```
1
                   BOROUGH OF PALISADES PARK
1
                  ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
                  MONDAY, JULY 15, 2024
2
                          7:05 p.m.
    Case No. 24-01
    129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard
                                       TRANSCRIPT OF
    Block 716, Lots 20-22
                                      ) PROCEEDINGS
5
    Case No. 23-17
    Young Jo & Kwangmi Jin
    72 Brinkerhoff Terrace
6
    Block 201, Lot 9
    Case No. 24-02
    Hillcrest Builders LLC
    450 East Edsall Boulevard
8
    Block 420, Lot 16
    Case No. 24-08
9
    S&G Development LLC
    114 East Homestead Avenue
10
    Block 609, Lot 3
    Case No. 24-04
11
    333 9th Street
12
    Block: 410; Lot: 15
13
    BEFORE:
    BOROUGH OF PALISADES PARK BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
    THERE BEING PRESENT:
14
    JOSEPH FERGUSON, CHAIRMAN
15
    PAUL ALBANESE, VICE CHAIRMAN (ABSENT)
16
    ELEFTERIOS ELEFTERIOU, MEMBER
17
    DAVID TERRANOVA, MEMBER
18
    JOHN GRALA, MEMBER
19
20
    SEONGHYE YOON, MEMBER
21
    BRIAN KIM, MEMBER
    STEVEN BROGNA, ALTERNATE MEMBER 1
22
    CHARLIE CHUNG, ALTERNATE MEMBER 2
23
24
    ESTHER KIM, ALTERNATE MEMBER 3 (ABSENT)
25
```

```
APPEARANCES:
1
    DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE
3
    Counsel for the Board of Adjustment
4
    ALAMPI & DeMARRAIS
        CARMINE ALAMPI, ESQUIRE
5
    1 University Plaza Drive #4
    Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
6
    (201) 343-4600
7
    Counsel for the Applicant Young Jo
    & Kwangmi Jin
8
    MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE
9
    1223 Anderson Avenue
    Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024
10
    (201) 224-4000
    Counsel for the Applicants S&G Development LLC
11
    Hillcrest Builders LLC
12
    BERN & ASSOCIATES LLC
    BY: DOUGLAS BERN, ESQUIRE
13
    39 Park Place #204
14
    Englewood, New Jersey 07631
    (201) 431-5000
    Counsel for Objector on Hillcrest Builders LLC
15
    HUBSCHMAN & ROMAN, P.C. LAW OFFICES
16
        RICHARD HUBSCHMAN, ESQUIRE
17
    460 Bergen Boulevard
    Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650
    (201) 947-5700
18
    Counsel to Objector on Hillcrest Builders LLC
19
    ALSO PRESENT:
20
    REBEKAH KIM, BOARD SECRETARY
21
22
    STEVEN COLLAZUOL, P.E., BOARD ENGINEER
    HAL SIMOFF, P.E., BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER
23
    MICHAEL KAUKER, PP, AICP, BOARD PLANNER
24
25
```

ì			
			3
1	I N D E X		
2	WITNESS SWORN	TEST	TIMONY
3	Case No. 24-01 129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard		
4	Block: 716; Lots: 20-22		9
5	Case No. 24-04		
	333 9th Street		_
6	Block: 410; Lot: 15		11
7	Case No. 23-17		
8	Young Jo & Kwangmi Jin 72 Brinkerhoff Terrace		
°	Block: 201; Lot: 9		15
9	10		
10	THOMAS SKRABLE, P.E. 18 Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Alampi:		19
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Alampi:		
11	Board/Professional Questions		0.4
12	Mr. Collazuol Chairman Ferguson		24 24, 33
12	Public Questions/Comments		,
13	21		
14	KWANGMI JIN Direct Examination by Mr. Alampi:		31
	Board/Professional Questions		
15	Chairman Ferguson		31 32
16	Mr. Grala Mr. Chung		32
17	Case No. 24-08 S&G Development LLC		
18	114 East Homestead Avenue		
	Block: 609; Lot 3		38
19	VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA	4 0	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Sokolich:	10	41
	Board/Professional Questions		50 76
21	Chairman Ferguson Mr. Collazuol		52, 76 57
22	Public Questions/Comments		.
	Jon So	60	61
23	115 East Homestead Avenue		
24	DAVID SPATZ, PP, AICP	69	
0.5	Direct Examination by Mr. Sokolich		69
25			

0				5
1		I N D E X (Continuing)		
2		EXHIBITS		
3	No.	DescriptionId	ent/Evid	
4		o. 23-17	15	
5	72 Bri	Jo & Kwangmi Jin nkerhoff Terrace		
6	Block:	201; Lot: 9		
7	A-1	Soil Erosion/Sediment Control And Drainage Plan With Proposed		
8		Additions	2 0	
9		o. 24-08 velopment LLC		
10	114 Ea	st Homestead Avenue 609; Lot 3		
			41	
11		Elevations and Site Plan		
12		Floor Plans	41	
13	A-3	Site Plan with Improvements	41	
14	A – 4	Bergen County Soil Plan	41	
15		o. 24-02 est Builders LLC		
16	450 Ea	st Edsall Boulevard 420; Lot: 16		
17	A-1	Cover Sheet	9 2	
18	_		95	
19	A-2	Topographical Survey		
20	A-3	Site Grading-Ground Floor	100	
21	A – 4	Site Grading Plan, Second Floor Parking	108	
22	A – 5	Lighting and Landscape Plan	116	
23	A-6	Lighting and Landscape Plan, Second Floor	119	
24	(Exhib	its retained by counsel.)		
25	, = 2 &	•		

07:05PM	1	(Time noted 7:05 p.m.)
07:05PM	2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I call the
07:05PM	3	meeting to order.
07:05PM	4	The open meetings statement: In
07:05PM	5	accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act, notice
07:05PM	6	of this meeting has been posted on the borough
07:05PM	7	bulletin board, notice has been provided to at least
07:05PM	8	two of the official borough newspapers and filed with
07:05PM	9	the borough clerk's office.
07:05PM	10	Roll call.
07:05PM	11	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
07:05PM	12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
07:05PM	13	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Albanese?
07:05PM	14	(No Response.)
07:05PM	15	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna.
07:05PM	16	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
07:05PM	17	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
07:05PM	18	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
07:05PM	19	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
07:05PM	20	MR. GRALA: Yes.
07:05PM	21	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07:05PM	22	MR. KIM: Yes.
07:05PM	23	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
07:05PM	24	MR. TERRANOVA: Here.
07:05PM	25	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?

07:05PM	1	MR. CHUNG: Here.
07:05PM	2	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
07:05PM	3	MS. YOON: Here.
07:05PM	4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:05PM	5	So first we'll pay some
07:05PM	6	MS. TESTA: Pledge of allegiance.
07:06PM	7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, yeah.
07:06PM	8	All right. Pledge of Allegiance. You
07:06PM	9	want to lead us, John?
07:06PM	10	(Whereupon, all rise for a recitation
07:06PM	11	of the Pledge of Allegiance.)
07:06PM	12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next is
07:06PM	13	we're going to pay some bills.
07:06PM	14	We have our court reporter for \$461.50;
07:06PM	15	we have Kauker and Kauker for 1,050; and we have our
07:06PM	16	board attorney 165.
07:06PM	17	So can I get a motion to pay the bills?
07:06PM	18	MR. BROGNA: I'll make a motion.
07:06PM	19	MR. ELEFTERIOU: I second.
07:06PM	20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call?
07:06PM	21	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
07:06PM	22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
07:06PM	23	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
07:06PM	24	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
07:06PM	25	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?

07:06РМ 1	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
07:06PM 2	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
07:06PM 3	MR. GRALA: Yes.
07:06РМ 4	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07:06РМ 5	MR. KIM: Yes.
07:06PM 6	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
07:06PM 7	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
07:06PM 8	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
07:06PM 9	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
07:06PM 10	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
07:06PM 11	MS. YOON: Yes.
07:06PM 12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:06PM 13	Next we all received a copy of last
0,	meeting's minutes, it took us about five minutes to
0,,,,,,,,,,	read
	Is there any corrections in the minutes
	that you would like to no?
07:07PM 17	Okay. I'll make a motion that we
07:07PM 18	accept the minutes of the previous meeting.
07:07PM 19	Can I get a second?
07:07PM 20	MR. GRALA: I'll second.
07:07РМ 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.
07:07PM 22	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
07:07PM 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
07:07PM 24	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
07:07PM 25	Mo. 1022-111

07:07PM	1	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
07:07PM	2	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
07:07PM	3	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
07:07PM	4	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
07:07PM	5	MR. GRALA: Yes.
07:07PM	6	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07:07PM	7	MR. KIM: Yes.
07:07PM	8	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
07:07PM	9	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
07:07PM	10	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
07:07PM	11	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
07:07PM	12	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
07:07PM	13	MS. YOON: Yes.
07:07PM	14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:07PM	15	So first up as soon as I get my hearing
07:07PM	16	aids in is going to be Case No. 24-01 which is going
07:07PM	17	to be 129, 139, 141 Bergen Boulevard.
07:07PM	18	This was a denial
07:07PM	19	MS. TESTA: A dismissal.
07:07PM	20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: A dismissal of the
07:07PM	21	last meeting, right.
07:08PM	22	MS. TESTA: Right.
07:08PM	23	The applicant failed to appear at the
07:08PM	24	two meetings, the May and the June meeting with no
07:08PM	25	explanation.

07:08PM	1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So it's a
07:08PM	2	memorialization.
07:08PM	3	Can I get a second? I'll make the
07:08PM	4	motion.
07:08PM	5	Can I get a second?
07:08PM	6	MR. GRALA: I'll second.
07:08PM	7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call?
07:08PM	8	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
07:08PM	9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
07:08PM	10	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
07:08PM	11	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
07:08PM	12	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
07:08PM	13	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
07:08PM	14	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
07:08PM	15	MR. GRALA: Yes.
07:08PM	16	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07:08PM	17	MR. KIM: Yes.
07:08PM	18	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
07:08PM	19	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
07:08PM	20	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
07:08PM	21	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
07:08PM	22	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
07:08PM	23	MS. YOON: Yes.
07:08PM	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:08PM	25	Now, there's another one for

07:08PM	1	9th Street; is that
07:08PM	2	MS. TESTA: Right, a withdrawal.
07:08PM	3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. It's oh,
07:08PM	4	333 9th Street, Palisades Park. I don't know what
07:08PM	5	the case number is.
07:08PM	6	MS. TESTA: Right. I don't have a case
07:08PM	7	number oh, I'm sorry. Case No. 24-04.
07:08PM	8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So he's
07:08PM	9	requesting
07:09PM	10	MS. TESTA: Right.
07:08PM	11	The attorney sent in a letter stating
07:09PM	12	that the applicant was formally withdrawing the
07:09PM	13	application at this time, he's not looking to
07:09PM	14	proceed.
07:09PM	15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:09PM	1 16	MS. TESTA: We're accepting his
07:09P	м 17	withdrawal without prejudice.
07:09P	м 18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make that
07:09	м 19	N
07:09	Рм 20	A contract of the contract of
07:09	рм 23	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second.
07:09	рм 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.
07:09	эрм 2	
07:0	эрм 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
07:0	_{9PM} 2	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?

07.09PM 1	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
07:05#	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
07:09PM 2	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
07:09PM 3	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
07:09PM 4	MR. GRALA: Yes.
07:09РМ 5	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07:09PM 6	MR. KIM: Yes.
07:09РМ 7	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
07:09PM 8	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
07:09РМ 9	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
07:09РМ 10	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
07:09РМ 11	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
07:09РМ 12	MS. YOON: Yes.
07:09РМ 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:09PM 14	The first we're going to talk is is
07:09РМ 15	Sokolich in the rear.
07:09РМ 16	MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: He's outside.
07:09РМ 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: He's outside?
07:09PM 18	Is there a way that we can go get him
07:09PM 19	just for a minute and then
07:09РМ 20	just for a mindee and make Audience Member: He's coming.
07:10PM 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Hey, Mark.
07:10PM 22	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman.
07:10PM 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So at the
07:10PM 24	last meeting that we had, and I'm doing this now so I
07:10PM 25	last meeting that "o "

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812

07:10PM 1 07:10PM 2 07:10PM 3 07:10PM 4 07:11PM 5 07:11PM 6	don't have to keep repeating it, there was a motion made that is going to limit the two continuances, right? MS. TESTA: Right, postponements. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Postponements. And we're only going to grant two from
07:11PM 7	now on. So if you have an applicant that's
07:11PM 8	coming before us, you only get two dismissals, right?
07:11PM 9	coming before us, you only s Now, there's a couple reasons for this.
07:11PM 10	Now, there of Now, the Now,
07:11PM 11	Number one is that the bod?
07:11PM 12	last meeting, none of the applicants arrived. Second one is it's not fair to the
07:11PM 13	Second one is it is lawyers that are waiting to come before us because as lawyers
07:11PM 14	lawyers that are waiting to come a
07:11PM 15	you guys not you particularly but as lawyers you guys not you particularly but as lawyers you guys not you particularly but as lawyers
07:11PM 16	ask for continuances, what happens is they don't get
07:11PM 17	on, which is not fair to the brethren, your brethren. And the third one that is probably the
07:11PM 18	And the third one that
07:11PM 19	
07:12PM 20	in time to come to the meeting.
07:12PM 2	so in other words, they get a notice
07:12PM 2	the date is today for a meeting, they turn around,
07:12PM 2	they come to the meeting only to discover that
	ange isn't on the agenda.
	So for those three reasons, we're

07:12PM 1 | limiting it to that.

07:12PM

07:12PM

07:12PM

07:12PM

07:12PM

07:12PM

07:12PM

07:13PM

07:14PM

07-14PM

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, there are two ways that I feel that you can overcome this. The first one is let me just say first, you know, some of the reasons that I get is: Oh, one of my experts went on vacation.

Now, I don't know why you wouldn't know that beforehand, but at any rate, one of the two ways you could solve it is that if you contact the board attorney before the 10 days, let's say you're now 15 days out, you take a look at your experts, you discover one of them is either going to another town or is going on vacation, then you can talk to Diane, she'll grant the continuance and you won't be charged because that's going to give us an opportunity to put another case on, right?

So that's the first way.

The second way is if, you know, I understand that lawyers want to put on their cases the way they want to -- in the order they want to put them on, right?

But if you have one expert that can't make it, that doesn't mean that you can't come and put some other people on and then we're finished with him at the next meeting.

So any of those two, I would recommend

07:14PM	1	the first one, which would be before 10 days out, you
07:14PM	2	notify Diane, but in any rate, you're going to have
07:14PM	3	two continuances, you know, that you won't be charged
07:14PM	4	for.
07:14PM	5	The third one I'm not going to grant.
07:14PM	6	So don't go to the third one because you're not, you
07:14PM	7	know, but that's all I have.
07:14PM	8	Any questions, Mark?
07:14PM	9	MR. SOKOLICH: No, sir. Very clear.
07:14PM	10	Thank you.
07:14PM	11	MR. ALAMPI: That's like in baseball,
07:14PM	12	three strikes, you're out.
07:14PM	13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That is correct.
07:14PM	14	MR. SOKOLICH: I'm just hopeful
07:14PM	15	Mr. Alampi follows it, Chairman.
07:14PM	16	MR. ALAMPI: I'm on my fourth strike.
07:14PM	17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at any rate,
07:14PM	18	that's all on that.
07:14PM	19	So we're going to call the first one
07:14PM	20	tonight, and I believe it's Carmines, which is 23-17
07:15PM	21	which is 72 Brinkerhoff.
07:15PM	22	MR. ALAMPI: Brinkerhoff.
07:15PM	23	Thank you, Chairman.
07:15PM	24	MR. SOKOLICH: I'm sorry, Carmine.
07:15PM	25	Chairman, are you taking 114 East

07:15PM	1	Homestead next?
07:15PM	2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: What was your
07:15PM	3	MR. SOKOLICH: It was a small item
07:15PM	4	before the big one starts.
07:15PM	5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If that's your
07:15PM	6	preference.
07:15PM	7	MR. SOKOLICH: As long as that's okay
07:15PM	8	with you.
07:15PM	9	Everybody is here.
07:15PM	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We'll take
07:15PM	11	that one then we'll go to the big one.
07:15PM	12	MR. SOKOLICH: I'll be outside.
07:15PM	13	Thank you.
07:15PM	14	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you.
07:15PM	15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay, Carmine, as
07:15PM	16	always
07:15PM	17	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman,
07:15PM	18	Boards Members.
07:15PM	19	I was here last in April, exactly what
07:15PM	20	the Chairman is saying.
07:15PM	21	This is an application to enlarge the
07:15PM	22	curb cut of the existing driveway and to fill in a
07:15PM	23	flower or landscaping area to create a second parking
07:15PM	24	space because this is a side-by-side duplex,
07:15PM	25	two-family house existing.

There's no physical change to the house, there's no change to the site other than attempting the fill in with pavers so we could have a parking space.

We did provide notice at the April meeting. And when we came to the April meeting, Mr. Collazuol's report was presented to us, that was the reason we were unprepared.

We then engaged Thomas Skrable who is an engineer because the architect believed on his own that he could give engineering testimony, so we brought Mr. Skrable in.

He did file an updated plans, he went through the review letter with Mr. Collazuol and his plan that you have should have a revision date of May 7th, 2024.

And while that upgraded plan was presented to you and we were ready for the June meeting, again Mr. Skrable had a problem with his schedule and I also did.

We carried it, Diane notified me at this point we're adopting a new policy in Palisades

Park and if you have continuous adjournments, you'll be dismissed without prejudice and you'll have to refile.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

07:15PM

07:15PM

07:16PM

07:16PM

07:16PM

07:16PM

07:16PM

07 - 1 6 PM

07:16PM

07:17PM

07:17PM

07:17PM

07:17PM	1	Having said that and understanding all
07:17PM	2	the logistics, you should be looking at a plan
07:17PM	3	prepared by Mr. Skrable and you have the original
07:17PM	4	plan also that was presented by the architect.
07:17PM	5	So you have two sheets to be looking
07:17PM	6	at. The architects plan is dated 2023, it's the
07:17PM	7	existing conditions.
07:17PM	8	All he did was he took the plot plan
07:17PM	9	from the original survey and showed the house on it,
07:17PM	10	but it's really Mr. Skrable testimony you need and
07:17PM	11	we're going to call him right now.
07:17PM	12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right.
07:17PM	13	MS. TESTA: Please raise your right
07:17PM	14	hand.
07:17PM	15	Do you swear the testimony you will
07:17PM	16	give in this application will be the truth, the whole
07:18PM	17	truth, and nothing but the truth?
07:18PM	18	MR. SKRABLE: I do.
07:18PM	19	THOMAS SKRABLE, P.E.
07:18PM	20	65 Ramapo Valley Road in Mahwah, having been duly
07:18PM	21	sworn, testifies as follows:
07:18PM	22	MS. TESTA: Please state your name for
07:18PM	23	the record and your business address.
07:18PM	24	MR. SKRABLE: Tom Skrable, "S," as in
07:18PM	25	Sam, K-R-A-B, as in Boy, L-E.

07:18PM	1	Business address is 65 Ramapo Valley
07:18PM	2	Road in Mahwah.
07:18PM	3	VOIR DIRE
07:18PM	4	BY MR. ALAMPI:
07:18PM	5	Q. Mr. Skrable, you're a licensed
07:18PM	6	engineer, correct?
07:18PM	7	A. Yes.
07:18PM	8	Q. In the state of New Jersey.
07:18PM	9	And you were engaged to review the
07:18PM	10	Collazuol comment review letters from the original
07:18PM	11	plot plan and to embellish the plan with the details
07:18PM	12	that Mr. Collazuol requested, correct?
07:18PM	13	A. Yes.
07:18PM	14	Q. And did you address his April 11th,
07:18PM	15	2024, letter, as he indicated, stormwater drainage
07:18PM	16	was not designed and the drainage report.
07:18PM	17	Did you address those items?
07:18PM	18	A. The drainage items are definitely on
07:18PM	19	the plan.
07:18PM	20	There are there might still be some
07:18PM	21	minor open items from Mr. Collazuol's letter, but we
07:19PM	22	can go through those also.
07:18PM	23	Q. And lastly, Mr. Collazuol updated his
07:19PM	24	letter on June 17th, 2024, that == you have a copy of
07:19PM	25	that?

07:19PM	1	A. I do.
	2	Q. And you've addressed some of the items,
07:19PM		
07:19PM	3	there may be a few you could address in your
07:19PM	4	testimony.
07:19PM	5	And whatever is remaining is you can
07:19PM	6	coordinate with Mr. Collazuol, should the board grant
07:19PM	7	this relief?
07:19PM	8	A. Yes.
07:19PM	9	Q. Why don't you tell the board exactly
07:19PM	10	the plan, we'll mark that as A-1, that exhibit. And
07:19PM	11	that's a one-sheet exhibit.
07:19PM	12	What would you call it, drainage plan
07:19PM	13	and site plan?
07:19PM	14	A. This is my soil erosion/sediment
07:19PM	15	control and drainage plan with proposed additions
07:19PM	16	which, you know, that dates back to the previous
07:19PM	17	approvals.
07:19PM	18	(Whereupon, Soil Erosion/Sediment
07:19PM	19	Control and Drainage Plan With Proposed
07:19PM	20	Additions is marked as Exhibit A-1 for
07:19PM	21	identification.)
07:19PM	22	THE WITNESS: There's no proposed
07:19PM	23	additions right now except for the drainage and the
07:19PM	24	paver area in front that we'll be discussing,
07:19PM	25	Block 201, Lot 9, 72 Brinkerhoff Terrace, Borough of

And the signature date is 5/7/24. Palisades Park. 07:19PM 1 2 BY MR. ALAMPI: 07:20PM So that's the date of the plan, 3 07:20PM correct? 4 07:20PM Yes. Α. 07 · 20PM And if I look at the lower right-hand Q. 6 07:20PM corner, that's where you have the earthwork 7 07:20PM computations and the drainage calculations following 8 07:20PM the comments of Mr. Collazuol, correct? 07:20PM 9 Α. Yes. 10 07:20PM So, basically, what we did is we took 11 07:20PM the architectural site plan that you've seen 12 07:20PM previously and we just added stormwater management 13 07:20PM and soil erosion sediment control details to it. 14 07:20PM The stormwater management is for the 15 07:20PM kind of U-shaped area in front that right now is a 16 07:20PM planter area. I think it's really like a hard mulch, 17 07:20PM though, it's not a pure pervious area. 18 07:20PM So that U-shaped area would be filled 19 07:20PM in to provide the extra parking space in front. 20 07:20PM We're proposing a CULTEC unit beneath 21 07:20PM that with an inlet at grade so that any water from 2.2 07-20PM this new imperious area would flow into the CULTEC. 23 07:20PM The inlet could actually serve as an overflow as well 24 07:21PM

should the CULTEC unit become surcharged during a

25

07:21PM

o7:21PM 1 really large storm.
O7:21PM 2 Q. Now,

Q. Now, Tom, the plan calls for us to extend the existing curb cut in order to facilitate a vehicle that would park in the area where we had the, what you said was CULTEC landscaped plus gravel feature?

A. Right.

Q. And we would make that a parking space?

A. Correct.

Q. The reason for that is that the existing driveway is only one-car wide, there's some conflict with the tenants switching cars and such. So one tenant's car would be out of the way of the other tenant; is that the reason?

A. That's my understanding, yes.

Q. And could you just tell us with regard to impervious coverage what's changing on the site and whether this triggers a variance in and of itself?

A. Yeah, in the duplex code, there's no requirement for impervious coverage, so it's not a variance.

We are adding 250 square feet of impervious coverage in order to create this parking space. The original architectural plan showed

07:21PM 3 07:21PM 07:21PM 4 5 07-21PM 6 07:21PM 07 • 21 PM 8 07:21PM 07:21PM 9 10 07:21PM 11 07:21PM 12 07:21PM 13 07:21PM 14 07:21PM 15 07:21PM 16 07:21PM 17 07:21PM 18 07:21PM 19 07:21PM 20 07:21PM 21 07:22PM 22 07:22PM 23 07:22PM 24 07:22PM 25 07:22PM

widening the curb cut to 22 feet.

I went by there again on my way to the meeting tonight and I literally made the move into where this new space would be with an SUV with -- using existing curb cut.

So we definitely don't need a 22-foot-wide opening. We would like to make it a little wider so that move is easier, but we also understand the borough's issue or concern about losing on-street parking.

So we're happy to work with your engineer to minimize that opening, but again, we need a few feet. I made the move tonight without having changed the opening at all, obviously.

MR. ALAMPI: And so the reason we're here, Chairman and Board Members, it's only a 46-foot wide by a little over a hundred-foot deep property. So it's not a 50-by-100, it has an existing duplex.

So that's why we had to go before the board. The impervious coverage percentage is not the trigger, but because we're make changes on what we call an undersized lot, it's not a standard lot and that's the only reason we're here, which is a hardship in and of itself.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, all of the existing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

07:22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07-22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07:22PM

07-23PM

07:23PM

07:23PM

07:23PM

07:23PM

07:23PM

07:23PM

07:23PM

07:23PM

07:23PM

07:23PM	1	nonconformities have to do with the duplex structure
07:23PM	2	that's there, which is not changing.
07:23PM	3	MR. ALAMPI: Right.
07:23PM	4	So there's nothing changing with regard
07:23PM	5	to anything that's nonconforming, it's just that by
07:23PM	6	law since a 46-by-100 lot, we have to be here,
07:23PM	7	otherwise we would have take an permit for this.
07:23PM	8	Having said that, we did consult Steve,
07:23PM	9	we had a brief conversation at one of the previously
07:24PM	10	meetings. He didn't want us to take too much of curb
07:24PM	11	cut if we could.
07:24PM	12	MR. COLLAZUOL: Right, you're talking
07:24PM	13	about losing an on-street parking space.
07:24PM	14	MR. ALAMPI: Right, but you heard
07:24PM	15	Mr. Skrable say we could work with maybe we open
07:24PM	16	it up by 3 feet from the existing conditions.
07:24PM	17	MR. COLLAZUOL: Yeah, I think that's
07:24PM	18	it's the right direction.
07:24PM	19	MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
07:24PM	20	I have nothing further for this
07:24PM	21	witness.
07:24PM	22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I see on your plan
07:24PM	23	you have a garage in the back?
07:24PM	24	MR. ALAMPI: Yes, there's a garage and
07:24PM	25	a carport.

But again, because it's a one-car wide 07:24PM driveway, only one of the tenants can get in and out 2 07:24PM of that area. 3 07:24PM If the second tenant -- if the occupant 4 07:24PM of the other unit was to park behind him, there's 5 07:24PM conflict that's been going on. This is what 6 07:24PM 7 motivates this application. 07:24PM Well, I don't know CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: 07:24PM if the I want to let -- because down by where this 9 07:24PM place is, there's multiple houses that are on the 10 07:24PM same -- have the same problem. 11 07 · 25 PM And I'm not so sure it's a good idea, 12 07:25PM just my own personal feeling, is that we're doing a 13 07:25PM front yard and now you're going to make it an 14 07:25PM apartment. I don't really, you know, people like 15 07:25PM their front lawns. They love putting, you know, 16 07:25PM everything in there, but I don't -- to make it a 17 07:25PM parking lot, you know. 07 · 25 PM 18 MR. ALAMPI: Well, I would agree with 19 07:25PM you, Chairman, if it was a grassy lawn to begin with. 20 07:25PM CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. 21 07:25PM But it's all gravel and MR. ALAMPI: 22 07:25PM 23 hardtack now. 07:25PM There's just a row of low shrubs, but 07:25PM 24 we would enhance the landscaping around it and bring 25 07:25PM

in the space. 1 07:25PM But I accept what you're saying, the 2 07:25PM desire is not to have across the 46-foot front yard 3 07:25PM setback three or four cars across like a parking lot. 4 07:25PM Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: 5 07:25PM This is only one vehicle, MR. ALAMPI: 6 07:26PM it's at the place where if you see the photo that's 7 07:26PM on the architectural plan in your file, it's already 8 07:26PM intended and it's already hardtack. 9 07:26PM We're only going to be removing one or 10 07:26PM two of the low shrubs, but we'll enhance the 11 07:26PM landscaping, we'll confer with your engineer to 12 07:26PM mitigate that appearance, but I certainly wouldn't 13 07:26PM make an application, support an application where we 14 07:26PM created a parking lot in front. 15 07:26PM We have this problem in Englewood 16 07:26PM Cliffs people with circular driveways. 17 07:26PM CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. 07:26PM 18 Next thing you know, MR. ALAMPI: 19 07:26PM they've got six cars in the front of the house. 20 07:26PM And I have to tell you, these are 21 07:26PM beautiful estate homes with circular driveways, but 22 07:26PM when you see the cars lined up, it looks like a 23 07:26PM hotel. It's just not the right thing for the 24 07:26PM

neighbors.

07:26PM 25

07:26PM 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
2	Now up on the top of your plan, what
07.20111	is what are you talk about here.
07.2011	MR. ALAMPI: What is that, Chairman?
07:26РМ 4	THE WITNESS: Upper left?
07:26PM 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, all the way
07:26PM 6	CHAIRMAN
07:27PM 7	up. Yeah, up there. What is that?
07:27PM 8	Yean, up there. THE WITNESS: That's just pointing to
07:27PM 9	THE WITNESS: Inde 5 3
07:27PM 10	the describing where the CULTEC unit will go
07:27PM 11	underneath the pave with an inlet at grade, like a
_{07:27PM} 12	12-by-12
_{07:27PM} 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So it has to
07:27PM 14	do with the drainage?
_{07:27PM} 15	THE WITNESS: That's stormwater
07:27PM 16	management, yes.
17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
07:27PM 17	Well, you have another witness?
07:27PM 18	MR. ALAMPI: No.
07:27РМ 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. That makes
07:27PM 20	
07:27PM 21	it easy. Any of the board members have anything?
07:27PM 22	Steve, you have anything?
07:27PM 23	Steve, you have any or MR. COLLAZUOL: I have a question for
07:27PM 2	MR. COLLAZUOL: 1 navo - 1
07:27PM 2	Mr. Alampi.

1	The reference is to that duplex
07:27PM 1	surprisingly because from the photograph it doesn't
07:27PM 2	look like it, it looks like a six-over-six.
07:27PM 3	MR. ALAMPI: I use the word duplex,
07:27PM 4	it's one over the other. They have the two doors
07:27РМ 5	
07:27PM 6	next to each other.
07:27PM 7	MR. COLLAZUOL: Right.
07.27PM 8	So your reference to coverage is not a
07:27##	concern because with a duplex, it's kind of is
07:27PM 9	concern because contrary now because it's a side-by-side, it's a
07:27PM 10	
07:27PM 11	six-on-six.
07:27PM 12	So impervious coverage think is
07:27PM 13	something the board should consider that a great deal
	f the site will be impervious if this is approved
07:2754 ==	MR. ALAMPI: So, Steve, on an up and
_{07:27PM} 15	down, you count the impervious coverage?
07:28PM 16	down, you could be MR. COLLAZUOL: That's what you
07:28PM 17	MR. COHBILLO
07:28PM 18	mentioned. I didn't check the zoning ordinance for
_{07:28PM} 19	I didn't check the Zoning
07:28PM 20	that, but I think the board should be aware of the
07.2021	to create a front yard, that's I mean, -
07:28PM 21	proposal to create 2 you did a front yard coverage, you're probably in the
07:28PM 2	you did a front yard. 3 order of 90-percent coverage in the front yard.
07:28PM 2	order of 90-percent coverage so the site is fairly well impervious
07:28PM 2	44
07:28PM 2	according to the drawings.
V	

The other thing is that, and it's a 07:28PM 1 minor item, but there's pavers until the borough's 07:28PM right-of-way between the sidewalk and the curb which 3 07:28PM should be removed as well, which adds to the 07:28PM impervious coverage. 5 07:28PM Our recommendation at the last hearing 6 07:28PM was perhaps to put a driveway in on the right-hand 7 07:28PM side and frame the landscaping that's there right now 8 07:28PM and retain that parking space, but that was merely a 07:28PM 10 suggestion. 07:28PM MR. ALAMPI: Steve, I think we 11 07:28PM destroyed too much landscaping, but we could use --12 07:28PM they I some of those blocks that are pervious, I 13 07:28PM don't know what they call it, Steve, we could use 14 07:29PM something to mitigate somewhat, but I see what you're 15 07:29PM saying, in the right-of-way by the curb, we would 16 07:29PM remove that element and put grass there. 17 MR. COLLAZUOL: Um-hmm. 18 19 MR. ALAMPI: Okay. In front of the property between the 20 curb and the sidewalk, there's like a 4-by-4 21 brickwork, we'll remove and put grass there. 22 07:29PM CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. 23 07:29PM We have no dispute. MR. ALAMPI: 2.4 07:29PM CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So we have a 25 07:29PM

07:29PM	1	six-over-six now, right? And I assume that
07:29PM	2	there's it's two family, right?
07:29PM	3	MR. ALAMPI: This is a two family, yes.
07:29PM	4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Does the owner live
07:29PM	5	in the building?
07:29PM	6	MR. ALAMPI: He's right here.
07:29PM	7	He's on his way. They live in one
07:29PM	8	unit.
07:29PM	9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Do they occupy the
07:29PM	10	building or they rent it?
07:29PM	11	MR. ALAMPI: Yes?
07:29PM	12	Why don't you ask to come up. Who
07:29PM	13	speaks English better, you or your
07:30PM	14	Let's swear her in, Chairman. I don't
07:30PM	15	want to speak for her.
07:30PM	16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:30PM	17	MR. ALAMPI: Don't be nervous.
07:30PM	18	Give your name to everybody.
07:30PM	19	MS. TESTA: Raise your right hand.
07:30PM	20	Do you swear that the comment and
07:30PM	21	testimony you will give with regards to this
07:30PM	22	application will be the truth, the whole truth and
07:30PM	23	nothing but the truth?
07:30PM	24	MR. ALAMPI: Will you tell the truth?
07:30PM	25	MS. JIN: Yes.

	1	KWANGMI JIN,
	2	72 Brinkerhoff Terrace, Palisades Park, New
	3	Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as
	4	follows:
	5	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. Can you give us
07:30PM	6	your name?
07:30PM	7	MS. JIN: My name is Kwangmi,
07:30PM	8	K-W-A-N-G-M-I, last name is Kwangmi Jin.
07:30PM	9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
07:30PM	10	BY MR. ALAMPI:
07:30PM	11	Q. So, Mrs. Jin, the Chairman was asking,
07:30PM	12	do you live here in this house?
07:30PM	13	A. I don't live here.
07:30PM	14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You rent?
07:30PM	15	THE WITNESS: I rent.
07:30PM	16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Both?
07:30PM	17	THE WITNESS: Both places, yes.
07:30PM	18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Just one moment.
07:31PM	19	Okay. Just one more question. Do
07:31PM	20	you when you rent the house, do you rent it as
07:31PM	21	like an, you know, where they yeah, short-term
07:31PM	22	rental where
07:31PM	23	MR. ALAMPI: No, no, Airbnb or a
07:32PM	24	short term, no.
07:32PM	25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They don't do that?

07:32PM 1	THE WITNESS: No.
2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No?
07:32FM	Because I'm under the impression, and I
07.32111	might be wrong, that they do.
07:32FF	MR. ALAMPI: This particular property?
07:32РМ 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, this
07:32PM 6	particular property. So, you know, to me you're
07:32PM 7	
07:32PM 8	telling me no MR. GRALA: About a year ago, we issued
07:32PM 9	MK. GKAHII.
07:32PM 10	a summons. MR. CHUNG: When have you bought that
_{07:32PM} 11	MR. CHUNG: WHEN have 1
07:32PM 12	house?
07:32PM 13	MS. JIN: 2021.
07:32PM 14	MR. GRALA: Since then we've issued one
_{07:32PM} 15	summons as an Airbnb.
07:32PM 16	MR. ALAMPI: This property?
07:32PM 17	MR. GRALA: Um-hmm.
07:32PM 18	MR. ALAMPI: We'll restrict it. We'll
07.52.11	
V7.32	MR. GRALA: No, I believe they paid the
07:32РМ 20	That was a while ago.
07:32PM 2	MR. ALAMPI: Right.
07:32PM 2	No. no, but we will if there's such
07:32PM 2	a restriction, we'll abide by it. Has there been any
07:32PM 2	1
07:32PM 2	further infractions.

07:32PM	1	MR. GRALA: No, they've gotten tickets
07:32PM	2	for parking on that lawn and they were told to remove
07:33PM	3	the rocks because it's supposed to be grass, they
07:33PM	4	never did that either.
07:33PM	5	There's been a few issues.
07:33PM	6	MR. ALAMPI: These are things that
07:33PM	7	people don't bring to my attention, obviously.
07:33PM	8	Thank you for educating us, but I think
07:33PM	9	this application should be able to resolve these
07:33PM	10	items. And if the board were to grant the relief
07:33PM	11	with these conditions, you'd have a great deal more
07:33PM	12	enforcement with it.
07:33PM	13	And then if they don't want to listen,
07;33PM	14	there's a more severe penalty.
07:33PM	15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. You have
07:33PM	16	anything on the bulk?
07:33PM	17	MR. SIMOFF: No.
07:33PM	18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No.
07:33PM	19	Just one other quick question, it's
07:33PM	20	hard to tell here, how much of the new area will be
07:33PM	21	consistent of parking?
07:33PM	22	MR. SKRABLE: Consisting of? I'm
07:33PM	23	sorry.
07:33PM	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: What's the footage?
07:33PM	25	MR. SKRABLE: It's 250 square feet.
		II .

```
MS. TESTA: No, the dimensions of the
      1
07:33PM
          new parking area.
07:33PM
                          MR. SKRABLE: Yeah, it's a little bit
      3
07:33PM
          odd-shaped, it's kind of a U-shape. The total is 250
      4
07:33PM
           and it's about 16-and-change-by-15, but again,
      5
07:34PM
           because of the U, it's a total of 250 square feet.
      6
07:34PM
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Both parking
07:34PM
           spaces?
       8
07:34PM
                                           The one we're at, that's
                           MR. SKRABLE:
       9
 07:34PM
           the area we're filling in.
      10
 07:34PM
                                                  What I'm trying to
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
      11
 07:34PM
            get to is --
 <sub>07:34PM</sub> 12
                                         I understand.
                            MR. ALAMPI:
      13
 07:34PM
                                                                  You've
                            You want to see the two spaces.
 07:34PM 14
            got a 46-foot-wide property, are you going to make it
      15
 07:34PM
            30 feet? Are you going to make it 20 feet or
      16
  07:34PM
            24 feet.
  07:34PM 17
                             CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
      18
  07:34PM
                             MR. SKRABLE: The total width is
  07:34PM 19
             25-and-a-half.
       20
  07:34PM
                             CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: It's 25-and-a-half,
       21
  07:34PM
                    And what's the total amount of the frontage
             okay.
       22
  07:34PM
             now?
   07:34PM 23
                             MR. SKRABLE: Forty feet, I'm sorry,
   07:34PM 24
              40 feet.
   07:34PM 25
```

CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So basically it's 1 07:34PM more than half? 2 07:34PM MR. SKRABLE: Yes, it's 60-something 3 07:34PM 4 percent. 07:35PM Chairman, if you want to MR. ALAMPI: 5 07:35PM restrict it a little bit, we'll stay under the 6 07:35PM 7 50 percent. 07:35PM I understand the concept of setting a 8 07:35PM The issue because it's only 46-feet wide 9 precedent. 07:35PM and not 50, but if you want us to shave 2 feet off of 10 07:35PM the parking, we'll stay under that, you know, under 11 07:35PM 24 feet total. 12 07:35PM MR. SKRABLE: We can fit two cars in 13 07:35PM 19-feet wide. 14 07:35PM CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, I've got to 15 07:35PM be honest with you, my -- I appreciate everything 16 07 - 35 PM that you're looking to do, I do, but I'm just 17 07:35PM concerned about opening it up to the parking in front 18 07:35PM of the building. 19 07:35PM I mean I just, you know, basically what 07:35PM 20 you doing is the front lawn, you're going to -- part 21 07:35PM of the front lawn you're going to make into a parking 22 07:35PM lot and I don't think that's the way because I'm 23 07:35PM afraid it's just going to open up that everybody then 07:35PM 24 is going to be coming in and saying hey, they did it, 25 07:35PM

07:36PM	1	why can't we do it?
07:36PM	2	So for that reason, I'm going oh,
07:36PM	3	the public.
07:36PM	4	Is anybody in here from the public that
07:36PM	5	would like to say a few words?
07:36PM	6	(No Response.)
07:36PM	7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Nobody in the public
07:36PM	8	want to say anything.
07:36PM	9	Okay. So I'm going make a motion to
07:36PM	10	deny the application.
07:36PM	11	Can I get a second?
07:36PM	12	MR. GRALA: I'll second.
07:36PM	13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call?
07:36PM	14	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
07:36PM	15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes, for the
07:36PM	16	denial.
07:36PM	17	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
07:36PM	18	MR. BROGNA: Yes for denial.
07:36PM	19	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
07:36PM	20	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes for denial.
07:36PM	21	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
07:36PM	22	MR. GRALA: Yes.
07:36PM	23	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07:36PM	24	MR. KIM: Yes for denial.
07:36PM	25	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?

1	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes for denial.
2	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
3	MR. CHUNG: Yes for denial.
4	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
5	MS. YOON: Yes for denial.
6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you.
7	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman, Board
8	Members.
9	Thanks for listening.
10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right. You
11	want to get
12	MR. ALAMPI: Good night, everyone.
13	Thank you.
14	MS. YOON: Goodnight.
15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: By the way, if
16	anybody wants to remove their tie, it's perfectly
17	okay.
17	okay. MR. SOKOLICH: You want the tie on?
18	MR. SOKOLICH: You want the tie on?
18 19	MR. SOKOLICH: You want the tie on? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, I said to
18 19 20	MR. SOKOLICH: You want the tie on? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, I said to remove it.
18 19 20 21	MR. SOKOLICH: You want the tie on? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, I said to remove it. MR. SOKOLICH: No, I think he's being
18 19 20 21 22	MR. SOKOLICH: You want the tie on? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, I said to remove it. MR. SOKOLICH: No, I think he's being sarcastic.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I know. Okay. All right. So the next case we're going to hear we're going to out of order because counsel has an assured me this is going to be quick. MR. SOKOLICH: I promise, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Case No. 24-08, S&G OTION 7 OTION 8 Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue. So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had OTION 15 OTION 16 OTION 17 OTION 17 OTION 18 OTION 19 OTION 20 OT		1	
OXAY. All right. So the next case we're going to hear we're going to out of order because counsel has an assured me this is going to be quick. MR. SOKOLICH: I promise, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Case No. 24-08, S&G Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue. So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	og 200M	1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I know.
We're going to hear we're going to out of order because counsel has an assured me this is going to be quick. MR. SOKOLICH: I promise, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Case No. 24-08, S&G Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue. So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on		2	Okay. All right. So the next case
because counsel has an assured me this is going to be quick. MR. SOKOLICH: I promise, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Case No. 24-08, S&G Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue. So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. 11's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 1000 MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:38PM		lit going to hear we're going to out of order
quick. MR. SOKOLICH: I promise, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Case No. 24-08, S&G OTIGINAL 8 Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue. So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had Prisent 16 OTIGINAL 17 OTIGINAL 18 OTIGINAL 19 OTIGINAL 19 OTIGINAL 20 OTIGINAL 21 OTIGINAL 21 OTIGINAL 22 OTIGINAL 22 OTIGINAL 22 OTIGINAL 23 OTIGINAL 24 OTIGINAL 24 OTIGINAL 25 OTIGINAL 26 OTIGINAL 26 OTIGINAL 27 OTIGINAL 27 OTIGINAL 27 OTIGINAL 28 OTIGINAL 29 OTIGINAL 29 OTIGINAL 29 OTIGINAL 20 OTIGINAL 20 OTIGINAL 21 OTIGINAL 22 OTIGINAL 22 OTIGINAL 24 OTIGINAL 24 OTIGINAL 25 OTIGINAL 26 OTIGINAL 26 OTIGINAL 27 OTIGINAL 27 OTIGINAL 27 OTIGINAL 28 OTIGINAL 28 OTIGINAL 29 OTIGINAL 29 OTIGINAL 29 OTIGINAL 29 OTIGINAL 29 OTIGINAL 20 OTIGINAL 20 OTIGINAL 20 OTIGINAL 20 OTIGINAL 21 OTIGINAL 21 OTIGINAL 21 OTIGINAL 22 OTIGINAL 21 OTIGINAL 22 OTIGINAL 21 OTIGINAL 21	07:38PM	3	we're going to be
MR. SOKOLICH: I promise, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Case No. 24-08, S&G CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Case No. 24-08, S&G Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue. So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had Presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 100000000000000000000000000000000000	07:38PM	4	because counsel has an assure
CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Case No. 24-08, S&G OT:389M 7 OT:389M 8 Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue. So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had OT:389M 15 OT:389M 16 Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue, By way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything OT:389M 20 OT:389M 21 OT:389M 22 OT:389M 22 OT:389M 23 OT:389M 24 OT:389M 24 OT:389M 25 OT:389M 26 OT:389M 27 OT:389M 27 OT:389M 27 OT:389M 28 OT:389M 28 OT:389M 29 OT:389M 20 OT:389M 20 OT:389M 20 OT:389M 20 OT:389M 20 OT:389M 20 OT:389M 21 OT:389M 22 OT:389M 22 OT:389M 23 OT:389M 24 OT:389M 25 OT:389M 26 OT:389M 27 OT:389M 28 OT:389M 28 OT:389M 29 OT:389M 29 OT:389M 20 O	07:38PM	5	quick.
Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue. So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:38PM	6	MR. SOKOLICH: 1 Promiser,
So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had Presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 107:395M 20 O7:395M 20 O7:395M 21 O7:395M 22 O7:395M 22 O7:395M 23 O7:395M 24 OVER AND WITH THANK YOU, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:38PM	7	
So, Counselor, you're on. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had Presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 107:395M 20 O7:395M 20 O7:395M 21 And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:38PM	8	Development LLC, 114 East Homestead Avenue.
MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 17:39PM 19 O7:39PM 20 O7:39PM 21 And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07.29DM	9	
It's good to be back in Palisades Park. Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 107:39PM 19 07:39PM 20 100ks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on		10	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.
Just by way of background, this application relates to premises known as 114 East Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 107:39PM 20 MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on			This good to be back in Palisades Park.
application relates to premises known as 114 East or:38PM 14 Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything or:39PM 20 or:39PM 20 or:39PM 21 And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on			Just by way of background, this
Homestead Avenue designated as Lot 3, Block 609. By way of housekeeping, we had presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. Ms. TESTA: That's correct, everything 19 07:39PM 20 MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:38PM	12	
By way of housekeeping, we had 07:39PM 16 presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 07:39PM 20 looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:38PM	13	application relation application relation application relation application relation application relation application relation relations application relation rel
presented to Ms. Testa a copy of our affidavit of service which included proof of publication so forth and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 19 07:39PM 20 looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:38P	1 14	Homestead Avenue design
service which included proof of publication so forch and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything or:39PM 20 looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:39P	м 15	By way of nour affidavit of
and so on, which we are hopeful is in order. MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:39E	м 16	presented to Ms. Testa a copy of publication so forth
MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 107:39PM 20 looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a 107:39PM 22 request to construct a two-family residential 107:39PM 24 dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:391	рм 1	service which included proof of publication
MS. TESTA: That's correct, everything 107:39PM 20 looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a 107:39PM 22 request to construct a two-family residential 107:39PM 24 dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:39	рм 1	and so on, which we are hopeful is in older.
looks good. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on		_	
MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane. And with that, Chairman, it is a O7:39PM 22 request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on			
And with that, Chairman, it is a O7:39PM 23 request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on			MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane.
o7:39PM 22 request to construct a two-family residential request to construct a two-family residential dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:3		And with that, Chairman, it is a
dwelling at the property that we just identified. For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:3	9PM 2	
For this evening's purpose, we plan on	07:3	39PM	request to construct a two rame inst identified.
For this evening's purpose, For	07:	39PM	dwelling at the property that we just a we plan on
	07:	39PM	For this evening's purpose, as 1

```
presenting the abridged testimony of William Cocoros
     1
07:39PM
          the architect and David Spatz, the professional
07:39PM
          planner.
      3
07:39PM
                          With that said, we would respectfully
      4
          ask Billy to be sworn in unless the chair or counsel
07:39PM
      5
07:39PM
          or any board member has any question of I.
      6
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, I just got,
07:39PM
      7
 07:39PM
           and I believe this is a misprint, but in your
       8
 07:39PM
           paperwork, you're citing three-family.
       9
 07:39PM
                           MR. SOKOLICH:
                                            Two.
      10
 07:39PM
                                                           The notice
                           Typo, it's amended to two.
      11
 07:39PM
           is two, it's two, not three.
 07:39РМ 12
                            CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And then also at
      13
            the end, the owner of the property has Cliffside Park
 07:39PM
  07:39PM 14
            Zoning Board.
  07:40PM 15
                            MR. SOKOLICH: Yeah, I'm not so sure
       16
  07:40PM
            where that came from and I apologize.
  07:40PM 17
                             CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, no.
  07:40PM 18
                             MR. SOKOLICH: I got it.
  07:40PM 19
                             Thank you.
   07:40PM 20
                             CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to
        21
   07:40PM
             be sure that the proper service was given to
        22
   07:40PM
             residents.
       23
   07:40PM
                                               It was. It was.
                              MR. SOKOLICH:
       24
   07:40PM
                              CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And the answer is
   07:40PM 25
```

07:40PM	1	yes?
07:40PM	2	MR. SOKOLICH: Yes.
07:40PM	3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We're ready
07:40PM	4	to proceed.
07:40PM	5	MS. TESTA: Please raise your right
07:40PM	6	hand.
07:40PM	7	Do you swear the testimony you will
07:40PM	8	give in this application will be the truth, the whole
07:40PM	9	truth and nothing but the truth?
07:40PM	10	MR. COCOROS: I do.
07:40PM	11	V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA
	1	467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey,
07:29PM	12	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
07:29PM	13	MS. TESTA: Please state your name and
		business address for the record.
07:29PM		MR. COCOROS: Sure.
07:29PM	16	Vassilios, V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros,
07:40PM	17	
07:40PM	18	C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs,
07:40PM	19	New Jersey.
07:40PM	1 20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And of course he's
07:40P	м 21	been here many times and we'll accept him as an
07:40P	м 22	expert.
07:40	№ 23	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.
07:40	РМ 24	
07:40	рм 25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

07:40PM	1	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
07:40PM	2	Q. And, Bill, just briefly, you are the
07:40PM	3	engaged architect for S&G Development, correct?
07:40PM	4	A. Yes.
07:40PM	5	Q. And you, in fact, prepared all the
07:40PM	6	architectural drawings that we're going to talk about
07:40PM	7	this evening?
07:40PM	8	A. Yes, there's four sheets.
07:40PM	9	MR. SOKOLICH: I'm going mark each one
07:40PM	10	as A-1 through and including A-4, Diane, as long as
07:41PM	11	you're okay with that and I'll identify them as we go
07:41PM	12	along.
	13	(Whereupon, Elevations and Site Plan
	14	are marked as Exhibit A-1 for identification.)
	15	(Whereupon, Floor Plans are marked as
	16	Exhibit A-2 for identification.)
	17	(Whereupon, Site Plan with Improvements
	18	is marked as Exhibit A-3 for identification.)
	19	(Whereupon, Bergen County Soil Plan is
	20	marked as Exhibit A-4 for identification.)
	21	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
07:41PM	22	Q. So in an effort to comply with my
07:41PM	23	representation to the Chairman and try to be as brief
07:41PM	24	as I can, we're premarked as A-1 your plan that's
07:41PM	25	entitled, "Elevations and site plan," last revised

07:41PM	1	June 25, 2024.
07:41PM	2	Bill, using what we call the
07:41PM	3	architectural site plan in the upper right-hand
07:41PM	4	corner, could you just describe the property and the
07:41PM	5	proximity to the roadway, so forth and so on?
07:41PM	6	A. Sure.
07:41PM	7	The property is located on the I
07:41PM	8	guess it would be the south side of East Homestead
07:41PM	9	Avenue, 150 feet from Roff Avenue.
07:41PM	10	The property is oversized, it's located
07:41PM	11	in the AA zone that's nearly 10,000 square feet at
07:41PM	12	9915.7 square feet.
07:41PM	13	It has a lot width of 75 feet, lot
07:41PM	14	depth on the left-hand side is 142.2 feet.
07:41PM	15	On the right-hand side it is
07:41PM	16	129.89 feet.
07:42PM	17	So it has a total of 9915.7 square
07:42PM	18	feet,
07:42PM	19	Q. So, Bill, just to stop you for one
07:42PM	20	second, we're in the AA zone?
07:42PM	21	A. Correct.
07:42PM	22	Q. And the lot size is 5,000 square feet?
07:42PM	23	A. Correct.
07:42PM	24	Q. So it's almost double the minimum lot
07:42PM	25	size required, correct?

07:42PM	1	A. It is.
07:42PM	2	Q. Okay.
07:42PM	3	A. And now the property in addition to the
07:42PM	4	size does have a topographic difference across the
07:42PM	5	front where we have almost a 6-and-a-half foot
07:42PM	6	difference from left to right, that's why we've
07:42PM	7	stepped the units on the left-hand side to
07:42PM	8	accommodate the driveway being somewhat actually
07:42PM	9	being easier to access without having too steep of an
07:42PM	10	incline.
07:42PM	11	The property, itself, also goes up in
07:42PM	12	the back where at the front left we have
07:42PM	13	approximately 154-and-a-half at the sidewalk and then
07:42PM	14	it goes up to 166 in the back.
07:42PM	15	So it's quite a bit of difference,
07:43PM	16	almost a story and a half, about 12-feet difference
07:43PM	17	of grade from front to back.
07:43PM	18	Q. Does that topography ultimate affect
07:43PM	19	and impact the height once you get to the height?
07:43PM	20	A. Yes, because in the front it's kind of
07:43PM	21	out of the ground in the back and goes up.
07:43PM	22	And also, you know, we do have a roof
07:43PM	23	pitch, you know, which is a roof pitch that's the
07:43PM	24	best, as far as the longevity of the roof and also

for access to mechanical equipment.

07:43РМ 25

In addition since this a bigger lot,
the actually roof peak, itself, is set back further
so it won't be as visible as the street. The main
thing you see is the south at the front and the
sides.

If you look at the site plan, each unit is basically 30-feet-10-inches wide with a 6-foot-8 side yard at the closest point.

we have approximately 12-feet-8-inches to the side wall at the entry where we have the entry stairs at the corners on the outside where we have entry platform and we have a series of two sets of steps, one being -- which are broken up by a landing, you know, because of the topography difference and the elevation of the first floor regarding the sidewalk level.

Let's go -- it's basically an all brick building; however we do have a bay window at the front and the back, second floor, and that will be either stucco system or a panelized system either a Hardie board or maybe a metal panel.

Q. And stay on that page, Bill, if you would, I'm sorry. And I know the other elevations, the architectural treatment is similar or the same to

07:44PM

07:44PM

07:44PM

07:44PM

07:44PM

07:44PM

07:44PM

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

07:43PM

that which is presented in the front? 07:44PM

Yes, basically all sides are the same. The sides are basically all brick.

The rear has a small bay window at the master of the back where that's going to match the bay window at the front.

- Did you touch on utilities, Bill, what you're proposing?
- You know, we do have a stormwater Α. retention system. We would submit drainage calculations to the board engineer to verify the capacity.

In addition to that, all the roof leaders will be going into that stormwater system and as per Steve's recommendations on previous applications, we do have a trench drain in front of the property that intercepts water coming from your driveway going onto the sidewalk and that would also be tied into the stormwater system.

- And we always acknowledge, and this is per Mr. Collazuol's memo, that since this is a redevelopment, a reduction in the runoff is required and we're prepared to meet that?
 - Yes. Α.
 - A maintenance schedule shall also be Q.

2 07:44PM 3 07:44PM 4 07:44PM 5 07:44PM 6 07:44PM 7 07:44PM 07:44PM 9 07:44PM 10 07:44PM 11 07:45PM 07:45PM 12 13 07:45PM 14 07:45PM 07:45PM 15

07:45PM 16

07:45PM 07:45PM 18

17

07:45PM 19

20 07:45PM

21 07:45PM

22 07:45PM

23 07:45PM

24 07:45PM

07:45PM 25

provided with regard to the retention systems at one point along the way?

- A. Yes, we have one for the concrete pits. We usually have one for the plastic pits, but we can find one we could use, we could even come up with one for the concrete its.
- Q. And while we're on it, I'll show you a copy of correspondence from Mr. Collazuol dated July 10, 2024, and I don't necessary know that you have to go through it line by line, I don't know if the board or the chair wants me to except that is there anything in the this memorandum, Bill, that you're unable to comply with?

Is there anything in here that the applicant is unable to either comply with or substantially comply with --

- A. The only issue is we have to conform with PSE&G regarding the underground electric.
- Q. So talk to me about the underground electric if it's something that's practical?
- A. Yeah, we would do, you know, it's practical -- there's a practical approach, we could do it.

Sometimes PSE&G says they don't want to do it, so that could be confirmed after.

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

07:45PM

07:45PM

07:45PM

07:45PM

07:45PM

07:45PM

07:45PM

07:45PM

07 · 45 PM

07:46PM

07:46PM	1	Q •	Are you done with A-1?
07:46PM	2	A.	Yeah.
07:46PM	3	Q.	Great.
07:46PM	4		If you could turn to A-2?
07:46PM	5		So, oh, I'm sorry, Bill, we've marked
07:46PM	6	and you've al	so on your plan marked it as A-2 which
07:46PM	7		"Floor plans."
07:46PM	8		This too has a last revised date of
07:46PM	9	June 25, 2024	1.
	10		And starting at the ground level, Bill,
07:46PM		if you could	just walk the board through each of the
		1	are proposed?
07:47PM		A.	It's base at the front of the building
07:47PM		1	o-car garage, a real two-car garage.
07:47PM		We'll probab	oly need like a 17-foot door, maybe an
07:47PM	_	18-foot door	, so you pull two cars in and out of
07:47PM			
07:47PM	17	there easy.	There's a utility room which is at the
07:47P	18		l of the garage. At the back of the
07:47P	м 19	ground leve	have a set of stairs that go up to the
07:47E	_M 20	garage you	have a set of starrs of terrace, the
07:471	Рм 2	basement le	vel and that's to kind of terrace, the
07:47	РМ 2	- 1	of the property so it's not going to take
07:47	рм 2	on too much	soil from this area.
07:47	рм 2	4	We just have a guest room, recreation

room, bathroom, elevator.

07:47PM 25

I think we wanted to do an elevator on We have an elevator, a closet for coats, this one. actually two closets for coats, and then a staircase up to the first floor, which is the main living space.

The main living space is set up with a living room in the front which also has a door into an entry area which is two stories open to the second floor above, and those are accessed by staircases from the sidewalk level up to the covered entry platform.

As I mentioned before, you see where we have on the left-hand side, we have eight steps then we have a 5-foot-4 entry landing, which we could also provide access to the side yard, you know, in case people have to get around the side or the back, and another 8 feet of stairs. And then we have a 4-foot-4 entry platform with the column on the corner.

That's a two-story entry, so the column goes up two stories with the roof at the second floor level to kind of give it like a almost like a little -- it's basically we're trying to make it like two one-families attached on the side property.

Living room, dining room, staircase and

07:48PM

07:48PM

07:48PM 17

07:48PM 18

07:48PM

07:48PM

07:48PM

07:48PM

07:48PM

07:48PM

07:48PM

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

landing, powder room and linen coat closet, a walk-in coat closet off the dining room and then we have the elevator which goes up from the basement all the way up to the second floor, which is the bedroom level.

At the back we have a kitchen with an island, an eat-in area and then a little family room behind.

So, basically, one big entertainment space where we have the kitchen portion that you use the island for eating or you could provide a small table and the rest of it could be for family room and TV.

bedroom level with a four-bedroom configuration. At the back we have a master or a primary suite where it has an oversized walk-in closet, an en-suite master bathroom with a shower, freestanding tub, double vanity and then we have a little alcove for the toilet and a small linen closet inside that bathroom.

The three other bedrooms basically are set up where we have the second bedroom after the master has its own en-suite bathroom, a walk-in closet and then we have a laundry room, the elevator, as I mentioned before, the staircase, and then we have a hall bathroom, and outside the hall bathroom

21

22

23

25

07:49PM

07:49PM

07:49PM

07:49PM

07:49PM 24

we have an oversized hallway with a balcony looking 1 07:49PM down to the entry below. 07:49PM And at the front we have two basically 3 07:49PM bedrooms that are after the middle bedroom and those 4 07:49PM are basically 11-and-a-half feet, one is 11 feet, 5 07:49PM 10-and-a-half. And we set it up where we have closet 6 07:49PM for each one and they share the hall bathroom. 7 07:49PM So it's basically a four-bedroom 8 07:50PM configuration, a four-bedroom configuration with 9 07:50PM three full bathrooms on the upper level including a 10 07:50PM laundry room with a sink. 11 07:50PM And, you know, given the fact that, you 12 07:50PM know, basically let's say a 50-by-100 lot has 13 07:50PM three-bedroom units, you know, this is actually less 14 07:50PM intense than a typical, you know, it's basically less 15 07:50PM intense than doing two two-family or even three, you 16 07:50PM know, three-unit building. 07:50PM 17 BY MR. SOKOLICH: 07:50PM 18 Billy, any rooftop deck proposed? Q. 19 07:50PM No. Α. 20 07:50PM Does that conclude the testimony 0. 21 07:50PM regarding your floor plan? 22 07:50PM I think so. Α. 23 07:50PM Great. 0. 24 07:50PM I'm going to ask you to -- I think

25

07:50PM

that's it now A-3 and A-4, I believe are detail
sheets that are for the information of the board's
consultants and obviously the board also?

A. Yeah, we have an S-1 and we have an S-2 which are basically the site plan with the improvements, I guess we'll comply with Steve's requirements pending PSE&G.

And then S-2 is the Bergen County soil plan that basically you need for demolition on any house that has more than 5,000 square feet of soil disturbance.

Q. So I'm going to move you back to A-1 and we'll conclude your testimony. Like any municipality, we have landscape, we have lighting.

Could you just talk to what the thought process is of the applicant and also acknowledge that where the board or its consultants have any comments, the applicant would, in fact, comply?

A. Well, landscaping we do have planting areas in the front on each of the garage. We also have a pretty wide divider between two driveways, about 4-feet-4-inches wide, so we'll provide planting there.

In the back what we did is we put the two HVAC units in the middle to, you know, avoid

24

25

07 · 51 PM

07:51PM

```
noise from the neighbors on either side of us and
07 · 51 PM
          then we have decks basically about 3 feet in from the
      2
07:51PM
                    And the decks are 17-and-a-half-by-11-feet
      3
07:51PM
          and they are basically we have a 54-foot setback on
      4
07:51PM
          the left portion and a 42-foot setback on the
      5
07:52PM
          right-hand portion.
      6
07 · 52 PM
                          And as far as the look of the building
      7
07:52PM
          in the front, it's a little bit taller than usual.
07 - 52 PM
          It's closer, you know, it's closer to a complying
      9
07:52PM
          duplex except we raised it by about 4 feet to
     10
07:52PM
          minimize the excavation but in the back when you look
     11
07:52PM
          at it, it looks basically like a two-story structure.
     12
07:52PM
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But the total
     13
07:52PM
          height is 31?
07:52PM
     14
                          MR. SOKOLICH: 31.25, Chairman, 31.25.
     15
07:52PM
                                          Yeah, and that's a
                          THE WITNESS:
07:52PM
    16
          function of a wider property except, you know, if you
     17
07:52PM
          average it out from the, you know, the back portion,
     18
07:52PM
          you know, and also the neighbors behind us are up
     19
07:52PM
          higher because the property keeps -- we have a
     20
07 - 52 PM
          retaining wall which basically levels out the
     21
07:52PM
     22
          backyard and keeps --
07:52PM
                                                 Right, it's on a
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
    23
07:52PM
          hill.
07:52PM
    24
                  Okay.
                          That completes your --
     25
07:52PM
```

07:52PM	1	THE WITNESS: I think so, yeah.
07:52PM	2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay, good.
07:52PM	3	So I just got one.
07:52PM	4	MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, Chairman.
07:52PM	5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So when you're
07:52PM	6	looking at the zone up here, you know, you're
07:52PM	7	required 50 feet, right?
07:53PM	8	And then next to it what you're
07:53PM	9	proposing is 75 feet, correct?
07:53PM	10	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's existing.
07:53PM	11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's existing so
07:53PM	12	your house is on 75 front; is that what you're
07:53PM	13	telling me?
07:53PM	14	THE WITNESS: Yes, um-hmm.
07:53PM	15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So
07:53PM	16	MR. SOKOLICH: And, Chairman, we didn't
07:53PM	17	ask for a three and I think you should be a little
07:53PM	18	proud of me for that.
07:53PM	19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, I am.
07:53PM	20	MR. SOKOLICH: I can't begin to tell
07:53PM	21	you how hard the testimony was to resist it, but
07:53PM	22	we're trying to behind.
07:53PM	23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And I appreciate
07:53PM	24	that, I really do.
07:53PM	25	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you.

07:53PM 1		We tried to not accidental notice for
07:53PM 2	i	t, but thank you.
07:53PM 3		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I guess just a
07:53PM 4	0	couple questions.
07:53PM 5		If a regular duplex comes in at
07:53PM 6	5	50 feet, right, and they get you get approvals,
07:53PM 7	1	you know, you have an additional 75 feet, right?
07:53PM 8		Why would I want to or the answer is
07:54PM 9	,	you have a bigger house, is what the answer is.
07:54PM 10		MR. SOKOLICH: Correct.
07:54PM 11	ı	THE WITNESS: But also the setbacks are
07:54PM 12	2	typically 6 feet and what we did here instead of
07:54PM 13	3	having the staircase 3 feet in from the property
07:54PM 1	4	line, we basically had the staircase about
07:54PM 1	.5	7-feet-8-inches from the property line.
07:54PM 1	.6	So, you know, we basically try to like
07:54PM 1	17	telescope it from the front where it's a little bit
07:54PM]	18	narrower and then if we go to the back, we kind
07:54PM -	19	utilize the, you know, the addition width of the
07:54PM	20	property.
07:54PM	21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So in the front of
07:54PM	22	the house, what's the width of the property?
07:54PM	23	THE WITNESS: The width is still
07:54PM	24	75 feet; however, the building width in the front is
07:54PM	25	49-feet-8-inches wide and the back is

07:54PM	1	61-feet-8-inches wide.
07:54PM	2	So if you look at it, we basically have
07:54PM	3	the middle portion narrower and that's for about
07:54PM	4	15 feet, so it's a full room, basically you have
07:54PM	5	15 feet and then you have the actual wall for the
07:54PM	6	front door.
07:54PM	7	So you basically have a little cut out
07:54PM	8	on either side of it where it's like we don't have
07:54PM	9	the share case right up against the property line.
07:55PM	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Now, the
07:55PM	11	above the door there's a window, correct?
07:55PM	12	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's two story.
07:55PM	13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Because the
07:55PM	14	landing.
07:55PM	15	MR. SOKOLICH: A nonfunctioning window,
07:55PM	16	right, Bill?
07:55PM	17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, a light fixture
07:55PM	18	like
07:55PM	19	MR. SOKOLICH: Like a transom?
07:55PM	20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, you can't really
07:55PM	21	get up to it because it's a two-story portion of
07:55PM	22	the
07:55PM	23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. The
07:55PM	24	elevators go from the
07:55PM	25	THE WITNESS: From the basement up to
		II.

07:55PM	1	the second floor.
07:55PM	2	It's basically basement, first floor,
07:55PM	3	second floor.
07:55PM	4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So the elevator
07:55PM	5	goes all the way up?
07:55PM	6	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
07:55PM	7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Now, I might be
07:55PM	8	wrong, but did I see bathtubs in the basement?
07:55PM	9	THE WITNESS: That may have snuck in
07:55PM	10	there by mistake.
07:55PM	11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, well, let's
07:55PM	12	==
07:55PM	13	MR. SOKOLICH: You destroyed all the
07:55PM	14	goodwill that we've established with the
07:55PM	15	three-family.
07:55PM	16	We will stipulate, Chairman,
07:55PM	17	unconditionally.
07:55PM	18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Absolutely.
07:55PM	19	MR. SOKOLICH: If I caught it, I would
07:55PM	20	have raised it before you asked.
07:55PM	21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:55PM	22	So we agree that's going to go?
07:55PM	23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
07:55PM	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:55PM	25	Any board members have anything?

(No Response.) 1 07:55PM Before you speak, I CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: 2 07:56PM just want to -- I have one quick concern, because the 3 07:56PM house is going on a hill, you know, it's -- is it --4 07:56PM so I'm a little concerned about the water flow. 5 07:56PM I just want to make sure they have 6 07:56PM enough systems in place that we're not going to flood 07:56PM the neighbors or theirs or --8 07:56PM Exactly, Mr. Chairman. MR. COLLAZUOL: 9 07:56PM They have to prove that with drainage calculations, 10 07:56PM which is one of the requests in our report. 11 07:56PM CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. 07:56PM 12 They have to prepare a MR. COLLAZUOL: 13 07:56PM drainage report and submit it for this impervious 14 07:56PM areas that he's creating and reduce from what is 15 07:56PM existing as well. 16 07:56PM But, yes, he has to submit that and 17 07:56PM that would be a condition of approval. 07:56PM 18 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And that would go 19 07:56PM to you? 20 07:56PM MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes. 21 07:56PM MR. SOKOLICH: And we would stipulate 22 07:56PM whatever is asked of us of Mr. Collazuol we would 23 07:56PM comply with, which would be in your resolution. 24 07:56PM We'd put a retaining wall

THE WITNESS:

25

07:57PM

07:57PM	1	in to, kind of, cut some of that flow down where it's
07:57PM	2	not like a flow sheet coming down the hill.
07:57PM	3	MR. COLLAZUOL: I think I brought that
07:57PM	4	up in our report that you should address that
07:57PM	5	drainage that's coming off that with some form of
07:57PM	6	catch basin.
07:57PM	7	THE WITNESS: I guess we could do a
07:5 7 PM	8	drainage tile in the bottom of the wall maybe. Yeah,
07:57PM	9	in the bottom of the wall, we could do a drainage
07:57PM	10	tile with like PVC with gravel around it.
07:57PM	11	MR. COLLAZUOL: I think on the upside
07:57PM	12	of the wall.
07:57PM	13	THE WITNESS: Oh, in the backyard
07:57PM	14	portion.
07:57PM	15	MR. COLLAZUOL: I have two other
07:57PM	16	points, if that's all right, Chairman.
07:57PM	17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Go ahead.
07:57PM	18	MR. COLLAZUOL: With respect, and,
07:57PM	19	Bill, it's a great plan, good plan and Bill is a good
07:57PM	20	site plan designer, but with respect to grading, if I
07:57PM	21	did my math properly, which I do let you point out
07:57PM	22	mistakes, the left side of the driveway is about
07:57PM	23	6 percent and the right side of the driveway is about
07:57PM	24	1 percent.
07:57PM	25	So they're a little different,

07:57PM	1	substantially different.
07:57PM	2	So the question is could you lower the
07:57PM	3	I know the garage floors are different in
07:57PM	4	elevation.
07:57PM	5	Is it possible to lower the garage
07:58PM	6	floor some?
07:58PM	7	THE WITNESS: Probably 8 inches,
07:58PM	8	8 inches would probably be a little closer.
07:58PM	9	MR. COLLAZUOL: Because, you know, I
07:58PM	10	respect the fact that along the front there's almost
07:58PM	11	a 7-foot difference between the left property line
07:58PM	12	extended and the right property line extended, it's
07:58PM	13	quite a hill there, so to speak.
07:58PM	14	The other point is that since you show
07:58PM	15	a planter, is it possible to reduce the
07:58PM	16	49-foot-8-inch drop there and place a full-height
07:58PM	17	curb in that 4-foot section so that we don't have a
07:58PM	18	49-foot-8-inch drop curb across our frontage?
07:58PM	19	THE WITNESS: I guess the only thing is
07:58PM	20	it basically would be maybe a 6-inch actual
07:58PM	21	full-sized curb and then it will flare back down to
07:58PM	22	it; is that okay?
07:58PM	23	MR. COLLAZUOL: I think whatever you
07:58PM	24	could do there, I mean, I think that the board would
07:58PM	25	appreciate some kind of separation there because

07:58PM	1	you're separating the plantings there between the two
07:58PM	2	driveways.
07:58PM	3	That's all I have.
07:59PM	4	Thank you.
07:59PM	5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:59PM	6	THE WITNESS: And since we're doing the
07:59PM	7	ace, I could move out the plan a little bit wider
07:59PM	8	too, which would be a little bit more separation.
07:59PM	9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, do you have
07:59PM	10	anything?
07:59PM	11	MR. SIMOFF: No.
07:59PM	12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No.
07:59PM	13	Anybody in the audience have anything
07:59PM	14	they'd like to say?
07:59PM	15	Name and address, my friend.
07:59PM	16	MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the
07:59PM	17	comments that you will make with regards to this
07:59PM	18	application will be the truth, the whole truth,
07:59PM	19	nothing but the truth?
07:59PM	20	MR. SO: I do.
07:59PM	21	лом so,
07:59PM	22	115 East Homestead Avenue, Palisades Park, New
07:59PM	23	Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as
07:59PM	24	follows:
07:59PM	25	MR. SO: My name is Jon So; spelling

07:59PM	1	J-O-N, last name S-O.
07;59PM	2	I live in 115 East Homestead Avenue
07:59PM	3	right across from this plan.
07:59PM	4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:59PM	5	MR. SO: I've been there since 2006,
07:59PM	6	it's about 17 years. We bought the house because the
07:59PM	7	view.
07:59PM	8	Now the township ordinance say that
07:59PM	9	they're supposed to have, what, 28 feet they're
07:59PM	10	suppose to be, now they're going to propose the 31
07:59PM	11	and 25.
08:00PM	12	That's blocking my house and the whole
08:00PM	13	view from my house. That's too high.
08:00PM	14	And the parking space, they're going to
00:00PM	15	leave parking space supposed to be 14.33 is the
08:00PM	16	zoning, but they going to leave only 6.67. It's
08:00PM	17	already short parking there.
08:00PM	18	Now everybody they got nowhere to park
08:00PM	19	then they're going to park in front of my house and I
08:00PM	20	can't even park if I'm coming in a little later, so.
08:00PM	21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So you said
08:00PM	22	you're across the street from them?
08:00PM	23	MR. SO: Across the street from there.
08:00PM	24	But the house is going to be high-rise
08:00PM	25	and next house is going to be, you know, same thing.

```
The house is supposed to be cascade, a
     1
08:00PM
          little bit beautiful. Now it's going be big, tall,
      2
08:00PM
          big, tall, I mean, that's pretty ugly. And it's
      3
08:00PM
          going to devalue my house.
08:00PM
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
                                                Right.
      5
08:00PM
                          Well, when you say it's going to block
      6
08:00PM
      7
          you, in other words, if you're in you're house here
08:01PM
          and their house is here, it's block this way.
      8
08:01PM
                          THE WITNESS: Yes, block front.
      9
08:01PM
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, it's not
     10
08:01PM
          blocking you going up the hill or down the hill, it's
     11
08:01PM
     12
08:01PM
                                    Just the view from my living
                          MR. SO:
     13
08:01PM
          room, I overlook it and it's going to be totally
08:01PM
     14
    15
          blocked.
08:01PM
                          It's like now maybe this thing is maybe
08:01PM
    16
          it's a 25-footer, now it's going to be 31.
     17
08:01PM
          about 10-foot higher, almost like ceiling.
     18
08:01PM
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me
08:01PM
    19
          just say this to you, the town ordinance is 25 feet,
     20
08:01PM
          it's -- it should never be 25 feet because they can't
     21
08:01PM
          build a house 25 feet, it's just -- so they're only
     22
08:01PM
          3 feet above what the town says they can do.
    23
08:01PM
                          So in my humble opinion which doesn't
08:01PM
     24
          mean much, it's, you know, it's municipal.
    25
08:01PM
```

The second thing, it's on a hill. 1 08:02PM when a house is on a hill, they have to build the 2 08:02PM house, take some of the hill and they would raise the 3 08:02PM house up. 08:02PM But you want to comment on that? 5 08:02PM MR. SOKOLICH: I would point out --6 08:02PM 7 you're the corner residence, correct? 08:02PM MR. SO: Yes, I'm 115, yes. 08:02PM 9 MR. SOKOLICH: So because the way the 08:02PM property is situated, Chairman, when you're looking 10 08:02PM directly at this house yeah, there might be an 11 08 · 02 PM There's an impact at 20 feet, 25 feet, but 12 impact. 08:02PM every other direction, this house doesn't impact 13 08:02PM 14 that. 08:02PM But, bill, you were going to talk, I 15 08:02PM believe, about --16 08:02PM Yeah, as far as, you THE WITNESS: 17 08:02PM know, it's basically a function of the topography, 08 • 02 PM 18 you know, it's like more of a lot, you know, a 19 08:02PM shallower pitch, it's probably, you know, pretty much 08;02PM 20 at compliance, you know. 21 08:02PM And then regarding the parking, we 22 08:03PM comply with the parking spaces, you know, basically 23 08:03PM having a real, you know, even a regular duplex, a 24 08:03PM two-car driveway is a little bit tight. 25 08:03PM

08:03PM	1	Here we're going to have a real two-car
08:03PM	2	driveway on each side of it, you know, and basically
08:03PM	3	we are minimizing some of the curb cut with the
08:03PM	4	portion that Steve was talking about and, you know,
08:03PM	5	we'll try to preserve as much as we can along the
08:03PM	6	street there, but it's, you know, the parking is
00:03PM	7	compliant.
08:03PM	8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. What's there
08:03PM	9	now, is it a
08:03PM	10	MR. SOKOLICH: I mean, it's not on the
08:03PM	11	record. I could show you what's there now, I don't
08:03PM	12	know if that's
08:03PM	13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: This is it now?
08:03PM	14	MR. SOKOLICH: That's it now.
08:03PM	15	And this is Mr. Jun. I just want to
08:03PM	16	show you. So when you
08:03PM	17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, right now is, you
08:03PM	18	know, basically like a one-and-a-half car driveway.
08:03PM	19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So how many parking
08:03PM	20	space are you providing?
08:04PM	21	THE WITNESS: We're providing four each
08:04PM	22	side.
00;04PM	23	So it's basically two in the driveway,
08:04PM	24	two in the garage. I think RSIS recognizes it as
08:04PM	25	three, but it's base set up with two in the driveway,

08:04PM	1	two in the garage.
	2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Each side?
08:04PM	3	THE WITNESS: Each side, yes.
08:04PM		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So you're doing A
08:04PM	4	
08:04PM	5	THE WITNESS: A-B parking. It's like
08:04PM	6	most of the time, people and their garage fill half
08:04PM	7	
08:04PM	8	of it with junk.
08:04PM	9	So it's like in reality, it would
08:04PM	10	probably be two in the driveway and one in the garage
08:04PM	11	that they're using.
08:04PM	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
		So it's basically three on one side,
08:04PM	- 1 1	three on the other side.
08:04Pi		THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could be four,
OB:04P	м 15	you know, because the garage is big enough for four.
08:04F	_{РМ} 16	Chairman, I'm sorry,
08:04	рм 1	7
08:04	рм 1	I'll show the rest of the board. But Mr. Jun's home is here and ours is
08:04	_{IPM} 1	But Mr. Jun's nome 15 hours
09:04	_{4PM} 2	directly across the street, so the impact is directly
08:0	4PM 2	across the street, but no
08.0)4PM 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah.
		MR. SOKOLICH: Just in case any other
	V 1	, mamber wants to see it.
		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:	04PM	25

	,	so Mr. Tur hold providing of locat
08:04PM	1	So, Mr. Jun, he's providing at least
08:04PM	2	six, probably eight parking spaces.
08:04PM	3	So when you say they're going to park
08:05PM	4	in front of your house, I don't quite are you
09:05PM	5	saying that that house is going the house
08:05PM	6	MR. SO: Yeah, right now we can maybe
08:05PM	7	park maybe four or five cars in the parking spaces
00:05PM	8	there all along 114 Homestead Avenue.
08:05PM	9	But if they build a whole thing, and
08:05PM	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You're going to
08:05PM	11	lose parking spaces?
08:05PM	12	MR. SO: they park on the street,
09:05PM	13	everybody going to park on my property on front of
08:05PM	14	property or side of property.
08:05PM	15	And the building is way too high for
08:05PM	16	me. I mean, it's like 20 feet is normal then
08:05PM	17	31-and-a-half.
08:05PM	18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, well.
08:05PM	19	Mark, can you do anything with the
08:05PM	20	first floor?
08:05PM	21	Like I see it's 10 feet. Is there a
08:05PM	22	way to knock it down?
08:05PM	23	THE WITNESS: Well, it's 10 feet on the
08:05PM	24	one side where the property goes down.
08:06PM	25	I was trying to keep the same roof

```
pitch, so it's 9 feet on this side, you know,
      1
08:06PM
          basically the roof lines up with it.
08:06PM
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So there's nothing
      3
08:06PM
          you can do with the --
08:06PM
      4
                          MR. SOKOLICH:
                                            Billy.
      5
08:06PM
                           Chairman, bear with me.
      6
08:06PM
      7
                           I'm sorry.
08:06PM
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
                                                  Sure.
                                                          Sure.
                                                                  Sure.
      8
08:06PM
                           THE WITNESS: Yeah, what we could do is
      9
08:06PM
          we could adjust the grade and bring it down 8 inches
     10
08:06PM
          and play with the grade and get a foot reduction on
     11
08:06PM
          the height.
     12
08:06PM
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
                                                  Okay.
                                                          So you're
     13
08:06PM
          talking about maybe a foot.
     14
08:06PM
     15
                           THE WITNESS:
                                           Yeah.
08:06PM
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
                                                  Okay.
     16
08:06PM
                           So he's going to bring the house down a
     17
08:06PM
          foot so it's not going to be 31, it will be 30.
08:06PM
     18
                           And there seems -- it's true that the
     19
08:06PM
          cut is going to take some parking away from the
     20
08:06PM
          street, but they're also going to increase parking
     21
08:06PM
          for that house, so.
     22
08:06PM
                                          Yeah, because right now
                           THE WITNESS:
08:06PM
     23
          the way it's set up, it looks like there's three --
     24
08:06PM
          that line of property is three spaces, basically one
     25
08:06PM
```

08:07PM	1	on the left-hand side is a 10, you know, 12-foot-wide
08:07PM	2	driveway and then there's two after that.
08:07PM	3	So you know, basically if we adjust the
08:07PM	4	duplex next door, you know, we'll preserve as much as
08:07PM	5	we can as far as, you know, the street line.
08:07PM	6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:07PM	7	Anybody else in the audience have
08:07PM	8	anything?
08:07PM	9	(No Response.)
08:07PM	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Nope? Okay.
08:07PM	11	Any board members have any added
08:07PM	12	comments?
08:07PM	13	(No Response.)
08:07PM	14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going
08:07PM	15	to
08:07PM	16	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman, we
08:07PM	17	call David Spatz.
08:07PM	18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, David Spatz.
08:07PM	19	MR. SPATZ: Now you're making me
08:07PM	20	nervous.
08:07PM	21	MS. TESTA: Please raise your right
08:07PM	22	hand.
00:07PM	23	Do you swear the testimony you give in
08:07PM	24	this application will be the truth, the whole truth,
08:07PM	25	and nothing but the truth?

00.0771	1	MR. SPATZ: Yes, I do.
08:07PM		DAVID SPATZ, P.P., ACIP
08:07PM	2	
07:48PM	3	60 Friend Terrace, Harrington Park, New Jersey,
08:07PM	4	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
08:07PM	5	MS. TESTA: State your name and your
08:07PM	6	business address for the record.
08:07PM	7	MR. SPATZ: David Spatz, S-P-A-T-Z, my
08:07PM	8	business address is 60 Friend Terrace in Harrington
08:07PM	9	Park.
08:07PM	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Got it.
08:07PM	11	David's been here many, many times.
08:07PM	12	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.
08:07PM	13	So we offer David as an expert in the
08:08PM	14	field of processional planning.
00:08PM	15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And we accept him.
08:08PM	16	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you.
08:08PM	17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
08:08PM	18	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
08:08PM	19	Q. David, you prepared you've conducted
08:08PM	20	a review.
08:08PM	21	If you could just review with the board
08:08PM	22	what the scope of your engagement is and what you did
08:08PM	23	to prepare your testimony and then of course your
08:08PM	24	findings?
08:08PM	25	A. Certainly.

08:08PM	1	I was asked to review the plans, visit
08:08PM	2	the property and then prepare testimony to support
08:08PM	3	the variances that we're seeking this evening.
08:08PM	4	Yes, sir.
08:08PM	5	Q. And, in fact, you completed that
08:08PM	6	assignment and you prepared to provide the board with
08:08PM	7	one, the background of the statutory criteria and
08:08PM	8	what we believe to be the basis for these variances
08:08PM	9	that is are being ought?
08:08PM	10	A. Yes, I am.
08:08PM	11	Q. You were present during the course of
08:08PM	12	Mr. Cocoros's testimony?
08:08PM	13	A. I was, yes.
08:08PM	14	Q. And you acknowledge that there is an
08:08PM	15	amendment to the application by way of a 1-foot
08:08PM	16	reduction in height?
08:08PM	17	A. Correct.
08:08PM	18	Q. Wherever you deem appropriate, David,
08:08PM	19	please get started.
08:08PM	20	A. Sure.
08:08PM	21	I don't have a photo for this evening,
08:08PM	22	but I can describe the area. It is East Homestead
08:08PM	23	Avenue, it's as mixture of one- and two-family homes.
08:09PM	24	Directly to the right of our property
08:09PM	25	is an older two-family home.

08:09PM	1	To the left of our property there's
08:09PM	2	newer two-family structures across the street. There
08:09PM	3	are also some older two-family structures the
08:09PM	4	property directly across the street.
08:09PM	5	We are in the AA zone which permits
08:09PM	6	two-family dwellings, as Mr. Cocoros described. We
08:09PM	7	have a significantly oversized lot, it is nearly
08:09PM	8	double what is required of the zone.
08:09PM	9	We do require three variances. We had
08:09PM	10	a D variance for height. With the change made this
08:09PM	11	evening, that brings it down to C variance.
08:09PM	12	And then we also need variances for
08:09PM	13	side yard and then lot coverage.
08:09PM	14	In terms of the height variance, I
08:09PM	15	think we are consistent with the neighborhood. The
08:09PM	16	other three-family, the newer three families are
08:09PM	17	certainly similar in height to what we're proposing.
08:09PM	18	We brought it down by a foot, so we're only
08:09PM	19	2-and-a-half feet over what is required permitted
08:10PM	20	within the zone.
08:10PM	21	We are two-and-a-half stories which is
08:10PM	22	what is permitted within the zone.
08:10PM	23	So our height variance is just as it
08:10PM	24	relates to the measurement in terms of feet.
08:10PM	25	As was also described, this property

slopes up significantly from front to back, but also 1 08-10PM more importantly from left to right. 08:10PM

East Homestead sort of crests up from our property to the adjacent property before -- to the right before it levels off a little bit and that change in topography is what creates the issue as it relates to building height.

In terms of our other C variances in terms of side yard because we have a side yard that is -- a property that is wider than what is required in the zone, we have increased setback requirement from the side yards.

We don't meet that setback. The building has been designed to provide two-car garages for units and parking on the site makes the building a little bit bigger, but I'll note that we provide side yards at 6.7 feet where your ordinance require normally 6.5 feet.

So although we are slightly narrower side yards as it relates to the size of our property, in terms of the setbacks typically required by Palisades Park, we do exceed that side yard.

So we are 6.7 feet from each of the individual side yards.

In terms of the coverage, again, for

3 08:10PM

Δ 08:10PM

5 08:10PM

6 08:10PM

7 08:10PM

8 OB:10PM

9 08:10PM

10 08:10PM

11 08:10PM

12 08:10PM

1.3 08:10PM

14 08:10PM

15 08:11PM

16 08:11PM

17 08:11PM

18 08:11PM

19 08:11PM

20 08:11PM

21 08:11PM

22 08:11PM

08:11PM 23

08:11PM 24

25 08:11PM

duplexes, there is no percentage requirement. 00:11PM 1 There's only a limitation in terms of square footage. 08:11PM The footprint is limited to 2500 square feet, which 3 08:11PM is difficult to accomplish on a property of this 08:11PM 4 size, we're about a thousand square foot over that. 5 08-11PM But I'll note that elsewhere in the AA 6 08:11PM zone for non-duplexes, you permit 40-percent coverage 7 08 - 11 PM and we are at 36-percent coverage. 8 08:11PM So as related to other development 00:11PM 9 throughout Palisades Park of non-duplex developments, 10 08:12PM our coverage is actually less than what would be 08:12PM 11 required, so I think the positive criteria exists for 12 08:12PM our three C variance. 13 08:12PM In terms of negative criteria, I don't 14 08:12PM think there is anything that is substantially 15 08:12PM negative. We are permitted within the zone. We are 16 08:12PM consistent with the development in the immediate 17 08:12PM vicinity, which contains both older and newer 18 08:12PM 19 two-family homes. 08:12PM In terms of the setbacks, again, we are 2.0 08:12PM consistent with the existing property as well as the 21 08:12PM The setback do exceed what 22 surrounding properties. 08:12PM you permit elsewhere within Palisades Park. 08:12PM 23 In terms of the height, we've reduced 24 08:12PM

that this evening to go from a D variance to a

25

08:12PM

C variance. The height is directly attributable to 1 08:12PM the slope of the property. 08:12PM The property to the right of us is at a 3 08:12PM significantly higher elevation, it's at the top of 4 08:12PM that crest. 5 08:12PM And so in terms of height, there will 6 08:12PM be no blockage of light, air and open space for the properties on either side of us and the slope is what 08:12PM 8 08 • 13PM generates the variance as it relates to views from 9 08:13PM other properties. 10 08:13PM In terms of the coverage, drainage 11 08:13PM improvements are being provided on the property, 12 addition landscaping is being provided to buffer the 08:13PM 13 08:13PM adjacent properties. 14 08:13PM We have a more than conforming amount 15 08:13PM of parking on-site. 16 08:13PM So on balance, I think that the 08:13PM 17 positive criteria is met and that far outweighs 18 08:13PM anything that might be considered negative and I 19 08:13PM believe it would be appropriate to grant the 20 08:13PM variances that we're seeking. 21 08:13PM MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, David. 22 08:13PM Mr. Chairman, I offer Mr. Spatz. 23 08:13PM CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have nothing for 08:13PM 24

08:13PM 25

him.

08:13PM	1	Any board members have anything?
08:13PM	2	Experts have anything?
08:13PM	3	MR. COLLAZUOL: No.
00:13PM	4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Members of the
08:13PM	5	audience have anything?
08:13PM	6	(No Response.)
08:13PM	7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right. You want
08:13PM	8	to sum up then?
08:13PM	9	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no
08:13PM	10	further witnesses. You've heard from the testimony
08:13PM	11	of Mr. Cocoros. You've heard from the testimony of
08:13PM	12	Mr. Spatz.
08:13PM	13	This is an excessively large lot,
08:14PM	14	almost double the minimum lot size in the AA zone.
08:14PM	15	This is an application or this is rather an applicant
00:14PM	16	that doesn't appear before you for a three or to
08:14PM	17	start to ask for things that is are just greedy, he's
08:14PM	18	not.
08:14PM	19	Yes, he's building a larger two family,
08:14PM	20	but one that fits very nice on this oversized lot.
08:14PM	21	I'd also indicate to the board that
08:14PM	22	this applicant hasn't turned a tin ear to the
08:14PM	23	requests of the board and the neighborhood.
08:14PM	24	At one point there was a 3.25 increase
08:14PM	25	in the height above the maximum under your ordinance.

08:14PM 1	We've now reduced that by almost	
2	33-and-a-third percent, a total of one foot.	
08:14FW —	I don't want to recant the testimony of	
4	Mr. Spatz, but there is not any substantial adverse	
08:14PM 4	impact that the granting of the variances would have	
08:14PM 5	on the neighborhood.	
08:14PM 6	so for those reasons and for what we	
08:14PM 7	believe to be a very sound plan prepared by	
08:14PM 8	Mr. Cocoros, we would respectfully request the board	
08:14PM 9		
08:15PM 10	act favorably on the application.	
08:15PM 11	Thank you, Chairman.	
08:15PM 12	Thank you, Members of the Board.	
_{08:15PM} 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right.	
08:15PM 14	So I'm going to grant the application	
OB:15PM 1	with the following provisos: The bathtubs in the	
08:15PM 1	have to go, make a note of that.	
00.10111	MR. COCOROS: I did.	
00124	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You're going to	
00.2021	8 concur with all of our engineer's reports, right?	
00.13111	MR. COCOROS: Yes.	
08:15PM 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You're going to	
08:15PM 2	21 reduce the height of the building about a foot and	
08:15PM	reduce the height of the surp cuts.	
08:15PM	anything you can do with the curb cuts. MR. COCOROS: Yeah, what I'll do is -	_
08:15PM		
08:15PM	yeah, I'm probably going to widen the space between	

08:15PM	1	you know, I'll provide two separate actual curb cuts
08:15PM	2	and I'll see, try to bring them down a little bit
08:15PM	3	more and provide a little bit more linear feet along
08:15PM	4	the curb.
08:15PM	5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. That would
08:15PM	6	be good. Okay?
08:15PM	7	So that's my motion to accept the
08:15PM	8	application with the different can I get a second?
08:15PM	9	MR. GRALA: I'll second.
08:15PM	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:16PM	11	Roll call.
08:16PM	12	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
08:16PM	13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
08:16PM	14	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
08:16PM	15	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
08:16PM	16	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
08:16PM	17	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
08:16PM	18	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
08:16PM	19	MR. GRALA: Yes.
08:16PM	20	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
08:16PM	21	MR. KIM: Yes.
08:16PM	22	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
08:16PM	23	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
08:16PM	24	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
08:16PM	25	MR. CHUNG: Yes.

08:16PM	1	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
08:16PM	2	MS. YOON: Yes.
08:16PM	3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you, Counsel.
08:16PM	4	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, Members of the
08:16PM	5	Board, thank you so much.
08:16PM	6	We're excited about this.
08:16PM	7	Thank you, Chairman.
08:16PM	8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Now, you
08:16PM	9	have one more on tonight?
08:16PM	10	MR. SOKOLICH: We do.
08:16PM	11	Can I have five minutes, please.
08:16PM	12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to take
08:16PM	13	a five-minute break to give our court reporter a
08:16PM	14	break.
08:16PM	15	So we're back in five minutes.
08:16PM	16	(Whereupon, a brief recess is held at
08:26PM	17	8:16 p.m. to 8:24 p.m.)
08:26PM	18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Just so
08:26PM	19	we're clear, I hate to do this to you, Hal, but I
08:26PM	20	don't think the parking is going on tonight.
08:26PM	21	Correct, your parking?
08:26PM	22	MR. SOKOLICH: We have architect. We
08:26PM	23	have engineer.
08:26PM	24	MR. SIMOFF: Well, I should at least
08:26PM	25	MR. SOKOLICH: But after my witnesses

		19
08:26PM	1	go, you're going to hear from Mr. Bern and you're
00:20FM	2	going to hear from Mr. Hubschman, I presume, on
00.2011	- 1	cross, correct?
08.2011	4	MR. BERN: Correct.
08:26PM	5	MR. SOKOLICH: So I'm helpful if we get
	6	through a witness.
08:26PM		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:26PM	7	But I'm saying that our parking
08:26PM	8	engineer, does he have to be here.
08:26PM	9	engineer, does he have to make the make
08:26PM	10	
08:26PM	11	but we're not going to we don't see any scenario
08:26PM	12	where we get the traffic tonight.
08:26PM	13	MR. SIMOFF: And then you get a
08:26PM	14	transcript, right?
08:26PM	- 1	MS. TESTA: Yes.
08:26PM		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
08:26PM		MR. SIMOFF: All right. I'm out.
	10	Goodnight, all.
09:26PM		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Goodnight.
08:26PM		MR. SIMOFF: I'll read the transcript.
08:26PM	20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:26PM	4 21	Now, do we have any objectors in the
08:27P	м 22	Now, do we have any only
08:27P	м 23	house?
08:27F	Рм 24	You can come right to this table, I
08:27	РМ 25	think.

08:27PM 1	MR. BERN: Is that okay?
08:2724	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure.
06:2714	MR. BERN: I didn't want to crowd
08:27PM 3	a-halich
08:27PM 4	Mr. Sokolich. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, he won't be
08:27PM 5	
08:27PM 6	nervous.
08:27PM 7	MR. SOKOLICH: No chance.
08:27PM 8	MR. BERN: Thank you.
0	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So
08:27111	MR. BERN: You want our appearances?
00.27111	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, we'll
08:27PM 11	MR. SOKOLICH: Can I start my
4.0	then we can certainly get to Mr
V	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, we need
00.27111	another chair for as I said before, ties don't
00.2.2.	to stay on, but that's your preference, you
08.27111	
08:27PM 1	7 know, that's fine. (Whereupon, a brief recess is held.)
08:27PM 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So I make a
08:27PM 1	
08:27PM 2	motion that we go back into session.
09:27PM 4	MR. GRALA: Second.
	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All in favor?
00.2.2	(Whereupon, all present members respond
00.272	in the affirmative.)
08:27PM	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Mark.
08:27PM	25

	MS. TESTA: Roll call.
08:27PM 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, roll call.
08:27PM 2	*
08:27PM 3 O	MS. TESTA: It's the same as here.
08:27PM 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Go ahead.
08:27PM 5	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
08:27PM 6	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
08:27PM 7	CHAIRMAN PERCOSOS
09:27PM 8	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
08:27PM 9	MR. BROGNA: Here.
08:27FM 10	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
00.2	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here.
1.0	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
00.2011	MR. GRALA: Here.
08:28PM 13	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
00.2011	MR. KIM: Here.
08:28PM 15	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
08:28PM 16	MR. TERRANOVA: Here.
08:28PM 17	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
08:28PM 18	MS: KEDDIKI
08:28РМ 19	MK. Chone
08:28PM 20	MS. REBERTI
_{08:28PM} 21	MS. YOON: Here.
08:28PM 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. That's
08:28PM 23	everybody.
0.4	Okay. So, Mark.
00.2	MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir.
08:28PM 25	

08:28PM	1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: It looks like you
08:28PM	2	got all kinds of papers there.
08:28PM	3	MR. SOKOLICH: I do.
08:28PM	4	Chairman, for the record, Mark Sokolich
08:28PM	5	on behalf of the next application before you. The
08:28PM	6	applicant is Hillcrest Builders LLC, it relate to
08:28PM	7	premises known as 450 East Edsall Boulevard here in
08:28PM	8	the Borough of Palisades Park designated as Lot 16,
09:28PM	9	Block 420.
00:28PM	10	The property sits in the MC Zoning
08:28PM	11	District.
08:28PM	12	This is an application, Chairman, for a
08:28PM	13	61-unit residential dwelling which provides for and
08:28PM	14	offers affordable housing, which we'll get into
08:28PM	15	during the course of our application, number one.
08:28PM	16	Number two, just by way of
08:28PM	17	housekeeping, we presented, Diane I believe,
08:28PM	18	Diane, at the last meeting with the affidavit, then
08:29PM	19	we gave you the supplemental green card and we
08:29PM	20	presume that at least from your perspective, is in
08:29PM	21	order.
08:29PM	22	That said, Mr. Chairman, as I
08:29PM	23	indicated, this is a 61-unit multifamily residential
08:29PM	24	development at the subject premises.
08:29PM	25	Those premises are not expressly

08:29PM	1	permitted in the MC Zoning District. So amongst many
08:29PM	2	other variances, a use variance is being requested.
08:29PM	3	It's the intention of the applicant to
08:29PM	4	provide the following testimony from the development
08:29PM	5	team that they have amassed: Number one, from
08:29PM	6	Mr. Koestner, our site plan engineer, who's been
08:29PM	7	before all the experts have been before this board
08:29PM	8	multiple times.
08:29PM	9	Mr. Koestner, site plan engineer to
08:29PM	10	provide the site, ingress, egress, utilities, so
08:29PM	11	forth and so on.
08:29PM	12	Then Mr. Cocoros, licensed architect to
08:29PM	13	provide the floor plans, the elevations, the height,
08:29PM	14	so forth and so on.
08:29PM	15	Number three, we thought that traffic,
08:29PM	16	parking, emergency vehicle access, ingress, egress,
08:29PM	17	safety on vehicular traffic on-site would be critical
08:30PM	18	testimony, so Mr. Lou Luglio will also be presenting
08:30PM	19	traffic testimony at one point.
08:30PM	20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:30PM	21	MR. SOKOLICH: And then, finally, we
08:30PM	22	intend to conclude with the planning testimony of
08:30PM	23	David Spatz.
08:30PM	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:30PM	25	MR. SOKOLICH: I don't have anything

```
further at the beginning. Perhaps at this point,
      1
08 - 30PM
          you'd like to hear from counsel.
08:30PM
                                                I've just got one
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
      3
08:30PM
          quick question.
      Δ
08:30PM
                                           Yes, sir.
                           MR. SOKOLICH:
      5
08:30PM
                                                 The person that's
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
      6
08:30PM
           handling your parking, Mr. Lou --
       7
 08:30PM
                                            Luglio.
                           MR. SOKOLICH:
       8
 08:30PM
                                                  Luglio.
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
       9
 08:30PM
                           Is he an expert in parking?
      10
                                            We'd like to think so.
 08:30PM
                           MR. SOKOLICH:
      11
 08:30PM
                            CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, seriously.
      12
 08:30PM
                            MR. SOKOLICH: Yeah. No, I'm serious.
      13
            I mean, traffic -- look, traffic, traffic testimony,
  08:30PM
      14
  08:30PM
            at least in my view encompasses not only
       15
  08:30PM
            ingress/egress, not only traffic counts, not only
       16
  08:30PM
            impacts on level of service of neighborhood or area
       17
            intersection, it also includes adequacy of parking,
  08:30PM
       18
  08:30PM
             parking counts, circular motion.
       19
   08:30PM
                             I also include with his testimony
        20
             emergency vehicle access which I think is important
   08:30PM
        21
             and we believe that to be clearly within the scope of
   08:30PM
        22
   09:31PM
             his expertise.
        23
   08:31PM
                                                     Okay. So now we're
                              CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
        24
              going to -- there's two objectors to this and we're
   08:31PM
        25
    08:31PM
```

08:31PM	1	going let you each put your appearance in.
08: 31PM	2	MR. BERN: Thank you very much.
08:31PM	3	Douglas Bern, Bern & Associate in Englewood, New
08:31PM	4	Jersey representing Neil Rubenstein, N&R Scientific
08:31PM	5	LLC.
08:31PM	6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:31PM	7	MR. BERN: Mr. Rubenstein and his
08:31PM	8	company own two buildings and on two lots, Lots 13
08:31PM	9	and 14 right behind where this project is intending
08:31PM	10	to locate.
08:31PM	11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:31PM	12	MR. BERN: And they're conforming
08:31PM	13	buildings used for scientific equipment and testing
08:31PM	14	and he's been in conformance with the zoning for
08:31PM	15	decades at that location.
08:31PM	16	And the building are one story and one
08:31PM	17	one is one story and the next door one is
08:32PM	18	one-and-a-half. Because of the severe slope, there's
08:32PM	19	another half a floor on the eastern side that's
00:32PM	20	exposed.
00:32PM	21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So just so I'm
08:32PM	22	clear, you're not on 12th Street, you're behind?
08:32PM	23	MR. BERN: We're behind.
08:32PM	24	This building would go just to the east
08:32PM	25	of us on the slope facing Long Swamp. I can show you

08:32PM	1	on the map.
08:32PM	2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, please.
08:32PM	3	MR. BERN: Okay. If that's okay.
08:32PM	4	So this in red is Mr. Sokolich's
08:32PM	5	proposed building and we are right behind it over
08:32PM	6	here, there's two buildings (indicating).
08:32PM	7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I got you.
08:32PM	8	MR. BERN: And just to the south is the
08:32PM	9	parking deck for the bank building.
08:32PM	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
08:32PM	11	Oh, okay.
08:32PM	12	MR. BERN: Okay? That elevator parking
09:32PM	13	deck.
08:32PM	14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. I got you.
08:32PM	15	Okay. You're up, my friend.
08:33PM	16	Long time no see. How you been?
08:33PM	17	MR. HUBSCHMAN: Yes, very well. Thank
08:33PM	18	you.
08:33PM	19	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good to see
08:33PM	20	you.
08:33PM	21	Richard Hubschman appearing on behalf
08:33PM	22	of Windsor Square Condominium Association. This is
08:33PM	23	the development on 12th Street immediately adjacent
08:33PM	24	but across Edsall Boulevard, and it's been there for
08:33PM	25	quite some time, since the middle '80s.

08:33PM	1	And, obviously, we cannot support this
08:33PM	2	in its present form.
08:33PM	3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So you don't
	4	represent any one- or two-family houses, it's all
08:33PM	4	
08:33PM	5	MR. HUBSCHMAN: No, this is the
08:33PM	6	condominium association.
08:33PM	7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Two stories, three
08:33PM	8	stories?
08:33PM	9	MR. HUBSCHMAN: They're two and
08:33PM	10	three stories.
08:33PM	11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Two and three
08:33PM	12	stores?
08:33PM	13	Okay. Thank you.
08:33PM	14	MR. HUBSCHMAN: Thank you.
08:33PM	15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:33PM	16	Mr. Sokolich, you can take over.
08:33PM	17	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.
08:33PM	18	We call Steve Koestner of Koestner
08:33PM	19	Associates.
08:33PM	20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And just a word of
08:33PM	21	housekeeping so we all now, you'll put your cause on
08:33PM	22	then we're going to go to Mr. Bern, right, if you
08:34PM	23	have any questions, right?
08:34PM	24	MR. BERN: I will have a witness. I
08:34PM	25	have a planner with me this evening.

08:34PM	1	I don't know if you're going to get
08:34PM	2	into the planning testimony, it sounds like you're
08:34PM	3	not.
08:34PM	4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
08:34PM	5	MR. BERN: And I would have an engineer
08:34PM	6	as well.
08:34PM	7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's fine, but
08:34PM	8	the order that he put this case on, it would go to
08:34PM	9	you.
08:34PM	10	MR. BERN: Okay.
08:34PM	11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And then it would
08:34PM	12	go to you if you have any questions, to the board,
08:34PM	13	our experts, and then ultimately the residents that I
08:34PM	14	assume is waiting in the back patiently for us to get
08:34PM	15	this thing going. Okay?
08:34PM	16	Just so we're clear.
08:34PM	17	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, is the
08:34PM	18	cross-examination by counsel happening after each
08:34PM	19	witness?
08:34PM	20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
08:34PM	21	MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, fine. I just
08:34PM	22	wanted to make sure.
08:34PM	23	Thank you.
08:34PM	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They're going to
08:34PM	25	question your witness.

08:34PM	1	MR. SOKOLICH: Correct, once we're done
08:34PM	2	with =-
00:34PM	3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And at some point,
00:34PM	4	he'll have the opportunity to put his witness on.
08:34PM	5	MR. SOKOLICH: Understood. Understood.
08:34PM	6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. You can
08:34PM	7	proceed.
08:34PM	8	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you.
08:34PM	9	I believe you going raise your right
08:34PM	10	hand, Steve.
08:34PM	11	MS. TESTA: Please raise your right
08:34PM	12	hand.
08:34PM	13	Do you swear the testimony you will
08:35PM	14	give in this application will be the truth, the whole
08:35PM	15	truth, and nothing but the truth?
08:35PM	16	MR. KOESTNER: I do.
08:35PM	17	STEVEN KOESTNER, LS, P.E.,
07:40PM	18	61 Hudson Street, Hackensack, New Jersey, having
08:35PM	19	been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
08:35PM	20	MS. TESTA: Please state your name and
08:35PM	21	your business address for the record.
08:35PM	22	MR. KOESTNER: Certain.
08:35PM	23	Steven L. Koestner, S-T-E-V-E-N,
08:35PM	24	K-O-E-S-T-N-E-R, 61 Hudson Street, Hackensack, New
08:35PM	25	Jersey.

```
Okay. He's been
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
      1
08:35PM
          here many times.
      2
08:35PM
                           We accept him.
      3
08:35PM
                                            Thank you, Chairman.
                           MR. SOKOLICH:
      4
08:35PM
                                            Thank you very much.
                           MR. KOESTNER:
      5
08:35PM
           DIRECT EXAMINATION
       6
08:35PM
           BY MR. SOKOLICH:
       7
                           Now, Mr. Koestner, this is a relatively
 08:35PM
       8
           speaking, larger application, so I want to make sure
 08:35PM
       9
 08 - 35 PM
            that we get all the details of your expertise and
      10
 08:35PM
            testimony on the record.
      11
 08:35PM
                            So we're going to start off with what
      12
 08:35PM
            is the scope of your engagement? Why were you hired
       13
 08:35PM
            by this applicant?
       14
  08:35PM
                             I've been hired to prepare a site plan
                     Α.
       15
  08:35PM
             of the property.
       16
  08:35PM
                             It's known as Lot 16 and Block 420,
       17
  08:35PM
             Palisades Park to provide site plan, including
       18
             topographical survey and the placing on that survey,
  08:35PM
       19
             the proposed building, and that would create the site
  08:35PM
        20
   08:36PM
              plan.
        21
   08:36PM
                              So a site plan is essentially the frame
                      0.
        22
              of the property, the building footprint. Would that
   08:36PM
        23
   08:36PM
              include, for example, ingress and egress?
        24
   08:36PM
                               It would.
                      Α.
        25
    08:36PM
```

08:36PM	1	Q. W	ould it include utilities?
08:36PM	2	А. У	es.
08:36PM	3	Q. W	ould it include stormwater management?
08:36PM	4	A. I	t does.
08:36PM	5	Q. T	hings of that nature?
08:36PM	6	A. Y	es.
08:36PM	7	Q. A	nd that was basically the scope of
08:36PM	8	your engagement	, correct?
08:36PM	9	A. C	orrect.
08:36PM	10	Q. A	nd you have been working with, have
08:36PM	11	you not, not on	ly the representatives of the
08:36PM	12	applicant, but	also Mr. Cocoros, who is the licensed
08:36PM	13	architect and o	n deck to testify?
08:36PM	14	А. Т	hat I have.
08:36PM	15	Q. 0	kay. Your credentials have been
08:36PM	16	accepted as an	expert as a site plan engineer,
08:36PM	17	correct?	
08:36PM	18	А. Т	hank you very much.
08:36PM	19	Q. s	o we're going to using the now, all
08:36PM	20	the plans that	we're about to speak to to your
08:36PM	21	knowledge were	filed with the building department and
08:36PM	22	the board well	in advance of this public hearing,
08:36PM	23	correct?	
08:36PM	24	А. У	es, to my knowledge, yes.
08:36PM	25	Q. s	o just give me a chance to mark each
		In the second se	

```
page as you go through them.
      1
08:36PM
                           MR. SOKOLICH: First one, Diane, with
      2
08:36PM
          your permission, A-1.
      3
08:36PM
                                        Yes.
                           MS. TESTA:
      4
08:36PM
                                             Thank you.
                           MR. SOKOLICH:
      5
08:36PM
                            (Whereupon, Cover Sheet is marked as
       6
na:36PM
                   Exhibit A-1 for identification.)
       7
08:36PM
           BY MR. SOKOLICH:
       8
 08:36PM
                            Steve, I'm going mark as A-1 what I'll
                    Q.
       9
 08:37PM
            call your cover sheet. You've entitled it cover
      10
 08:37PM
            sheet, pretty honorable tonight, would you please --
      11
 08:37PM
            and by the way, your cover sheet is initially dated
      12
 08:37PM
            May 9th of 2024?
       13
 08:37PM
                             Correct.
                    Α.
      14
  08:37PM
                             I don't believe there's a last revised
                     0.
      15
  08:37PM
            date on this one.
       16
  08:37PM
                             There is not.
                     A .
       17
  08:37PM
                             Can you just describe what your cover
                     Q.
       18
  08:37PM
             sheet represents?
       19
  08:37PM
                              Sure.
                     Α.
        20
  08:37PM
                              The cover sheet shows aerial of view of
        21
  08:37PM
             the same map of the property in question as well as
        22
   08:37PM
              the surrounding properties in the neighborhood.
        23
   08:37PM
                              You'll notice it's east of Bergen
        24
   08:37PM
              Boulevard and south of the ball field near an area
   08:37PM 25
```

called the Long Swamp and west of that area as well 1 08:37PM and it's located on the highest ground or nearly the 2 08:37PM highest ground in Palisades Park. 3 08:37PM And what roadway is bound this 0. 4 08:37PM property? 5 08:37PM Yes, this property is bound 12th Street Α. 6 08:37PM is the easterly boundary and on the northerly 7 08:37PM boundary East Edsall Boulevard. 08:37PM Now, East Edsall Boulevard is improved 9 08:37PM and completed, correct? 10 08:38PM Yes, it is. 11 08:38PM But 12th Street as it fronts this 0. 12 08:38PM property is unimproved, is it not? 13 08:38PM That's correct. Α. 14 08:38PM Is it the intention of applicant to 0. ов:38РМ 15 improve that street were this application granted? 16 08:38PM It is, yes, the proposed curb, proposed Α. 08:38PM 17 paving, et cetera. 08:38PM 18 Now, is there anything else that the Q. 08:38РМ 19 cover sheet represents? I believe that there's also 20 08:38PM a photograph of the subject property? 21 08:38PM This photograph this is looking from Α. 22 08-38PM East Edsall Boulevard which is the southbound 12th 23 08:38PM Street as well there's a zoning and a key map in the 24 08:38PM lower left-hand corner indicate the zone and the

08:38РМ 25

street layout. 1 08:38PM 08:38PM

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

16

08:38PM

08:38PM

08:38PM

08:38PM

08:38PM

08 - 38PM

08:38PM

08:38PM

Q8:39PM

08:39PM

08:39PM

We also have a zoning chart in the upper right-hand corner and a parking schedule.

- 0. Which we're going get to later on in your testimony, but if I were to be on the front, what I call on the front property line of this property facing due east or east, the Hudson River, directly in front of me is unimproved 12th Street, correct?
 - Α. Right.
- And if were to go beyond unimproved 0. 12th street, I'm basically going off of a cliff into what I know to be the long swamp, which has since been developed with the mid-rise buildings?
 - That's correct. Α.
 - Q. Okay.

But there's nothing in front of this site to speak of other than down into the swap?

- Yes, there's a Jersey barrier that runs along the edge of the hills top, I'll call it, and then it drops swiftly down to the Long Swamp.
- Now, this someone here, 0. Um-hmm. there's an objector here that owns property directly behind the site, I think your heard counsel indicate that?

10 08:38PM

08:39PM 12

15 08:39PM

17 08:39PM

18 08:39PM

08:39PM 19

20 08:39PM

21 00:39PM

22 08:39PM

23 08:39PM

24 08-39PM

2.5 08:39PM

08:39PM	1	A	I did.
08:39PM	2	Q =	Are you aware, and if you're not, just
00:39PM	3	say you're not	, but are you aware as to whether or
08:39PM	4	not there were	e any discussions between the applicant
08:39PM	5	and that indiv	vidual to acquire his property?
08:39PM	6	Α.	I am not aware of that.
08:39PM	7	Q.	Okay.
00:39PM	8		Is there anything else that you'd like
08:39PM	9	to talk about	with regard to A-1?
08:39PM	10	Α.	I think that covers it.
08:39PM	11	Q.	Great.
00:39PM	12	Α.	We can go back if we need to.
08:39PM	13	Ω.	So we're going mark this page as A-2
08:39PM	14	and this page	we can identify by what we call your
08:40PM	15	topographical	survey.
08:40PM	16	Α.	Yes.
08:40PM	17		(Whereupon, Topographical Survey is
08:40PM	18	marked	as Exhibit A-2 for identification.)
08:40PM	19	BY MR. SOKOLI	CH:
08:40PM	20	Q.	This has a date of May 8, 2024, and
08:40PM	21	again, I do no	ot see a last revised date; is that
08:40PM	22	correct?	
08:40PM	23	Α.	That's correct.
08:40PM	24	Ω.	Okay.
08:40PM	25		And, in fact, you did the survey?

Yes. Α. 1 na - 40PM

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08 - 40 PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:40PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08:40PM 14

All the drawings we're talking about 0. tonight including A-1 and all the future exhibits were either prepared by you or under your direct supervision, correct?

- That is correct.
- Okay. So this is where we're going to Q. talk about exiting conditionings, Steve.

So using A-2 as your reference, could you describe the size of the property, its dimensions, what exists on the property now and any topographical conditions you want to point out to the board?

Α.

As you notice, the property is somewhat of an L-shape located at the intersection of East Edsall Boulevard and 12th Street, that would be the southwesterly intersection.

You'll notice a dashed line near the bottom of the survey, that indicates the edge of the pavement on East Edsall Boulevard and from this point to the south, it becomes a dirt and gravel roadway. It pretty much peters out near our southerly boundary.

The property has 50 feet of frontage

Certainly.

24 08:41PM

25 08:41PM

along East Edsall Boulevard and 221.98 feet of frontage along 12th street. The shorter line being 100-feet depth from Edsall Boulevard back and 50 feet to the west and then another 123 feet to the south and property being a hundred feet across the rear in the width, I'll call it.

08-41PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08 - 41 PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08:41PM

08-41PM

08:42PM

08:42PM

08:42PM

OB:42PM

08:42PM

08:42PM

08:42PM

08:42PM

08:42PM

08:42PM

08:42PM

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The general lay of the land is from

Bergen Boulevard and sloping down towards the east

and towards 12th Street. 12th Street is relatively

level, it has a foot or two of pitch up and then back

down again.

It actually grades towards the Long

Swamp. There are some swales near the southerly end

as well as the northerly end to capture that runoff.

The property is relatively flat as well until we get -- until the westerly portion in the rear were it starts to rise about 6 to 7 feet in height at that point from the gray, the flatter area up to the top of the slope or more.

There's several trees located in that back portion as well and you'll see on the site plan, they are to be removed. There's trees also along the easterly side of 12th Street and as I mentioned earlier, there's a Jersey barrier that goes along that easterly side of 12th Street as well and what

08:42PM	1	exists on 12th Street, even though it is unimproved.
08:42PM	2	There is utilities, there's a sewer
08:42PM	3	that runs in a westerly direction on East Edsall
08:42PM	4	Boulevard and then there's also water main and a gas
08:42PM	5	main on the northerly side of the street and
08:42PM	6	southerly side of street respectively.
08:43PM	7	We do indicate the buildings in the
08:43PM	8	rear, there's a masonry structure to the west
08:43PM	9	fronting on East Edsall Boulevard and the two other
08:43PM	10	structures in the back to the west as well and they
08:43PM	11	front on what I believe is 11th Street.
08:43PM	12	Q. Any environmental conditions, adverse
08:43PM	13	environmental conditions that we need to disclose to
08:43PM	14	the board?
08:43PM	15	What I mean by that is, for example,
08:43PM	16	leaking oil tanks and things of that nature that
08:43PM	17	should be disclosed that you're aware of?
08:43PM	18	A. There's none that I'm aware of.
08:43PM	19	Q. How about wetland conditions?
08:43PM	20	A. No wetlands on the site.
08:43PM	21	Q. Don't know I'm sorry, excuse me.
08:43PM	22	A. No wetlands.
00:43PM	23	Q. Don't know if you even know this yet,
08:43PM	24	are there any material rock outcroppings that we need
08:43PM	25	to indicate and disclose to the board?

	1	A. I have not observed rock outcroppings
08:43PM	1	
00:43PM	2	on this site.
08:43PM	3	Q. Okay. Which is usually a good
08:43PM	4	indication, correct?
08:43PM	5	A. Correct.
08:43PM	6	Q. And here is where we clearly depict the
00:43PM	7	unimproved 12th Street, correct?
08:43PM	8	A. Yes.
08:43PM	9	Q. Where we clearly depict the improved
08:44PM	10	East Edsall Boulevard and you've also correctly
08:44PM	11	identified the buildings directly behind us.
00:44PM	12	Is this property lower than the
00:44PM	13	buildings behind or higher than the building behind?
08:44PM	14	A. It's lower, quite a bit lower.
08:44PM	15	Q. When you say quite a bit
08:44PM	16	A. It's quite a bit, yeah.
08:44PM	17	The elevation in the rear is
08:44PM	18	approximately Elevation 300, in the back that is, and
08:44PM	19	then the elevation on 12th Street would be
08:44PM	20	Elevation 292 so we've get about an 8-foot
08:44PM	21	differential in grade.
08:44PM	22	Q. Okay.
08:44PM	23	Is there anything else that you would
08:44PM	24	like to add with regard to existing conditions using
08:44PM	25	A-2?

08:44PM	1	A. I believe I've covered it.
08:44PM	2	Q. You did. You did a good job.
08:44PM	3	Thank you, Steve?
08:44PM	4	We're going to turn you to the next
08:44PM	5	one.
08:44PM	6	A. It's on the other side.
08:44PM	7	Q. Oh, gosh.
08:44PM	8	MR. SOKOLICH: Now, Ms. Testa, with
08:45PM	9	your permission, A-3.
08:45PM	10	MS. TESTA: Yes.
08:45PM	11	(Whereupon, Site Grading-Ground Floor
08:45PM	12	is marked as Exhibit A-3 for identification.)
08:45PM	13	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
08:45PM	14	Q. Steve, I have premarked as A-3 a plan
08:45PM	15	that you entitled site grading-ground floor, initial
08:45PM	16	date May 9, 2024, and again, I do not see any last
08:45PM	17	revised date.
08:45PM	18	In the right side of the document
08:45PM	19	there's a tax map excerpt that highlights the subject
08:45PM	20	property, but then there are also multiple general
08:45PM	21	notes.
08:45PM	22	Could you just indicate generally what
08:45PM	23	this document, what this page represents?
08:45PM	24	A. Okay.
08:45PM	25	This page takes the survey and adds the

08:45PM	1	architectural footprint to the survey to create site
08:45PM	2	labeled site plan. It does show the building
08:45PM	3	footprint, it shows extent of the building, the
08:45PM	4	living area above and then the parking deck at grade
08:45PM	5	and below.
08:45PM	6	And it also shows the access entrance
08:46PM	7	from East Edsall Boulevard as well as access from
08:46PM	8	12th Street.
08:46PM	9	This is a drawing of the ground floor
08:46PM	10	or the lowest floor and this shows that that would
08:46PM	11	access from 12th Street.
08:46PM	12	Q. So to be clear, there are two levels of
08:46PM	13	proposed parking, correct?
08:46PM	14	A. There are.
08:46PM	15	Q. Okay. And one of those levels, which I
00:46PM	16	believe you call the upper level or the higher level
08:46PM	17	is accessible only from East Edsall Boulevard?
08:46PM	18	A. That's correct.
08:46PM	19	Q. And that ingress is both ingress and
08:46PM	20	egress?
08:46PM	21	A. It would be, yes.
08:46PM	22	Q. That's proposed to be that. And then
08:46PM	23	the lower level, the parking level below it is only
08:46PM	24	accessible from 12th Street once 12th Street is
08:46PM	25	improved?

			102
08:46PM	1	Α.	Yes.
08:46PM	2	Q.	And that would be ingress and egress
08:46PM	3	also?	
08:46PM	4	Α.	Yes.
08:46PM	5	Q.	Is there a ramp or is there any way a
08:46PM	6	vehicle could	go from lower level to from the
08:46PM	7	lower level to	o the upper level?
08:46PM	8	Α.	No.
08:46PM	9	Q.	And vice versa?
08:46PM	10	Α.	Correct.
08:46PM	11	Q.	Okay. And how many parking spaces are
08:46PM	12	proposed for	the lower level?
08:46PM	13	Α.	There are 24 proposed parking spaces on
08:47PM	14	the lower leve	el.
08:47PM	15	Q.	And the upper level?
08:47PM	16	Α.	28 on the upper level.
08:47PM	17	Q.	Now, I understand that that might be
08:47PM	18	increasing as	a result of the spaces that are in the
08:47PM	19	maintenance a	reas that I believe Billy is going to
08:47PM	20	speak to, cor	rect?
08:47PM	21	Α.	Yes, that's correct.
08:47PM	22	Q.	And also an adjustment with some of the
08:47PM	23	handicap spac	es?
08:47PM	24	Α.	Yes.
08:47PM	25	Q.	So focusing your attention on the

ground floor, the lower level which is depicted on
your site plan, could you please indicate utilities
start with, Steve, what we're proposed, where they're
proposed to be hooked up and the standards you intend
to comply with.

A. Certainly.

With do have a sanitary sewer area located in the northeasterly corner of the building, an ejector pump would be emanating from that point out into East Edsall Boulevard and up to the nearest manhole to the west of the property, that would be a force main up to that point.

We've also indicated two water connections 10 feet apart just to the east of that sanitary sewer, one is for sprinklers and the other for domestic service.

To the other side of the sewer main, sewer forced main that is, we have a gas connection that will service the building as well.

Electric would be under -- is proposed is proposed to be underground. We have electrical transformer here in the northeasterly corner of the site to service the building as well.

- Q. Sorry, Steve, go ahead.
- A. No problem. That's fine.

08:47PM 6

08:47PM

08:47PM

08:47PM

08:47PM

08:47PM

08:47PM 7

08:47PM 8

08:47PM

08:47PM 10

08:47PM 11

08:47PM 12

08:47PM 13

08:47PM 14

08:47PM 15

08:48PM 16

08:48PM 17

08:48PM 18

08:48PM 19

08:48PM 20

08:48PM 21

08:48PM 22

08:48PM

23

оя:48РМ 24

08:48РМ 25

08:49PM	1	Within the building here we have a
08:48PM	2	metered utility room, maintenance garage.
08:48PM	3	This is a ramp above another utility
08:48PM	4	room over here and here as well as a trash area.
08:48PM	5	The entrance or the main entrance is
08:48PM	6	the entrance lobby area and that's more or less the
08:48PM	7	center of the building at 12th Street.
08:48PM	8	And in that lobby there would be two
08:48PM	9	elevators, a vestibule and a mailroom.
08:48PM	10	Q. Now, pedestrians would be able to
08:49PM	11	access this proposed building from that site, correct
08:49PM	12	from that point?
08:49PM	13	A. Correct, from that point.
08:49PM	14	Q. There's also utilities or apparatus,
08:49PM	15	for lack of a better term, below the ground level,
08:49PM	16	what's there?
08:49PM	17	A. Yeah, we're proposing below the ground
08:49PM	18	level we have eight seepage pits, they are each
08:49PM	19	8-by-4 feet, 8 feet in diameter, 4 feet in depth.
08:49PM	20	They would collect the roof water from the property
08:49PM	21	from the site and drain into those pits.
08:49PM	22	You also have one other seepage pit
08:49PM	23	here that would collect any of the parking lot or
08:49PM	24	ramp water and drain that into a seepage pit as well.
08:49PM	25	Q. Now, the applicant is in full

08:49PM	1	agreement, is it not, that any conditions,
08:49PM	2	requirements, obligations that are imposed by any
08:49PM	3	board consultant, and in particular, the board's
08:49PM	4	engaged consulting engineer Mr. Collazuol, in fact,
08:50PM	5	the applicant will comply with, correct?
08:50PM	6	A. Yes, yes we've read the letter from
08:50PM	7	Mr. Collazuol.
	8	Q. And we're going get to that, but and
08:50PM		
08:50PM	9	we acknowledge that that's going to be a condition of
08:50PM	10	any action, hopefully favorable action that this
08:50PM	11	board were to take, correct?
08:50PM	12	A. Yes.
00:50PM	13	Q. Before I was asking you about parking,
08:50PM	14	you indicate lower level 24, upper level 28 spaces?
08:50PM	15	A. Yes.
08:50PM	16	Q. Right, at a minimum?
08:50PM	17	And are those parking areas proposed to
08:50PM	18	be gated?
08:50PM	19	A. Yes, the access to the parking would be
08:50PM	20	a gated access for tenants.
08:50PM	21	Q. And the parking area along with the
08:50PM	22	rest of the building, but in particular parking areas
08:50PM	23	is proposed to be sprinkler?
08:50PM	24	A. That's my understanding, yes.
08:50PM	25	Q. We're going get to the upper level in

one second, the utilities in particular the electric, 1 08:50PM is that proposed to be underground, Steve? 08:50PM It is, yeah, we have a transformer 3 08:50PM located in this corner of the property, this 4 08:50PM northeasterly corner, and that would be an 5 08:50PM underground service to the building. 6 08:50PM Okay. 0. 7 08:50PM Now, as far as the footprint of the 8 08:50PM building, the proximity of the building on the site 9 08:50PM that we're looking at, in the immediate area that 10 08:51PM bounds it, I know where Windsor Court is across from 11 08:51PM East Edsall, but behind it and to the left, do you 12 08:51PM know if those are residential uses or if those are 13 08:51PM commercial uses? 08:51PM 14 My understanding, these are commercial Α. 08:51PM 15 uses immediately adjacent to the west. 08:51PM 16 Again, not Windsor Court across the Q. 17 08:51PM street, I'm talking about adjacent touching our 08:51PM 18 property line? 08:51PM 19 Correct, adjacent touching the property 20 08:51PM line is three structures, three commercial uses. 21 08:51PM Okay. And in front of us, I think Q. 22 08:51PM we've already described that, that it's unimproved 23 08:51PM 12th Street and then the massive drop off of the

08:51PM 24

08:51PM 25

cliff?

08:51PM	1	A. Correct.
08:51PM	2	Q. Into the north woods?
08:51PM	3	A. Yes.
08:51PM	4	Q. Now, you've described what rooms are
08:51PM	5	going to be in the lower level, but I want to talk to
08:51PM	6	the thought process on the proximity of this building
08:51PM	7	to the property line because there are variance being
08:51PM	8	sought.
08:51PM	9	And I want to know what the rationale
08:51PM	10	for that was, and I presume it's the type of uses
08:51PM	11	that are adjacent to the property?
08:51PM	12	Is there anything else that you want to
08:52PM	13	add to that?
08:52PM	14	A. No, the variances listed on the zoning
08:52PM	15	schedule are the function of maximizing our parking
08:52PM	16	and the type of structures and neighborhood we have.
08:52PM	17	Q. Understood.
08:52PM	18	Now, this isn't necessarily you to talk
08:52PM	19	about, but it's important that you acknowledge it,
08:52PM	20	the number of units were important to you also
08:52PM	21	because I believe this applicant is agreeable to
08:52PM	22	provide affordable housing; you're aware of that,
08:52PM	23	correct?
08:52PM	24	A. That's correct.
08:52PM	25	Q. And I believe the set aside that's

```
proposed is 20 percent?
      1
08:52PM
                          Yes.
                  A.
08:52PM
                          Okay. We'll get into that in a little
      3
08:52PM
          bit more detail with Mr. Cocoros.
      4
08:52PM
                           Now, this is the lower level.
      5
08:52PM
           about the upper level, what's proposed for
      6
08:52PM
           improvements on the upper level, was that depicted on
08:52PM
           here?
       8
 08:52PM
                           It's on the next sheet, sheet 4 of 7.
                   Α.
       9
 08:52PM
                           And then we'll get to your variances in
                   Q.
      10
 08:52PM
           a sec?
      11
 08:52PM
                            MR. SOKOLICH: But, Diane, with your
      12
 08:52PM
            permission, I'm going mark as A-4 site grading plan
      13
 08:52PM
            second floor parking.
 08:52PM 14
                            So I just always go -- that's okay?
      15
  08:52PM
                            MS. TESTA: Yes.
      16
  08:52PM
                             (Whereupon, Site Grading Plan, Second
      17
  08:52PM
                     Floor Parking is marked as Exhibit A-4 for
  08:52PM 18
                     identification.)
  08:53PM 19
             BY MR. SOKOLICH:
       20
  08:53PM
                             I just always go through this review so
                     Q.
       21
   08:53PM
             everybody knows where we are. The first page or the
        22
   08:53PM
             page just before this was the ground floor, that's
        23
   08:53PM
             the lowest level of improvement other than
       24
   08:53PM
             subterranean utility apparatus, I get it, but that's
```

25

08:53PM

1 covered under the ground floor?

- A. It is.
- Q. But we're now on the floor directly above where I believe there's approximately 28 parking spaces?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And there are also improvements on this floor like there were improvements on the lower floor.

Could you describe those improvements?

A. Certainly.

The access to this upper level, the second-floor parking would be from East Edsall Boulevard.

An entrance would be at westerly edge of that -- of the property or of the building, and there would be a ramp traversing up from East Edsall Boulevard to that second level.

Once reaching that point, there would be parking on the left and going into a main parking area straight ahead on the left and on the right as well.

And like I said earlier, 28 spaces once we access that level.

Q. And again to reconfirm, the parking

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

08:53PM

08:53PM

08:53PM

08:53PM

08:53PM

08:53PM

08:53PM

08:54PM

08:54PM

	- 1	
08:54PM	1	areas that are on what you're calling the second
08:54PM	2	floor parking or the upper level are only accessible
08:54PM	3	from East Edsall Boulevard?
08:54PM	4	A. Only accessible from East Edsall
08:54PM	5	Boulevard, yes.
08:54PM	6	Q. Okay. And are the utilities proposed
08:54PM	7	in this upper level or that was described in the
08:54PM	8	lower level?
08:54PM	9	A. Well, there would be lighting in the
08:54PM	10	ceiling, otherwise
08:54PM	11	Q. They'll also be sprinklers in the
08:54PM	12	ceiling?
08:54PM	13	A. And sprinklers.
08:54PM	14	Q. Okay.
08:54PM	15	How about stairwells, access points to
08:54PM	16	this upper level, or is that accessible from the
08:54PM	17	lower level?
08:54PM	18	A. Yes, I believe the access in the
08:54PM	19	building proposed would fit more to that access to
08:54PM	20	the lobby and the elevators there, as well as there's
08:54PM	21	a stairwell at the southerly portion of the building
08:54PM	22	and a stairwell in the towards the northerly
08:54PM	23	portion as well.
08:54PM	24	Q. Understood.
08:54PM	25	There's no access, correct me if I'm

08:54PM	1	wrong, from upper level to lower level from a
08:54PM	2	vehicular standpoint, correct?
08:54PM	3	A. From a vehicular standpoint, no.
08:55PM	4	Q. But if I were a pedestrian, a person, I
08:55PM	5	wasn't in a vehicle, could you just describe what
08:55PM	6	points of ingress and access exist on this plan,
08:55PM	7	proposed plan?
08:55PM	8	A. It's my understanding the access would
08:55PM	9	be to the lobby or to the stairwells as well to go
08:55PM	10	either up or down.
08:55PM	11	Q. And I believe the stairwells that
08:55PM	12	you're pointing to are required by fire subcode, if
08:55PM	13	I'm not mistaken?
08:55PM	14	A. I believe they are.
08:55PM	15	Q. Does your plan, by the way, to the best
08:55PM	16	of your knowledge, comply with the applicable fire
08:55PM	17	subcodes?
08:55PM	18	A. As far as I'm aware, yes.
08:55PM	19	Q. You do realize that is going to go
08:55PM	20	through a fire subcode review, I'm sure, and thereto
08:55PM	21	if there's any suggestions that are made, the
08:55PM	22	applicant would be willing to comply to the best of
08:55PM	23	its ability, correct?
08:55PM	24	A. I expect that, yes.
08:55PM	25	Q. Okay. Now, just if I would, I just

08:55PM	1	thought it was easier to explain it on this page,
08:55PM	2	just explain where the building is versus where the
08:55PM	3	parking lot is, because the building is off of the
08:55PM	4	property line a bit, the parking is proposed to
08:56PM	5	basically go to the property line, so if you could
08:56PM	6	describe how that's depicted on A-4?
08:56PM	7	A. Yes, you'll notice there is a solid
08:56PM	8	line along the edge of the property or near the edge
08:56PM	9	of the property, and there is a dashed line within
08:56PM	10	the solid line.
08:56PM	11	The dashed line represents the building
00:56PM	12	above and that would be == the building above would
08:56PM	13	be the living area and that is enclosed almost
08:56PM	14	entirely by that solid line, is enclosed entirely by
08:56PM	15	the solid line, rather, and within that line.
00:56PM	16	And it covers approximately I believe
08:56PM	17	it's 77 percent of the site, that solid line.
08:56PM	18	So the parking area is slightly larger
08:56PM	19	than the building area itself.
08:56PM	20	Q. We acknowledge, now, you acknowledge,
08:56PM	21	do you not, receipt of a review letter or a memo, if
08:56PM	22	you will, dated May 15th from Collazuol and
08:56PM	23	Associates?
08:56PM	24	A. I have, yes, I have.
08:56PM	25	Q. Now, there are many points that are

made in this, and I don't want to belabor the board, the public and the record with going through each 2 one, but generally, are there any requirements that 3 have been imposed or requested by Mr. Collazuol that can't be met with or complied with by the applicant, 5 to your knowledge? 6

- I've read through the letter and the Α. requirements to Mr. Collazuol and we believe we can comply with his requests.
- So I've heard of developers having this Q. problem, and just to make sure that this one doesn't have that same problem, it is proposed, is it not, that capacities be tested to make sure that were the board, were the board inclined to grant this application, that the utilities that are in place in fact satisfy the usage and intensity that's proposed?
- Yes, in terms of soil logs and perc Α. test underground beneath the building to see if it's adequate to handle not only the porosity of the soil, but the depth to bedrock, we would verify that prior to construction.
 - How about utilities like sewers? Q.
- Sewers as well, they would have to be verified to -- they could be made and then we would verity the capacity of that sewer as well as the

7

9

25

08:58PM

17

08:57PM

OB:58PM 1 volume.

I believe in the letter there's a TVA application recommendation requirement to be made due to the volume of it, would be proposed for the site.

- Q. Back to Mr. Collazuol's memo for a moment, we acknowledge that this is what we would call under the ordinance a redevelopment, not a redevelopment zone, but it's a rebuild that's starting from scratch, redevelopment, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And because of that, the standard that has to be met by this applicant would be a reduction in runoff; you agree with that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you have an opinion as to whether or not the system that you propose meets that standard?
- A. Yeah, we've done drainage calculations and have found that the eight seepage pits and the other seepage pit on the northerly side would be adequate to handle that increase in runoff and it would be collected through a series of drains from the roof for the most part with the exception of a trench drain which would be drained into the soil seepage pit on the northerly end of the property.

21

22

23

24

25

08:58PM

08:58PM

08:59PM

08:59PM

08:59PM

00:59PM	1	Q. Okay.
08:59PM	2	And those testings you were just
08:59PM	3	speaking to a minute ago before that last question,
08:59PM	4	those are submitted actually to Mr. Collazuol before
00:59PM	5	you actually installed any retention system or
08;59PM	6	anything like that, correct?
08:59PM	7	A. They would be, yes, soil and perc
08:59PM	8	tests, yes.
08:59PM	9	Q. There was discussion of the sanitary
08:59PM	10	sewer, the water service. It's noted that there's a
08:59PM	11	separation distance of a minimum of 10 feet is
08:59PM	12	required between water services and sanitary sewer;
08:59PM	13	do we maintain that?
08:59PM	14	A. We do.
08:59PM	15	Q. And electric service, you indicated is
08:59PM	16	proposed to be placed underground, correct?
08:59PM	17	A. Yes.
08:59PM	18	Q. Okay. Landscaping and lighting we're
08:59PM	19	about to get to.
08:59PM	20	Soil erosion, this would be, in fact,
08:59PM	21	subject to approval by the soil erosion and sediment
08:59PM	22	control plan laws and regulation, correct?
08:59PM	23	A. Or the Soil Conservation Service, yes.
08:59PM	24	Q. A request was made that the visitor
09:00PM	25	parking per the RSIS be explained.

09:00PM	1	Do you have any explanation there you
09:00PM	2	want to offer for that or are we deferring to
09:00PM	3	Mr. Luglio on that?
09:00PM	4	A. Yeah.
09:00PM	5	Q. Okay. Forty-eight hours of notice, but
09:00PM	6	again, the testimony is that nothing you're aware of
09:00PM	7	is insurmountable that's in this memo?
09:00PM	8	A. That's correct.
09:00PM	9	Q. Okay.
09:00PM	10	I'd like to go to the next page if I
09:00PM	11	may, Steve. We're going to mark this as we've now
09:00PM	12	gone through essentially your aerial, your cover,
09:00PM	13	what's existing on the site now?
09:00PM	14	A. Yes.
09:00PM	15	Q. The lowest level and what's below that,
09:00PM	16	then the next level, second level. And we're now
09:00PM	17	going talk to lighting and landscaping?
09:00PM	18	MR. SOKOLICH: I'm going to mark this
09:00PM	19	with Diane's permission as A-5.
09:00PM	20	(Whereupon, Lighting and Landscape Plan
09:00PM	20	(Whereupon, Lighting and Landscape Plan is marked as Exhibit A-5 for identification.)
09:00PM	21	is marked as Exhibit A-5 for identification.)
09:00PM	21	is marked as Exhibit A-5 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH:

09:01PM 1 date, correct?

- A. Yes, correct.
- Q. And, again, prepared by you like all the other plans that we've already marked?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I presume you want to start with lighting or landscaping?
 - A. Lighting basically is fine.

In the ceiling we show several lights structures, the details of those are over here.

Mr. Collazuol's letter asked that we enhance that and we will do that as well.

We do indicate some landscaping.

- Q. But on the lighting, are there any building lights that have the possibility of spill off onto adjacent properties or are they situated more to the front of the building?
- A. Yeah, most of these lights would be in the ceiling for the parking area itself.
 - Q. Understood.

And any lighting as proposed on the exterior, I presume, to light the entranceway and for safety purposes, adequate steps will be made to make sure that they're focused on the areas that they're supposed to be and not excess spillage onto adjacent

09:01PM 7

2

3

4

5

6

8

09:01PM

09:01PM

09:01PM

09:01PM

09:01PM

09:01PM

- 00.01PM 9
- 09:01PM 9
- 09:01PM 10
- 09:01PM 11
- 09:01PM 12
- 09:01PM 13
- 09:01PM 14
- 09:01PM 15
- 09:01PM 16
- 09:01PM 17
- _{09:01PM} 18
- 09:01PM 19
- 09:01PM 20
- 09:01PM 21
- 09:01PM 22
- 09:01PM 23
- 09:01PM 24
- 09:01PM 25

properties or elsewhere?

- And any glare would be screened.
- And this is no different, lighting is no different than utilities, right? If there are any comments by any board expert, it's the applicant's intention to comply?
 - It is. Α.
 - And is that your understanding? 0.
 - Yes. Α.
 - With regard to landscaping? Q.
 - Yes, landscaping we propose along the A. westerly side of 12th Street, that's along the building.

We also have some limited landscaping on the northerly side of the building at East Edsall Boulevard, as well as landscaping at the southeasterly corner of the property as well.

Mr. Collazuol's letter recommended shade trees as well, and we don't show them here, but we would be happy to show that in a future revision, and those would be shade treats in the street right-of-way.

> Understood. 0.

And there are two if there's any recommendations for other species or more robust

1

8 09:02PM

09:02PM

09:02PM

6

7

9 09:02PM

10 09:02PM

11 09:02PM

12 09:02PM

13 09:02PM

14 09:02PM

15 09:02PM

09:02PM 16

17 09:02PM

18 09:02PM

19 09:02PM

20 09:02PM

21 09:02PM

22 09:02PM

23 09:02PM

09:02PM

24

25 09:02PM

09:02PM	1	landscaping so froth and so on by the board, it's the
09:02PM	2	applicant intention to comply?
09:02PM	3	A. It is.
09:02PM	4	(Whereupon, Lighting and Landscape
09:02PM	5	Plan, Second Floor, is marked as Exhibit A-6
09:02PM	6	for identification.)
09:02PM	7	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
09:02PM	8	Q. I'm going to now mark with counsel's
09:03PM	9	permission as A-6 plans that you entitle lighting and
09:03PM	10	landscape plan, second floor, with an initial and
09:03PM	11	only date of May 9, 2004?
09:03PM	12	A. Again, this would have the lighting and
09:03PM	13	the ceiling, further details here on the right-hand
09:03PM	14	side of the sheet.
09:03PM	15	The plan does bring out the plant
09:03PM	16	legend which indicates the various plants that are
09:03PM	17	proposed.
09:03PM	18	We have a blue juniper, cone flower and
09:03PM	19	a mint julep juniper. We have 532 and 10, 42, 47
09:03PM	20	different species provided in landscaping along the
09:03PM	21	front and side of the building as well.
09:03PM	22	Q. Before I forget, are there new
09:03PM	23	sidewalks that are proposed for this development on
09:03PM	24	all level?
09:03PM	25	A. Yes, there are.

09:03PM	1	There's sidewalks around the property
09:03PM	2	that would be at East Edsall Boulevard and it would
09:03PM	3	run to the lobby area along 12th Street.
09:03PM	4	Q. Okay. Anything else that you'd like to
09:04PM	5	add with regard to lighting and landscaping on the
09:04PM	6	second floor?
09:04PM	7	A. No.
09:04PM	8	Q. Steve, I'd like to now there are
09:04PM	9	several variances, there are many variances, frankly,
09:04PM	10	that are being sought with this application; is that
09:04PM	11	your understanding?
09:04PM	12	A. Yes.
09:04PM	13	Q. We have the zoning schedule that I
09:04PM	14	believe you had placed on what we've marked as
09:04PM	15	Sheet A-1?
09:04PM	16	A. Correct.
09:04PM	17	Q. If you could just go through some of
09:04PM	18	the requirements and some of the relief that's being
09:04PM	19	sought by the applicant?
09:04PM	20	A. Certainly.
09:04PM	21	Q. Now, the bases will be attested to by
09:04PM	22	Mr. Spatz and others, but we need you to
09:04PM	23	identify them.
09:04PM	24	A. Yes, I'll identify and outline the
09:04PM	25	variances.
		I control of the second of the

The property is located in the 09:04PM The We do propose a multifamily use. MC District. 2 09:04 PM minimum lot area is unspecified in the ordinance; 3 09:04PM however, the lot is 17,274 square feet or more than 09 · 04 PM 5 one third of an acre. 09:04PM The frontage of the property is 6 09:04PM 271.98 feet and that is along 12th Street. 7 09:04PM minimum front yard, 15 feet is being required. 8 09:05PM We do propose 8 feet at this closest 9 09:05PM point on East Edsall Boulevard, that is, and then it 10 09:05PM jogs over to 10 feet as well at that -- on East 11 09:05PM 12 Edsall Boulevard. 09:05PM The side yards 10 feet being required, 13 09:05PM we have 0.5 feet proposed. Both required both side 14 09:05PM yards, 10 plus 10 or 20 feet. 15 09 · 05 PM We have 1.5 feet proposed and that is 16 09-05PM to the parking garage. The building living area 17 09:05PM itself, however, totals 5.83 feet. 18 09:05PM The stories, three stories being 19 09:05PM permitted, this is -- would be seven stories and that 09:05PM 20 is two stories of parking and five stories of living 21 09:05PM space, 35 feet being allowable for the height. 22 09:05PM property, rather, this building would be 70.94 feet, 23 09:05PM

So the maximum lot coverage for the

which require a variance as well.

24

25

09:06PM

09:06PM

09:06PM	1	building, 15 percent being the permitted allowed.
09:06PM	2	In this proposal, we have 77.12 percent
09:06PM	3	for the building coverage of the living area. And I
09:06PM	4	believe that covers the zoning schedule.
09:06PM	5	Q. You dealt with left, right, side yard
09:06PM	6	combined, rear yard, all of that?
09:06PM	7	A. I did, yes.
09:06PM	8	Q. Okay. A lot quicker than I thought. I
09:06PM	9	was hoping to sit down for a minute, Steve.
09:06PM	10	A. Yeah, yeah, take your
09:06PM	11	Q. Are there any of the and if you
09:06PM	12	could speak to be parking, I believe and I know that
09:06PM	13	Mr. Cocoros, our witness on deck, will provide a
09:06PM	14	little more detail on it, but total parking with the
09:06PM	15	understanding that that will be increased probably
09:06PM	16	also, correct?
09:06PM	17	A. Yes, yeah we have 52 spaces proposed,
09:06PM	18	as I said. The requirement being 115, it breaks down
09:06PM	19	as follows: 18 spaces for the studio apartments,
09:07PM	20	45 spaces for the one-bedroom apartments, and
09:07PM	21	52 spaces for the two-bedroom apartments or
09:07PM	22	115 spaces in total.
09:07PM	23	We would get a credit for EV parking,
09:07PM	24	however, and that would reduce that number, that
09:07PM	25	variance slightly.

09:07PM	1	Q. Is there anything else that you would
09:07PM	2	like to add from a site plan standpoint?
	3	A. I think we've covered it.
09:07PM	4	I think we've covered everything.
09:07PM	5	Q. And you're satisfied with the
09:07PM		utilities, the stormwater management, all the
09:07PM	6	engineering aspects of this, otherwise I trust you
09:07PM	7	wouldn't present it?
09:07PM	8	T
09:07PM	9	A. I am. O. And you believe that from an
09:07PM	10	Q. And you believe
09:07PM	11	engineering standpoint, I do not want you deviating
09:07PM	12	from the scope of your expertise, but from an
09:07PM	13	engineering standpoint, you believe this to be a
09:07PM	. 1	sound and efficient design, do you not?
09:07P	₄ 1	A. I do.
09:07P		MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Subject to recall
09:07	4	Mr. Chairman, I am done with Mr. Koestner.
09:08		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
09:08		Mr. Bern?
		MR. BERN: Okay.
09:08		CROSS-EXAMINATION
09:00		DEDN.
09:0	8 PM	BY MR. BERN: O. Okay. Mr. Koestner, thank you for your
09:0	8PM	
09:0	08PM	testimony and particularly on the zoning schedule. I do want to review with you a couple
09:	08PM	I do want to review with 1

09:08PM	1	issues with the site first and then the plan and of
09:08PM	2	course, stormwater storage and drainage.
09:08PM	3	Have you been to the site?
09:08PM	4	A. Yes.
09:08PM	5	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the size
09:08PM	6	of the site?
09:08PM	7	A. I am.
09:08PM	8	Q. And what is the size of the site?
09:08PM	9	A. It's 17,274 square feet, that's 50 feet
09:08PM	10	of frontage.
09:08PM	11	Q. It's less than one half an acre,
09:08PM	12	correct?
09:08PM	13	A. Yeah, it's little less than one-half, a
09:08PM	14	little more than a third.
09:08PM	15	Q. Are there any steep slopes on the site?
09:08PM	16	A. There is a slope in the southwesterly
09:08PM	17	corner.
09:08PM	18	Q. And what did you measure that, by
09:08PM	19	any chance?
09:08PM	20	A, Well, we took elevations on it, yes.
09:08PM	21	Q. And what is the measurement on the
09:09PM	22	steep slope in that location?
09:09PM	23	A. You know, we measured the back to my
09:09PM	24	survey sheet.
09:09PM	25	MR. SOKOLICH: Steve, just point out

```
which exhibit you're referring to when you testify.
09:09PM
           Okay?
09:09PM
                            THE WITNESS:
                                            Okay let's go back to
      3
09:09PM
      4
           A-2.
09:09PM
           BY MR. BERN:
      5
09:09PM
                   0.
                           Okay.
      6
09:09PM
                           And we have a grade of 300 at or near
      7
                   Α.
09:09PM
           the property line and then it slopes down to
09:09PM
      9
           Elevation 293, so it is about a 7-foot grade
09:09PM
           differential.
09:09PM
     10
                   0.
                           Okay.
     11
- 09-09PM
                            I just want to be clear because you did
     12
09:09PM
           mention a few different dimensions on the drop off
     13
09:09PM
           from, you know, my client has properties Lots 13 and
     14
09:09PM
           14 just to the west of the site, there's some trees
     15
09:09PM
           along the line.
     16
09:09PM
                            If you look a little to the left you'll
     17
09:09PM
           see the -- yes, those two properties.
09:09PM
     18
                            I want to ask you a little bit about
     19
09:10PM
           soil conditions because you're proposing to put in a
09:10PM
     20
           7-story building here.
     21
09:10PM
                            Have you done any soil tests?
     22
09:10PM
     23
                   Α.
                            No.
09:10PM
                            Are you aware that that's historic fill
09:10PM
     24
           that's been added over the last maybe three or
     25
09:10PM
```

four decades? 1 09:10PM I have not: Α. 09:10PM Would it be true that historic fill 3 09:10PM should be tested for environmental contaminants? 09:10PM I'm not aware of it being historic Α. 5 09:10PM fill, if it should or should not be tested. 6 09:10PM I know in many cases it is tested and 7 09:10PM it has to be removed and replaced. 8 09:10PM 9 Q. Yes. 09:10PM Or kept. Α. 10 09:10PM So if you became aware on further Q. 11 09:10PM investigation that was historic fill, either through 12 09:10PM review of the soil conditions or looking at a 13 09:10PM sand-born map, for example, to see what that lot 14 09:10PM looked like 20 years ago, 40 years ago, that sort of 15 09:10PM thing? 16 09:10PM It's possible, yes. 17 Α. 09:10PM Okay. So, you know, we would contend 09:11PM 18 0. that the soil conditions are such and we've -- we'll 19 09:11PM have someone testify that that is all historic fill 09:11PM 20 and it may not be suitable to use as is for your 21 09:11PM proposed development, but that's something that, you 09:11PM 22 know, someone should cover at some point, and we may 23 09:11PM put testimony on regarding that. 24 09:11PM

25

09:11PM

If it's -- how will you put pilings

into that at this point to -- for the foundation of 09:11PM your building? 09:11PM

> Well, a soil engineer geotechnical Α. engineer would examine the soil to determine if pilings would be required.

And if they are, a pile driver would come into the site to drive piles; if not, they would place footings for the building, but that would depend on the conditions of the soil as well as the depth to the bedrock.

- Are you aware that 12th Street is also historic fill?
 - I am not.
- Okay. Will you -- do you intend to 0. utilize blasting to dig in -- dig your foundations?
- It depends on the depth of bedrock. Α. Again, the soil log and the percolation test would -may encounter bedrock and at that point, we would know if there was -- blasting would be required.
- Now, if it were historic fill, that 0. would affect your calculations on height because you may have to cap it; is that correct?
- Α. The way I've seen it work before is the historic fill is removed to a level that is required for a sufficient cap to be place over historic fill.

12 09:12PM

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

09:11PM

09:11PM

09:11PM

09:11PM

09:11PM

09 • 1 1 PM

09:11PM

09:11PM

09:11PM

- 13 09:12PM
- 09:12PM 14
- 15 09:12PM

09:12PM

09:12PM

16

17

18

- 09:12PM
- 19 09:12PM
- 20 09-12PM
- 21 09:12PM
- 22 09:12PM
- 23 09:12PM
- 09:12PM 24
- 25 09:12PM

So the elevation or the grade is 1 maintained and so, they'll be no change in height of the building. 3

And if it's historic fill, that will Q. have an impact on your drainage calculations and your stormwater storage calculations, would it not?

> Α. It depends.

The drainage calculations would be a function of the porosity of the soil.

Again, that's a function of soil log and perc test. We would measure or a geotechnical would measure the porosity of the soil to determine how quickly it takes the water into the ground, how quickly it permeates into the ground.

Now, I'm not an expert on stormwater 0. management or stormwater storage, but correct me if I'm wrong, I reviewed your calculations briefly, and we'll have an engineer testify about this, but I saw calculations for a 10- and 25-year storm; is that correct?

> A. Yes.

And is that ultimately what you would 0. provide to the borough engineer for 10 to 20 -- is that what we're calculating now or are we looking at 100-year storms?

09:12PM 09:12PM 09:12PM 09:12PM 09 · 12 PM 09:12PM 09:13PM 09:13PM 09:13PM 10 09-13PM 09:13PM 11 12 09-13PM 13 09:13PM 14 09:13PM 15 09:13PM 16 09:13PM 17 09:13PM

5

6

8

9

23 09:13PM

18

19

20

21

22

24

09:13PM

09:13PM

09:13PM

09:13PM

09:13PM

09:13PM

09:13PM 25

	- 1	
09:13PM	1	A. We're going to be looking at a two
	2	in accordance with the borough engineer's letter of
09.13111	3	May 15th, he requests we revise calculations to
09:13##	4	wayide a 2-, 10- and 100-year storm.
09:13PM		Q. Because we have 10- and 25-year storms
09:14PM	5	Monday and Wednesday, don't we?
09:14PM	6	A. Well, we're not supposed to, let's put
09:14PM	7	
09:14PM	8	it this way. O. Okay. So we have yet to see your
09:14PM	9	Q. Okay. So we have a calculation for 100-year storm, correct?
09:14PM	10	
09:14PM	11	A. Correct.
09:14PM	12	Q. And we would anticipate receiving
09:14PM	13	those. What's the timing of that?
09:14PM	14	A. Well, with the next revision.
09:14PM		Q. Okay. Now let's talk about the
09:14PM		proximity to Lots 13 and 14.
09:14PM		You reviewed the zoning chart and it's
09:14P		depicted on your plans, correct?
		A. Yes.
09:14P		Q. And the zoning standards that you're
09:14		utilizing are for the MC Zone, correct?
09:14		A. Yes.
09:14		Which is a zone of 1 and actually
09:14		25-foot height buildings, right, that's
	1РМ 24	the zone?
09:1	_{4PM} 25	what's permitted 2-2

09:14PM 1		A. We have a three-story and 35-foot-high
09:15PM 2	b	uilding.
09:15PM 3		Q. So those zoning standards were not
09:15PM 4	d	eveloped, and I know part of this is planning
09:15PM 5	t	estimony, but those standards don't really pertain
09:15PM 6	t	to a 7-story building, do they?
09:15PM 7		A. Obviously they're for commercial
09:15PM 8	1	building.
09:15PM 9		Q. Right. Well, you're familiar with the
09:15PM 10	,	uses in the zone?
09:15PM 11	L	A. Yes, commercial.
09:15PM 12	2	Q. Okay. So, they're, you know, they're
09:15PM 13	3	small industrial uses, selected commercial uses and
09:15PM 1	4	commercial and recreational uses, that's the MC Zone,
09:15PM 1	.5	right?
09:15PM 1	.6	A. Yes.
09:15РМ 1	17	Q. Okay. So even so, you're I just
09:15PM]	18	want to talk about the proximity of your proposed
09:15PM	19	building to Lots 13 and 14.
09:15PM	20	Can you read off your plan and tell us
09:15PM	21	what that is? A. Proximity of the building to Lots 13
09:15PM	22	
09:15PM	23	and 14, which are the lots in the southwesterly
09:15PM	24	corner of the site.
09:15PM	25	Q. Where you said the steep slope is

```
located as well, right?
09:16PM
                           I'm sorry?
                   Α.
09:16PM
                           That's where you said the steep slope
                   Q.
      3
09:16PM
          is located?
09:16PM
                           Yeah, there's a slope from the lower to
                   Α.
      5
09:16PM
          the flat area of the top of the hill to the property
      6
09:16PM
      7
          line, I'll say.
09:16PM
                           And then there's two buildings, one on
09:16PM
          Lot 13 and one on Lot 14 to the west and they appear
      9
09:16PM
          to be located approximately 10 feet off the property
     10
09:16PM
          line.
     11
09:16PM
                                  Now there's some trees in that
                           Okav.
                   0.
     12
09:16PM
           area, are there not?
     13
09-16PM
                            There are.
                   Α.
09:16PM
     14
                           And your plans show all those trees are
     15
                   0.
09:16PM
          to be removed?
    16
09:16PM
                            That's correct.
                   Α.
     17
09:16PM
                            Now, those trees are helping to retain
     18
                   0.
09:16PM
           soil?
    19
09:16PM
                   Α.
                            Yes.
     20
09-16PM
                            Correct?
                   0.
    21
09:16PM
                            Yes.
     22
                   Α.
09:16PM
                            Are you -- and I didn't see this on
    23
                   Q.
09:16PM
           your plans and I didn't see any data on retaining
09:16PM
     24
09:16PM 25
           walls.
```

Are you building a retaining wall along 1 that easterly side?

- Well, I understand we're going to have Α. shoring along that easterly -- our westerly side, you're easterly line to maintain the stability of that adjacent property to the west and that would become the westerly wall as well of the building.
 - And how tall is that wall? 0.
- Well, we have Elevation 300 at the top A. of the slope and at the ground floor, we have an elevation of the parking area at approximately 291.5, so we're talking an elevation difference of about 8-and-a-half feet from the parking floor or deck up to the existing grade level at the top of the --
- Is that going to be open then at the Q. grade level or is that going to project over the grade?
 - Α. Excuse me?
- The wall, is the wall going to be above grade at that point?
 - Well, yes, the --Α.
 - By how much? 0.
- The building wall would be -- it would Α. encompass the ground floor as well as the second layer, the second floor parking.

3

5

6

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:17PM

09:17PM

09-17PM

09:17PM

09:17PM

09:17PM

09:17PM

09:17PM

09:18PM

09:18PM	1	Q. Okay. Is there any fenestration in
09:18PM	2	that wall at that point?
09:18PM	3	A. Any
09:18PM	4	Q. Windows?
09:18PM	5	A. Oh, none that I'm aware of. Again
09:18PM	6	Q. Is it open?
09:18PM	7	A the architect would have many more
09:18PM	8	information on that.
09:18PM	9	Q. Okay.
09:18PM	10	Are you going to be submitting further
09:18PM	11	details about that wall?
09:18PM	12	A. I don't have any plans to, no.
09:18PM	13	Q. And the drop in elevation, you gave a
09:18PM	1.4	couple different numbers. You said 6 to 7 feet and
09:18PM	15	then you said 8 to 9 feet.
09:18PM		What is the drop in elevation from
	17	Lots 13 and 14 to this lot?
09:18PM		A. Well, there is no some natural slope.
09:18P		ret some slope 6 to 7 feet is the drop near
09:182		the westerly boundary line.
09:18P	0.1	The entire drop from the westerly
09:181		y and line to the easterly line is grade because
09:19:	рм 2. _{РМ} 2	rame natural slope that would running
		the east.
09:19	PM Z	alonation in 12th Street, 292.

09:19PM 25

So the elevation in 12th Street, 292.

09:19PM	1	And as I said here, the elevation near the top of the
09:19PM	2	hill is 300, so it's about an 8-foot differential at
09:19PM	3	that point.
09:19PM	4	MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: I think it's
09:19PM	5	more than that.
09:19PM	6	BY MR. BERN:
09:19PM	7	Q. Any plantings to replace the trees that
09:19PM	8	your plans show as being removed?
09:19PM	9	A. We have potential
09:19PM	10	Q. Along the property line where my
09:19PM	11	client
09:19PM	12	A along the property line 12th Street
09:19PM	13	and Edsall Boulevard.
09:19PM	14	
09:19PN	. 15	property and 13 and 14?
09:19P	1 16	
09:19P	м 1	Q. Nothing planned, just a wall?
09:19F	м 1	A. Correct.
09:19	м 1	N .
09:19	рм 2	- I
09:19	рм 2	Q. Near 13 and 14?
09:19	рм 2	A. There'd be lighting in the ceiling for
09:2	OPM 2	the parking garage.
09:2	OPM .	Q. But you said that won't be open,
09:2	OPM	correct? Is that going to be visible to Lots 13 and

09:20PM	1	14, the lighting?
09:20PM	2	A. I'll have to defer to the architect.
09:20PM	3	MR. COCOROS: It will be open.
	4	THE WITNESS: It will be open.
09:20PM	5	BY MR. BERN:
09:20PM		Q. Thank you.
09:20PM	6	Have you done any shadow studies of the
09:20PM	7	impact of that building or is that something for your
09:20PM	8	P .
09:20PM	9	architect?
09:20PM	10	A. I have not. Q. No shadow studies. Are you familiar
09:20PM	11	
09:20PM	12	with a concept called "canyon effect"?
09:20PM	13	A. No.
09:20P	. 14	Q. No?
09:20P	м 15	A. No.
09:20	1 /	Q. When a taller building is erected next
09:201		to another building and you have a diminution of
		to that building?
09:20		T haven't heard that term.
09:20		Canyon effect, okay. I'm sure your
09:20		that.
09:2	орм 2	You testified about the zoning schedule
09:2	1PM 2	and there is a remark from Mr. Collazuol about
09:2	1PM 2	and there is a remark from Mr. and the second
09:	21PM	visitor parking, which is interesting visitor parking, which is interesting to the visitor parking th
09:	21PM	a shortfall of parking generally from RSIS standards;

09:21PM	1	are you familiar with that?
09:21PM	2	A. Yes.
09:21PM	3	Q. Okay.
09:21PM	4	And do you know what amount of parking
09:21PM	5	you're missing in accordance with RSIS standards?
09:21PM	6	A. Yes, and I
09:21PM	7	Q. Even given, you know, without even
09:21PM	8	addressing visitor parking?
09:21PM	9	A. Well, the RSIS standards would require
09:21PM	10	115 spaces and we're providing 52. We do have an
09:21PM	11	allowance for EV parking, so there is a differential
09:21PM	12	in parking, yes.
09:21PM	13	Q. Okay. And any idea where that, you
09:21PM	14	know, as Mr. Collazuol put it, where the visitors
09:21PM	15	would park, much less where residents would park?
09:21PM	16	Is there anything on your site that
09:21PM	17	you've designed to accommodate parking other than
09:22PM	18	the
09:22PM	19	A. No.
09:22PM	20	Q what did you say, 52? I have 56,
09:22PM	21	but if it's 52 that you're providing, fine.
09:22PM	22	A. I have 52.
09:22PM	23	Q. Fifty-two, okay. So there's nothing
09:22PM	24	else on your site plan showing additional parking
09:22PM	25	opportunities?

09:22PM	1	A. Not this time, no.
	2	Q. All right. So just to review, you will
09:22PM	3	be doing some additional drainage calculations.
09:22PM		What would prompt you to investigate
09:22PM	4	the soil conditions? As I indicated, you know, we'll
09:22PM	5	put testimony on about how that soil found its way
09:22PM	6	there, the historic fill dumped truckload after
09:22PM	7	there, the historic life of the country owner.
09:22PM	8	truckload by the prior property owner.
09:22PM	9	What would trigger your further
09:22PM	10	investigation of the soil conditions there?
		A. Well, generally before a seepage pit is
09:23PM		installed we'd do a soil test.
09:23PM		Okay. And if it's historic fill you'll
		analyze is soil and
09:23P		A. We determine what kind of soil it is.
09:23F		There may be making DEP considerations
09:231	_{PM} 16	
09:23	рм 1	with respect to that? A. We would look at the soil, generally
09:23	_{3PM} 1	
09:23	зрм 1	clay, sand, describe what kind soil it is, what
09:2	зем 2	0 layers the various
09:2	зрм 2	Q. Tree stubs?
		A change. Yeah, if there's any fill
		placed in the that we can determine is fill, we
		and a note of that as well.
09:	23PM	24 would make a note 12+h street soil as

09:23PM 25

Q. And you'll test 12th Street soil as

1 well? 09:23PM We would generally we test where the Α. 09:23PM seepage pits would be installed, so we wouldn't be 3 09:23PM testing 12th Street. 09:23PM Now, there was some remark, I believe 5 0. 09:23PM it was in Ms. Collazuol's review about the grade of 6 09:23PM 7 the ramp into your second-floor parking garage; is 09:23PM that right? 8 09:24PM Α. Correct. 09:24PM How were you addressing that? 10 0. 09:24PM We'll calculate that grade from the 11 Α. 09:24PM ramp that -- I believe Mr. Collazuol was speaking 12 09-24PM about the grade from the East Edsall Boulevard ramp 13 09:24PM up into be the second-floor parking deck. We will 14 09:24PM calculate that as well. 15 09:24PM Okay. I want to also, you know, go 0. 16 09:24PM back to the zoning table. 17 09:24PM So again, the proximity to our building 09:24PM 18 right in the rear are two buildings, Lot 13 and 14. 19 09:24PM You are less than a foot, I believe, on the rear; is 09:24PM 20 that right? 21 09:24PM Yes. 22 A. 09:24PM It goes from 1.98 feet to .18 feet? 23 0. 09:24PM 09:24PM 24 Yes. Α. And again, this is using the standards 25 Q. 09:24PM

```
for the MC Zone, not for another zone that might be
      1
09:24PM
          addressing mid-rise buildings such as you're
      2
09:25PM
          proposing; is that correct?
      3
09:25PM
                          That's correct.
                  Α.
      Δ
09:25PM
                          Okay. I, again, will the board be
                  0.
      5
09:25PM
          reviewing your soil logs and your perc tests?
      6
09:25PM
          do you submit that, what's the timing on that?
      7
09:25PM
                          MR. BERN: Mr. Collazuol can you -- can
      8
09:25PM
          I ask if the board engineer?
      9
09:25PM
                                                 Why not?
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
09-25PM
     10
                                       When would you be seeing
                          MR. BERN:
     11
09:25PM
          that to see the feasible of some of the things
     12
09:25PM
          they're proposing, including drainage?
     13
09:25PM
                          MR. COLLAZUOL: Ordinarily it's prior
     14
09:25PM
          to the issuance of a building permit.
     15
09 · 25 PM
                           MR. HUBSCHMAN: After approval?
     16
09:25PM
                           MR. COLLAZUOL: After approval.
     17
09.25PM
                                      Okay. And they still have
                           MR. BERN:
     18
09:25PM
           to -- is it true they still have to submit additional
     19
09:25PM
           drainage calculations including to accommodate a
     20
09:25PM
           100-year storm?
09:25PM
     21
                           MR. COLLAZUOL: That is correct.
     22
09:25PM
                                       And that may be a function
                           MR. BERN:
     23
09:25PM
           of whether the soil percolates properly?
09:25PM
     24
                           MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, it's within
     25
09:26PM
```

```
anticipated percolation rate.
      1
09:26PM
                           MR. BERN: Okay. All right, you know,
      2
09:26PM
           I think that's a concern.
      3
09:26PM
                           Okay. That's all I have right now.
      4
09:26PM
                           MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have a quick
      5
09:26PM
           follow up, if I may?
09:26PM
      6
                                                  Real quick one,
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
      7
09:26PM
      8
           quick.
09:26PM
          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
      9
09:26PM
           BY MR. SOKOLICH:
     10
09:26PM
                           So are you familiar with, in your
                   Q.
09:26PM
     11
           capacity as an engineer, a contaminated site that's
     12
09-26PM
           at a higher elevation de-shading or negatively
     13
09:26PM
           impacting a property that's at a lower elevation?
     14
09:26PM
                           Yeah, certainly could, it's possible.
                   Α.
     15
09:26PM
     16
                   Q.
                           Okay.
09:26PM
                           Are you aware as to whether or not
     17
09:26PM
     18
           there's any contamination spillage or adverse
09:26PM
           environmental condition at the properties which are
09:26PM
     19
     20
           immediately behind us, as a matter of fact, the
09:26PM
           property owner that Mr. Bern represents?
     21
09:26PM
                   Α.
                           I am not.
     2.2
09:26PM
                           You're not?
                   Q.
     23
09:26PM
     24
                   Α.
                           No.
09:26PM
                           Okay. All right.
09:26РМ 25
                   Q.
```

09:26PM	1	MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further
09:26PM	2	questions.
09:26PM	3	CHAIRMAN FERGOSSIA
09:26PM	4	You're on. MR. HUBSCHMAN: Proceed?
09:26PM	5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
09:26PM	6	
09:26PM	7	MR. HOBOCIIII
09:26PM	8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
09:27PM	9	BY MR. HUBSCHMAN: O. Mr. Koestner, I'd like to have some
09:27PM	10	Q. Mr. Roesther, the design of talk about the thought process as to the design of
09:27PM	11	
09:27PM		this structure. Were you involved in initial stages of
09:27PM		the design?
09;27PM		Generally we would prepare the survey,
09:27PM		the design is prepared by the architect, and then the
09:27₽	4.7	architect presents his plans to us to create the site
09:27만 09:27만		nlan.
09:271		O. And the perimeter of the structure,
09:27		parking and the building itself, that was presented
09:27		to you by Mr. Cocoros?
09:27	рм 2	A. Yes.
09:2	_{7 PM} 2	Q. Okay. Now, did you have a discussion
09:2	_{7PM} 2	with the applicant about the number of variances here
09:2	.7PM 2	and any effort to try to comply?

Well, we've reviewed the variances, Α. 1 09:27PM obviously. 09:27PM 2 He listed the variance, we looked at 3 09:27PM it, made our own determination of what variance would 4 09:27PM be required and we are well aware of what variances 09.27PM 5 that would be required. 6 09:27PM Was there any discussion with the 09:27PM applicant as to, you know, this has every variance 8 09:27PM that we could possibly ask for, this is what you're 09:27PM 9 instructed to do, you're --10 09:28PM That might be a slight exaggeration, Α. 11 09:28PM but the applicant is aware there are variance. 12 09-28PM You were told to go ahead with this 09:28PM 13 I mean, the number of variances here is 14 regardless. 09:28PM reminiscent of the 10 pounds in the 5-pound bag where 15 09:28PM you try to get as much as possible on here and try to 09:28PM 16 17 back out of it. 09:28PM I can't really see where this design 18 09:28PM would come from and what the basis would be for going 19 09:28PM to the property line of the building when you need 20 09:28PM every variance that's required in the borough here. 09:28PM 21 Was there a discussion about that? Was 22 09:28PM it just, hey, maximize the size of the building 23 09:28PM regardless of what was needed from the town? 2.4 09:28PM

Α.

25

09:28PM

Well, you want to maximize as many

09:28PM 1 parking spaces as we could get.

So that makes the parking structure larger the more parking spaces you have. And that's the design that has been proposed.

Q. Right, I see that.

Now, the number of variances that we have here are extensive and you say that no variances are required for lot size, lot width of lot depth.

And you're applying the MC, but you're proposing a mid-rise building?

What would it be if it was in a mid-rise building, is there another application of what the zoning requirement would be if we had to do it somewhere else in town where it's permitted?

- ${\tt A.}$ Well, the zone is the MC Zone, so we went by the MC standard.
- Q. So you didn't take any effort with regards to that.

Now, going out to the property line presents a lot problems that we really didn't even address and I don't know what the fire department is going to have to say about this, but I don't know how somebody gets around a building like this.

I mean, knot withstanding you've got 10th Street, but the building is going to go back

23

24

25

09:29PM

09:29PM

09:29PM

2

3

4

5

6

09:28PM

09:28PM

09:28PM

09:28PM

09:28PM

09:28PM

09:29PM	1	some 100 feet from 10th Street; how possibly are they
09:29PM	2	going to access this? Is this
09:29PM	3	A. I'm sure the fire department would
09:29PM	4	review that and make a comment or a report.
09:29PM	5	I am not aware of that. I haven't seen
09:30PM	6	report as of yet.
09:30PM	7	Q. Was it submitted to the fire department
09:30PM	8	for review?
09:30PM	9	A. I believe that would be part of the
09:30PM	10	application process.
09:30PM	11	Q. And the design of the structure above
09:30PM	12	is set back from the property line.
09:30PM	13	Why did you move it back from the
09:30PM	14	property line whatever number of feet, what was the
09:30PM	15	reasoning for that?
09:30PM	16	The design of the structure, the living
09:30PM	17	space is set back in two areas here.
09:30PM	18	A. I think that's I'm not prepared to
09:30PM	19	answer that, that's an architectural
09:30PM	20	Q. Purely a design question?
09:30PM	21	A. He designed the building.
09:30PM	22	Q. Yeah, okay.
09:30PM	23	MR. HUBSCHMAN: I don't have anything
09:30PM	24	further.
09:30PM	25	MR. SOKOLICH: No other, Chairman.

09:30PM	1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right. So now
09:30PM	2	we're going to go to the board.
09:30PM	3	I just got one question. What's the
09:30PM	4	are you familiar with the fill that's there?
09:30PM	5	Apparently part of the objectors is
09:30PM	6	talking about the fill that's actually in the
09:31PM	7	where you're building.
09:31PM	8	Is there do you know
09:31PM	9	THE WITNESS: I do not know about the
09:31PM	10	fill, if any fill has been placed on that site. I
09:31PM	11	don't know.
09:31PM	12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You don't know.
09:31PM	13	All right.
09:31PM	14	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, we're guided
09:31PM	15	by regulations. We're going to take every
09:31PM	16	engineering step that we are required to do.
09:31PM	17	If there's any even first of all, a
09:31PM	18	Phase I has already been conducted on the site that
09:31PM	19	did not reveal any issues, number one.
09:31PM	20	Number two, if there are any issues on
09:31PM	21	the property, it's the applicant's responsibility.
09:31PM	22	We do have some concern as to the genesis of those
09:31PM	23	problems and where they're coming from, I'll go no
09:31PM	24	further.
09:31PM	25	But if there's any issue with that

09:31PM	1	historic fill, if it has to be replaced, if it has to
09:31PM	2	be replenished, if it has to be supplemented, we'll
09:31PM	3	do it, bottom line.
09:31PM	4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
09:31PM	5	MR. SOKOLICH: We'll only build on
09:31PM	6	clean. But I don't want to create a false flag here
09:31PM	7	that that property is somehow, someway dirty because
09:31PM	8	the testing that this applicant did before it
09:31PM	9	acquired this property confirmed that there were no
09:32PM	10	such issues.
09:32PM	11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board
09:32PM	12	members have anything?
09:32PM	13	(No Response.)
09:32PM	14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Mr Steve, you
09:32PM	15	have
09:32PM	16	MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time.
09:32PM	17	I think the report stands for itself right now and
09:32PM	18	it's been addressed in a number of ways, but yes,
09:32PM	19	there's a couple of things that have to be done by
09:32PM	20	Mr. Koestner, additional drainage calculations.
09:32PM	21	And it may not be a bad idea to
09:32PM	22	suggestion that since the site is not occupied by a
09:32PM	23	new building, a simple test of soil can be done,
09:32PM	24	percolation at this point in time.
09:32PM	25	It's not a big endeavor to do that.

09:32PM	1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Yeah. Well,
09:32PM	2	I think it's would be nice to find out one way or the
09:32PM	3	other if, you know, what kind of soil they have
09:32PM	4	there.
09:32PM	5	MR. COLLAZUOL: Right.
09:32PM	6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So you're
09:32PM	7	recommending a test?
09:32PM	8	MR. COLLAZUOL: I think it would be a
09:33PM	9	good idea to have a typical test if it's done by a
09:33PM	10	local testing company.
09:33PM	11	Johnson Soils is a well known firm that
09:33PM	12	does it for these conditions.
09:33PM	13	MR. SOKOLICH: But Steve excuse me,
09:33PM	14	Chairman, but at the board level after our first
09:33PM	15	witness to take that test, that's, I mean
09:33PM	16	MR. COLLAZUOL: I mean, it doesn't have
09:33PM	17	to be done and are presented
09:33PM	18	MR. SOKOLICH: Again, I think we're
09:33PM	19	falling into what's been created as an issue that,
09:33PM	20	again, we don't believe exists, but
09:33PM	21	MR. BERN: I'll put a witness on and
09:33PM	22	you can talk about the historic fill.
09:33PM	23	MR. SOKOLICH: Your property as well:
09:33PM	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. You're going
09:33PM	25	to put a witness on at some point?

09:33PM	1	MR. BERN: I have several witnesses,
09:33PM	2	but with regard to that issue, yes, I have a fact
09:33PM	3	witness on that.
09:33PM	4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Now I'm
09:33PM	5	going to turn it over to the residents in the
09:33PM	6	however, let me just caution you on what the
09:33PM	7	procedure is.
09:33PM	8	You can ask questions in this
09:33PM	9	individual's testimony. If you have any questions
09:33PM	10	about his testimony, you can ask those questions and
09:34PM	11	he would answer.
09:34PM	12	After every expert comes on, you will
09:34PM	13	have an opportunity to question that expert, right?
09:34PM	14	At the end of this when everything is
09:34PM	15	all done, right, then you will be allowed to make
09:34PM	16	comments about the proposal, right? You can talk
09:34PM	17	about anything you want, the sun, the moon, the
09:34PM	18	stars, anything, but that's only at the end when
09:34PM	19	everything is done.
09:34PM	20	Right now if you have any question for
09:34PM	21	this individual, now would be the time to ask.
09:34PM	22	So is there anybody in the audience
09:34PM	23	have any questions for this individual?
09:34PM	24	
09:34PM	25	Okay. Name and address.

09:34PM	1	THE COURT REPORTER: You can come up
09:34PM	2	here. And just state and spell your name and give
09:34PM	3	your address.
09:34PM	4	MR. REYES: Sure, it's Lucas Reyes,
09:34PM	5	L-U-C-A-S, Reyes, R-E-Y-E-S, address 506 Windsor
09:35PM	6	Drive, Palisades Park.
09:35PM	7	My question is I think you said that
09:35PM	8	you have built or intend to build 52 parking slots;
09:35PM	9	is that correct?
09:35PM	10	THE WITNESS: Correct.
09:35PM	11	MR. REYES: In total for a total of 62
09:35PM	12	units.
09:35PM	13	Is that correct?
09:35PM	14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
09:35PM	4.5	MR. REYES: And you're required to do
09:35PM	16	115 parking spaces.
*	17	Is that correct?
09:35PM	. –	THE WITNESS: Yes.
09:35P	- 0	MR REYES: Okay. And is that taken
09:35P		couples, family, extra cars, the
09:35	м 20	
09:35	21	
09:35	РМ 22	
09:35	_{PM} 2	
09:35	ърм 2	
09:3	5рм 2	recognizes that he's short parking.

09:35PM	1	Anybody else?
09:35PM	2	Name and address.
09:35PM	3	MS. VUKOV: Kim Vukov, V-U-K-O-V,
09:35PM	4	555 Windsor Drive.
09:35PM	5	And I have the same thing, parking. I
09:35PM	6	mean, I have lived there for 30 years, so parking is
09:35PM	7	already an issue.
09:35PM	8	So if you're already short and there's
09:36PM	9	no other space, there's no roads to park on, that's
09:36PM	10	very disturbing.
09:36PM	11	So that's a challenge that I am
09:36PM	12	questioning.
09:36PM	13	I also I don't know if this is the
09:36PM	14	right thing, I just didn't know what kind of
09:36PM	15	apartment building it is.
09:36PM	16	Is this a rental unit or a sales? I
09:36PM	17	don't know what kind of building it is. I missed
09:36PM	18	what is it.
09:36PM	19	THE WITNESS: We don't know at this
09:36PM	20	point.
09:36PM	21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We don't know yet.
09:36PM	22	MS. VUKOV: Oh, we don't know what kind
09:36PM	23	of building it is?
09:36PM	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's a good
09:36PM	25	answer.
		I .

09:36PM	1	MR. KIM: And I was also, you know, I
09:36PM	2	also agree with all the due diligence, I think the
09:36PM	3	due diligence should be done now.
09:36PM	4	It's kind of like further along in this
09:36PM	5	process without having that.
09:36PM	6	You also mentioned if there had to be
09:36PM	7	blasting and I don't think that was really answered,
09:36PM	8	but blasting would be a concern because Windsor Drive
09:37PM	9	is much lower than this particular property that
09:37PM	10	you're talking and we have retaining walls all around
09:37PM	11	that are quite old, they're older, you know, they're
09:37PM	12	not brand new.
09:37PM	13	So that would be a concern.
09:37PM	14	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, we need
09:37PM	15	questions.
09:37PM	16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Any questions you
09:37PM	17	have?
09:37PM	18	MS. VUKOV: Yeah, is there going to be
09:37PM	19	blasting?
09:37PM	20	THE WITNESS: No.
09:37PM	21	MS. VUKOV: No?
09:37PM	22	No blasting, okay.
09:37PM	23	THE WITNESS: As I said, it depend on
09:37PM	24	where the soil conditions are and where the rock
09:37PM	25	MS. VUKOV: Okay. So to be determined.

09:37PM	1	Okay.
09:37PM	2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have one question
09:37PM	3	as long as you're raising it.
09:37PM	4	What's your concern about the parking?
09:37PM	5	MS. VUKOV: The parking?
09:37PM	6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Are you concerned
09:37PM	7	that cars are going to come down and park on Windsor
09:37PM	8	Drive, is that your concern?
09:37PM	9	MS. VUKOV: Well, they wouldn't be able
09:37PM	10	to, there's no parking.
09:37PM	11	They wouldn't be able to find a parking
09:37PM	12	space there because there's none there now. It's
09:37PM	13	very limited.
09:37PM	14	So yeah, that certainly would be a
09:37PM	15	concern and, you know, we didn't really, you know,
09:37PM	16	they spoke very briefly about traffic and
09:37PM	17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'm sure we'll get
09:38PM	18	into it.
09:38PM	19	MS. VUKOV: You know, the traffic, I
09:38PM	20	mean, that's a who other I've already seen three
09:38PM	21	people get hit by cars at that traffic light, so
09:38PM	22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Is there parking on
09:38PM	23	Windsor Drive now?
09:38PM	24	MS. VUKOV: There's parking on Windsor
	25	Drive now.
		I and the second

	153
1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: In the street?
2	MS. VUKOV: On the street.
3	You have to get a parking pass from the
4	town.
5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: The west side only,
6	though.
7	MS. VUKOV: Right, one side.
8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: One side.
9	MS. VUKOV: One side.
10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And is that usually
11	taken?
12	MS. VUKOV: Always, yes, always. Like
13	right now I know and I can speak about people at
14	Windsor, I mean, it's like you kind of have to get
15	there early to get a spot and then if you can't,
16	you're
17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Is there parking in
18	back of Windsor?
19	MS. VUKOV: We all have assigned spots.
20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: In the back?
21	MS. VUKOV: Yeah, on the property,
22	correct.
23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But
24	MS. VUKOV: Each unit has an assigned
25	spot.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

09:38PM	1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you're saying
09:38PM	2	that even though you have assigned spots, you might
09:38PM	3	have two cars, so you would be one in the back and
09:39PM	4	then park in the street if you could?
09:39PM	5	MS. VUKOV: Correct, and then there's
09:39PM	6	that other rental unit across from us, but they also
09:39PM	7	park on the street, you know, they park there too.
09:39PM	8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I just
09:39PM	9	wanted to get it straight.
09:39PM	10	MS. VUKOV: Yup.
09:39PM	11	Thank you.
09:39PM	12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Is there
09:39PM	13	anybody else?
09:39PM	14	Yes, my friend, you want
09:39PM	15	MR. REYES: I'm sorry, just another
09:39PM	16	question, what is the makeup of the units?
09:39PM	17	I know you mentioned a couple one
09:39PM	18	bedroom and two bedroom. Are there going to be any
09:39PM	19	three bedrooms?
09:39PM	20	THE WITNESS: The architect will
09:39PM	21	discuss the makeup of the units.
09:39PM	22	MR. SOKOLICH: But no three bedroom.
09:39PM	23	MR. REYES: No three bedrooms.
09:39PM	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Yes, my
09:39PM	25	friend.

09:39PM	1	MR. RUBENSTEIN: Neil Rubenstein,
09:39PM	2	462 11th Street, the adjoining property.
09:39PM	3	You mentioned something about bedrock.
09:39PM	4	Have been there any measurements or any determination
09:39PM	5	as to where bedrock is actually on the property?
09:39PM	6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, I think we've
09:39PM	7	got it ==
09:39PM	8	MS. TESTA: You have an attorney.
09:39PM	9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'm sorry, you have
09:39PM	10	an attorney.
09:39PM	11	MR. RUBENSTEIN: I can't speak because
09:39PM	12	I have an attorney?
09:40PM	13	MR. SOKOLICH: You can, but I'm going
09:40PM	14	to cross-examine you.
09:40PM	15	MR. BERN: When I when we have an
09:40PM	16	opportunity, I will ask you questions.
09;40PM	17	MR. RUBENSTEIN: Okay.
09:40PM	18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
09:40PM	19	MR. BERN: And I guess the same for the
09:40PM	20	condo.
09:40PM	21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, all right.
09:40PM	22	MR. RUBENSTEIN: The acoustics here are
09:40PM	23	not so great, I couldn't quite get what he was saying
09:40PM	24	about bedrock.
09:40PM	25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.

09;40PM	1	No problem.
09:40PM	2	MR. SOKOLICH: But in fairness, try to
09:40PM	3	respond to him.
09:40PM	4	THE WITNESS: It has not been
09:40PM	5	determined. That will be determined by the soil log
09:40PM	6	and perc test to determine if there is bedrock.
09:40PM	7	MR. RUBENSTEIN: Thank you.
09:40PM	8	MR. SOKOLICH: So to be determined, got
09:40PM	9	it.
09:40PM	10	You know, but just if I may, Chairman.
09:40PM	11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure.
09:40PM	12	MR. SOKOLICH: There's a lot of to be
09:40PM	13	determined and I know there's a lot of eye rolling
09:40PM	14	and hey, what are they trying to get away with, but
09:40PM	15	isn't this customary at this point not to have the
09:40PM	16	specificity of an answer with exactitude, right?
09:40PM	17	I mean, a lot of folks don't know if
09:40PM	18	there's blasting at this point. A lot of folks don't
09:40PM	19	know precisely what the makeup or the perc left or
09:40PM	20	the perc result would be, that will come down.
09:40PM	21	Now, if we have to accelerate that
09:40PM	22	because we're asked to, we'll consider it, but is it
09:41PM	23	not customer for that to be determined at the first
09:41PM	24	meeting?
09:41PM	25	THE WITNESS: Not at the first meeting,

```
1
          at a later date.
09:41PM
                          MR. SOKOLICH: Got it.
09-41 PM
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
     3
09:41PM
                          MR. WALDEN: Can I just -- I have a
      4
     5
          question.
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes, name and
      6
     7
          address first.
                          MR. WALDEN:
                                       Doug Walden D-O-U-G,
     8
          W-A-L-D-E-N, I'm 542 Windsor Drive.
09:41PM
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON:
                                                 Okay.
    10
09:41PM
                          MR. WALDEN: So we spoke about
    11
09:41PM
          12th Street, okay.
    12
09:41PM
                          So as of now, it's not really complete,
     13
09:41PM
          it's not even a street really. I didn't even know
    14
09:41PM
          that that was considered 12th Street.
    15
09:41PM
                          So how are you going improve
    16
09:41 PM
          12th Street; is that going to continue to, like,
    17
09:41PM
          where the mid-rise building are down on 12th Street?
09-41PM
    18
                          Like you guys are going to improve
    19
09:41PM
          12th Street and --
09:41PM
     20
                          THE WITNESS:
                                          No.
    21
09:41PM
                          MR. WINDSOR:
                                          No?
                                               Okay. Because I'm
09:41PM
    22
          confused about the parking. There's parking down
    23
09:41PM
          that is only accessible from 12th Street and then
    24
09:41PM
          parking that's elevated that only accessible from
09:41PM 25
```

09:42PM	1	East Edsall, so that's just confusing to me because
09:42PM	2	right now if you go to the property, there is no
09:42PM	3	12th Street.
09:42PM	4	You would have to go into the swamp to
09:42PM	5	improve that to go to 12th Street; is that what
09:42PM	6	you're doing that's
09:42PM	7	THE WITNESS: 12th Street is
09:42PM	8	unimproved. It's a dirt gravel road.
09:42PM	9	MR. WINDSOR: But 12th Street is
09:42PM	10	improved on the other when you go southwest, correct?
09:42PM	11	THE WITNESS: 700 feet to the southwest
09:42PM	12	it is improved.
09:42PM	13	MR. SOKOLICH: But we don't intend to
09:42PM	14	connect them.
09:42PM	15	MR. WINDSOR: But you're not okay.
09:42PM	16	Thank you, Mayor, that's what I was
09:42PM	17	trying to figure out.
09:42PM	18	So you're not connecting through the
09:42PM	19	swamp.
09:42PM	20	MR. SOKOLICH: No.
09:42PM	21	MR. WINDSOR: Okay.
09:42PM	22	And then so the people on East Edsall
09:42PM	23	who have parking will access the parking from East
09:42PM	24	Edsall and then I'm just confused.
09:42PM	25	So 12th Street you're going to go

```
down --
09:42PM
      1
                          THE WITNESS: 12th Street will be
09:42PM
          improved along the frontage of the property.
      3
09-42PM
                          MR. WINDSOR:
                                           The frontage, okay.
09:42PM
      4
          one way in, one way out for both. Okay.
      5
09:43PM
                          Thank you that's all I have.
      6
09:43PM
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem.
      7
09-43PM
                          Anybody else?
      8
09:43PM
                           (No Response.)
09:43PM
      9
                          CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Counsel, it's
    10
09:43PM
    11
          your --
09:43PM
                          MR. SOKOLICH: I have four more
     12
09:43PM
          witness, Chairman, we're ready.
    13
09:43PM
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Not tonight we
    14
09:43PM
    15
          don't.
09:43PM
                           MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, it is quarter
     16
09:43PM
          to 10:00 and I'm pretty sure you don't want me to
     17
09:43PM
          start a witness because I'm not going to get through
     18
09:43PM
     19
          him in a half hour.
09-43PM
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We're going
     20
09:43PM
          to go home tonight and come back.
     21
09:43PM
                           MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir, agreed.
    22
09:43PM
                           CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So next month is
09:43PM
     23
          what date?
    24
09:43PM
                                         August 19th.
                           MS. TESTA:
    25
09:43PM
```

09:43PM	1	MR. BERN: August 19th.
09:43PM	2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: August 19th, on the
09:43PM	3	third Monday of month.
09:43PM	4	MR. SOKOLICH: And without the
09:43PM	5	necessity of renotice, correct, Chairman?
09:43PM	6	MS. TESTA: Correct.
09:43PM	7	And you waive time constraints.
09:43PM	8	MR. SOKOLICH: We do expressly waive.
09:43PM	9	MS. TESTA: And I guess additional
09:43PM	10	escrow funds.
09:43PM	11	MR. SOKOLICH: How much.
09:43PM	12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Four or five.
09:43PM	13	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. It will be
09:43PM	14	delivered this week.
09:43PM	15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right.
09:43PM	16	Appreciate it.
09:44PM	17	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Board.
09:44PM	18	Thank you.
09:44PM	19	MS. TESTA: Okay. So the meeting is
09:44PM	20	being carried to the August 19th, 2024 meeting
09:44PM	21	7:00 p.m., no further notice will be provided by the
09:44PM	22	applicant.
09:44PM	23	MR. HUBSCHMAN: Thank you.
09:44PM	24	MR. BERN: Thank you.
09:44PM	25	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Did anybody close the

09:44PM	1	meeting?
09:44PM	2	MS. TESTA: We need a motion to
09:44PM	3	adjourn.
09:44PM	4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Motion to adjourn.
09:44PM	5	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Who's going to
09:44PM	6	second?
09:44PM	7	MR. KIM: I'll second.
09:44PM	8	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
09:44PM	9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
09:44PM	10	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
09:44PM	11	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
09:44PM	12	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
09:44PM	13	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
09:44PM	14	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
09:44PM	15	MR. GRALA: Yes.
09:44PM	16	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
09:44PM	17	MR. KIM: Yes.
09:44PM	18	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
09:44PM	19	MR. TERRANOVA; Yes.
09:44PM	20	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
09:44PM	21	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
09:44PM	22	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
09:44PM	23	MS. YOON: Yes.
09:44PM	24	(Whereupon, this meeting is concluded.
09:44PM	25	Time noted: 9:45 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.C.R., R.P.R., a Remote Online Notary Public, Notary ID. #1810618, Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey, and a Registered Professional Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing is a verbatim record of the testimony provided under oath before any court, referee, board, commission or other body created by statute of the State of New Jersey.

I am not related to the parties involved in this action; I have no financial interest, nor am I related to an agent of or employed by anyone with a financial interest in the outcome of this action.

This transcript complies with regulation 13:43-5.9 of the New Jersey Administrative Code.

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.C.R., R.P.R. License #XI02050, and RON Notary Public Notary ID. #1810618, Notary Expiration Date May 27, 2028

Dated: