(No Response.)

06:58PM	1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So would you
06:59PM	2	like to lead us in the flag salute?
06:59PM	3	(Whereupon, all rise for a recitation
06:59PM	4	of the Pledge of Allegiance.)
06:59PM	5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
06:59PM	6	So we all received a copy of the
06:59PM	7	minutes of the previous meeting already.
06:59PM	8	Are there any corrections to the
06:59PM	9	minutes?
	10	(No Response.)
	11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hearing no
	12	corrections, can I get a motion to accept the
	13	minutes?
	14	MR. GRALA: I'll make a motion.
	15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Motion.
	16	Can I get a second?
	17	MR. ELEFTERIOU: I second.
	18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call?
	19	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Ferguson?
	20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
	21	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
	22	Mr. Brogna: Yes.
	23	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
	24	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
	25	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Grala?

1	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
2	MR. B. KIM: Yes.
3	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
4	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes,
5	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
6	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So we're
8	going to ready oh, you have two memorializations.
9	The first one is Case 24-14.
10	MS. TESTA: All right. This is the
11	driveway in front of the house without a garage on
12	Princeton Place.
13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
14	So I'll make a motion we pass the
15	memorialization.
16	Can I get a second?
17	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second.
18	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Ferguson?
19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
20	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
21	Mr. Brogna: Yes.
22	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
23	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
24	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Grala?
	1101 100 11111 1111 1111

	9
1	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
2	MR. B. KIM: Yes.
3	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
4	MR. TERRANOVA: Abstain.
5	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
6	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
8	The next one is Case 24-15, A.O.C.,
9	LLC/Ioannis Gelestathis.
10	MS. TESTA: And this was a duplex for
11	side yard variances, maximum footprint and retaining
12	wall, if needed.
13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I'll make a
14	motion to accept that one.
15	Can I get a second?
16	MR. GRALA: I'll second.
17	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Ferguson?
18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
19	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
20	Mr. Brogna: Yes.
21	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
22	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Abstain.
23	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Grala?
24	MR. GRALA: Yes.
25	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?

1	MR. B. KIM: Yes.
2	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
3	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
4	
5	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
7	So that brings us up-to-date. Do you
8	want to do 24-16?
9	MS. TESTA: Yeah, 24-16.
10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: The first case is
11	24-16, Mr. Lee, 432 Glen Avenue.
12	Mark?
13	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.
14	For the record, Mark Sokolich on behalf
15	of Hosu Lee relating to premises known as 232 Glen
16	Avenue.
17	Chairman, it's been brought to our
18	attention by your astute professionals as well as our
19	re-review that there is a jurisdictional issue
20	potentially.
21	This application may better belong
22	before the Planning Board. However, we'd like a
23	brief period of time to assess that.
24	We would ask that the matter be carried
25	without the necessity of renotice.

1	But we do anticipate re-filing at the
2	Planning Board.
3	The moment we do that, we'll certainly
4	withdraw this application and contact Ms. Testa, if
5	that's acceptable?
6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'll make a
7	motion that we continue this to the next meeting,
8	which is
9	MS. TESTA: December 16th.
10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: December 16th.
11	MR. GRALA: I'll second.
12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No further notice.
13	Can I get a second?
14	MR. GRALA: I second.
15	MS. TESTA: Seconded by Mr. Grala.
16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay, roll call.
17	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Ferguson?
18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
19	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
20	Mr. Brogna: Yes.
21	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
22	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
23	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Grala?
24	MR. GRALA: Yes.
25	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?

	12
1	MR. B. KIM: Yes.
2	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
3	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
4	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
5	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
6	MS. TESTA: Okay.
7	Case Number 24-16, Hosu Lee, 432 Glen
8	Avenue, Block 320, Lot 8, at the request of the
9	applicant is being carried to the December 16th, 2024
10	meeting at 7 p.m.
11	No further notice will be provided to
12	the public.
13	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman,
14	Counsel.
15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So the next case
16	will be Case 24-19, V. Luppino, LLC, 439 First
17	Street.
18	MR. SOKOLICH: We had Liberty set up.
19	Are we doing Liberty?
20	We were doing Liberty.
21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I'm sorry.
22	MR. SOKOLICH: Yeah, yeah. If that's
23	okay with you?
24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay with
25	us,

1	MS. TESTA: Okay, so 24-13.
2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So the case
3	is now 24-13.
4	MR. SOKOLICH: Sorry, Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Which is
6	74 Liberty.
7	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.
8	For the record, Mark Sokolich on behalf
9	of the applicant before you, which is going to be
10	relating to premises known as 74 Liberty Place.
11	Pardon my lack of tie.
12	However, as a result of a minor issue.
13	I apologize. Next time I'll wear two ties.
14	So this is an application. Just by way
15	of background, we have provided adequate notice to
16	the public in accordance with a certified receipt
17	from the assessor's office.
18	We presented Ms. Testa with a copy of
19	the Affidavit of Service as well as Proof of
20	Publication. And we are hopeful that that is at
21	least preliminarily in order.
22	MS. TESTA: Yes.
23	The newspaper was October 25th. The
24	mailing was November 7, 2024. So the Board has
25	jurisdiction.

MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, thank you, thank you,

So the Board has jurisdiction over this application, which is a request to construct a two-family at the subject premises.

There are a series of variances that are being sought, not the least of which are a continuation of preexisting nonconformities, amongst which are lot size.

As far as this evening is concerned, the applicant proposes to present the testimony of Vassilios Cocoros, licensed architect.

And then on deck is Mr. Spatz, our Professional Planner, who will address all of the variances and the bases for that.

I can also represent to the Board that there are authorized representatives of the applicant here this evening.

So if you had any specific questions of him, he is more than willing to respond.

So unless the Chair or anybody else directs me otherwise, we'd ask Mr. Cocoros to be sworn in.

MS. TESTA: Do you swear the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth,

the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?  MR. COCOROS: I do.  VASSILIOS COCOROS, RA	
3 VASSILIOS COCOROS, RA	
467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New	Jersey,
5 having been duly sworn, testifies as foll	ows:
MS. TESTA: Please state your n	lame and
7 spell your last name and give your address.	
MR. COCOROS: Sure.	
9 Vassilios, V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S; C	cocoros,
C-O-C-O-R-O-S; 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood C	liffs,
11 New Jersey 07632.	
12 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Before we g	,et
going, I've just got a comment.	
This is a two-family house, 6-0	over-6.
On your paperwork it says	
MR. SOKOLICH: Let me say	
17 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: new	
18 three-family.	
MR. SOKOLICH: Got it. Underst	cood.
Deem it amended.	
Thank you, Chairman.	
Before Billy starts with his te	estimony,
23 it's been common practice that we wait until	
23 it's been common practice that we wait until 24 Mr. Spatz to come up to mark these photograph	ns, but I

beginning so everybody know what we're talking about. 1 So we've distributed to you a series of 2 four photographs. The upper left is the subject 3 property. We'll authenticate the document once 4 Mr. Spatz comes up, but at least you have a point of 5 reference to refer to. 6 So, Diane, I wouldn't even mark it 7 until we get to David, unless you want me to do it 8 differently. You tell me. 9 MS. TESTA: No, that's fine. 10 MR. SOKOLICH: That's fine. 11 Thank you. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. SOKOLICH: 14 So, Bill, you've been sworn in. Your Q. 15 credentials as an architect have been accepted. I'm 16 going to mark your first plan as A-1. 17 It is entitled "New Two-Family 18 Dwelling." You have a last revised date of July 9, 19 2024, correct? 20 Α. Correct. 21 (Whereupon, New Two-Family Dwelling 22 Architectural Plan Prepared by VCA Group, 23 LLC, Last Revised July 9, 2024 is marked as 24 Exhibit A-1 for identification.) 25

BY MR. SOKOLICH:

Q. If you would -- in the upper right-hand corner is your architectural site plan. If you would be good enough just to talk about existing conditions and the size of the property.

A. Sure.

The property, itself, is located on the south side of Liberty Place, which is basically a short street between Hillside Avenue and Broad Avenue.

There's a house on the corner of

Hillside, which would be the southeast corner -- the

southeast corner -- I'm sorry -- the southwest corner

of Hillside, and that house fronts on Hillside.

Our property is directly behind it on Liberty Place.

It's

50-feet-8-inches-wide-by-75-feet-deep. In this case here, it's a preexisting, nonconforming lot. The topography difference basically from left to right as it goes down to Broad Avenue is about a 2-foot difference in elevation, maybe 1-foot-8-inches.

In the back, it goes up maybe a foot-and-a-half, 2 feet at the back from the front of the property.

However, on the right-hand side it's 1 about a 4-foot difference from the front to the back. 2 And that's because of the street sloping down towards 3 Broad Avenue. The lot area --4 MS. TESTA: Grand. 5 MR. COCOROS: Grand Avenue. I'm sorry. 6 Like I said, this lot, itself, is a 7 preexisting nonconforming lot, 8 50-feet-by-75-feet-deep. 9 Typically 6-over-6s that we build are 10 37-and-a-half-feet-wide-by-100-feet-deep. 11 In this case, you know, it's a similar 12 same size lot, slightly larger than a typical 13 6-over-6; however, we have the deficiency in the 14 depth, which is a preexisting nonconforming 15 condition. 16 The way development is it's very 17 difficult to get additional property, you know, to 18 expand the lot itself. 19 So we're proposing a 6-over-6 dwelling, 20 6-foot-wide. Instead of having a 3-and-a-half-foot 21 setback, we have 5-feet-4-inches, which meets the 22 minimum requirement for each side. 23 However, you know, the 14 feet, we're 24 deficient in that variance compared to a typical, 25

let's say, 6-over-6. And even a duplex, itself, a duplex is 6 feet; however, we're allowed to put a staircase and bay windows into the side yard 2 feet, or even 3 feet in the case of a staircase in the front.

So we basically have a smooth finish on each side yard setback.

And by doing that, we have a clear 5-feet-4-inches to the building, itself.

The building is basically -- we have a slight pitch from the driveway and/garage down to the street. However, to intercept any runoff, we do have a trench drain, as recommended on other applications by the board's engineer.

In this case, we have a 5-foot overhang. The building, itself, is 13 feet from the front. And it is a 5-foot overhang to the garage wall down below, which gives us 18 feet, which is a full parking space.

Then we have another about 2 feet to the actual sidewalk. So if somebody parks a car there, the whole idea is not have the rear end of the car hang out into the sidewalk.

The building footprint here is 1,868 square feet or 49.16 percent, which is typical as far

as the 6-over-6s that we've been doing.

We set it up where we have 13 in the front, 18 in the garage down below. We also have lines for the entry wall of the entry canopy, which is set back at the 18-foot number.

The actual building depth from the overhang portion is 48-feet deep. The width is 40-feet wide. That's where we get the 5-foot-4-inches setback on each side.

In the back we have a 4-feet rear yard setback, which I think is doable because the property to the right of us is similar as far as setback goes, at least where the portion of that building is up against us.

The building behind us has an increase in rear yard setback because of the existing lot depth. Then the building on Hillside, that's their backyard. So the building on Hillside, the back of their house is quite a bit of away, at least 30 feet away from our property.

That's oversized, basically 150-feet deep. So the existing house that's on there basically has a two-car garage back here.

Then there's really the driveway, then an additional space about 30 feet to the proposed

house. So the house, itself, will not have a direct impact to that side yard or the rear yard of the house on Hillside at the corner of Liberty Place.

As I mentioned before, since the property does go up, we do have a positive pitch from the driveway; however, the building, itself, will be something about a foot-and-a-half or a foot in the down and as you go up towards the back.

Q. So, Bill, I'm going to work in a couple questions in quick before you get too far.

Existing conditions, just for the benefit of the record, are there any environmental conditions we need to disclose to the Board?

A. Not that I know of.

As far as the existing property, there's no stormwater management on the property.

- Q. Any wetlands to worry about?
- A. No.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Is there any stormwater management system onsite now that we can speak of, or is it just natural, for lack of a better term?
  - A. Natural.

It's either -- in the worst case scenario it will be the roof leaders going to the sanitary sewer:

Best case scenario, they're going to splash back on the property.

- Q. But it's certainly not being controlled properly and the lawfully prescribed way, correct?
  - A. Correct.

Q. And the system or the house that you proposes also has a Utility Plan and a Stormwater Management Plan, correct?

## A. Yes.

We have chambers in the back. However, if this is approved, we would have to submit a plan to Steve, including drainage calculations to make sure that the proposed drainage covers the garage area and the driveway and covers the roof -- the roof leaders on the property.

Q. Which is what I was getting at. If the Board were to act favorably on the application, they will make a condition that the applicant will have to satisfy Mr. Collazuol, as well as any other designated board professional, as to, for example, utility, stormwater, runoff, things of that nature, and the applicant obviously is prepared to accept that as a condition?

- A. Yes.
- Q. As far as the height, I know that we're

asking for a variance, hence our appearance before this particular board. Would you characterize that height request from -- for practical reasons, more so than just a taller house?

What I mean by that is the gravity for purposes of being able to allow this water to flow.

Two-part question: Were the Board to act favorably on the height request, are we comparable to the heights of other residences in the area?

A. Yes.

You know, the properties to the right of us -- I guess it would be to the west of us -- are slightly lower. That's a function of the topography. Part of the reason for the height also, we didn't artificially raise the grades of the building, so, you know, we have 4-foot difference on the right-hand side, basically except the existing grades naturally. You know, we lifted it up a little in the back, level in the backyard but as far as the corner of the building at that natural grade.

And also we're using 6-over-6
requirements. Typically a duplex would have a
28-foot height to the midpoint. However, even if
there was a duplex compliant lot, we'd probably go to

the board for a variance for height regardless to maintain the pitch away from -- you know, to the garage -- the garage, itself, is higher than Liberty Place.

so because Liberty Place has the flow going from Hillside down to Grand Avenue, if there was a depressed driveway, that could be a future issue for severe flooding coming down that hill.

- Q. Bill, before we get the floor plans -we may as stay on this page -- you acknowledge
  receipt of Mr. Collazuol's review letter dated -pardon me -- November 13 of this year?
  - A. Yes.

Q. And is there any highlights, any items that you would like to raise? There's a request, for example, that a landscape plan be provided and submitted him.

Do you agree with that?

- A. Yeah, we can do that.
- Q. Other things that -- there were several comments regarding utilities, stormwater management, the sanitary sewer laterals.

If there's questions, it's the intention of the applicant to satisfy Mr. Collazuol 100 percent.

```
If they are directives, in fact the
1
    applicant will comply, correct?
2
            Α.
                   Yes.
3
                   We have a sanitary sewer lateral, a
 4
    newer one. However, sometimes if we find an existing
5
    one that's in really good condition, it can be tested
6
    to make sure it's fine. Is that something, Steve,
7
    you would agree to?
8
                   MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes.
9
    BY MR. SOKOLICH:
10
                  As far as, for example, the
11
            Q.
    construction -- and there will be new sidewalks,
12
13
    correct?
                   Yes.
            Α.
14
                   New sidewalks and a new curb across the
15
    front of the property.
16
            Q. And, for example, Mr. Collazuol, those
17
    sidewalks will be done in details he provided,
18
    correct?
19
20
            Α.
                   Yes.
                  I guess to ask the question differently
            Q.
21
    well, let me confirm this.
22
                   Are there any retaining walls proposed
23
    over 4-foot?
24
                  No.
25
            Α.
```

In the back, we basically have maybe an 1 8-inch retaining wall that goes to nothing. 2 But is there anything on this review 3 letter that you don't believe the applicant can 4 5 readily satisfy? Yeah, we can satisfy it. Α. 6 7 0. Thank you. As far as the façade that's proposed 8 for this structure, is it going to be consistency on 9 all four sides? 10 There's a brick façade in the front. 11 Α. However, on the side we do have either stucco or a 12 panelized system. 13 But masonry or something? Q. 14 Yes. We'll curb it. Α. 15 It wouldn't be vinyl. 16 It wouldn't be, for example, right, Q. 17 brick on one side and a then cheaper product on the 18 side and on the right? 19 20 Α. No. There could be variation in the 0. 21 exterior façade, but it will be of an equal or better 22 quality? 23 The stucco basically to make it a 24 little bit lighter too. 25

MR. SOKOLICH: So we're going to mark, with the Board's permission, as A-2 what you're entitling "Floor Plan," which also has a last revised date of July 9, 2024.

(Whereupon, Floor Plan Prepared by VCA Group, LLC, Last Revised July 9, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-2 for identification.)

BY MR. SOKOLICH:

Q. Billy, briefly, if you could, take the Board from the lowest point to the highest point through the proposed building?

A. Right.

We go from left to right on the existing plan. Since we have a wider property here, we have a two-car garage for the primary unit on the first floor.

We also have the basement.

We have a secondary garage that's separated for the second floor unit, which is the secondary unit on the property.

At the back, we have a recreation room, den, home office, closet, powder room. No full bathroom. I made sure I left it off.

Then we have the utility room with access off of the garage of the main garage for the

primary unit.

There's also a door from the side as far for egress purposes that has steps going up towards the backyard and steps going towards the front yard.

And then in the middle, we have a first floor plan, which is the primary unit. We have a covered platform.

As you can see, that platform is set back to the line of the garage, so instead of being 13 feet, this portion of the building itself is going to be 18 feet, 4-foot platform. We have 13 treads with 14 risers going up to the covered platform.

The bottom of the staircase aligns with the existing sidewalk level on Liberty.

And then, basically, we have a three-bedroom configuration. On the left-hand side we have basically living room. There's an entry door from a covered platform. Dining room behind that. A kitchen in the back with a -- with a sliding glass door out to a small deck, which is 6-feet-by-10 feet.

And then the second floor is basically the same layout; however, instead of entering from the front door, you have an entry platform down below from the first floor, a staircase up.

You enter where the dining room is. At the front we have the living room. Behind the dining room we have a kitchen.

On the right-hand side, we have the suites, back being the master and primary suite with its own en suite bathroom, which consists of a double vanity, water closet/toilet and a shower.

- Q. Billy, access to the ground floor is only the first floor apartment?
  - A. Correct.

2.2

Basically a staircase -- the open staircase --

- O. Understood.
- A. -- comes down. There's no doors or anything to have that portion on the first floor.
  - Q. Understood.
- A. Now, and then the bedrooms are basically both the same. We have a three-bedroom configuration. The back being the master with an en suite, walk-in closet, secondary closet. The middle bedroom has a closet.

There's a hall bathroom that the front and the bedroom share. In addition, there's a real laundry, 5-foot-8-by-8.2.

Q. Thank you.

Anything else that you would like to 1 review with regards to the details of the interior? 2 No. 3 Α. As far as the size property, mostly we 4 do a 37-and-a-half-by-100. Here we only have --5 basically the configuration kind of matched the size 6 7 of the property. But it also allows you to have adequate Q. 8 garage space also, correct? 9 Yeah. Α. 10 It's kind of nice, especially the main 11 owner of the property is going to have a two-car 12 driveway. And, you know, also a bigger curb cut. 13 But we are providing two more spaces than you would 14 have on a typical --15 Excellent. Ο. 16 Also the curb cut, itself, is less than Α. 17 what the duplex curb cut would be, which is basically 18 6 feet, almost 38-feet wide, a little bit more 19 sometime than the actual driveway for a duplex. 20 MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Bill. 21 Chairman, we offer Mr. Cocoros. 22 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: First of all, just 23 24 a question. With the garages, you have one big 25

garage and a small garage.

MR. COCOROS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Is there a reason why you didn't make the two garages the same size.

MR. COCOROS: You know, the clients said -- these people who own the main house want the luxury of the second parking space, the second space, you know. We still have it set up where the garage for the second floor unit, they have two parking spaces.

Really this is more just comfort purposes. We have basically a 16-foot door that you can fit. You know, also most of the times people park one car in there. They'll put two in the driveway.

On the inside they have room for storage if they have to. You know, set up two cars and two cars.

But in reality it might be one car and storage. So we have that flexibility.

The main reason being on -- you know, being on the first floor, that's their primary -- you know, that's the owner unit.

So it's a luxury to have.

CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. All right,

```
Bill, above the stairs, the front -- the front door,
1
    I assume that says "Fire Code Compliant Railing."
2
3
    It's on...
                   MR. COCOROS: Oh, yeah.
4
                   On this one here they wanted a small
5
    deck off above the covered platform.
6
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. You know, I
7
    don't want to turn the city into New York.
8
                                                     So I'm
                   So I don't want people hanging.
9
    okay with a window.
10
                   MR. COCOROS: Okay.
11
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'm not okay
12
13
    with...
                   MR. COCOROS: Got it.
14
                   Basically a pitch. I had roof and a
15
16
    window.
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. All right.
17
    What's the length of the actual building?
18
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Forty-three feet, I
19
20
    believe.
                   Right, Bill.
21
                   MR. COCOROS: The width is 40-feet
22
     wide, 48 deep at the deepest point.
23
                   Then on the portion that has the entry
24
     platform is 43-feet deep.
25
```

1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: What's the width?
2	MR. COCOROS: The width is 40 feet.
3	We've got 5-feet-4-inches on each side.
4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. And the
5	length?
6	MR. COCOROS: The length? From where
7	the overhang is on the left-hand side is 48 feet.
8	On the right-hand side where the entry
9	is, it's 43 feet.
10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So if you
11	turn so if you have 14 feet for the rear yard,
12	right, and for the front yard you have
13	MR. COCOROS: I've got 13. And then it
14	goes up == it goes up to 18.
15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: It goes up to
16	where.
17	MR. COCOROS: Where the front doors are
18	themselves, the actual setback there is 18 feet.
19	MR. SOKOLICH: The front door is
20	recessed, Chairman.
21	So the predominant depth of the house
22	is 48, but where the front entry section plan is it's
23	43, because the house moves less 5 feet.
24	MR. COCOROS: It's like a little notch
25	in the front left corner.

1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: In the left corner.
2	MR. COCOROS: This wall here is set
3	back (indicating).
4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
5	Okay, I got it. So if you have this
6	is what? If you have 14 feet and you have in the
7	backyard you have 14 feet, right.
8	MR. COCOROS: Um-hmm.
9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: In the front yard
10	you have what, 13.
11	MR. COCOROS: Yeah, 13 and 18.
12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: What's the other
13	number?
14	MR. COCOROS: Eighteen where the front
15	doors are.
16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. And what's
17	the length of the house.
18	MR. COCOROS: It's 43-feet deep on the
19	left-hand side, which is, I guess, the east portion.
20	Then on the right-hand side we have
21	48-feet deep.
22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Maybe I'm not good
23	in math, but I don't
24	MR. SOKOLICH: The numbers aren't
25	adding up, Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: It's probably me.
2	MR. SOKOLICH: No, no.
3	Billy's been known to do that.
4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, no.
5	MR. COCOROS: According to the Apple
6	calculator, it's 75 feet.
7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
8	I'll take your word for it. Now, where
9	is the door from the little garage? I mean, how do
10	they get into the
11	MR. COCOROS: You know, I can put a
12	door here if we want (indicating).
13	We basically come up to the walk.
14	That's all the 6-over-6s.
15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, yeah.
16	MR. COCOROS: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So they so you
18	can put a door here.
19	MR. COCOROS: Yeah. You know,
20	basically leave the garage door open.
21	Even my house we basically have the
22	garage door. There's no real access. It's basically
23	closed off. You come out and go up the staircase.
24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board
25	members have anything?

(No Response.)

CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve, you're on.

MR. COLLAZUOL: Chairman, I was curious about the garages myself. I was going to ask Bill how the garages work with the application and the number units. Does it meet the RSIS standards?

But Mike told me that the application needs two per unit, three bedrooms. So they only need two spaces for each unit. It appears the larger garage can carry two cars, which would actually give them four cars, two over there. And the small garage space in front is two cars also.

So they meet the RSIS standards and also the Borough standards for parking. But that was just a curious question of mine as well.

The other thing I wanted to point out is, Bill, again sometimes the builders build concrete walks on the right- and left-hand side of some of these types of developments. That's why we put in our comment about the landscaping. If you can make sure it's grass or limited ——

MR. COCOROS: Yeah, yeah.

It will be grass. Whatever portion left over on the left-hand side will be grass between the walkway and the property line.

And then the other side there, you know, there's no reason why we'd do walkway so we basically do all grass on the right-hand side.

MR. COLLAZUOL: Just so it's noted.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MR}}$.$  COCOROS: We'll do some blocks with azaleas by the staircase.

MR. COLLAZUOL: Good.

The other comment is about any fencing.

You're not -- your plan doesn't show any. The

existing fences are going to remain or be replaced.

I think the comment on the existing conditions

indicates it would be a chain-link fence.

I don't know if the Board wants, on a new application, to see a chain-link fence. I recognize that there's a series of garages next door.

But perhaps the Board would like to have a new fence.

MR. COCOROS: Yeah.

We have enough room there, you know, to do a new fence. We're 5.4. So, you know, it's up to the Board. We spend all this money on the house. They might do a new PVC fence along the property line for privacy purposes and to make it look brand-new looking at the driveway, at least on the left-hand side.

```
CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's it, Steve.
1
                   MR. COLLAZUOL: That's it.
2
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So we're
3
    back to the garage doors. I can never quite -- who
4
    is going to occupy the ground floor, the second
5
    floor?
 6
                   MR. COCOROS: The first floor.
7
                   It's part of the first floor.
8
    connected to the first floor.
9
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So that's going to
10
    be --
11
                   MR. COCOROS: We're going to do a
12
               Basically three levels.
13
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I know.
                                                 Yeah,
14
15
    yeah:
                   But what determines who gets the little
16
17
    garage.
                   MR. COCOROS: I mean, you know, it
18
    makes sense. You know, the main floor has more --
19
    the main apartment has more square footage, so, you
20
    know, they would get the bigger garage. And the
21
    second floor, you know, which will probably be a
22
    tenant. The first floor would be, let's say, the
23
    owner who would live there. They would get the
24
    two-car garage -- a two-car garage. The tenant would
25
```

get the one-car garage and one-car driveway. 1 MR. SOKOLICH: Accessible only from the 2 outside, Bill. 3 MR. COCOROS: Yes. 4 MR. SOKOLICH: So they wouldn't be able 5 to cut through. I understand your point, Chairman. 6 As far as the accessibility from the 7 basement from the interior, Bill, it would only be 8 one way, so if you're going to provide access to that 9 one garage, I presume it's from the outside? 10 MR. COCOROS: Yeah. 11 The secondary garage, so basically you 12 park your car, you walk out, you close the garage 13 door. You press the button and it closes the door. 14 MR. SOKOLICH: Whatever keeps that car 15 off the street, Chairman. 16 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Absolutely. 17 The only -- I mean, in my life I was 18 always in the ambulance. 19 But as far as the fire code, the fire 20 codes go, if you have a garage that only can exit, 21 don't you have to have two exits? 22 MR. COCOROS: One- or two-family, it's 23 not like a large truck code. I can check that if 24 it's approved, go and make sure it's covered. Worst 25

case scenario we'd do the same thing we did on the 1 door for the -- the side door on other side. 2 Basically a little well and the staircase that goes 3 toward the front yard, you know, just for emergency 4 5 purposes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'm just... 6 MR. COCOROS: Yeah, I understand. 7 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'm just worried 8 about, you know, if something happens to your -- you 9 pull in and something happens. 10 MR. SOKOLICH: So if you pull in -- if 11 you have that door, if you pull in, you shut the door 12 behind for security reasons. You don't get out of 13 your car until the garage door is shut. You then get 14 out and go through that side door. It's probably 15 more secure. 16 MR. COCOROS: You know, the only thing 17 is all the 6-over-6s we've done never had that issue 18

MR. COCOROS: You know, the only thing is all the 6-over-6s we've done never had that issue as far as code compliant the way it is. You know, it would be more like, you know, a feature of it. You know, instead of coming out your garage door, you come out the side door.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well, I would -- where is that?

MS. TESTA: You could require it if you

```
wanted to, but it's not necessary, according to code.
1
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: According to code,
2
    it's not necessary.
3
                   MS. TESTA: It doesn't lead to any
 4
    living space. It's only the garage.
5
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: It's only the
6
7
    garage.
                   So anybody in the audience have
8
9
    anything to say.
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, we conferred
10
    with the applicant and the side door, absolutely,
11
12
    yes.
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Anybody have
13
    anything to say about the -- yes?
                                         No.
14
                   AUDIENCE MEMBER: I approve.
15
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You approve?
16
                   Good.
17
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, ma'am.
18
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We're ready
19
     for your next witness.
20
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, we call
21
    Mr. Spatz with an abridged planning summary.
2.2
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, good, abridged.
23
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Bill.
24
                   MS. TESTA: Please raise your right
25
```

	42
1	hand.
2	Do you swear the testimony you will
3	give this application will be the truth, the whole
4	truth, and nothing but the truth?
5	MR. SPATZ: Yes, I do.
6	DAVID SPATZ, P.P.
07:48PM 7	60 Friend Terrace, Harrington Park, New Jersey,
8	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
9	MS. TESTA: Please state your name and
10	business address.
11	MR. SPATZ: David Spatz, S-P-A-T-Z.
12	My business address Is 60 Friend
13	Terrace in Harrington Park.
14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Mr. Spatz
15	has been here many times, more times than me.
16	We accept him as an expert.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
19	Q. David, I am going to do this quickly
20	and get out of your way. I distributed a series of
21	four photographs to the board.
22	A. Yes.
23	MR. SOKOLICH: Ms. Testa, with your
24	permission, A-3.
25	MS. TESTA: Yes.

```
MR. SOKOLICH: I'm going to mark them
1
2
     as A-3.
                    (Whereupon, Four Photographs are marked
3
            as Exhibit A-3 for identification.)
 4
     BY MR. SOKOLICH:
5
                   David, if you would just identify them
            Q.
 6
     clockwise, if you would, starting with the upper
7
     left-hand corner.
8
                   Certainly.
            Α.
9
                   The top left is of the subject
10
11
    property.
                   Top right is looking to the right, or
12
     to the west of our site. It's a larger, older
13
     two-family -- single-family directly next to us.
14
     Then a series of newer two-family homes.
15
                   The bottom left is to the left of us,
16
     to the east of us. That's a four-family home.
17
                   And then the bottom right is directly
18
     across the street, which are all two-family homes as
19
20
    well.
                   These are photographs you took?
21
            Q.
                   I took them, yes.
22
            Α.
                   You didn't crop them or modify them in
23
            Q.
24
     any way?
25
            Α.
                   No.
```

1	Q. You were engaged for purposes of
2	conducting a planning assessment?
3	A. Correct, yes.
4	Q. And in fact you have?
5	A. I have, yes.
6	Q. So wherever you deem most appropriate,
7	if you would please provide it.
8	Thank you.
9	A. Okay.
10	We're located in the AA Zone, which
11	permits two-families.
12	As was described, the lot does not
13	conform to the lot area and lot depth requirements of
14	the zone. We need two D variances for building
15	height and then lot area per unit, which is density.
16	Then there are three C variances:
17	Front yard, rear yard and building coverage.
18	So looking at our D variances. I think
19	the site is particularly well-suited for what we're
20	proposing. It's located in a zone that permits
21	two-family dwellings on a block that is primarily
22	developed with two-family dwellings.
23	In fact, there's a four-family dwelling
24	directly next to us.
25	Looking at the Municipal Land Use Law,

the purposes of zoning, we meet several of those.

Purpose A is promoting public health, safety, morals and general welfare, providing housing in a residential zone meets that standard.

2.1

Purpose E is the establishment of appropriate population densities. Two-families are permitted in the zone, and that's what we are providing.

Then, lastly, we also meet Purpose I, which is promoting a desirable visual environment. A building has been designed. The property is significantly undersized, created by the depth, which is 25 feet less than what is typically provided in the zone. And the building has been designed to meet that standard, and, in fact, provides conforming side yards, which is important as it affects the adjacent properties.

In terms of the building height, what we need to look at is consistency with the neighborhood.

And I think the photographs demonstrate that. Even a single-family home is a larger home. And then all of the two-family homes are three stories in height and similar in terms of height in terms of feet.

There is a slight slope to the property. So as you go west, it does go down. But the heights are consistent with the neighborhood.

Looking at the density, what we need to look at is whether the property can support it, and I believe that it can. Mr. Cocoros has described drainage improvements being made to the property. There is a conforming amount of parking being provided on the site.

And the building has been designed to acknowledge the undersized nature of the property.

So I believe that the D variances can be granted.

Looking at our C variances, the lot again is significantly undersized. The properties on either side of us, as shown by the photographs, are all fully developed, so we cannot acquire additional property to make them conforming. The front yard and the rear yard are directly related to the fact that we are 25 foot shallower than what is required within the zone. We provided the maximum setbacks that we can provide.

Importantly, we provided conforming side yards. And we meet both individual side yards. However, your combined side yard standard is actually

a greater number, and we need variance for that.

As the photographs show, the adjacent four-family home, their garage is adjacent to us in the driveway, so there is a significant setback from the adjacent property.

In terms of lot coverage, we meet the square footage requirement but not the percentage requirement. Again, that's related to the fact of the property being undersized.

Drainage improvements are being provided to mitigate the slightly larger building. And I think provides improved conditions for the adjacent properties. So I think that the positive criteria exists for our C variances.

Looking at the negative criteria, I don't believe anything is substantially negative. We are in character with the surrounding land uses. We are a permitted use within the zone. The setbacks are consistent with the adjacent properties. They address the narrow shallowness of the property. The height is only a bit over what is permitted within the zone, but again consistent with the neighborhood. And it is a lesser standard because it's a non-duplex, but it is, again, typical in the area.

So I think appropriate light, air and

open space is provided. 1 Mr. Cocoros has indicated that 2 additional landscaping will be provided. We have a 3 conforming amount of parking on the site, both within 4 the garages and in the driveway. 5 And I believe on balance the positive 6 criteria is met. It far outweighs what might be 7 considered negative. 8 And I believe it will be appropriate to 9 grant the variances. 10 MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, David. 11 Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Mr. Kauker, 13 do you have anything? 14 MR. KAUKER: Yeah, just a follow-up 15 question I had. 16 With respect to the height, you 17 indicated that the proposed building would be 18 consistent with the other buildings in the area. 19 20 MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: Just if I understood your 21 testimony correctly with respect to the bulk 22 variances, it was related to a practical difficulty 23 and hardship relating to the size and then the 24

shallowness of the property?

25

MR. SPATZ: Yes. 1 We are 25 foot less than what is 2 required in the zone and is actually typical of lots 3 within Palisades Park. 4 So it's impossible to provide 5 conforming front and rear yards. We tried to get a 6 streetscape that fits within the neighborhood. 7 side yards, which are conforming, which I think has a 8 greater impact on the adjacent properties. 9 MR. KAUKER: And then just looking at 10 the overall layout in terms of the tax map, it looks 11 like that's the condition that's unique to this 12 13 property. It is, yes. MR. SPATZ: 14 MR. KAUKER: That's all I have. 15 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. 16 Anybody in the audience have anything 17 18 to say? I was just wondering, is MS. PARK: 19 this the first house or duplex that is being built 20 that is less than 50-by-100? 21 MR. SOKOLICH: No. 22 So this isn't a duplex. It's a 23

Because if you look at the tax map that we showed

6-over-6.

24

25

And this is a truly a peculiar lot.

```
you, this is a one-off just because of the peculiar
1
    size of the lot.
2
                   But this is the beginning of many more
3
    to come because there aren't any other lots in that
 4
    area, is that right, David?
5
                   MR. SPATZ: That's correct. This is
 6
    the smallest lot within that block.
7
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Right.
8
                   And the reason why boards such as this
9
    exist are to address properties such as this.
10
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: What is your name,
11
    dear.
12
                   MS. PARK: Pardon me?
13
                   MS. TESTA: Your name.
14
                   MS. PARK: My name is Patsy Park.
15
                   And I'm the owner of 447 First Street.
1.6
    I got a letter, yeah, that I should attend this
17
18
    meeting.
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, no problem.
19
                   Okay. So what we do is, I'll make a
20
    motion to accept the application. I'm sorry,
21
    Counselor, do you want to sum up?
22
                   MR. SOKOLICH: No, Chairman.
23
    dispense I just rely on the testimony provided.
24
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I'll make a
25
```

```
notion accept the -- pass the applicant with
1
    $2,000.00 to the Tree Preservation Fund.
2
                   Anything that our engineer has
 3
 4
    recommended, you're going to do?
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Absolutely.
5
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And you're going to
 6
    put a door on the side of the building, correct?
7
                   MR. COCOROS: A door and a walkway to
8
9
    the driveway.
                                        Right.
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
10
                   MR. COCOROS: Yes.
11
                   MR. COLLAZUOL: There's one more point
12
13
    too.
                   The door on the balcony, is that being
14
15
    changed.
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. The door on
16
    the balcony has to go.
17
                   MR. COCOROS: We'll do a window and do
18
19
    a canopy.
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You can do a
20
    canopy, okay. Okay. That's my motion.
21
                   Can I get a second?
22
                   MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second.
23
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okeydokey.
24
25
                   Roll call?
```

	52
1	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Ferguson?
2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
3	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
4	Mr. Brogna: Yes.
5	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
6	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
7	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Grala?
8	MR. GRALA: Yes.
9	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
10	MR. B. KIM: Yes.
11	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
12	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
13	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
14	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
15	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, Members of the
16	Board, thank you.
17	Engaged professionals, thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Do you want
19	take five minutes? We're going to take a five-minute
20	break?
21	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.
22	(Whereupon, a brief recess is held.)
23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.
24	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Ferguson?
25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Here.

1	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
2	Mr. Brogna: Here.
3	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
4	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here.
5	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Grala?
6	MR. GRALA: Here.
7	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
8	MR. B. KIM: Here.
9	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
10	MR. TERRANOVA: Here.
11	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
12	MR. CHUNG: Here.
13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So we have
14	just received some additional bills that we're going
15	to now vote on.
16	The first bill is New Jersey BO
17	New Jersey.
18	It has something to do with the
19	Planning Board, Board of Adjustment. Every so many
20	years you have to go get certified, so they put a
21	bill in for \$320.00.
22	The Record is three bills for that.
23	And that's \$185.04.
24	And last. But certainly not least, is
25	Mr. Kauker's three bills. They total \$1,610.00.

	<u> </u>
1	So I'll make a motion to pay the bills.
2	MR. GRALA: I'll second.
3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.
4	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Ferguson?
5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
6	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
7	Mr. Brogna: Yes.
8	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
9	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
10	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Grala?
11	MR. GRALA: Yes.
12	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
13	MR. B. KIM: Yes.
14	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
15	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
16	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
17	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
19	So next will be Case 24-19, Vinnie
20	Luppino, LLC, 439 First Street.
21	MR. GRALA: Mr. Chairman, I have to
22	recuse.
23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let the
24	record reflect that Mr. Grala has left the dais.
25	Okay.

1	(Whereupon, Mr. Grala recuses himself
2	and steps off the dais.)
3	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, thank you.
4	In light of the late hour, I will be
5	brief and abridged. Mark Sokolich on behalf of the
6	next applicant before you, which is V. Luppino, LLC,
7	relating to premises known as 439 First Street.
8	Just by way of housekeeping, we have
9	presented Ms. Testa, the Board's attorney, with our
10	Affidavit of Service, which we trust is in order.
11	MS. TESTA: Yes.
12	The mailing took place on November 7,
13	2024. And the newspaper notice was published on
14	October 31st, 2024.
15	So the Board has jurisdiction.
16	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Counsel.
17	And also by way of housekeeping, as is
18	our new practice, we distributed to the Board a
19	series of four photographs, which we will mark and
20	authenticate through the testimony of Mr. Spatz.
21	But in the upper left-hand corner is a
22	picture of the subject site, which as of now is
23	vacant. Correct?
24	Mr. Spatz: Correct, it is.
25	MR. SOKOLICH: As far as this evening

```
is concerned, we intend to present the testimony of
     1
         Mr. Cocoros and then conclude with Mr. Spatz.
     2
                       Unless the Board had any questions of
     3
         I, we would ask Mr. Cocoros be sworn in.
     4
                       MS. TESTA: Do you swear the testimony
     5
         you will give in this application will be the truth,
     6
         the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
     7
                       MR. COCOROS: I do.
     8
         VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA
     9
             467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey,
07:29PM 10
             having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
    11
                       MS. TESTA: State your name for the
    12
         record, please.
    13
                       MR. COCOROS: Sure.
    14
                       Vassilios, V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros,
    15
         C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs,
    16
    17
         New Jersey.
                       CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Mr. Cocoros
    18
         has been here many times, so we'll accept him as an
    19
    2.0
         expert.
                       MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chair.
    21
         DIRECT EXAMINATION
    22
    23
         BY MR. SOKOLICH:
                       Bill, you are the architect engaged by
                0.
    24
         Mr. Luppino in connection with this application?
    25
```

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. I won't get into the details, but the
3	plans that you're about to testify to were either
4	prepared by you or under your direct supervision?
5	A. Yes, they were.
6	(Whereupon, Elevations, Site Plan and
7	Zoning Info, Last Revised September 28, 2024
8	is marked as Exhibit A-1 for identification.)
9	(Whereupon, Floor Plans, Last Revised.
10	September 28, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-2
11	for identification.)
12	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
13	Q. We've marked it as A-1 and A-2. A-1 is
14	marked as "Elevations, Site Plan and Zoning Info,"
15	with a last revised date of September 28, 2024.
16	A-2, which is entitled "Floor Plans,"
17	likewise has a last revised date of September 28,
18	2024.
19	Bill, on A-1, whenever you deem
20	appropriate, please get started.
21	A. Sure.
22	This is a 50-by-100 lot located on the
23	west side of First Street, 225 feet north of East
24	Washington place.
25	Behind it are the properties that front

on Broad Avenue.

I'm sorry. No.

Behind it are the properties that front Lincoln Street, so the other way around.

The property, itself, has a difference from front to back where it is lower. So we're proposing a side-by-side, two-family dwelling, a typical configuration that we do on a conforming lot that is basically 54-feet-10-feet-deep-by-38-feet wide at the rear and middle portion.

Then at the front to accommodate the staircase we have 35-feet-8-inches. We have a 4-foot staircase and 3-feet-2-inches to the side yard.

As part of the configuration, we basically have a retaining wall in the backyard. The height, itself, needs a variance. As far as the configuration of the rest of the building, it's basically a typical duplex that has three levels: basically ground floor, basement, with a garage and recreation space behind it.

And then we also have a home office in that portion that we've been doing on the duplexes as of right.

On the first floor, we have living room, family room, dining area and kitchen. And

behind that we have a 12-foot-deck-by-9-feet-deck in the back of the properties for each side, accessed by a sliding glass door to the kitchen back there.

CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That would be Dave in the back. The court reporter can't hear. No problem.

MR. COCOROS: The top floor is basically set up with a three-bedroom configuration with a master, primary suite in the front, two closets, a secondary living space, with an en suite master bath, shower, toilet, alcove double sink and a freestanding tub.

Behind that we have two secondary bedrooms, each with its own closet, a hall bathroom which they both share. There's also a side-by-side laundry/linen closet -- two linen closets. One for the smaller room and one for -- a double door that accommodates the bathrooms and the laundry area, itself.

So, basically, the footprint and the configuration are the same. However, we're here for a variance.

In addition, we're proposing retaining walls in the back. That might, you know, be reviewed by the board engineer as far as height and details,

which we do provide a detail on the site plan sheet. However, if he needs calculations, we'll also have somebody provide calculations to back up those wall designs at the back of the property.

Q. Bill, I notice on your zoning schedule you have a lot of no's, which is a very refreshing, by the way, with one, yes. The only variance that's being sought, just to confirm, is the variance for maximum stories and also linear height, which is 38.75?

A. Correct. Yeah.

I realize the height, itself, might be a little bit -- the calculations, the reality of the height might be a few inches more, which would bring us over the 10 percent threshold.

So, you know, it's like -- it's going to be maybe 3 inches higher.

Q. No, no, I think -- Bill, we're good. We're good because it does exceed, the 10 percent.

But I just want to confirm that the only variance that's being sought at this moment is for height and number of stories, correct?

A. Correct.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MR}}$.$  COCOROS: Steve, are we allowed to do a 4-foot retaining wall in the back? I just want

```
1
    to make sure.
                   MR. COLLAZUOL: It did not exceed the
2
    4 feet.
3
                   MR. COCOROS: Yeah.
4
                   Actually, it's more.
5
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: It's more, okay.
6
7
    No problem.
                   MS. TESTA: What was the retaining
8
    wall? I'm sorry.
9
                   MR. COCOROS: The retaining wall right
10
    now is -- I know we're allowed to raise the backyard
11
    by 4-foot.
12
                   In our case here, we have a retaining
13
    wall of 2-feet-4-inches. I guess -- yeah, we do have
14
    a detail.
15
                   For that height, do you need a
16
    calculation, Steve, for that?
17
                   MR. COLLAZUOL: No. Less than 3 feet,
18
    you would not need stability calculations.
19
                   MR. COCOROS: Yeah.
20
                   So, basically, the retaining wall on
21
    the left-hand side will align with the existing
22
    property. It's 2 inches higher.
23
                   But, you know, you could build up
24
     against their wall, but I don't think we are allowed
25
```

1 to. So I want to make sure we put an 2 independent wall along that property line to the 3 4 left-hand side. MS. TESTA: Okay. So 4 feet or under. 5 MR. COCOROS: Yeah. 6 For structural purposes it's 3 feet or 7 under. 8 For zoning, you're allowed 4 feet to 9 fill the backyard. 10 MS. TESTA: Okay, thank you. 11 MR. COCOROS: And part of that reason 12 for the height variance is we wanted to provide a 13 positive pitch from the driveway/garage to the 14 sidewalk level of First Street. You know, I know 15 that area is kind of the low part of town, so I 1.6 wanted to make sure we had enough positive pitch in 17 case it does flood back there and it doesn't go back 18 into the house. 19 MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I'm going to 20 conclude there with Mr. Cocoros. 21 Thank you, Bill. 22 MR. COCOROS: You're welcome. 23 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Are you done? 24

25

MR. SOKOLICH: He is, for the moment.

1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Just a
2	couple of things.
3	So if you're looking at the front of
4	the building, you've got a staircase going each side.
5	MR. COCOROS: Yeah.
6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And that's going to
7	lead to the second story.
8	MR. COCOROS: There's also a landing.
9	You know, per the electrical code, we've got to put a
10	disconnect for the front corners.
11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
12	Now, is there any are they in the
13	building.
14	MR. COCOROS: No.
15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: The steps, I'm
15 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: The steps, I'm talking about.
16	talking about.
16 17	talking about.  MR. COCOROS: Well, the steps are
16 17 18	talking about.  MR. COCOROS: Well, the steps are basically on the outside.
16 17 18	talking about.  MR. COCOROS: Well, the steps are basically on the outside.  And that portion of the front of the
16 17 18 19 20	talking about.  MR. COCOROS: Well, the steps are basically on the outside.  And that portion of the front of the building is recessed in to accommodate
16 17 18 19 20 21	talking about.  MR. COCOROS: Well, the steps are basically on the outside.  And that portion of the front of the building is recessed in to accommodate  3-feet-2-inches for a sidewalk.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	talking about.  MR. COCOROS: Well, the steps are  basically on the outside.  And that portion of the front of the  building is recessed in to accommodate  3-feet-2-inches for a sidewalk.  CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So it's code.

CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You should put 1 something on top because this is recessed so... 2 MR. COCOROS: Yeah. 3 Basically if you look at the side here, 4 you see over the door, which is the front door, but 5 it's actually on the side of the building. You see, 6 like, a little like a canopy. 7 So that covers basically a typical 8 staircase landing, so you bring up the staircase. 9 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay, I'm good. 10 Now, in the back, I see that there's this one big one 11 12 here. MR. COCOROS: They're combined. 13 know, it makes it easy to put a privacy wall between 14 the decks. You know, we had problems when they 15 separate the decks. 16 They're basically -- you know, you have 17 You see your neighbor up here your deck. 18 (indicating). This will be a little close together. 19 You can do a privacy wall, porte cochere. You see 2.0 along separate decks, put a privacy wall. It looks 21 kind of funny standing, looking at the back of the 22 23 house: CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. 24 And I assume you have a tub. Oh, no. 25

	65
1	On the bottom there is no
2	MR. COCOROS: Say again?
3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: There is no tubs.
4	Again
5	MR. COCOROS: I used the prior plans.
6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Come on, Bill.
7	How many times are we going to do this?
8	MR. COCOROS: It was compliant. I'm
9	sorry.
10	MR. TERRANOVA: No tubs in the
11	basement.
12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I give you A for
13	credit.
14	MR. TERRANOVA: Nice try.
15	MR. SOKOLICH: I actually missed that,
16	and I'm thinking I'm glad I did.
17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board
18	members have anything?
19	(No Response.)
20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve, what do you
21	got?
22	MR. COLLAZUOL: Thank you.
23	Again, the only comment one of the
24	comments again is the materials for the landscaping,
25	that they should be shown on the plan.

1	They're going to need grass but not
2	hardscape.
3	And, you know, we've been going over
4	these with most of them that Bill has provided that
5	based on the average setback.
6	And, generally, it appears that Bill's
7	figures are approximate. So when we looked at it, it
8	looks the house to the right is 19 feet
9	MR. COCOROS: Yeah. The rest of them.
10	MR. COLLAZUOL: It seems to be
11	developing.
12	The code calls for a front yard setback
13	of 25. It seems like that's been the wave that's
14	been progressing as time has gone on for a 20-foot
15	setback. That meets it.
16	Other than that, we have what's in our
17	report agreed to meet all those requirements. And we
18	have nothing further.
19	MR. SOKOLICH: For the record, Bill, we
20	agree to meet those requirements, correct?
21	MR. COCOROS: Yes, we do.
22	MR. SOKOLICH: In Mr. Collazuol's
23	report.
24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
25	Next witness?

```
MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.
     1
         We'd ask Mr. Spatz be swore in.
     2
                        Do you swear him in again?
     3
                        MS. TESTA: Yeah.
     4
                        MR. SOKOLICH: Yeah.
     5
                        Some boards don't. I don't think
     6
         that's accurate.
     7
                        MS. TESTA:
                                   Right.
     8
                        Because each application is different.
     9
                        MR. SOKOLICH: It's very odd to me.
    10
         Sorry, Counsel.
    11
                        MS. TESTA: Do you swear the testimony
    12
         you'll give this application will be the truth, the
    13
         whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
    14
                       MR. SPATZ: Yes, I do.
    15
                       S P A T Z, P.P.
         DAVID
    16
             60 Friend Terrace, Harrington Park, New Jersey,
07:48PM 17
             having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
    18
                                   Please state your name for
                        MS. TESTA:
    19
    20
         the record, and address.
                        MR. SPATZ:
                                   David Spatz, S-P-A-T-Z.
    21
                        My business address is 60 Friend
    22
         Terrace in Harrington Park.
    23
                        MR. SOKOLICH: I would swear Spatz in
    24
         twice, Chairman.
    25
```

```
MR. SPATZ: At least. Maybe three
1
    times.
2
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, is Mr. Spatz
3
4
    accepted.
                   CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Absolutely.
5
                   MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you.
 6
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
7
8
    BY MR. SOKOLICH:
                  So, David, your credentials have been
            0.
9
    accepted as a Professional Planner here in the State
10
    of New Jersey.
11
                  Yes.
            Α.
12
                   You were engaged V. Luppino, LLC in
13
            Q.
     fact to provide a planning assessment?
14
                  Correct.
            Α.
15
                   (Whereupon, Four Photographs is marked
16
            as Exhibit A-3 for identification.)
17
     BY MR. SOKOLICH:
18
                   I have distributed a series of four --
19
     one page with four photographs. I've premarked it as
20
     A-3. You took these photographs?
21
22
            Α.
                   I did, yes.
                   You did not crop or modify them?
            0.
23
                   No.
24
            Α.
                   Briefly describe them and then get
25
            Q.
```

right into your assessment, if you would.

A. Sure.

2.1

The first thing, I have the address wrong. It's obviously 439 First Street. I'll correct that if you need that for the record.

The top left-hand photograph is of the subject property, which is now vacant.

The top right-hand photograph is looking to the right of us. And it is a series of newer two-family homes.

The bottom left is looking to the left of us. And that is even more old two-family.

And then across the street is fully developed with two-family homes as well. The property directly across the street is an older two-family.

So we're in the RAA Zone, which permits the two-family. The lot conforms to the lot area width requirements and depth requirements of the zone. We need only one C variance, and that is for building height, as has been presented. We are just under the 10 percent so it is a C variance.

MS. TESTA: I think it might be -- did Bill, you said 2.8.

MR. SOKOLICH: We're amending. I

1 apologize. BY MR. SOKOLICH: 2 It's 2.8 feet, David. I apologize. .3 Q. Α. Fine. 4 So we are right at that. So we need 5 one D variance; therefore, no C. Variances. 6 I apologize. 7 Q. That's quite all right. 8 Α. In terms of the height, we are 9 consistent with the purposes of zoning. 10 Purpose A is promoting the public 11 health, safety, morals and general welfare. We meet 12 that standard by providing needed housing. In terms 13 of population densities, we are again consistent. 14 The zone permits two-families. 15 And the photographs demonstrate that 16 the neighborhood is almost fully developed with 17 two-family homes. 18 Purpose I, which is promoting a 19 desirable visual environment. Right now you have a 2.0 vacant lot. And we're proposing to build a 21 two-family home that is consistent with all of the 22 other two-family homes within the neighborhood. 23 In terms of consistency with the 24

building heights, it's clear from the photographs

25

that all of these two-family homes are three stories in height and similar to the 30 feet that -- 30.8 feet that we are proposing.

2.1

There are no other variances required by what we're doing. We meet the front, the rear and the side. Building coverages as well are all met. The home that was on that property before was a quite small single-family home, which over the years of development on the street was significantly out of scale with them.

so what we're proposing certainly fits in better with the neighborhood as that neighborhood is being developed. So I think the positive criteria exists for the one D variance for the building height variance.

In terms of the negative criteria, nothing that is substantially negative. We are consistent with the neighborhood. We are a permitted use.

In fact, we're making the property more consistent with the neighborhood. Drainage improvements are being provided, which provides a public benefit.

Since we meet all of the other setback standards and the coverage standard, I believe

appropriate light, air and open space is provided. 1 And then lastly, we provide a 2 conforming amount of parking on the site to serve the 3 two units. 4 So on balance I think the positive 5 criteria is met for the building heights. It far 6 exceeds what might be considered negatively. There's 7 certainly nothing substantially negative. And I 8 believe it's appropriate to grant the variance. 9 MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, David. 10 Mr. Chairman, I offer Mr. Spatz. 11 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. 12 Mr. Kauker, do you have anything? 13 MR. KAUKER: No. It's pretty -- one 14 D-6 variance. I don't have an issue with that. 15 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Do you want 16 to sum up, Mayor? I'm sorry. 17 MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman -- that's okay. 18 I'm going to rest on the testimony in light of the 19 hour. 20 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Open to the public. 21 (No Response.) 22 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Seeing none, I'll 23 make a motion we accept the application as submitted. 24 \$2,000.00 to the Preservation Fund, Tree 2.5

	,
1	Preservation.
2	All recommendations from our engineer,
3	you will agree to. And that's my motion. And remove
4	the bathtub.
5	MR. SOKOLICH: I wasn't present for
6	that, Chairman, so I have no involvement in the
7	bathtub controversy.
8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If we could get
9	past one more meeting without the bathtub, that
10	really would be good.
11	MR. SOKOLICH: It's only taken 17
12	years, but we're getting there.
13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. That's my
14	motion.
15	Can I get a second?
16	Mr. Brogna: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call.
18	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Ferguson?
19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
20	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Brogna?
21	Mr. Brogna: Yes.
22	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Elefteriou?
23	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
24	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Kim?
25	MR. B. KIM: Yes.

	74
1	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Terranova?
2	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
3	MS. IGUINA: Mr. Chung?
4	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
5	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, Members of the
6	Board, Professionals, thank you. Always a pleasure.
7	Chairman, permission to speak on
8	something unrelated to board material and not on the
9	record?
10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure.
11	(Whereupon, off-the-record discussion
12	is held.)
13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you.
14	Motion to adjourn.
15	MS. TESTA: December 16th.
16	Mr. Brogna: Motion to adjourn.
17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All in favor?
18	(Whereupon, all present members respond
19	in the affirmative.)
20	(Whereupon, this meeting is concluded.
21	Time noted: 8:20 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE

I, RONDA L. REINSTEIN, a Certified Court

Reporter of the State of New Jersey, authorized to

administer oaths pursuant to R.S.41:2-2, do hereby

certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate

transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically

by and before me at the time, place and on the date

herein before set forth, to the best of my ability.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

RONDA L. REINSTEIN, CCR No. 30X100217800