						3
			1 2	WITNESS	N D E X SWORN	TESTIMONY
		1	3	Case No. 20-		
	1 BOROUGH OF PALISADES PARI ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMEN		4	Dong Nam NJ 550 Bergen E Block: 416; L	oulevard	10
	2 MONDAY, MAY 20, 2024 7:00 p.m.		5	Case No. 23-1		10
	Case No. 20-11) 4 Dong Nam NJ, LLC		6	Young Jo & K	wangmi Jin	
	550 Bergen Boulevard) TF 5 Block: 416; Lot: 3 P	RANSCRIPT OF ROCEEDING	7	72 Brinkerho Block: 201; L		11
	Case No. 23-15 6 DRC Development Corp. 35, 37 & 39 Columbia Avenue		8	Case No. 24- Hillcrest Buil		
	7 Block: 617; Lots: 21-23) Case No. 23-17		9	450 East Eds Block: 420; L	all Boulevard	12
	8 Young Jo & Kwangmi Jin 72 Brinkerhoff Terrace 9 Block: 201; Lot: 9		10	Case No. 21-		
	9 Block: 201; Lot: 9 Case No. 24-02 10 Hillcrest Builders LLC		11	Grand Bellvio	ew LLC	
	450 East Edsall Boulevard 11 Block: 420; Lots: 16		12	Block: 202; L		8
	Case No. 21-14 12 Grand Bellview LLC 254 Grand Avenue		13	Case No. 24-	01 41 Bergen Bouleva	rd
	13 Block: 202; Lot: 4) Case No. 24-01		14	Block 716, Le		15
	14 129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard) Block: 716, Lots: 20-22)		15	Case No. 23- DRC Develop		1
	B E F O R E: 16 BOROUGH OF PALISADES PARK BOARD OF ADJ	USTMENT	16	35, 37 & 39 Block: 617;	Columbia Avenue	17
	THERE BEING PRESENT:		17	,		1
	JOSEPH FERGUSON, CHAIRMAN 18 PAUL ALBANESE, VICE CHAIRMAN (ABSENT) ELEFTERIOS ELEFTERIOU, MEMBER			VASSILIOS CO Direct Examina	ition by Mr. Sokolich	. 26
	19 DAVID TERRANOVA, MEMBER JOHN GRALA, MEMBER		19	Questions from Chairman Fergu	n the Board/Professio	nals 37
	20 SEONGHYE YOON, MEMBER BRIAN KIM, MEMBER 21 STEVEN BROGNA, ALTERNATE MEMBER 1		20		NER, LS, P.E. 38	20
	CHARLIE CHUNG, ALTERNATE MEMBER 2 22 ESTHER KIM, ALTERNATE MEMBER 3 (ABSENT)			ation by Mr. Sokolich	39
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R	., L.L.C.		Direct Examina	ation by Mr. Sokolich	43, 57
	24 CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER 201-641-1812	S		Mr. Grala		56 60
	25 LauraACaruccillc@gmail.com			Chairman Ferg	15011	00
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., 201-641-1812	L.L.C.	25	LAURA	A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R	PR. I.I.C
				240111	201-641-1812	
					201-041-1012	4
1		2	1		I N D E X(Cont')	4
1 2	APPEARANCES:	2	1 2	WITNESS	DESTRUCTION OF STATE	4 TESTIMONY
	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE	**	2	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich	TESTIMONY 44, 77
2		**	2	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Professio	TESTIMONY 44, 77
2	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustmer THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE	**	2 3 4 5	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Professio	TESTIMONY 44, 77
3 4	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustmen THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue	**	2 3 4 5	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Professio	TESTIMONY 44, 77 nals 59
2 3 4 5	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustmen THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083	**	2 3 4 5 6 7	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Professio .E. ER, P.P., AICP	44, 77 nals 59
2 3 4 5 6 7	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustmen THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650	**	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich the Board/Profession E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT:	44, 77 nals 59
2 3 4 5 6 7	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC	**	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Professio E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT:	44, 77 nals 59 53 63
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue	**	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich the Board/Profession ER, P.P., AICP ENT: r s	44, 77 nals 59 53 63
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Pallsades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000	**	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examini Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich the Board/Profession E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: r s ood Avenue	44, 77 nals 59 53 63
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024	nt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich the Board/Profession E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: r s ood Avenue	#44, 77 nals 53 63 80 84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants	nt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Professio E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: r sood Avenue mbia Avenue	#44, 77 nals 53 63 80 84 88, 96 91
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants	nt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examinic Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Professio E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: r sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue	#44, 77 nals 53 63 80 84 88, 96
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN 1	nt Homes LLC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examinic Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stre Dall Cho	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Professio E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: r sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Pallsades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN I	nt Homes LLC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stre Dall Cho 32 East Ruby	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Profession EE. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: F Sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et 9. Avenue	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 559 53 63 80 84 88, 96 91 92 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN I	nt Homes LLC ENGINEER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stre Dall Cho 32 East Ruby Peter Shin	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Profession E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: r sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et 9 Avenue	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN I	Homes LLC ENGINEER C ENGINEER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stre Dall Cho 32 East Ruby Peter Shin 800 12th Stre	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Professio E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et Avenue et	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 559 53 63 80 84 88, 96 91 92 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Pallsades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN IN A L S O P R E S E N T: REBEKAH KIM, BOARD SECRETARY STEVEN COLLAZUOL, P.E., BOARD HAL SIMOFF, P.E., BOARD TRAFFICE	Homes LLC ENGINEER C ENGINEER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stre Dall Cho 32 East Ruby Peter Shin 800 12th Stre	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Profession EE. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: r sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et 4. Avenue et X H I B I T S	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Pallsades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN IN A L S O P R E S E N T: REBEKAH KIM, BOARD SECRETARY STEVEN COLLAZUOL, P.E., BOARD HAL SIMOFF, P.E., BOARD TRAFFICE	Homes LLC ENGINEER C ENGINEER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, PMICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Columichael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stree Dall Cho 32 East Ruby Peter Shin 800 12th Stree No. Descript	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Profession E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et 4 Avenue et X H I B I T S ion Ide	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 53 63 80 84 88, 96 91 92 4 96 mt/Evid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Pallsades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN IN A L S O PR E S E N T: REBEKAH KIM, BOARD SECRETARY STEVEN COLLAZUOL, P.E., BOARD HAL SIMOFF, P.E., BOARD TRAFFICE MICHAEL KAUKER, P.P., AICP, BOARD	HOMES LLC ENGINEER C ENGINEER ARD PLANNER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examinic Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stre Dall Cho 32 East Ruby Peter Shin 800 12th Stre No. Descript A-30 Letter D	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Profession E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et X H I B I T S ion Ide atted May 9, 2024	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 59 53 63 80 84 88, 96 91 92 4 96 mt/Evid 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN IN A LS O PR ESENT: REBEKAH KIM, BOARD SECRETARY STEVEN COLLAZUOL, P.E., BOARD HAL SIMOFF, P.E., BOARD TRAFFICM MICHAEL KAUKER, P.P., AICP, BOARD LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., CERTIFIED COURT RES	Homes LLC ENGINEER C ENGINEER ARD PLANNER R.P.R., L.L.C.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stre Dall Cho 32 East Ruby Peter Shin 800 12th Stre No. Descript A-30 Letter D A-31 Site Gra	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Profession E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et 4 Avenue et X H I B I T S ion Ide	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 59 53 63 80 84 88, 96 91 92 4 96 mt/Evid 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN IN A L S O PR E S E N T: REBEKAH KIM, BOARD SECRETARY STEVEN COLLAZUOL, P.E., BOARD HAL SIMOFF, P.E., BOARD TRAFFIC MICHAEL KAUKER, P.P., AICP, BOARD LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R.,	HOMES LLC ENGINEER C ENGINEER ARD PLANNER R.P.R., L.L.C. PORTERS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examinic Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stre Dall Cho 32 East Ruby Peter Shin 800 12th Stre No. Descript A-30 Letter D A-31 Site Gra Last Revi	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Profession E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et X H I B I T S ion Ide ated May 9, 2024 ading Plan, Lower Lev sed April 25, 2024 of Louis Luglio	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 53 63 80 84 88, 96 91 92 4 96 mt/Evid 29 el
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE Counsel for the Board of Adjustment THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL LEE BY: DANIEL LEE, ESQUIRE 410 Broad Avenue Palisades Park, New Jersey 07650 (201) 944-0083 Counsel for the Applicants Grand Bellview LLC MARK J. SOKOLICH, ESQUIRE 1223 Anderson Avenue Fort Lee, New Jersey 07024 (201) 224-4000 Counsel for the Applicants DRC Development Corp. and DSN IN A L S O PR E S E N T: REBEKAH KIM, BOARD SECRETARY STEVEN COLLAZUOL, P.E., BOARD HAL SIMOFF, P.E., BOARD TRAFFIC MICHAEL KAUKER, P.P., AICP, BOARD LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., CERTIFIED COURT RES 201-641-1812	HOMES LLC ENGINEER C ENGINEER ARD PLANNER R.P.R., L.L.C. PORTERS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DAVID SPATZ, Direct Examina Questions from Mr. Kauker HAL SIMOFF, P MICHAEL KAUK PUBLIC COMM Neris Papote Palisades Park Melanie Coma 83 West Harw Ernst Beerle 329 East Colu Michael Cho 33 West Ruby Charlie Shin 214 10th Stre Dall Cho 32 East Ruby Peter Shin 800 12th Stre No. Descript A-30 Letter D A-31 Site Gra Last Revi A-32 Report of Dated Material	INDEX(Cont') SWORN PP, AICP 44 ation by Mr. Sokolich n the Board/Profession E. ER, P.P., AICP ENT: sood Avenue mbia Avenue Avenue et X H I B I T S ion Ide ated May 9, 2024 ading Plan, Lower Lev sed April 25, 2024 of Louis Luglio	### TESTIMONY 44, 77 59 53 63 80 84 88, 96 91 92 4 96 nt/Evid 29 el 37 42

	5		MC DEREVALLYIM: Mrc Voon?
07:08PM 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Open public meeting	07:10PM 1	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
07:08PM 2	notice.	07:10PM 2	MS. YOON: Yes.
07:08PM 3	In accordance with the Open Public	07:10PM 3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next, the
07:08PM 4	Meetings Act, notice of this meeting has been posted	07:10PM 4	board all received the minutes of the previous
07:08PM 5	on the borough bulletin board. Notice has been	07:10PM 5	meeting. It's fascinating reading.
07:08PM 6	provided to at least two of the official newspapers	07:10PM 6	Can I get a motion to accept the
07:08PM 7	and filed with the Clerk's Office.	07:10PM 7	minutes as submitted? MR. GRALA: I'll make a motion.
07:09PM 8	Okay. So I read the open meetings	07:10PM 8	
07:09PM 9	statement, you can conduct the roll recall.	07:10PM 9 07:10PM 10	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second.
07:09PM 10	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?	07:10PM 10	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
07:09PM 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'm here.	07:10PM 11	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
07:09PM 12	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Albanese?	07:10PM 12	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
07:09PM 13	(No Response.)		MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
07:09PM 14	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?	07:10PM 14	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
07:09PM 15	MR. BROGNA: Here.	07:10PM 15	
07:09PM 16	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?	07:10PM 16	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
07:09PM 17	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here.	07:10PM 17	MR. GRALA: Yes.
07:09РМ 18	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?	07:10PM 18	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07:09РМ 19	MR. LGRALA: Here.	07:10PM 19 07:10PM 20	MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
07:09РМ 20	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?	07:10PM 20 07:10PM 21	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
07:09РМ 21	MR. KIM: Here.		
07:09PM 22	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?	07:10PM 22 07:10PM 23	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes.
07:09PM 23	MR. TERRANOVA: Here.	07:10PM 23	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Ms. Yoon?
07:09PM 24	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?	07:10PM 24	MS, YOON: Yes.
07:09PM 25	MR. CHUNG: Here.	07:10PM 23	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		201-641-1812
	201-641-1812		8
		07:10PM 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:09PM 1	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here.	07:10PM 2	First order of business tonight is
07:09PM 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	07:10PM 3	Case No. 21-14, Grand Bellview, LLC, 254 Grand
	So first order of business is taking	07:11PM 4	Avenue, and he's looking for an extension of a
57,5571111	care of some of the bills.	07:11PM 5	resolution that was passed, I guess last year.
	We have only one bill tonight for	07:11PM 6	Counselor, good to see you.
-	\$618.75 for our borough attorney for our board	07:11PM 7	MR. LEE: Good to see you all.
	attorney, rather.	07:11PM 8	Good evening, Mr. Chairperson and
	So I'll make a motion to pay that bill.	07:11PM 9	Members of the Board.
07:09PM 9	MR. BROGNA: I'll second it.	07:11PM 10	Representing the applicant, I'm Daniel
07:10PM 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.	07:11PM 11	Lee from the Law Office of Daniel Lee.
12	MS, REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?	07:11PM 12	Approximately two years ago, this board
13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.	07:11PM 13	was kind enough to accept the application and approve
14	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?	07:11PM 14	this application at this location, 254 Grand Avenue
15	MR. BROGNA: Yes.	07:11PM 15	for the construction of a duplex.
16	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?	07:11PM 16	And it's been about two years for
17	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.	07:11PM 17	various reasons, including some personal reasons that
07:10PM 18	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?	07:11PM 18	affect the families of the owner, the construction
07:10PM 10	MR. GRALA: Yes.	07:11PM 19	has not begun yet.
07:10PM 20	MS. REBEKAH HIM: Mr. Kim?	07:11PM 20	So we're at this point here tonight to
07:10PM 21	MR. KIM: Yes.	07:11PM 21	request a one-year extension on the resolution.
07:10PM 21	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?	07:11PM 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So you said
07:10PM 23	MR, TERRANOVA: Yes.	07:11PM 23	two years prior?
07:10PM 24	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?	07:11PM 24	MR. LEE: Approximately two years.
07:10PM 25	MR, CHUNG: Yes.	07:12PM 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	201-041-1812	L	201-041-1012 2 of 44 shee

			11
١.,	9	07:13PM 1	MS, REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07;12PM 1	Well, the only question I got is real	_	MR, KIM: Yes.
07:12PM 2	simple: What seems to be the hold up?	_	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
07:12PM 3	MR. LEE: Well, it's personal issues.		MR. TERRANOVA: No.
07:12PM 4	They had some family issues, including a couple of	-	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
07:12PM 5	deaths in the family.		-
07:12PM 6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right. So I	07:13PM 6	MR. CHUNG: Yes.
07:12PM 7	will make a motion to approve the extension.	07:13PM 7	MS, REBEKAH KIM: Ms. Yoon?
07:12PM 8	MR. GRALA: I'll second.	07:13PM 8	MS. YOON: Yes.
07:12PM 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.	07:13PM 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
07:12PM 10	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?	07:13PM 10	The next one is Case No. 23-17, Young
07:12PM 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.	07:13PM 11	Jo and Kwangmi Kim, 72 Brinkerhoff Terrace.
07:12PM 12	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?	07:13PM 12	And he's looking for an adjournment to
07:12PM 13	MR. BROGNA: Yes.	07:13PM 13	next month, correct?
07:12PM 14	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?	07:13PM 14	MS. TESTA: Yes.
07:12PM 15	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.	07:13PM 15	The attorney requested that it be
07:12PM 16	MS, REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?	07:13PM 16	carried to the June meeting.
07:12PM 17	MR. GRALA: Yes.	07:13PM 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I don't have
07:12PM 18	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?	07:13PM 18	a problem giving him the adjournment, but please
07:12PM 19	MR. KIM: Yes.	07:14PM 19	notify him that next month is when we're going to go
07:12PM 20	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?	07:14PM 20	forward with it.
07:12PM 21	MR. TERRANOVA: Abstain.	07:14PM 21	MS. TESTA: Okay. Yes, and they'll be
07:12PM 22	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?	07:14PM 22	no further notice? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No further notice.
07:12PM 23	MR. CHUNG: Yes.	07:14PM 23	- /
07:12PM 24	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Ms. Yoon?	07:14PM 24	All right. So I'll make a motion we grant the the we grant the adjournment.
07:12PM 25	MS. YOON: Yes.	07:14PM 25	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
1	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		201-641-1812
1	201-641-1812		201-041-1012
	10		12
	10	1	MS TESTA: Vest, at the applicant's
07:12PM 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	07:14PM 1	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's
07:12PM 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much.	07:14PM 2	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one?	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8 07:14PM 9	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 9 07:12PM 10 07:13PM 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8 07:14PM 9 07:14PM 10	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 9 07:12PM 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8 07:14PM 9 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 9 07:12PM 10 07:13PM 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8 07:14PM 9 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 9 07:12PM 10 07:13PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8 07:14PM 9 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 12	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 9 07:12PM 10 07:13PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 14 07:13PM 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8 07:14PM 9 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 13	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 10 07:12PM 11 07:13PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 14 07:14PM 15	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 10 07:12PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 14 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second?	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 14 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 10 07:12PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 14 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second? MR. GRALA: I'll second.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 14 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 16	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 10 07:12PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 14 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second? MR. GRALA: I'll second. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 9 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 14 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 17 07:14PM 18	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 10 07:12PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 14 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 17 07:13PM 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second? MR. GRALA: I'll second. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 14 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 17 07:14PM 18	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 10 07:13PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 14 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 17 07:13PM 18 07:13PM 19 07:13PM 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second? MR. GRALA: I'll second. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 17 07:14PM 18 07:14PM 18 07:14PM 19 07:14PM 20	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 10 07:12PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 14 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 17 07:13PM 18 07:13PM 19 07:13PM 20 07:13PM 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second? MR. GRALA: I'll second. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 8 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 17 07:14PM 18 07:14PM 19 07:14PM 20 07:14PM 20 07:14PM 21	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes.
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 9 07:12PM 10 07:12PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 17 07:13PM 18 07:13PM 19 07:13PM 20 07:13PM 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second? MR. GRALA: I'll second. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 17 07:14PM 18 07:14PM 18 07:14PM 19 07:14PM 20 07:14PM 21 07:14PM 21	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 10 07:12PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 14 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 17 07:13PM 18 07:13PM 19 07:13PM 20 07:13PM 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second? MR. GRALA: I'll second. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 13 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 18 07:14PM 18 07:14PM 19 07:14PM 20 07:14PM 21 07:14PM 22 07:14PM 22	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. The next one
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 8 07:12PM 10 07:12PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 13 07:13PM 14 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 17 07:13PM 18 07:13PM 19 07:13PM 20 07:13PM 21 07:13PM 21 07:13PM 22 07:13PM 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second? MR. GRALA: I'll second. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 17 07:14PM 18 07:14PM 19 07:14PM 20 07:14PM 21 07:14PM 21 07:14PM 23 07:14PM 23 07:14PM 23	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. The next one
07:12PM 2 07:12PM 3 07:12PM 4 07:12PM 5 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 6 07:12PM 7 07:12PM 10 07:13PM 11 07:13PM 12 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 15 07:13PM 16 07:13PM 17 07:13PM 18 07:13PM 19 07:13PM 19 07:13PM 20 07:13PM 21 07:13PM 22 07:13PM 23 07:13PM 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LEE: Thank you, everybody. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem. Okay. What's the next one? MS. TESTA: The resolution. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We have Case No. 20-11, Dong Nam, NJ, LLC, 550 Bergen Boulevard, that's a memorialization. MS. TESTA: This was also an extension of a prior approval. This is their last extension. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So this is what we heard last month and I'll make a motion that we accept the memorialization. Can I get a second? MR. GRALA: I'll second. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes.	07:14PM 2 07:14PM 3 07:14PM 4 07:14PM 5 07:14PM 6 07:14PM 7 07:14PM 10 07:14PM 11 07:14PM 12 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 15 07:14PM 16 07:14PM 17 07:14PM 18 07:14PM 19 07:14PM 20 07:14PM 21 07:14PM 21 07:14PM 23 07:14PM 23 07:14PM 23	MS. TESTA: Yeah, at the applicant's request to the June 17th, 2024 meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. Is the mikes on? They're on. Okay. Roll call. MR. KIM: I'll second that. MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Kim second it. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. The next one is 24-02, which is Hillcrest Builders, LLC.

			15
	13	07:16PM 1	MR. KIM: Yes.
07:15PM 1	And counsel has asked for you want	_	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
07:15PM 2	to say a few?	_	MR, TERRANOVA: Yes.
07:15PM 3	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, good evening.		MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
07:15PM 4	Mark Sokolich on behalf of the applicant Hillcrest		MR. CHUNG: Yes.
07:15PM 5	Builders relating to premises known as 450 East	•	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
07:15PM 6	Edsall here in Palisades Park.	_	MS, YOON: Yes.
07:15PM 7	This is a new application. It hasn't		MS. TESTA: Okay. So Case No. 24-02,
07:15PM 8	commenced yet before the board. We just recently		Hillcrest Builders, LLC, 450 East Edsall Boulevard,
07:15PM 9	noticed it.	07:16PM 9	at the request of the applicant is being carried to
07:15PM 10	We provided counsel with our affidavit	07:17PM 10	the June 17th, 2024 meeting at 7:00 p.m. here in the
07:15PM 11	of service and proof of publication. That said, we	07:17PM 11	council chambers.
07:15PM 12	were contacted by two attorneys, one of which,	07:17PM 12	There will be no further notice
07:15PM 13	Douglas Bern represents the adjacent property owners.	07:17PM 13	provided to the public. Notice has already been
07:15PM 14	He was only engaged on Friday. He asked that we ask	07:17PM 14 07:17PM 15	provided.
07:15PM 15	the board to carry it so he'd be given an opportunity	07:17PM 15	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Diane.
07:15PM 16	to review.	07:17PM 10	MS. TESTA: You're welcome.
07:15PM 17	I, open-door policy, sent him the	07:17PM 17	And also for the public here,
07:15PM 18	entire file via PDF, but he does need some time to	07:17PM 10	Case No. 23-17, Young Jo and Kwangmi Jin,
07:16PM 19	review it. So I told him that I would ask and	07:17PM 19	72 Brinkerhoff Terrace, Block 201, Lot 9, at the
07:16РМ 20		07:17PM 21	request of the applicant, it will be carried to the
07:16PM 21	preliminarily we did; hence, he's not here this	07:17PM 21	June 17th, 2024, meeting at 7:00 p.m.
07:16PM 22	evening. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No problem.	07:17PM 22	No further notice will be provided by
07:16PM 23	MS. TESTA: And you have no objection	07:17PM 24	the applicant.
07:16PM 24 07:16PM 25	to his request for postponement?	07:17PM 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. And last,
07:16PM Z3	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	07.1111111	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
-	14		16
1			
07:16PM 1	MR. SOKOLICH: I have no objection.	07:17PM 1	but certainly not least, is Case No. 24-01, which is
07:16PM 1	MR. SOKOLICH: I have no objection. Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane,	07:17PM 1	but certainly not least, is Case No. 24-01, which is 129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for
07:16PM 2	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane,	_	
07:16PM 2 07:16PM 3	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the	07:17PM 2	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for
07:16PM 2 07:16PM 3 07:16PM 4	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane,	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting.
07:16PM 2 07:16PM 3 07:16PM 4	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the
07:16PM 2 07:16PM 3 07:16PM 4 07:16PM 5	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting.
07:16PM 2 07:16PM 3 07:16PM 4 07:16PM 5 07:16PM 6	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it.
07:16PM 2 07:16PM 3 07:16PM 4 07:16PM 5 07:16PM 6 07:16PM 7	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always,
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 8	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do.	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 8	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 8 07/16PM 9	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 8 07:18PM 9	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with.
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 8 07/16PM 9 07/16PM 10	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 8 07:18PM 9 07:18PM 10	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 8 07/16PM 9 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 8 07:18PM 9 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 12	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 8 07/16PM 9 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th.	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 9 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 13	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 8 07/16PM 9 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 14 07:18PM 15	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 9 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 13	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call.	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 14 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 15	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes.
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 13	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 14 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 17	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 14 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 15	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call.	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 14 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 17 07:18PM 18	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 14 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 16 07/16PM 17 07/16PM 18	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 14 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 18	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 16 07/16PM 17 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 19 07/16PM 19 07/16PM 20	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? Mr. BROGNA: Yes.	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 17 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 19 07:18PM 19 07:18PM 20	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes.
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 16 07/16PM 17 07/16PM 17 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 19 07/16PM 20 07/16PM 21	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? Mr. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 17 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 19 07:18PM 19 07:18PM 20 07:18PM 20 07:18PM 20	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 16 07/16PM 17 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 20 07/16PM 20 07/16PM 21 07/16PM 21	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? Mr. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 17 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 20 07:18PM 21 07:18PM 21 07:18PM 22	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes.
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 16 07/16PM 17 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 19 07/16PM 20 07/16PM 21 07/16PM 22 07/16PM 22	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? Mr. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 14 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 19 07:18PM 20 07:18PM 21 07:18PM 22 07:18PM 23	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 16 07/16PM 17 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 19 07/16PM 20 07/16PM 21 07/16PM 21 07/16PM 23 07/16PM 23 07/16PM 23	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? Mr. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes.	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 17 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 19 07:18PM 20 07:18PM 21 07:18PM 23 07:18PM 23 07:18PM 23	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 16 07/16PM 17 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 19 07/16PM 20 07/16PM 21 07/16PM 22 07/16PM 22	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? Mr. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 14 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 19 07:18PM 20 07:18PM 21 07:18PM 22 07:18PM 23	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
07/16PM 2 07/16PM 3 07/16PM 4 07/16PM 5 07/16PM 6 07/16PM 7 07/16PM 10 07/16PM 11 07/16PM 12 07/16PM 13 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 15 07/16PM 16 07/16PM 17 07/16PM 18 07/16PM 19 07/16PM 20 07/16PM 21 07/16PM 21 07/16PM 23 07/16PM 23 07/16PM 23	Counsel to counsel, as you know, Diane, I would never you know, it's always up to the client. But, no, we don't have any objection. And we unconditionally waive the time period. We ask, though, that the date be announced because this is probably the largest notice I've ever had to do. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Okay. So I'm going to grant the MS. TESTA: You'll CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'll make a motion to grant the adjournment to next meeting, which is June 17th. MR. GRALA: I'll second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? Mr. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes.	07:17PM 2 07:17PM 3 07:17PM 4 07:18PM 5 07:18PM 6 07:18PM 7 07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11 07:18PM 12 07:18PM 13 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 15 07:18PM 16 07:18PM 17 07:18PM 18 07:18PM 19 07:18PM 20 07:18PM 21 07:18PM 23 07:18PM 23 07:18PM 23	129, 139 & 141 Bergen Boulevard, and he's looking for an adjournment to next meeting. So I make a motion to grant the adjournment to the next meeting. MR. BROGNA: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And same as always, Diane, tell him next meeting is the meeting we're going to go with. Can I get a second? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Second. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala? MR. GRALA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.

			40
1	17		19
07:18PM 1	MR. CHUNG: Yes.	07:20PM 1	And then, finally, we presented the
07:18PM 2	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?	07;21PM 2	testimony of David Spatz, licensed planner known to
07:18PM 3	MS. YOON: Yes.	07:21PM 3	this board, a reputable planner who reviewed in a
07:18PM 4	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Can I ask you	07:21PM 4 07:21PM 5	detailed manner each and every variance that's being
07:18PM 5	MS. TESTA: Okay.	_	sought and provided what we believe to be the review
07:18PM 6	So Case No. 24-01, 129, 139 and	_	and statutory bases to grant the variances by this
	121 Bergen Boulevard, Block 716, Lots 20 though 22, as requested, the applicant has been adjourned to the	07:21PM 8	board.
	June 17th, 2024, meeting at 7:00 p.m.	07:21PM 9	So I make that review for the benefit
	No further notice will be provided to	07:21PM 10	of the board, because this is not an applicant that
07:18PM 10 07:18PM 11	the by the applicant to the public.	07:21PM 11	has not taken the application seriously.
07:18PM 12	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Can I ask who second,	07:21PM 12	Along the way, we've asked that the
	Mr. Brogna or Mr. Elefteriou?	07:21PM 13	application be carried to incorporate revisions that
07:18PM 13	MS. TESTA: Okay. Mr. Brogna.	07:21PM 14	we heard from either the public or members of the
07:18PM 14	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna, okay.	07:21PM 15	board.
07:18PM 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Which now	07:21PM 16	For this evening's purposes, we intend
	brings us to the main event of the evening is	07:21PM 17	to present the testimony of each witness, Chairman,
	Case No. 23-15, DRC Development, and they're	07:21PM 18	but for only two or three minutes or four minutes,
	developing 35, 37, 39 Columbia Avenue.	07:21PM 19	just to review the revisions and get through it.
07:19PM 20	Counsel?	07:21PM 20	I do have a suggestion with regard to
07:19PM 21	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, good evening.	07:21PM 21	protocol, which is completely within your discretion.
	Mark Sokolich on behalf of the applicant.	07:21PM 22	We'd love to be able to streamline our presentation
07:19PM 23	MS. TESTA: Yeah, if you could please	07:21PM 23	Mr. Cocoros, Mr. Luglio, Mr. Spatz, 30 minutes tops,
1	put down your signs.	07:22PM 24	and then keep them present for the public.
07:19PM 25	This is a public meeting and we don't	07:22PM 25	Is that possible?
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	18		20
07:19PM 1	need to have signs up.	07:22PM 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, it's very
07:19PM 1 07:19PM 2	need to have signs up. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the	07:22PM 1	possible.
07:19PM 2	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead.		possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public.
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant.
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 8	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 8	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir.
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 8 07:20PM 9	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 8 07:22PM 9	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 8 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed.	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 8 07:22PM 9	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 8 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 8 07:22PM 9 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 8 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 8 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 10	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 8 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 8 07:22PM 9 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13	possible. MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised.
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 8 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 13 07:20PM 13	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect,	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 8 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13 07:22PM 13	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 13 07:20PM 13	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros.	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13 07:22PM 14 07:22PM 15	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 13 07:20PM 14 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13 07:22PM 14 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 15	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 13 07:20PM 14 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point after Mr. Cocoros, we presented the site plan	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 9 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13 07:22PM 14 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 16 07:23PM 17	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity MR. SOKOLICH: Those experts are here.
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 13 07:20PM 14 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 16 07:20PM 17 07:20PM 18	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point after Mr. Cocoros, we presented the site plan testimony of our professional engineer, Steve	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13 07:22PM 14 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 15 07:23PM 17 07:23PM 17	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity MR. SOKOLICH: Those experts are here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I gave you the
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 13 07:20PM 14 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 16 07:20PM 17 07:20PM 18 07:20PM 19	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point after Mr. Cocoros, we presented the site plan testimony of our professional engineer, Steve Koestner of Koestner and Associates.	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13 07:22PM 14 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 16 07:23PM 18 07:23PM 18	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity MR. SOKOLICH: Those experts are here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I gave you the opportunity to contact our experts. I don't know why
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 16 07:20PM 17 07:20PM 18 07:20PM 19 07:20PM 19 07:20PM 20	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point after Mr. Cocoros, we presented the site plan testimony of our professional engineer, Steve Koestner of Koestner and Associates. And Mr. Koester provided his site plan	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 16 07:22PM 16 07:23PM 17 07:23PM 18 07:23PM 19 07:23PM 20	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity MR. SOKOLICH: Those experts are here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I gave you the opportunity to contact our experts. I don't know why you didn't. We agreed you could do it.
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 9 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 16 07:20PM 17 07:20PM 18 07:20PM 19 07:20PM 19 07:20PM 20 07:20PM 21	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point after Mr. Cocoros, we presented the site plan testimony of our professional engineer, Steve Koestner of Koestner and Associates. And Mr. Koester provided his site plan testimony, we engaged a traffic engineer Louis	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13 07:22PM 14 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 16 07:23PM 18 07:23PM 18	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity MR. SOKOLICH: Those experts are here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I gave you the opportunity to contact our experts. I don't know why
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 13 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 17 07:20PM 18 07:20PM 18 07:20PM 20 07:20PM 21 07:20PM 21	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point after Mr. Cocoros, we presented the site plan testimony of our professional engineer, Steve Koestner of Koestner and Associates. And Mr. Koester provided his site plan testimony, we engaged a traffic engineer Louis Luglio, who's also present this evening, who has	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 16 07:22PM 17 07:22PM 18 07:22PM 16 07:23PM 17 07:23PM 18 07:23PM 20 07:23PM 20 07:23PM 20	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity MR. SOKOLICH: Those experts are here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I gave you the opportunity to contact our experts. I don't know why you didn't. We agreed you could do it. Why all your experts didn't do it is
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 13 07:20PM 14 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 16 07:20PM 17 07:20PM 18 07:20PM 19 07:20PM 20 07:20PM 21	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point after Mr. Cocoros, we presented the site plan testimony of our professional engineer, Steve Koestner of Koestner and Associates. And Mr. Koester provided his site plan testimony, we engaged a traffic engineer Louis Luglio, who's also present this evening, who has prepared a traffic impact report which will be	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 13 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 16 07:23PM 17 07:23PM 18 07:23PM 19 07:23PM 21 07:23PM 21 07:23PM 21	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity MR. SOKOLICH: Those experts are here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I gave you the opportunity to contact our experts. I don't know why you didn't. We agreed you could do it. Why all your experts didn't do it is beyond my comprehension.
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 13 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 16 07:20PM 17 07:20PM 18 07:20PM 19 07:20PM 20 07:20PM 21 07:20PM 22 07:20PM 23 07:20PM 23	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point after Mr. Cocoros, we presented the site plan testimony of our professional engineer, Steve Koestner of Koestner and Associates. And Mr. Koester provided his site plan testimony, we engaged a traffic engineer Louis Luglio, who's also present this evening, who has prepared a traffic impact report which will be distributed momentarily, and he provided testimony	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 14 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 16 07:23PM 18 07:23PM 18 07:23PM 19 07:23PM 20 07:23PM 21 07:23PM 22 07:23PM 22	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity MR. SOKOLICH: Those experts are here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I gave you the opportunity to contact our experts. I don't know why you didn't. We agreed you could do it. Why all your experts didn't do it is beyond my comprehension. Now, after saying that, and I just want
07:19PM 2 07:19PM 3 07:19PM 4 07:19PM 5 07:19PM 6 07:20PM 7 07:20PM 10 07:20PM 11 07:20PM 12 07:20PM 14 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 15 07:20PM 16 07:20PM 17 07:20PM 18 07:20PM 18 07:20PM 19 07:20PM 20 07:20PM 21 07:20PM 22 07:20PM 23 07:20PM 23	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. Chairman, for the record go ahead. The application is a continued hearing for DRC Development regarding the request to approve a 40-unit multifamily residential building and on premises, as the Chairman indicates, 35-37 and 39 West Columbia Avenue. Those are three residential lots, which are adjacent to one another upon which this development is proposed. Just by way of a brief summary, this application has now spanned at least three public hearings. We have had the opportunity to present in detail the testimony of the licensed architect, Mr. Cocoros. After Mr. Cocoros, or at one point after Mr. Cocoros, we presented the site plan testimony of our professional engineer, Steve Koestner of Koestner and Associates. And Mr. Koester provided his site plan testimony, we engaged a traffic engineer Louis Luglio, who's also present this evening, who has prepared a traffic impact report which will be	07:22PM 2 07:22PM 3 07:22PM 4 07:22PM 5 07:22PM 6 07:22PM 7 07:22PM 10 07:22PM 11 07:22PM 12 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 15 07:22PM 16 07:22PM 17 07:22PM 18 07:23PM 19 07:23PM 19 07:23PM 20 07:23PM 21 07:23PM 23 07:23PM 23 07:23PM 23	MR. SOKOLICH: Okay. So that's what we shall do then. We'll conclude their direct and then they'll remain for any questions of the public. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a few choice words about the applicant. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So at the last meeting, there was a discussion between me and you about your experts contacting the board's experts to try to come to a meeting of the minds on some of the issues that we raised. I'm told that none of your experts contacted any of my experts and I don't understand why. I mean, I gave you the opportunity MR. SOKOLICH: Those experts are here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I gave you the opportunity to contact our experts. I don't know why you didn't. We agreed you could do it. Why all your experts didn't do it is beyond my comprehension. Now, after saying that, and I just want to say this to the public, I don't want to go into

	21		MP COVOLICH: that I'm not too
07:23PM 1	have elected to bring a suit against the Borough of	07:26PM 1	MR. SOKOLICH: that I'm not too familiar with.
07:23PM 2	Palisades Park and the planning board.	_	When I said I didn't read the
07:23PM 3	Now, of course they have the right, I'm	4	complaint, of course I read the complaint, I
07:23PM 4	not saying they don't have the right.	_	understand the courses of action. You'd have to take
07:23PM 5	MR. SOKOLICH: They do.		that up with them in that matter.
07:23PM 6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They can do	07:26PM 5	But this applicant, while you may
07:23PM 7	whatever they want to do.		you might infer that it's confusing as to what this
07:23PM 8	But in the text of that lawsuit, I find that some of the comments about this board, I don't	07:26PM 8	applicant wants. This applicant wants to develop its
07:23PM 9		07:26PM 10	property, whether that be by court order as a result
07:24PM 10 07:24PM 11	agree with. Everybody has their own opinion, they	07:26PM 11	of the City's inability or refusal to comply with
07:24PM 11 07:24PM 12	can say whatever they want to say, but I really don't	07:26PM 12	affordable housing, or as a result of an approval
07:24PM 12 07:24PM 13	think it was necessary to take shots at us.	07:26PM 13	from this board because I've managed to meet the
07:24PM 13	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, let me just	07:26PM 14	statutory standard.
07:24PM 14 07:24PM 15	intervene. I don't represent them. I don't	07:26PM 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
07:24PM 16	litigate, nor have I even read the complaint, I just	07:26PM 16	MR. SOKOLICH: It doesn't really
07:24PM 10	want to be clear about that. I've reviewed the	07:26PM 17	matter, but he's they are pursuing both of those
07:24PM 17	causes of action, but not the go ahead, I	07:26PM 18	courses of action.
07:24PM 10	apologize.	07:26РМ 19	Once this is done hearing, you won't
07:24PM 20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So to me, on the	07;26PM 20	probably see me anymore, it will be taken over by
07:24PM 21	one hand, you want to bring a suit, you want to file	07:26PM 21	other counsel for the appeal, if there is one, but
07:24PM 22	and get this thing going, and then later on, you	07:26PM 22	it's entitled to go down both of those both of
07:24PM 23	know, you want to, you know, ask for an adjournment.	07:26PM 23	those tracks.
07:24PM 24	I don't know where we're going. I	07:26PM 24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I agree.
07:24PM 25	mean, I want to get this thing done, preferably	07:26PM 25	But it's just hard to try to figure out
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	0	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	22		24
07:24PM 1	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one	07:26PM 1	24 what you you know, what your applicants want to
07:24PM 1 07:25PM 2		07:26PM 1 07:26PM 2	what you you know, what your applicants want to do.
	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one		what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do
07:25PM 2	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing.
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 8	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 8 07:25PM 9	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application?	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 8 07:27PM 9	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint,
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 8 07:25PM 9 07:25PM 10	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond?	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 8 07:27PM 9 07:27PM 10	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it.
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 8 07:25PM 9 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely.	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 8 07:27PM 9 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 8 07:25PM 9 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 8 07:27PM 9 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 8 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 12	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 8 07:27PM 9 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing.
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 9 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 13 07:25PM 14	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property.	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 9 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13 07:27PM 13	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 9 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 13 07:25PM 14 07:25PM 15	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree.	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 9 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13 07:27PM 14 07:27PM 15	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 9 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 13 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 9 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 16	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue.
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 8 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 13 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 16	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 8 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13 07:27PM 14 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 16 07:27PM 17	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir.
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 13 07:25PM 14 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 17 07:25PM 17	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in Palisades Park that maintains jurisdiction over the	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 16 07:27PM 17 07:27PM 17	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They filed the next
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 13 07:25PM 14 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 18	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in Palisades Park that maintains jurisdiction over the relief that it seeks, in this case, that would be the	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 14 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 16 07:27PM 18	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They filed the next day, the very next day. The 15th was the meeting.
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 14 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 17 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 19	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in Palisades Park that maintains jurisdiction over the relief that it seeks, in this case, that would be the zoning board.	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 17 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 19 07:27PM 19	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They filed the next day, the very next day. The 15th was the meeting. You know, you represented that your experts were
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 8 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 17 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 17 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 19 07:25PM 20 07:25PM 20 07:25PM 20	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in Palisades Park that maintains jurisdiction over the relief that it seeks, in this case, that would be the zoning board. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 4 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 16 07:27PM 17 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 19 07:27PM 20 07:27PM 20 07:27PM 20	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They filed the next day, the very next day. The 15th was the meeting. You know, you represented that your experts were going to contact ours and go over it, and then I get
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 8 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 13 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 17 07:25PM 17 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 19 07:25PM 20 07:25PM 21 07:25PM 22	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in Palisades Park that maintains jurisdiction over the relief that it seeks, in this case, that would be the zoning board. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. SOKOLICH: They have engaged other	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 17 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 19 07:27PM 19	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They filed the next day, the very next day. The 15th was the meeting. You know, you represented that your experts were
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 14 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 19 07:25PM 19 07:25PM 20 07:25PM 22 07:25PM 22	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in Palisades Park that maintains jurisdiction over the relief that it seeks, in this case, that would be the zoning board. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. SOKOLICH: They have engaged other counsel for purposes of filing the litigation matter	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 16 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 19 07:27PM 20 07:27PM 22 07:27PM 22	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They filed the next day, the very next day. The 15th was the meeting. You know, you represented that your experts were going to contact ours and go over it, and then I get notice that they filed a suit on you know.
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 13 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 17 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 19 07:25PM 20 07:25PM 20 07:25PM 21 07:25PM 23 07:25PM 23	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in Palisades Park that maintains jurisdiction over the relief that it seeks, in this case, that would be the zoning board. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. SOKOLICH: They have engaged other counsel for purposes of filing the litigation matter that you just alluded to	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 7 07:27PM 9 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 16 07:27PM 17 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 19 07:27PM 20 07:27PM 21 07:27PM 21	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They filed the next day, the very next day. The 15th was the meeting. You know, you represented that your experts were going to contact ours and go over it, and then I get notice that they filed a suit on you know. So to me, it's confusing, but it's easy
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 14 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 19 07:25PM 20 07:25PM 22 07:25PM 22	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in Palisades Park that maintains jurisdiction over the relief that it seeks, in this case, that would be the zoning board. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. SOKOLICH: They have engaged other counsel for purposes of filing the litigation matter that you just alluded to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 17 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 19 07:27PM 20 07:27PM 20 07:27PM 21 07:27PM 21 07:27PM 23 07:27PM 23 07:28PM 24	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They filed the next day, the very next day. The 15th was the meeting. You know, you represented that your experts were going to contact ours and go over it, and then I get notice that they filed a suit on you know. So to me, it's confusing, but it's easy to confuse me.
07:25PM 2 07:25PM 3 07:25PM 4 07:25PM 5 07:25PM 6 07:25PM 7 07:25PM 10 07:25PM 11 07:25PM 12 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 15 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 17 07:25PM 16 07:25PM 17 07:25PM 18 07:25PM 19 07:25PM 20 07:25PM 21 07:25PM 23 07:25PM 23 07:25PM 23	tonight, right? I would, you know, get this one done. We've been with it for, you know, five months or so. And truthfully, I'm not a lawyer and I just don't know what's your position. Is your position that your applicant filed a lawsuit and that's what you're going with? Or is your position on you want to continue on this one, this application? MR. SOKOLICH: May I respond? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, absolutely. MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chairman, this applicant has every right to pursue a dual course for purposes of developing its property. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I agree. MR. SOKOLICH: I was engaged to file a land use application before the development board in Palisades Park that maintains jurisdiction over the relief that it seeks, in this case, that would be the zoning board. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. SOKOLICH: They have engaged other counsel for purposes of filing the litigation matter that you just alluded to	07:26PM 2 07:27PM 3 07:27PM 5 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 6 07:27PM 10 07:27PM 11 07:27PM 12 07:27PM 13 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 15 07:27PM 17 07:27PM 18 07:27PM 19 07:27PM 20 07:27PM 20 07:27PM 21 07:27PM 21 07:27PM 23 07:27PM 23 07:28PM 24	what you you know, what your applicants want to do. Do they want to speed through it? Do they want to file a suit? I mean, to me, it's very confusing. MR. SOKOLICH: Well, I got it. And I think, though, that, to be perfectly fair, I believe that there was some time constraints that were considered as far as the timing of the complaint, when to file it, when not to file it. I'm not really privy to the exact filing date and why, but I know that there were what were perceived to be deadlines, hence the filing. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, which was and I'm just going to end it and then we're going to continue. MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: They filed the next day, the very next day. The 15th was the meeting. You know, you represented that your experts were going to contact ours and go over it, and then I get notice that they filed a suit on you know. So to me, it's confusing, but it's easy to confuse me. So I'll kick it over to you and let's

	0.5		27
	25	1	remarks. We're going to streamline the testimony
07:28PM 1	get this thing done tonight, Counsel.	07:29PM 1	tonight, but for the benefit of the public, the
07:28PM 2	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.		board, and the applicant's lawyer, can you give us a
07:28PM 3	And I apologize if we've caused any confusion. I'm		quick summary as to where we are and the most
07:28PM 4	only working on confusing you on the zoning	_	material relief that's being sought?
07:28PM 5	application. The other stuff, we're going to let		
07:28PM 6	other people confuse you with.	07:29PM 6	A. Sure. The previous testimony was regarding
07:28PM 7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.		
07:28PM 8	MR, SOKOLICH: But you know my	07:29PM 8	the revisions to the main plan, which is basically taking a floor off which reduced the height by
07:28PM 9	sentiment for this board and this body and I hope you	07:29PM 9	
07:28PM 10	know that.	07:29PM 10	10-feet-8-inches.
07:28PM 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yup.	07:30PM 11	In addition, it turned it from a
07:28PM 12	MR. SOKOLICH: So as I was saying, it's	07:30PM 12	high-rise type of construction to a mid-rise
07:28PM 13	the hopes that we present each of our witnesses this	07:30PM 13	construction. That was one of the concerns with the
07:28PM 14	evening. They're all present, as we've always	07,30PM 14	fire department that we went over.
07:28PM 15	committed to the board.	07:30PM 15	In addition, you know, basically the
07:28PM 16	My recollection was that we were going	07:30PM 16	footprint has stayed the same, we just basically
07:28PM 17	to engage in a meeting with the fire marshal.	07:30PM 17	cleaned that up.
07:28PM 18	In fact, that was done. Mr. Cocoros is	07:30PM 18	And then the engineer is here tonight
07:28PM 19	going to address that. We're going to pass out	07:30РМ 19	to go over his update of my plan, which basically
07:28PM 20	correspondence to that effect also. That was done.	07 30PM 20	shows the stories. And in accommodation to the fire
07:28PM 21	With regard to, however, I believe we	07:30PM 21	department, we're going to be showing the striping in
07:28PM 22	were also referring to traffic with Mr. Simoff and	07:30PM 22	front of the building to provide access in case of an
07:28PM 23	Mr. Luglio. Mr. Luglio will explain that when he	07:30PM 23	emergency.
07:29PM 24	gets up here. We're going to be distributing that	07:30PM 24	Q. We're going to get to that, but
07:29PM 25	traffic report.	07:30PM 25	just the cellular terms, we're still at 40 units,
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	26		28
07:29PM 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	07:30PM 1	correct?
07:29PM 1 07:29PM 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further	07:30PM 2	correct? A. Correct.
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3	correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4	correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5	correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill?
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6	correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 8	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 8 07:29PM 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 8	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure.
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 8 07:29PM 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey,	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 8 07:30PM 9 07:30PM 10	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 8 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 8 07:30PM 9 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 8 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 8 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure.
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 8 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 8 07:30PM 9 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 13	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you.
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 8 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 8 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 13	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros,	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 9 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 14 07:31PM 15	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs,	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 13 07:31PM 14 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 15	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony?
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 8 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. VASSILIOS COCOROS; I do. VASSILIOS COCOROS, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 9 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 13 07:31PM 14 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 16 07:31PM 17	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 17 07:29PM 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 9 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 13 07:31PM 14 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 16 07:31PM 17 07:31PM 17	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural testimony with the lowering of the building. Now, as
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 17 07:29PM 18 07:29PM 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 14 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 16 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 18	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural testimony with the lowering of the building. Now, as the Chair alluded to, you did seek out the fire
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 17 07:29PM 18 07:29PM 19 07:29PM 20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We accept	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 14 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 16 07:31PM 17 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 19	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural testimony with the lowering of the building. Now, as the Chair alluded to, you did seek out the fire marshal here in Palisades Park, correct?
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 17 07:29PM 18 07:29PM 19 07:29PM 20 07:29PM 20 07:29PM 20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We accept Mr. Cocoros as an expert.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 5 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 16 07:31PM 17 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 20 07:31PM 20 07:31PM 20	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural testimony with the lowering of the building. Now, as the Chair alluded to, you did seek out the fire marshal here in Palisades Park, correct? A. Yes.
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 17 07:29PM 18 07:29PM 19 07:29PM 20 07:29PM 21 07:29PM 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We accept Mr. Cocoros as an expert. He's been here many times.	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 13 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 17 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 20 07:31PM 21 07:31PM 22	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural testimony with the lowering of the building. Now, as the Chair alluded to, you did seek out the fire marshal here in Palisades Park, correct? A. Yes. Q. His name?
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 17 07:29PM 18 07:29PM 19 07:29PM 20 07:29PM 21 07:29PM 22 07:29PM 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We accept Mr. Cocoros as an expert. He's been here many times. DIRECT EXAMINATION	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 16 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 20 07:31PM 21 07:31PM 22 07:31PM 22	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural testimony with the lowering of the building. Now, as the Chair alluded to, you did seek out the fire marshal here in Palisades Park, correct? A. Yes. Q. His name? A. Well, I spoke to Mr. Valente. The
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 17 07:29PM 18 07:29PM 19 07:29PM 20 07:29PM 21 07:29PM 23 07:29PM 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We accept Mr. Cocoros as an expert. He's been here many times. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SOKOLICH:	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 14 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 16 07:31PM 17 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 20 07:31PM 20 07:31PM 21 07:31PM 23 07:31PM 23	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural testimony with the lowering of the building. Now, as the Chair alluded to, you did seek out the fire marshal here in Palisades Park, correct? A. Yes. Q. His name? A. Well, I spoke to Mr. Valente. The actual fire official is Mr. Chiurazzi.
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 9 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 17 07:29PM 18 07:29PM 19 07:29PM 20 07:29PM 21 07:29PM 22 07:29PM 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We accept Mr. Cocoros as an expert. He's been here many times. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. So, Bill, you heard my brief opening	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 16 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 20 07:31PM 21 07:31PM 22 07:31PM 22	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural testimony with the lowering of the building. Now, as the Chair alluded to, you did seek out the fire marshal here in Palisades Park, correct? A. Yes. Q. His name? A. Well, I spoke to Mr. Valente. The actual fire official is Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. Okay.
07:29PM 2 07:29PM 3 07:29PM 4 07:29PM 5 07:29PM 6 07:29PM 7 07:29PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 16 07:29PM 17 07:29PM 18 07:29PM 19 07:29PM 20 07:29PM 21 07:29PM 23 07:29PM 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: So without any further ado, we'd like to speak to Bill. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. COCOROS: I do. V A S S I L I O S C O C O R O S, AIA 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and address. MR. COCOROS: Sure. Vassilios V-A-S-S-I-L-I-O-S, Cocoros, C-O-C-O-R-O-S, 467 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We accept Mr. Cocoros as an expert. He's been here many times. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SOKOLICH:	07:30PM 2 07:30PM 3 07:30PM 4 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 6 07:30PM 7 07:30PM 10 07:31PM 11 07:31PM 12 07:31PM 14 07:31PM 15 07:31PM 16 07:31PM 17 07:31PM 18 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 19 07:31PM 20 07:31PM 20 07:31PM 21 07:31PM 23 07:31PM 23	A. Correct. Q. All right. And I know that the number of floors were reduced and you explained that the last time you were here, from what to what, Bill? A. It was basically a six- and seven-story structure on the east side of the building as you're looking at west, the right-hand side. It was a six-story building, now it's a five-story structure. And then on the west side, which is closer to Grand Avenue, it was a seven-story structure, now it's a six-story structure. Q. Thank you. And I didn't mean to cut you off, but we're going to go through your meeting with the fire marshal as soon as you're done with this testimony? So we've provided the architectural testimony with the lowering of the building. Now, as the Chair alluded to, you did seek out the fire marshal here in Palisades Park, correct? A. Yes. Q. His name? A. Well, I spoke to Mr. Valente. The actual fire official is Mr. Chiurazzi.

4			
l	29		31
07:31PM 1	And when you met with him, you had the	07:33PM 1	THE COURT REPORTER: Just slow down a
07:31PM 2	letter, the comment letter that he had presented to	07:33PM 2	little bit, Mr. Cocoros.
07:31PM 3	the board at hand, correct?	07:33PM 3	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
07:31PM 4	A. Yeah, it was a phone conversation.	07:33PM 4	Q. Yeah, Bill, slow it down.
07:31PM 5	Q. When you reviewed that letter with	07;33PM 5	A. Oh, sorry.
07:31PM 6	them when you reviewed that letter with them, what	07;33РМ 6	Q. So you were on Item 2 of your letter.
07:31PM 7	conclusions did you reach? I think you memorialized	07;33PM 7	Go ahead.
07:31PM 8	it in a letter, correct?	07:33PM 8	A. Item 2, which is basically we would
07:31PM 9	A. Yes.	07:33PM 9	like to understand the proposed locations for
07:31PM 10	MR. SOKOLICH: I'm going to mark it for	07;33РМ 10	standpipes and fire department connections and so the
07:31PM 11	the benefit of the board. I think I'm up to	07:34PM 11	department SOGs for the new facilities can be
07:31PM 12	should I just go to A-30 because this is the third or	07:34РМ 12	accurately developed.
07:32PM 13	you tell me?	07:34РМ 13	My response to that was location of
07:32PM 14	MS. TESTA: Yeah, go with A-30.	07:34PM 14	standpipes and connection shall be installed in
07:32PM 15	(Whereupon, Letter Dated May 9th, 2024	07:34PM 15	accordance that we proposed.
07:32PM 16	is marked as Exhibit A-30 for identification.)	07:34PM 16	However, we will we and the design
07:32PM 17	BY MR. SOKOLICH:	07:34РМ 17	professionals will coordinate with their department
07:32PM 18	Q. So, Bill, I'm distributing a letter	07:34PM 18	in regards to your requirements, preferences and
07:32PM 19	that you proposed to the Fire Prevention Bureau dated	07:34PM 19	concerns.
07:32PM 20	May 9, 2024. We've marked it for identification	07:34РМ 20	So, you know, we would involve them in
07:32PM 21	purposes as A-30.	07:34PM 21	the design process of the fire protection systems,
07;32PM 22	Is that the letter?	07:34PM 22	including fire alarms and the fire sprinkler system.
07:32PM 23	A. Yes.	07:34PM 23	Number 3?
07:32PM 24	Q. So if you don't mind, in summary	07:34PM 24	Q. Now, Number 3 of the correspondence
07:32PM 25	fashion, Bill, could you please indicate what the	07:34PM 25	that you're referring to was a suggestion that the
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	30		32
07:32PM 1	letter indicates and what resolution you reached with	07:34PM 1	department requested a review of subsequent design
07:32PM 2	the fire marshal?	07;34РМ 2	drawings for the development, so as to better
07:32PM 3	A. Sure.	07:34РМ 3	understand the mechanical systems being proposed.
07:32PM 4			
	There was eight items on this letter,	07:34PM 4	Your response to that and your
07:32PM 5	There was eight items on this letter, Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating	07:34PM 5	Your response to that and your correspondence was?
07:32PM 5 07:32PM 6	-	_	correspondence was? A. Sure.
	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7	correspondence was? A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted
07:32РМ 6	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all	07:34PM	correspondence was? A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval.
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two	07:34PM	correspondence was? A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level.	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 9 07:35PM 10	correspondence was? A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment.
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 9 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11	correspondence was? A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 9 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 11	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 9 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 13	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:32PM 10 07:33PM 11 07:33PM 12	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 13 07:35PM 13	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 11 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 13 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps.
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 11 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 13 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 15	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4?
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 11 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 15	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 13 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 17	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:32PM 10 07:33PM 11 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 18	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 13 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 17	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 11 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 15	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were will be the same clearance of 8 feet. And then we'll have a 10-feet ceiling area above that, which is	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 13 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 18	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will be designed and engineered in accordance with the
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:32PM 10 07:33PM 11 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 18	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were will be the same clearance of 8 feet. And then we'll	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 9 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 19 07:35PM 20	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will be designed and engineered in accordance with the building code and accepted engineering practice,
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 17	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were will be the same clearance of 8 feet. And then we'll have a 10-feet ceiling area above that, which is	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 20 07:35PM 20 07:35PM 20	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will be designed and engineered in accordance with the building code and accepted engineering practice, which is I think 150 pounds per square foot.
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 18 07:33PM 19 07:33PM 20	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were will be the same clearance of 8 feet. And then we'll have a 10-feet ceiling area above that, which is typical for a mid-rise type of building, which is	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 9 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 19 07:35PM 20	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will be designed and engineered in accordance with the building code and accepted engineering practice, which is I think 150 pounds per square foot. In addition, we'll put signs for, you
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 19 07:33PM 20 07:33PM 20	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were will be the same clearance of 8 feet. And then we'll have a 10-feet ceiling area above that, which is typical for a mid-rise type of building, which is basically in accordance with code.	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 20 07:35PM 20 07:35PM 20	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will be designed and engineered in accordance with the building code and accepted engineering practice, which is I think 150 pounds per square foot. In addition, we'll put signs for, you know, maximum weight within the parking structure. I
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:32PM 10 07:33PM 11 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 18 07:33PM 19 07:33PM 20 07:33PM 21 07:33PM 21	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were will be the same clearance of 8 feet. And then we'll have a 10-feet ceiling area above that, which is typical for a mid-rise type of building, which is basically in accordance with code. Number 2, to that end, we would like to	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 13 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 19 07:35PM 20 07:35PM 21 07:35PM 21	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will be designed and engineered in accordance with the building code and accepted engineering practice, which is I think 150 pounds per square foot. In addition, we'll put signs for, you know, maximum weight within the parking structure. I think the biggest vehicle would probably be a pickup
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 19 07:33PM 20 07:33PM 20 07:33PM 21	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were will be the same clearance of 8 feet. And then we'll have a 10-feet ceiling area above that, which is typical for a mid-rise type of building, which is basically in accordance with code. Number 2, to that end, we would like to have a set of proposed locations for standpipes and	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 8 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 11 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 13 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 17 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 19 07:35PM 20 07:35PM 21 07:35PM 22 07:35PM 22	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will be designed and engineered in accordance with the building code and accepted engineering practice, which is I think 150 pounds per square foot. In addition, we'll put signs for, you know, maximum weight within the parking structure. I think the biggest vehicle would probably be a pickup truck or a van for maintenance purposes within that
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 13 07:33PM 14 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 18 07:33PM 19 07:33PM 20 07:33PM 21 07:33PM 21 07:33PM 22	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were will be the same clearance of 8 feet. And then we'll have a 10-feet ceiling area above that, which is typical for a mid-rise type of building, which is basically in accordance with code. Number 2, to that end, we would like to have a set of proposed locations for standpipes and fire department connections, so fire department SOGs	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 9 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 19 07:35PM 20 07:35PM 21 07:35PM 21 07:35PM 23 07:35PM 23	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will be designed and engineered in accordance with the building code and accepted engineering practice, which is I think 150 pounds per square foot. In addition, we'll put signs for, you know, maximum weight within the parking structure. I think the biggest vehicle would probably be a pickup
07:32PM 6 07:32PM 7 07:32PM 8 07:32PM 9 07:33PM 10 07:33PM 11 07:33PM 12 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 15 07:33PM 16 07:33PM 17 07:33PM 18 07:33PM 19 07:33PM 20 07:33PM 21 07:33PM 21 07:33PM 23 07:33PM 23	Number 1 being provide supplemental details relating to each access point of the parking areas, all vertical clearances to better understand how future apartment entry is expected to be accomplished. My response to that was there are two driveways that provide access to each parking level. The openings to the parking level shall have a clearance of 8-feet high. A typical garage is 9-feet high so you get in a pickup truck, you know, it's not made for commercial vehicles, it's basically going to be for the tenants and any sort of maintenance vehicles that might be going in and out of there for cleanup purposes and the actual if you were will be the same clearance of 8 feet. And then we'll have a 10-feet ceiling area above that, which is typical for a mid-rise type of building, which is basically in accordance with code. Number 2, to that end, we would like to have a set of proposed locations for standpipes and fire department connections, so fire department SOGs for new facilities can be accurately developed.	07:34PM 5 07:34PM 6 07:34PM 7 07:35PM 9 07:35PM 10 07:35PM 12 07:35PM 14 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 15 07:35PM 16 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 18 07:35PM 19 07:35PM 20 07:35PM 21 07:35PM 21 07:35PM 23 07:35PM 23	A. Sure. All design drawings shall be submitted to the Building Department for review and approval. In addition, we'll submit the same plan to your office for review and comment. Q. The fire department also requested — the Fire Prevention Bureau, excuse me, also requested to provide any identified weight restrictions associated with the parking areas and vehicular ramps. Your response to Number 4? A. The parking area shall be limited to vehicle for tenants only. And structural slabs will be designed and engineered in accordance with the building code and accepted engineering practice, which is I think 150 pounds per square foot. In addition, we'll put signs for, you know, maximum weight within the parking structure. I think the biggest vehicle would probably be a pickup truck or a van for maintenance purposes within that

	33		And then finally Number 9, the issue
07:35PM 1	space.	07:37PM 1	And then finally Number 8, the issue
07:35PM 2	Q. Number 5 of the Fire Prevention	07:37PM 2	raised by Fire Prevention was the height was reduced
07:35PM 3	Bureau's correspondence asked to identify and augment	07:37PM 3	by one story, 10-feet-8-inches in feet.
07:35PM 4	where appropriate water supply at the building	07:37PM 4	The building is now five stories on the
07:35PM 5	frontage currently or intended to be available for	07:37PM 5	left and six stories to the east.
07:35PM 6	suppression purposes and to help mitigate the ongoing	07:37PM 6	I don't know that to be actually a
07:35PM 7	mass influx of electric vehicles which require	07:37PM 7	request for anything, but do you want to elaborate on
07:35PM 8	additional volumes of water for extinguishing	07:37PM 8	Number 8? A. Yes, it was a matter of, as far as code
07:35PM 9	purposes.	07:37PM 9 07:37PM 10	
07:36PM 10	Your response to the Fire Prevention	l	purposes, switching it from a high-rise building to a
07:36PM 11	Bureau's Item 5 is?	07:37PM 11	mid-rise building.
07:36PM 12	A. We will design the fire suppression	07:37PM 12	Q. Understood.
07:36PM 13	system to take into account electrical vehicles. I	07:37PM 13	A. Which has a whole different set of
07:36PM 14	guess it will probably be increased heads over the	07:37PM 14	requirements.
07:36PM 15	charging stations, whatever recommendation the actual	07:37PM 15	Q. And in fact in fact, the volumes and
07:36РМ 16	Fire Prevention Bureau would submit to us.	07:38PM 16	regulations applicable to mid-rise versus high-rise
07:36PM 17	Q. In Number 6, the Fire Prevention Bureau	07:38PM 17	and so forth are entirely different, correct?
07:36PM 18	questioned what fail/safe and associated enforcement	07:38PM 18	A. Correct.
07,36PM 19	procedures can be implemented to limit on-street	07:38PM 19	Q. Now, you submitted this response, did
07:36PM 20	parking immediately in front of the proposed	07:38PM 20	you not, to the Fire Prevention?
07:36PM 21	structure.	07:38РМ 21	A. Yes.
07:36PM 22	And your response to that concern in	07:38PM 22	Q. And have you heard any response that
07:36РМ 23	Item 6 is?	07:38PM 23	they had objected to or requested any more
07:36PM 24	A. Sure.	07:38РМ 24	information as to any of your responses?
07:36РМ 25	We're proposing no parking striping	07:38PM 25	A. No.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	34	1	36
07:36РМ 1	along the entire front of the property, which is	07:38PM 1	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente
07:36PM 2	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the	07:38PM 2	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi.
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project.	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner,	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct?	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner.	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 8	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 8	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence?
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 8 07:36PM 9	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 8 07:38PM 9	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 8 07:36PM 9 07:37PM 10	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 8 07:38PM 9	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 8 07:36PM 9 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 11	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary.	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 9 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 10	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 8 07:36PM 9 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6?	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 9 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have.
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 8 07:36PM 9 07:36PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7?	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 9 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 8 07:36PM 9 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 13	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry.	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 9 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 13	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 8 07:36PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 14 07:37PM 15	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 13 07:38PM 14 07:38PM 15	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 10 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 14 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 13 07:38PM 14 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct?
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 8 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 16	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 13 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 9 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 14 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 17 07:37PM 17	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the property in the event of an emergency.	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 13 07:38PM 14 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16 07:38PM 17 07:38PM 17	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct? A. Correct. Q. And we will keep them, number one,
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 14 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 18	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the property in the event of an emergency. Q. And that fire lane is now disclosed on	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 14 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16 07:38PM 17 07:38PM 18	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct? A. Correct. Q. And we will keep them, number one, apprised during the course of development were this
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 17 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 19 07:37PM 20	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the property in the event of an emergency. Q. And that fire lane is now disclosed on Mr. Koestner's site plan, correct?	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 13 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16 07:38PM 17 07:38PM 17 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 19 07:38PM 20	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct? A. Correct. Q. And we will keep them, number one, apprised during the course of development were this board to act favorably on the application?
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 9 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 17 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 19 07:37PM 20 07:37PM 20 07:37PM 20	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the property in the event of an emergency. Q. And that fire lane is now disclosed on Mr. Koestner's site plan, correct? A. Yeah, well, actually it's the	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16 07:38PM 17 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 20 07:39PM 20	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct? A. Correct. Q. And we will keep them, number one, apprised during the course of development were this board to act favorably on the application? A. Yes, it's going to be basically two
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 8 07:36PM 9 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 17 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 20 07:37PM 21 07:37PM 21 07:37PM 21	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the property in the event of an emergency. Q. And that fire lane is now disclosed on Mr. Koestner's site plan, correct? A. Yeah, well, actually it's the no-parking striping.	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 14 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 19 07:38PM 19 07:38PM 20 07:39PM 22	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct? A. Correct. Q. And we will keep them, number one, apprised during the course of development were this board to act favorably on the application? A. Yes, it's going to be basically two levels of fire protection, the subcode official and
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 10 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 19 07:37PM 20 07:37PM 21 07:37PM 22 07:37PM 22	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the property in the event of an emergency. Q. And that fire lane is now disclosed on Mr. Koestner's site plan, correct? A. Yeah, well, actually it's the no-parking striping. Q. Understood.	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 14 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 19 07:38PM 19 07:38PM 20 07:39PM 22 07:39PM 22	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct? A. Correct. Q. And we will keep them, number one, apprised during the course of development were this board to act favorably on the application? A. Yes, it's going to be basically two levels of fire protection, the subcode official and the Fire Prevention Bureau.
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 17 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 19 07:37PM 20 07:37PM 21 07:37PM 22 07:37PM 23 07:37PM 23	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the property in the event of an emergency. Q. And that fire lane is now disclosed on Mr. Koestner's site plan, correct? A. Yeah, well, actually it's the no-parking striping. Q. Understood. But he's on deck and he'll provide	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 14 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16 07:38PM 17 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 19 07:38PM 20 07:39PM 20 07:39PM 21 07:39PM 23 07:39PM 23	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct? A. Correct. Q. And we will keep them, number one, apprised during the course of development were this board to act favorably on the application? A. Yes, it's going to be basically two levels of fire protection, the subcode official and the Fire Prevention Bureau. Q. Great.
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 10 07:37PM 10 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 13 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 19 07:37PM 20 07:37PM 21 07:37PM 22 07:37PM 22	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the property in the event of an emergency. Q. And that fire lane is now disclosed on Mr. Koestner's site plan, correct? A. Yeah, well, actually it's the no-parking striping. Q. Understood. But he's on deck and he'll provide testimony?	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 14 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 19 07:38PM 19 07:38PM 20 07:39PM 22 07:39PM 22	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct? A. Correct. Q. And we will keep them, number one, apprised during the course of development were this board to act favorably on the application? A. Yes, it's going to be basically two levels of fire protection, the subcode official and the Fire Prevention Bureau. Q. Great. And we are willing to avail ourselves
07:36PM 2 07:36PM 3 07:36PM 4 07:36PM 5 07:36PM 6 07:36PM 7 07:36PM 10 07:37PM 11 07:37PM 12 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 15 07:37PM 16 07:37PM 17 07:37PM 18 07:37PM 19 07:37PM 20 07:37PM 21 07:37PM 22 07:37PM 23 07:37PM 23	along the entire front of the property, which is going to be shown on Steve's drawings and the engineering project. Q. When you say Steve, Steve Koestner, correct? A. Steve Koestner. Q. Number 7, consideration should be given to the creation of a street-side designated fire lane, perhaps between the access points to the parking area to ensure unobstructed access to the department when necessary. And your Number 6? A. Number 6 or Number 7? Q. Number 7, I'm sorry. A. Seven kind of relates to Number 6, so no parking striping in front of the property will provide unobstructed access to the front of the property in the event of an emergency. Q. And that fire lane is now disclosed on Mr. Koestner's site plan, correct? A. Yeah, well, actually it's the no-parking striping. Q. Understood. But he's on deck and he'll provide	07:38PM 2 07:38PM 3 07:38PM 4 07:38PM 5 07:38PM 6 07:38PM 7 07:38PM 10 07:38PM 11 07:38PM 12 07:38PM 14 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 15 07:38PM 16 07:38PM 17 07:38PM 18 07:38PM 19 07:38PM 20 07:39PM 20 07:39PM 21 07:39PM 23 07:39PM 23	Like I said, I sent over to Mr. Valente and actually his boss too Mr. Chiurazzi. Q. And I want to be clear, I'm not suggesting that because they didn't call you, that means they 100 percent endorsed us, but I think we can reach the conclusion that if we didn't hear from them, nothing is objectionable that's in your correspondence? A. Yes, it's basically, you know, we'll comply with the code, but we're also going to put them have them involved as far as the design process to mitigate any concerns that they have. Q. And last question on behalf of the applicant, we now acknowledge that Fire Prevention has expressed an interest and provided detailed comments on the development, correct? A. Correct. Q. And we will keep them, number one, apprised during the course of development were this board to act favorably on the application? A. Yes, it's going to be basically two levels of fire protection, the subcode official and the Fire Prevention Bureau. Q. Great.

	27		39
4	and comply with any conditions that are imposed	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
07:39PM 1	upon us either by way of resolution or during the	2	BY MR, SOKOLICH:
07:39PM 2	course of construction?	07:40PM 3	Q. Steve, get me to the plan or the page
	A. Correct.	07:40PM 4	that best describes the changes that you're going to
07:39PM 4	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have nothing	07:41PM 5	talk about.
07:39PM 5	further for Mr. Cocoros. May I oh, excuse me, the	07:41PM 6	And that's your plan which is entitled
07:39PM 7	board may.	07:41PM 7	"Site Grading Plan, Lower Level," last revised
07:39PM 7 07:39PM 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I appreciate you	07:41PM 8	where are we?
07:39PM 9	contacting the fire department.	07:41PM 9	A. 4/25/2024.
07:39PM 10	To leave something like that	07:41PM 10	Q. Great.
07:39PM 10	open-ended, I think the board wasn't in favor of it,	07:41PM 11	MR. SOKOLICH: And, Diane, with your
07:39PM 12	but now that we have heard from the fire department	07:41PM 12	permission, I'm going to mark it as A-31.
07:39PM 12	and everything seems to be okay with them, okay.	07:41PM 13	MS. TESTA: Yes.
07:39PM 14	Thank you very much.	07:41PM 14	MR. SOKOLICH: And I'm going to date it
07:39PM 15	And any board members have anything	07:41PM 15	today.
07:39PM 16	about the fire department?	16	(Whereupon, Site Grading Plan, Lower
07:39PM 17	(No Response.)	17	Level, Last Revised 4/25/2024 is marked as
07:39PM 18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Any experts,	18	Exhibit A-31 for identification.)
07:39PM 19	anything?	19	BY MR. SOKOLICH:
07:39PM 20	(No Response.)	20	Q. Now, Steve, just by way of brief
07:39PM 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No.	21	review, because we're going to streamline all of our
07:39PM 22	Residents?	07:41PM 22	experts and then we'll hear from the public, you are
07:40PM 23	MS. TESTA: No.	07:41PM 23	the site plan engineer?
07:40PM 24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, sorry.	07:41PM 24	A. I am.
07:40PM 25	MS. TESTA: They just want to go	07:41PM 25	Q. And you've provided robust testimony on
07.40/ III/ =0	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	38		40
07:40PM 1	through all the experts and then	07:41PM 1	this application in prior hearings, have you not?
07:40PM 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, okay. We'll go	07:41PM 2	A. Somewhat.
07:40PM 3	through all of them.	07:41PM 3	So, yes.
07:40PM 4	MR. SOKOLICH: Great. Thank you.	07:41PM 4	Q. Well, you have confidence in the
07:40PM 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	07:41PM 5	testimony; yes?
07:40PM 6	MR. SOKOLICH: Mr. Koestner, come on	07:41PM 6	A. Yes.
07:40PM 7	up.	07.41PM 7	Q. Excellent.
07:40PM 8	MS. TESTA: Please raise your right	07:41PM 8	And you're familiar with the changes
07:40PM 9	hand.	07:41PM 9	that were made by Mr. Cocoros in his architectural
07:40PM 10	Do you swear the testimony you will	07:41PM 10	drawings?
07:40PM 11	provide with regards to this application will be the	07:41PM 11	A. I am.
07:40PM 12	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?	07:41PM 12	Q. I don't think we had time to get to you
07:40PM 13	MR. KOESTNER: I do.	07:41PM 13	for whatever the reason at the last meeting, so we've
07:40PM 14	STEVEN KOESTNER, LS, P.E.,	07:41PM 14	asked you to return this evening to go through a
07:40PM 15	61 Hudson Street, Hackensack, New Jersey, having	07:41PM 15	quick summary from an engineering standpoint and then
07:40PM 16	been duly sworn, testifies as follows:	07:41PM 16	if you could just talk about the material changes
07:40PM 17	MS. TESTA: Please state your name and	07:42PM 17	that were made to the plans.
07:40PM 18	business address.	07;42PM 18	A. Certainly.
07:40PM 19	MR. KOESTNER: Steven Koestner, that's	07:42PM 19	It's well known the site being on the
07:40PM 20	S-T-E-V-E-N, K-O-E-S-T-N-E-R, 61 Hudson Street,	07:42PM 20	northerly side of West Columbia Avenue, 516 feet east
07:40PM 21	Hackensack, New Jersey.	07:42PM 21	of Grand Avenue on the ramp going down to Route 46
07:40PM 22	MS. TESTA: Thank you.	07:42PM 22	West.
23	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you,	07:42PM 23	The site being 200 feet in width, a
24	Mr. Koestner.	07:42PM 24	hundred feet in depth along the westerly side and
25		07:42PM 25	49.57 feet along the easterly side.
25		1	
25	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812

			42
	41	07:44PM 1	A3
07:42PM 1	The changes made are pretty		DIRECT EXAMINATION
07:42PM 2	straightforward. As Mr. Cocoros has stated, one		BY MR. SOKOLICH:
07:42PM 3	story was taken off of the top of the structure,	07:44PM 3	Q. Lou, just as I do with all the
07:42PM 4	reduced by over 10 feet in height.	07:44PM 4	witnesses, the scope of your engagement was in
07:42PM 5	That reduced, of course, the number of	07:44PM 5	connection with this application?
07:42PM 6	parking spaces that are required.	07:44PM 6	A. Basically to look at the existing
07,42PM 7	And secondarily, we also added the fire	07:44PM 7	traffic conditions in the frontage along the
07;42PM 8	lane here along the frontage of the building so as to	07.44PM 8	frontage of the site, provide trip generation
07:42PM 9	provide greater access for the fire department in	07:44PM 9	estimates, number of new vehicles coming to and from
07:42PM 10	case of a fire in the building.	07:44PM 10	the site, access to and from circulation on-site and
07:42PM 11	So those are the basic changes. The	07:44PM 11	off-site, and the safety concerns as a result of
07:42PM 12	height has changed significantly. The units now are	07:44РМ 12	that, and parking.
07:43PM 13	40 units.	07:44PM 13	And so I guess we have to mark this,
07:43PM 14	At one time, there were many more.	07:44PM 14	but I'll wait for Mark to come back.
07:43PM 15	It's been reduced by approximately 20 percent.	07:44РМ 15	Q. And, Lou, I'm going to when last
07:43PM 16	The parking, number of spaces required	07:45PM 16	here, I think the board had requested that we
07:43PM 17	has gone down to 76. And we are providing the same	07:45PM 17	memorialize our traffic findings, our analysis into a
07:43PM 18	amount of parking with this layout, 58 parking spaces	07:45РМ 18	report that can be reviewed by the board's experts,
07:43PM 19	in total on both parking decks.	07:45PM 19	excuse me, Mr. Simoff.
07:43PM 20	Q. Any other material changes were made?	07:45PM 20	Did you do that?
07:43PM 21	You've reduced the reduction in floor?	07:45PM 21	A. I did not.
07:43PM 22	A. Yes.	07:45PM 22	Q. No, I asked you if you did a report.
07:43PM 23	Q. You've reviewed the parking in the	07:45PM 23	A. Yes, I did.
07:43PM 24	front?	07:45PM 24	MR. SOKOLICH: And I'm going to mark as
07:43PM 25	A. Correct.	07:45PM 25	A-32, Diane, with your permission.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	42		44
07:43PM 1	Q. Any other material changes to the site	07:45PM 1	44 MS. TESTA: Yes.
07:43PM 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	07:45PM 1	
	Q. Any other material changes to the site	********	MS. TESTA: Yes.
07:43PM 2	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the	07:45PM 2	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 3	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public?	07:45PM 2	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024.
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 3 07:43PM 4	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 3 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 3 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.)
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 3 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH:
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 3 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 8	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next?	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 8	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 3 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 8 07:43PM 9	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 8 07:45PM 9	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you?
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 8 07:43PM 9 07:43PM 10	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 8 07:45PM 9 07:45PM 10	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right.
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 8 07:43PM 9 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 10	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 8 07:45PM 9 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir.
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 8 07:43PM 9 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 8 07:45PM 9 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 11	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 8 07:43PM 9 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 8 07:45PM 9 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 12	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board.
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 8 07:43PM 9 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 8 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 13	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 14 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 8 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 14 07:45PM 15	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 8 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 16 07:43PM 17	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 14 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board?
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 14 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 17 07:44PM 17	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 9 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 14 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16 07:45PM 17	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board? A. No, there's nothing here that's
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 14 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 17 07:44PM 18 07:44PM 18	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name and	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 9 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 14 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16 07:45PM 17 07:45PM 17	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board? A. No, there's nothing here that's different. The purpose of the report was basically
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 14 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 16 07:44PM 17 07:44PM 18 07:44PM 19 07:44PM 20	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name and your business address.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16 07:45PM 17 07:45PM 18 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 20	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board? A. No, there's nothing here that's different. The purpose of the report was basically to put forth in writing my testimony, the
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 16 07:43PM 17 07:43PM 18 07:43PM 19 07:44PM 20 07:44PM 20 07:44PM 21	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name and your business address. MR. LUGLIO: It's Louis Luglio, it's	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16 07:45PM 17 07:45PM 18 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 20 07:45PM 20 07:45PM 18	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board? A. No, there's nothing here that's different. The purpose of the report was basically to put forth in writing my testimony, the calculations. I think the main point was some of the
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 14 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 16 07:44PM 17 07:44PM 18 07:44PM 19 07:44PM 21 07:44PM 21 07:44PM 21	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name and your business address. MR. LUGLIO: It's Louis Luglio, it's L-U-G-L-I-O. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 14 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16 07:45PM 17 07:45PM 18 07:45PM 19 07:46PM 20 07:46PM 21 07:46PM 21	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board? A. No, there's nothing here that's different. The purpose of the report was basically to put forth in writing my testimony, the calculations.
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 17 07:44PM 18 07:43PM 18 07:44PM 19 07:44PM 20 07:44PM 21 07:44PM 22 07:44PM 23	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name and your business address. MR. LUGLIO: It's Louis Luglio, it's L-U-G-L-I-O. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16 07:45PM 17 07:45PM 18 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 20 07:45PM 20 07:45PM 18	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board? A. No, there's nothing here that's different. The purpose of the report was basically to put forth in writing my testimony, the calculations. I think the main point was some of the additional parking information from the Institute of
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 14 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 16 07:43PM 17 07:43PM 18 07:43PM 19 07:44PM 18 07:44PM 20 07:44PM 21 07:44PM 21 07:44PM 23 07:44PM 23	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name and your business address. MR. LUGLIO: It's Louis Luglio, it's L-U-G-L-I-O. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16 07:45PM 17 07:45PM 18 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 19 07:46PM 19 07:46PM 20 07:46PM 21 07:46PM 21 07:46PM 23 07:46PM 23	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board? A. No, there's nothing here that's different. The purpose of the report was basically to put forth in writing my testimony, the calculations. I think the main point was some of the additional parking information from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, I provided this.
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 14 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 16 07:44PM 17 07:44PM 18 07:43PM 19 07:44PM 20 07:44PM 21 07:44PM 22 07:44PM 23	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name and your business address. MR. LUGLIO: It's Louis Luglio, it's L-U-G-L-I-O. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey. MS. TESTA: Thank you.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 13 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16 07:45PM 17 07:45PM 18 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 20 07:46PM 21 07:46PM 22 07:46PM 23	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board? A. No, there's nothing here that's different. The purpose of the report was basically to put forth in writing my testimony, the calculations. I think the main point was some of the additional parking information from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, I provided this. And, basically, that was it, that was the extent.
07:43PM 2 07:43PM 4 07:43PM 5 07:43PM 6 07:43PM 7 07:43PM 10 07:43PM 11 07:43PM 12 07:43PM 13 07:43PM 14 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 15 07:43PM 16 07:44PM 17 07:44PM 18 07:44PM 19 07:44PM 20 07:44PM 21 07:44PM 21 07:44PM 23 07:44PM 23	Q. Any other material changes to the site plan that you would like to point out to either the board and/or the public? A. None. Q. Okay. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no further questions of Mr. Koestner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Next? MR. SOKOLICH: We ask Mr. Luglio to please come forward. MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the testimony you will provide in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. LUGLIO: Yes, I do. LOUIS LUGLIO, P.E. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name and your business address. MR. LUGLIO: It's Louis Luglio, it's L-U-G-L-I-O. 160 Hillcrest Avenue, Leonia, New Jersey.	07:45PM 2 07:45PM 3 07:45PM 4 07:45PM 5 07:45PM 6 07:45PM 7 07:45PM 10 07:45PM 11 07:45PM 12 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 15 07:45PM 16 07:45PM 17 07:45PM 18 07:45PM 19 07:45PM 19 07:46PM 19 07:46PM 20 07:46PM 21 07:46PM 21 07:46PM 23 07:46PM 23	MS. TESTA: Yes. MR. SOKOLICH: A letter report dated May 7, 2024. (Whereupon, Letter Report of Louis Luglio Dated May 7, 2024 is marked as Exhibit A-32 for identification.) BY MR. SOKOLICH: Q. And I believe, Lou, this is and there's some exhibits that signed by you? A. That's right. Q. Thank you, sir. We've just distributed that for the record to the board. Is there anything in this report that's materially different than the testimony you provided when last you were before this board? A. No, there's nothing here that's different. The purpose of the report was basically to put forth in writing my testimony, the calculations. I think the main point was some of the additional parking information from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, I provided this. And, basically, that was it, that was

	45		47
4	I also provided a figure. One	07:48PM 1	record, the scope of your engagement, your purpose
07:46PM 1	discussion point that we were having was whether or	07:48PM 2	being involved in this application was?
	not this requires an NJ DOT access permit.	07:48PM 3	A. Certainly.
	It is my belief and continued my belief	07:48PM 4	Initially it was to review the site
07.40FW	that it does not along the frontage of both of the	07:48PM 5	plan, to visit the property, review the zoning
07:46PM 5	driveways for the proposed project. And that	07:48PM 6	ordinance and master plan, and then provide planning
-	Q. Go ahead, I'm sorry.	07:48PM 7	testimony in support of the variances.
01,461 111	A. And Figure 1 that's attached to the	07:48PM 8	I did that at the prior meeting and
	report identifies the site again. It's on top of an	07:48PM 9	then for this evening, it was to review the changes,
07:46PM 9	aerial. And it shows one level of the parking with	07:48PM 10	see how they affected the variances, and then provide
07:47PM 10	the driveways, proposed driveways.	07:48PM 11	a bit of testimony on that as well.
07:47PM 11 07:47PM 12	And then the start in blue of where	07:48PM 12	Q. Something you've done a few times in
07:47PM 12 07:47PM 13	U.S. 46 jurisdiction begins.	07:48PM 13	the past, I trust?
07:47PM 13	And so it basically begins on that ramp	07:48PM 14	A. A couple of times here, yes.
07:47PM 14 07:47PM 15	or that lane that the driver has to go onto Route 46.	07:48PM 15	Q. Now, you're familiar with the material
07:47PM 15	Prior to that, there's an opportunity	07:48PM 16	changes that were made from the last time we've been
07:47PM 10	to not go onto Route 46, not to go onto the state	07:49PM 17	before this board?
07:47PM 17	highway and to stay on West Columbia, which is, you	07:49PM 18	A. Yes.
07:47PM 18	know, a local roadway.	07:49PM 19	Q. It was the reduction in stories, the
07:47PM 13	So that is the extent of the changes or	07:49PM 20	fire lane or the no-parking arrangements that were
07:47PM 20 07:47PM 21	what is in the report. There's really nothing	07:49PM 21	made.
07:47PM 21	different than the testimony that I have.	07:49PM 22	Is any are any of the planning
07:47PM 22 07:47PM 23	Q. Thank you, Lou.	07:49PM 23	conclusions and expert testimony you provided, is any
07:47PM 23	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have no	07:49PM 24	of that altered in any way as a result of these
07:47PM 24 07:47PM 25	other questions.	07:49PM 25	changes?
07:47PM 23	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	3,,,,,,,,,,,,	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	46		48
1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll wait until we	07:49PM 1	A. Well, the changes that were made
07:47PM 1	all go and then	07:49PM 2	affected three of the variances that we were seeking.
	MR, SOKOLICH: Understood, understood,	07:49PM 3	Q. Yes, sir.
07:47PM 3	that's great.	07:49PM 4	A. Two of them being D variances. We
07:47PM 5	Thank you.	07:49PM 5	still need the use variance. The height which we
07:48PM 6	We have one final witness. We have	07:49PM 6	needed a variance for has been reduced, one floor was
07:48PM 7	Mr. Spatz.	07:49PM 7	taken off and approximately 10 feet. That variance
07:48PM 8	MS. TESTA: Please raise your right	D7:49PM 8	was reduced.
07:48PM 9	hand.	07:49PM 9	And then lot area per unit, the
07:48PM 10	Do you swear the testimony you'll	07:49PM 10	variances had been reduced because by reducing the
07:48PM 11	provide in this application will be the truth, the	07:49PM 11	number of units from 50 down to 40, the lot area per
07:48PM 12	whole truth and nothing but the truth.	07:49PM 12	unit increases. We still need a variance, but that
07:48PM 13	MR. SPATZ: Yes, I do.	07:49PM 13	is there.
07:48PM 14	DAVID SPATZ, P.P., ACIP	07:49PM 14	And then in terms of parking, by
07:48PM 15	60 Friend Terrace, Harrington Park, New Jersey,	07:49PM 15	reducing the number of units, we've reduced the
07:48PM 16	having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:	07:49PM 16	parking requirement, so we only provide the same
07:48PM 17	MS. TESTA: State your name, business	07;50PM 17	number of spaces.
07:48PM 18	address, please.	07:50PM 18	We are, of course, closer to what the
07:48PM 19	MR. SPATZ: David Spatz, S-P-A-T-Z.	07:50PM 19	ordinance and the Residential Site Improvement
07:48PM 10	My business address is 60 Friend	07:50PM 20	Standards requires in terms of the D variances.
07:48PM 20	Terrace in Harrington Park, New Jersey.	07:50PM 21	We have provided testimony in the
07:48PM 21	DIRECT EXAMINATION	07:50PM 22	public benefits supporting them, special reasons to
	DAILEST ENVIRONMENTALEST	1	
1	BY MR. SOKOLICH:	07:50PM 23	support them.
07:48PM 23	BY MR. SOKOLICH: O. Good evening, David, As we did with	07:50PM 23 07:50PM 24	support them. Particularly of importance, I think, is
07:48PM 23 07:48PM 24	Q. Good evening, David. As we did with		
07:48PM 23	Q. Good evening, David. As we did with the prior witnesses, just for the benefit of the	07:50PM 24	Particularly of importance, I think, is
07:48PM 23 07:48PM 24	Q. Good evening, David. As we did with	07:50PM 24	Particularly of importance, I think, is the provision is affordable housing, sizable

	49		51
07:50PM 1	affordable housing requirement. And our proposal	07:52PM 1	New Jersey; is it not?
07:50PM 2	will go a ways towards reducing that obligation. And	07 52PM 2	A. The courts and the legislature have
07.50PM 2	so I think that is certainly the public benefit from	07:52PM 3	mandated that all municipalities have to provide
07:50PM 4	what we're proposing.	07:52PM 4	their fair share of affordable housing.
····	In terms of the bulk variances, those	07:52PM 5	Q. Is it appropriate to consider an
_	all remain because the building footprint has not	07:52PM 6	application that will provide a 20 percent set-aside?
07.50PM 6	changed, so the setbacks have not changed.	07:52PM 7	Is it appropriate to consider that
07:50PM 7	But on balance, I think that the	07:52PM 8	set-aside when considering the positive and the
	benefits that we talked about at the last meeting and	07:52PM 9	negative criteria? In other words, is that one of
07:50PM 9		07:52PM 10	the considerations that can fairly be considered
07:50PM 10	the minimal negative impacts from the last meeting,	07:52PM 10	reaching your conclusion?
07:50PM 11	those have been improved by the reduction of the	07:52PM 11	A. Yes, the provision of affordable
07:50PM 12	building height and particularly the reduction in the	07:52PM 12	housing is certainly a public benefit. The courts
07:50PM 13	number of units.	07:52PM 13	have held that affordable housing is a beneficial
07:50PM 14	Q. Now, there's also another very, very	07:52PM 15	use. That does not make the entire project
07:51PM 15	critically important dimension with this property and	07:52PM 15	inherently beneficial, but at least the affordable
07:51PM 16	this application, and that would be the obligation of	1	units' component of this are inherently beneficial as
07:51PM 17	a municipality to provide affordable housing.	07:53PM 17	according to the State legislature.
07:51PM 18	Can you offer some testimony as to what	07:53PM 10	
07:51PM 19	you know as to where we are from the borough	07:53PM 19 07:53PM 20	Q. Thank you, David. Is there anything else that you would
07:51PM 20	standpoint and what's being proposed by way of	07:53PM 20 07:53PM 21	
07:51PM 21	affordable housing by this applicant?	07:53PM 21	like to add? A. Nope, I think that sums it up.
07:51PM 22	A. Certainly.	1	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I am done with
07:51PM 23	I mentioned that, but the municipality	07:53PM 23	
07:51PM 24	has an affordable housing obligation of somewhere	07:53PM 24	Mr. Spatz.
07:51PM 25	north of 100 units.	07:53PM 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
	201-641-1812		52
	50	07:53PM 1	MR. SOKOLICH: So, Chair, just for the
07:51PM 1	We've agreed to provide 20 percent of		record, if I may?
07:51PM 2	our units as affordable housing, that would be eight	١ .	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, go ahead.
07:51PM 3	units.		MR. SOKOLICH: We've gone through the
07:51PM 4	So it's it obviously doesn't wipe		brief testimony of our four experts: Bill, Lou,
07:51PM 5	out the obligation, but certainly goes towards		Steve and David.
07:51PM 6	reducing that obligation. And that, I think, is one	_	They've each provided a quick synopsis
07:51PM 7	of the primary public benefits of what we're		as to their purpose, some of the changes, and
07:51PM 8	proposing.		confirmed that their opinions remain the same.
07:51PM 9	Q. And just to reiterate, the applicant	07:53PM 9 07:53PM 10	And they will remain in attendance
07:51PM 10	has already committed on the record that whatever the	07:53PM 10	throughout the balance of the meeting to respond to
07:51PM 11	number of units are that are approved, 40 are being	07:53PM 11	any board or public questions.
07:51PM 12	sought, 20 percent would be set aside for affordable	07:53PM 12 07:53PM 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So how do
07:52PM 13	housing, however they're classified. They're	07:53PM 13	you want to handle this, Counsel?
07:52PM 14	classified, low, moderate, what have you, but they	07:53PM 15	Do you want to open it up to a you
07:52PM 15	would be designated and restricted by deed	07:53PM 15	know, the parking aspect first, get that out of the
07:52PM 16	restriction?	07:53PM 10 07:53PM 17	way, or do you want to
07:52PM 17	A. That's correct, yes.	07:53PM 17 07:53PM 18	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, however you
07:52PM 18	We the 20 percent, 20 percent of the	07:53PM 10 07:53PM 19	whatever your preference.
07:52PM 19	40, would be eight units, but obviously if the number	07:53PM 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, I think we
07:52PM 20	of units is reduced further, that would reduce the	07:54PM 20 07:54PM 21	should do
07:52PM 21	amount of affordable housing being able to be	07:54PM 21	MS. TESTA: Well, our board experts?
07:52PM 22	provided, but we are at least going towards reducing	07:54PM 22 07:54PM 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, yeah, yeah,
07:52PM 23	the borough's obligation.	07:54PM 23 07:54PM 24	
07:52PM 24	Q. And affordable housing is a	07:54PM 24 07:54PM 25	yeah. Okay. So what I'm going to do then
07:52PM 25	constitutional mandate, as I recall, in the state of	07:54PM ∠3	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		201-641-1812
1	201-641-1812		201-041-1012

			55
	53 MR. SOKOLICH: Yes, sir.	07.57PM 1	you get on, one driveway has access to Route 46 and
07:54PM 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I think I want to	07:57PM 2	the western driveway, you have to go all that
07:54PM 2	do it individually. And first I'm going to take	07:57PM 2	traffic has to go down to Broad.
4	parking.	07:57PM 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Go down to Grand?
_	And, Hal, do you have any comments	07:57PM 5	MR. SIMOFF: Grand, I'm sorry, up from
	about what you heard or what you heard?	07:57PM 6	Broad is to the east.
-	MR. SIMOFF: Yeah, I received the	07:57PM 7	And as we all know, Broad at the
	report about 4 o'clock this afternoon, so and I	07:57PM 8	intersection of 46 and Grand is gridlock.
07:54PM 8	haven't had a chance to digest it all, so, but I did	07:58PM 9	So at least half the traffic, because
07:54PM 9	review the transcript from the last meeting.	07:58PM 10	one level can't get onto Route 46, it has to go down
07;54PM 10		07:58PM 11	to Columbia, to Grand.
07:54PM 11	The there are a number of ways to	07:58PM 11	And the upper level, if you're
07:54PM 12	evaluate parking requirements.	07:58PM 12	talking not all that traffic is going to go onto
07:54PM 13	There's RSIS, which is the State requirement. There's the Institute of Transportation	07:58PM 13	Route 46. There's traffic that wants to go north,
07:54PM 14	·	07:58PM 14	has to go down to Grand. Traffic that wants to go
07:54PM 15	Engineers which has multiple categories for parking.	07:58PM 15	south has to go down to Grand.
07:54PM 16	One is next to a train station, which	07:58PM 17	And so the increase in density and the
07:55PM 17	is not the case. And another is in an urban area.	07:58PM 17 07:58PM 18	increase in the number of units is something that
07:55PM 18	And the other the last one is and there's also	07:58PM 10 07:58PM 19	this board should consider when evaluating when
07:55PM 19	one within a multiuse development because you get a	07:58PM 19	two-thirds or three-quarters of the traffic has to go
07:55PM 20	cross use of the parking between commercial, like an	07:58PM 20 07:58PM 21	down to Grand Avenue.
07:55PM 21	office building and residential because they don't	07:58PM 21	And the other question I have on
07:55PM 22	peak at the same time. But the critical or the critical	07:58PM 22	parking is the RSIS requires .5 parking spaces per
07:55PM 23		07:59PM 24	unit for a visitor parking.
07:55PM 24	multiplier would be the 85th percentile parking requirement, which based on the ITE was 59 spaces as	07:59PM 2-7	And the way this is proposed with
07:55PM 25	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	U7:59PM 2-0	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	20, 011 1012		
	54		56
07:55PM 1		07:59PM 1	56 access for just the apartments in the garage what
07:55PM 1	54 Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from	07:59РМ 1 07:59РМ 2	
	Mr. Luglio calculated.		access for just the apartments in the garage what
07:55PM 2	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from	07:59PM 2	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need?
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough.	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west.
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with.	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76.	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 8	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession.
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8 07:56PM 9	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 8 07:59PM 9	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8 07:56PM 9 07:56PM 10	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 8 07:59PM 9 07:59PM 10	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic.
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8 07:56PM 9 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 8 07:59PM 9 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 10	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you.
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8 07:56PM 9 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation.	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 8 07:59PM 9 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman?
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 9 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58,	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 8 07:59PM 9 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 12	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 14	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible.	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 9 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8 07:56PM 9 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 14 07:56PM 15	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58,	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 16	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll.
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 14 07:56PM 15	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58, it's 56. It is short of the ITE number for the 85th percentile and it's short from RSIS. RSIS is a requirement of 76 spaces with	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 16	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll. Okay. Any board members have any
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 14 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 17	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58, it's 56. It is short of the ITE number for the 85th percentile and it's short from RSIS.	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 18 08:00PM 18	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll. Okay. Any board members have any questions for the parking?
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 9 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 16 07:56PM 17	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58, it's 56. It is short of the ITE number for the 85th percentile and it's short from RSIS. RSIS is a requirement of 76 spaces with	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 16 08:00PM 17 08:00PM 19 08:00PM 20	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll. Okay. Any board members have any questions for the parking? MR. GRALA: I agree with him. The
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 8 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 17 07:56PM 17 07:56PM 17 07:56PM 19 07:57PM 20 07:57PM 20	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58, it's 56. It is short of the ITE number for the 85th percentile and it's short from RSIS. RSIS is a requirement of 76 spaces with a credit for electric vehicles, it's down to about	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 16 08:00PM 17 08:00PM 19 08:00PM 20 08:00PM 20	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll. Okay. Any board members have any questions for the parking? MR. GRALA: I agree with him. The that western driveway is going to be terrible.
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 16 07:56PM 17 07:56PM 18 07:56PM 19 07:57PM 20 07:57PM 21 07:57PM 21	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58, it's 56. It is short of the ITE number for the 85th percentile and it's short from RSIS. RSIS is a requirement of 76 spaces with a credit for electric vehicles, it's down to about 70. But, in any event, the 56 provided is short of those numbers.	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 17 08:00PM 18 08:00PM 19 06:00PM 21 06:00PM 21 06:00PM 21	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll. Okay. Any board members have any questions for the parking? MR. GRALA: I agree with him. The that western driveway is going to be terrible. They're all going to head up to try to
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 9 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 16 07:56PM 17 07:56PM 18 07:56PM 19 07:57PM 20 07:57PM 21 07:57PM 22 07:57PM 23	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58, it's 56. It is short of the ITE number for the 85th percentile and it's short from RSIS. RSIS is a requirement of 76 spaces with a credit for electric vehicles, it's down to about 70. But, in any event, the 56 provided is short of those numbers. The other concern that I had is the	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 16 09:00PM 18 08:00PM 19 08:00PM 20 08:00PM 21 08:00PM 22 08:00PM 22	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll. Okay. Any board members have any questions for the parking? MR. GRALA: I agree with him. The that western driveway is going to be terrible. They're all going to head up to try to get onto 46. Once they start going down towards
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 14 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 16 07:56PM 17 07:56PM 18 07:57PM 20 07:57PM 21 07:57PM 22 07:57PM 23 07:57PM 24	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58, it's 56. It is short of the ITE number for the 85th percentile and it's short from RSIS. RSIS is a requirement of 76 spaces with a credit for electric vehicles, it's down to about 70. But, in any event, the 56 provided is short of those numbers. The other concern that I had is the access. This is a novel location because Columbia	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 16 08:00PM 17 08:00PM 19 08:00PM 20 08:00PM 21 08:00PM 21 08:00PM 23 08:00PM 23	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll. Okay. Any board members have any questions for the parking? MR. GRALA: I agree with him. The that western driveway is going to be terrible. They're all going to head up to try to get onto 46. Once they start going down towards Grand
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 9 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 16 07:56PM 17 07:56PM 17 07:56PM 19 07:57PM 20 07:57PM 21 07:57PM 22 07:57PM 23	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58, it's 56. It is short of the ITE number for the 85th percentile and it's short from RSIS. RSIS is a requirement of 76 spaces with a credit for electric vehicles, it's down to about 70. But, in any event, the 56 provided is short of those numbers. The other concern that I had is the access. This is a novel location because Columbia road Columbia Avenue is one way westbound. And	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 4 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 16 09:00PM 18 08:00PM 19 08:00PM 20 08:00PM 21 08:00PM 22 08:00PM 22	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll. Okay. Any board members have any questions for the parking? MR. GRALA: I agree with him. The that western driveway is going to be terrible. They're all going to head up to try to get onto 46. Once they start going down towards Grand CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: It's over.
07:55PM 2 07:55PM 3 07:56PM 4 07:56PM 5 07:56PM 6 07:56PM 7 07:56PM 10 07:56PM 11 07:56PM 12 07:56PM 13 07:56PM 14 07:56PM 15 07:56PM 16 07:56PM 17 07:56PM 18 07:57PM 20 07:57PM 21 07:57PM 22 07:57PM 23 07:57PM 24	Mr. Luglio calculated. The RSIS, which is the mandate from that you have to discuss as part, and we've put those requirements on other projects within the borough. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, as what the board agrees with. MR. SIMOFF: Right. So that requirement is 76. The other issue is there's two parking spaces that are very difficult to get into. They're parallel parking spaces. There's an access door to the garage that blocks or affects the circulation. So there's one parking space on each level that is I call it difficult or impossible. So the reality is that it's not 58, it's 56. It is short of the ITE number for the 85th percentile and it's short from RSIS. RSIS is a requirement of 76 spaces with a credit for electric vehicles, it's down to about 70. But, in any event, the 56 provided is short of those numbers. The other concern that I had is the access. This is a novel location because Columbia	07:59PM 2 07:59PM 3 07:59PM 5 07:59PM 6 07:59PM 7 07:59PM 10 07:59PM 11 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 12 07:59PM 13 08:00PM 14 08:00PM 15 08:00PM 16 08:00PM 17 08:00PM 19 08:00PM 20 08:00PM 21 08:00PM 21 08:00PM 23 08:00PM 23	access for just the apartments in the garage what happens to that parking need? And, I mean, observations that I've made and you'll hear from the neighbors, there's no parking available on the street to the west. And so those are the things that the board has to evaluate in their deliberations because no application is just one profession. It's the engineering, it's the planning, and it's the traffic. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. Thank you. MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Let's just I appreciate the applause. I'm sure Hal does too, but let's keep it down to a low roll. Okay. Any board members have any questions for the parking? MR. GRALA: I agree with him. The that western driveway is going to be terrible. They're all going to head up to try to get onto 46. Once they start going down towards Grand

	57		59
08 00PM 1	MR. GRALA: That won't last.	08:03PM 1	space in those parking areas.
08 00PM 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board	ов:озрм 2	And the last part, those two parking
08:00PM 3	members have anything?	08:03PM 3	spaces that Mr. Simoff talks about are difficult
08:00PM 4	(No Response.)	08 03PM 4	parking spaces to get into. They would be assigned
08:00PM 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	08:03PM 5	to a person that would do it on a daily basis and so
08:00PM 6	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, may I a	08:03PM 6	I'm not that concerned about two parking spaces to
08:00PM 7	quick rebuttal from Mr. Luglio, one minute?	08;03PM 7	get into.
08:00PM 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Absolutely.	08 03PM 8	If it were ten parking spaces, I'd
08:00PM 9	Take it away.	08 03PM 9	probably have a different opinion, but I do agree
08:00PM 10	MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you.	08:03PM 10	that they're difficult parking spaces to get into.
08:00PM 11	I don't know if rebuttal was the right	08:03PM 11	Q. And contractually, the parking can be
08 00PM 12	word, but you know what I mean.	08:03PM 12	controlled by limiting tenants, correct?
08:00PM 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Redirect, yeah.	08:03PM 13	A. Yes.
08:00PM 14	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION	08:03PM 14	Q. And your opinion as to the as to
08:00PM 15	BY MR. SOKOLICH:	08:04PM 15	parking, you took into account the ability to access
08:00PM 16	Q. Lou, you were present during	08:04PM 16	mass transportation; did you not?
08:00PM 17	Mr. Simoff's observation.	08:04PM 17	A. Right.
08:00PM 18	Very quickly, the applicant's position?	08:04PM 18	And so on A-32, the report, we take
08:01PM 19	A. So from our position, again, one of the	08:04PM 19	that into consideration with respect to some of the
08 01PM 20	driveways definitely has access to get onto Route 46.	08:04PM 20	parking numbers from the ITE. There's no reduction
08:01PM 21	The other, from a legal standpoint, does not, right?	08:04PM 21	that could be applied based on RSIS standards, the
08:01PM 22	And the striping and other measures	08:04PM 22	standards are what they are.
08:01PM 23	could be employed so that would prevent people coming	08:04PM 23	And so we look at a combination of
08:01PM 24	out of the garage driveway to the west from gaining	08:04PM 24	different things to settle in on what the proper
08:01PM 25	access to Route 46.	08:04PM 25	parking requirement or number of parking spaces
00.01FW 20	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	58		60
08:01PM 1	58	08:04PM 1	60 necessary for this type of development, the number of
1	58 As far as the parking is concerned,	08:04PM 1 08:04PM 2	
08:01PM 2	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS		necessary for this type of development, the number of
08:01PM 2	58 As far as the parking is concerned,	08:04PM 2	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size.
08:01PM 2	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away.
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two.	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces.	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 9	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces.	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have the	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 9	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle?
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 9 08:02PM 10	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 9 08:05PM 10	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct.
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 9 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 9 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking a half a space per unit should be for	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation?
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 12	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 13	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct.
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 13	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking — a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 13 08:05PM 13	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 15	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking — a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement.	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 13 08:05PM 14 08:05PM 15	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou.
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking — a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement. What we are proposing is that we would	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 13 08:05PM 14 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 15	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou. Thank you very much.
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 16 08:02PM 17 08:02PM 17	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking — a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement. What we are proposing is that we would have each unit have a parking space, so that's 40	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 13 08:05PM 14 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 16 08:05PM 17	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have a question.
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 16 08:02PM 17	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement. What we are proposing is that we would have each unit have a parking space, so that's 40 parking spaces, and then the balance would be open	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 13 08:05PM 14 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 17	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have a question. If I am going to visit, just so I can
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 16 08:02PM 17 08:02PM 17	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking — a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement. What we are proposing is that we would have each unit have a parking space, so that's 40 parking spaces, and then the balance would be open for visitor and residential parking.	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 14 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 16 08:05PM 18 08:05PM 18	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have a question. If I am going to visit, just so I can understand this, I want to visit somebody in the
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 06:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 16 08:02PM 17 08:02PM 18 08:02PM 19 08:02PM 20	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking — a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement. What we are proposing is that we would have each unit have a parking space, so that's 40 parking spaces, and then the balance would be open for visitor and residential parking. From a visitor standpoint, a visitor	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 14 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 16 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 18 08:05PM 19 08:05PM 19	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have a question. If I am going to visit, just so I can understand this, I want to visit somebody in the building. I don't live there, but I want to visit a
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 16 08:02PM 17 08:02PM 18 08:02PM 19 08:02PM 20 08:02PM 21	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement. What we are proposing is that we would have each unit have a parking space, so that's 40 parking spaces, and then the balance would be open for visitor and residential parking. From a visitor standpoint, a visitor coming to the site certainly would have to have a way	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 16 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 18 08:05PM 19 08:05PM 20 08:05PM 20 08:05PM 20	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have a question. If I am going to visit, just so I can understand this, I want to visit somebody in the building. I don't live there, but I want to visit a friend or whatever.
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 16 08:02PM 17 08:02PM 18 08:02PM 19 08:02PM 20 08:02PM 21 08:02PM 21 08:02PM 21	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement. What we are proposing is that we would have each unit have a parking space, so that's 40 parking spaces, and then the balance would be open for visitor and residential parking. From a visitor standpoint, a visitor coming to the site certainly would have to have a way to gain access, whether it be a key card or a number	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 8 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 13 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 18 08:05PM 19 08:05PM 21 08:05PM 21 08:05PM 21 08:05PM 21	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have a question. If I am going to visit, just so I can understand this, I want to visit somebody in the building. I don't live there, but I want to visit a friend or whatever. So I pull up now and the gate won't
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 16 08:02PM 17 08:02PM 18 08:02PM 19 08:02PM 20 08:02PM 20 08:03PM 21	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking — a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement. What we are proposing is that we would have each unit have a parking space, so that's 40 parking spaces, and then the balance would be open for visitor and residential parking. From a visitor standpoint, a visitor coming to the site certainly would have to have a way to gain access, whether it be a key card or a number that you put in a pad, some type of system that would	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 3 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 14 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 16 08:05PM 18 08:05PM 18 08:05PM 19 08:05PM 19 08:05PM 20 08:05PM 21 08:05PM 22 08:05PM 22	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have a question. If I am going to visit, just so I can understand this, I want to visit somebody in the building. I don't live there, but I want to visit a friend or whatever. So I pull up now and the gate won't open because I don't have the key card.
08:01PM 2 08:01PM 3 08:01PM 4 08:01PM 5 08:01PM 6 08:01PM 7 08:02PM 8 08:02PM 10 08:02PM 11 08:02PM 12 08:02PM 13 08:02PM 14 08:02PM 15 08:02PM 16 08:02PM 17 08:02PM 17 08:02PM 19 08:02PM 20 08:02PM 21 08:03PM 21 08:03PM 21 08:03PM 23 08:03PM 24	As far as the parking is concerned, just so that all the numbers are correct, RSIS requires 76 parking spaces. With an EV credit of six parking spaces, that 76 requirement now is 70. Okay? We're providing 52 parking spaces. So that is the delta between the two. We have a parking variance of 18 spaces. The last part is that we have — the parking requirement for RSIS for visitors, it's built into the parking ratio, it's true that a half a parking — a half a space per unit should be for visitor parking, but it's all combined into all the parking that's provided on the site, it's built into that 76 parking requirement. What we are proposing is that we would have each unit have a parking space, so that's 40 parking spaces, and then the balance would be open for visitor and residential parking. From a visitor standpoint, a visitor coming to the site certainly would have to have a way to gain access, whether it be a key card or a number that you put in a pad, some type of system that would be in place so that a visitor could gain access to	08:04PM 2 08:04PM 4 08:04PM 5 08:04PM 6 08:04PM 7 08:05PM 10 08:05PM 11 08:05PM 12 08:05PM 14 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 15 08:05PM 16 08:05PM 17 08:05PM 18 08:05PM 19 08:05PM 20 08:05PM 20 08:05PM 20 08:05PM 20 08:05PM 21 08:05PM 22 08:05PM 23 08:05PM 23	necessary for this type of development, the number of units, number of bedrooms and the size. And also its proximity to get on New Jersey Transit, which is not that far away. Q. I think it was also very telling that according to empirical data and Census information, I believe it was almost 20 percent of folks that rent in that particular area of Palisades Park do not own a vehicle? A. That's correct. Q. And over a quarter, over 25 percent take mass transportation? A. That's correct. MR. SOKOLICH: I have no further questions, Lou. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I have a question. If I am going to visit, just so I can understand this, I want to visit somebody in the building. I don't live there, but I want to visit a friend or whatever. So I pull up now and the gate won't open because I don't have the key card. How are you going to address that? In

	61		63
08 05PM 1	the building if they don't have, you know, the card	08:08PM 1	So now we're going to bring it up to
08:05PM 2	to get in. I mean, it seems to be a flaw in the	08:08PM 2	the planning, which is our expert.
08:05PM 3	design.	08:08PM 3	And, Mr. Kauker, you want to take it
ов:обРМ 4	MR. LUGLIO: So there could be three	08 08PM 4	away and ask any questions you have.
08:06PM 5	possibilities based on other projects. One is that	08 08PM 5	MR. KAUKER: Yeah, just a couple
08:06PM 6	one of the two parking levels do not have any kind of	08:08PM 6	follow-up questions with respect to Mr. Spatz's
08:06PM 7	controlled access.	08:08PM 7	testimony this evening as it related to his testimony
08:06PM 8	So you can gain access, it's assigned	08:08PM 8	at the last meeting.
08:06PM 9	to certain people, and then a number of them, I think	08,08PM 9	But I was wondering, first of all, if
08:06PM 10	we had 12 or so, would be open for residents and for	0B:08PM 10	you could provide a summary as to the special
08:06PM 11	visitors.	08:08PM 11	reasons, I know tonight you indicated that the
08 06PM 12	The second is that you could have a	08:08PM 12	affordable housing that's being proposed is one of
08:06PM 13	system where there's a call button or, you know, a	08:09PM 13	the special reasons for public benefit, but could you
08:06PM 14	keypad where the visitor then could have a code or	08:09PM 14	just summarize quickly the other special reasons?
08:06PM 15	call the person that they're visiting and they could	08:09PM 15	MR. SPATZ: Sure.
08:06РМ 16	actually open up the gate remotely from their unit.	08:09РМ 16	You indicated that the special reasons
08:06PM 17	And I guess I put the two together at	08:09PM 17	were satisfied by meeting a number of purposes of
08:06PM 18	the end there. So you can either have a call button	08:09PM 18	zoning as stated in the Municipal Land Use Law and I
08:06PM 19	where you call the person that you're visiting or	08 09РМ 19	believe that it met a number of them.
08:06PM 20	that person could have a key code that you could	08:09PM 20	Purpose A is promoting public health,
08:06PM 21	erase later, right, so that the visitor doesn't have	08:09РМ 21	safety, morals and general welfare, the providing of
08:06PM 22	it all the time.	08:09РМ 22	housing, in addition to affordable housing certainly
08:06PM 23	There are ways that we could do it.	08:09PM 23	meets that standard.
08:06PM 24	There's really no, you know, foolproof plan to do	08:09РМ 24	Purpose G is providing an appropriate
08:07PM 25	that if you're going to have them gated.	08:09РМ 25	location for a variety of uses. I provided testimony
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	62		64
08:07РМ 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you.	08:09PM 1	and the other experts did as well that this site
08:07PM 2	So now as you people that have been	08:09PM 2	would be appropriate for a little bit higher density
08:07PM 3	coming to all these meetings, I promised that	08:09PM 3	of development given its proximity to the highway,
08:07PM 4	everybody at the end is going to get an opportunity	08:09PM 4	the fact that there are high apartment buildings and
08:07PM 5	not only to ask questions, but also any comments that	08:09PM 5	similar height on the direct opposite side of the
08:07PM 6	they would like to make, and I intend on doing that.	08:09PM 6	highway. The fact that this mass transit
08:07РМ 7	So what I want to do is I want to run	08:09PM 7	certainly meets that standard.
08:07PM 8	through our experts and see if they have any	08:09PM 8	And then Purpose I, which is promoting
08:07PM 9	additional comments, then I could I would open up	08:09PM 9 08:09PM 10	a desirable visual environment, I believe it does by
08:07PM 10	to the residents with their comments or questions,	08:09PM 10	removing a couple of structures that are older and
08:07PM 11	feel free to ask any of his experts any questions. And then depending where we are, you know, we might	08:10PM 11	only fair condition, replacing them with a building
08:07PM 12	And then depending where we are, you know, we might	30, TOT WI 1 2	
08:07PM 13		08:10PM 13	
14	take a vote tonight.	08:10PM 13	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I
08:07PM 14	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is	08:10PM 14	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area,
08:08PM 15	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments?	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16 08:08PM 17	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of	08:10PM 15 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16 08:08PM 17 08:08PM 18	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of documents. The conditions that were stated in our	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17 08:10PM 18	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met as well.
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16 08:08PM 17 08:08PM 18 08:08PM 19	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of documents. The conditions that were stated in our report stands and so we have nothing further at this	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17 08:10PM 18 08:10PM 19	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met as well. I also felt that it met the particular
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16 08:08PM 17 08:08PM 18 08:08PM 19 08:08PM 20	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of documents. The conditions that were stated in our report stands and so we have nothing further at this time.	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17 08:10PM 18	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met as well. I also felt that it met the particular site suitability test of the court and that you are
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16 08:08PM 17 08:08PM 18 08:08PM 19 08:08PM 20 08:08PM 21	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of documents. The conditions that were stated in our report stands and so we have nothing further at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17 08:10PM 18 08:10PM 19 08:10PM 20	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met as well. I also felt that it met the particular site suitability test of the court and that you are particularly well-suited, that we were large enough
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16 08:08PM 17 08:08PM 18 08:08PM 19 08:08PM 20 08:08PM 21 08:08PM 22	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of documents. The conditions that were stated in our report stands and so we have nothing further at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board members have any questions that they want to take	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17 08:10PM 18 08:10PM 19 08:10PM 20 08:10PM 21 08:10PM 21	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met as well. I also felt that it met the particular site suitability test of the court and that you are particularly well-suited, that we were large enough to support multifamily development, as well as
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16 08:08PM 17 08:08PM 18 08:08PM 20 08:08PM 21 08:08PM 22 08:08PM 23	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of documents. The conditions that were stated in our report stands and so we have nothing further at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17 08:10PM 18 08:10PM 19 08:10PM 20 08:10PM 21	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met as well. I also felt that it met the particular site suitability test of the court and that you are particularly well-suited, that we were large enough to support multifamily development, as well as provide a sufficient amount of parking.
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16 08:08PM 17 08:08PM 19 08:08PM 20 08:08PM 21 08:08PM 22 08:08PM 23 08:08PM 23	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of documents. The conditions that were stated in our report stands and so we have nothing further at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board members have any questions that they want to take ask?	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17 08:10PM 18 08:10PM 20 08:10PM 21 08:10PM 22 08:10PM 22	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met as well. I also felt that it met the particular site suitability test of the court and that you are particularly well-suited, that we were large enough to support multifamily development, as well as provide a sufficient amount of parking. The replacement of the building in poor
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 16 08:08PM 17 08:08PM 18 08:08PM 20 08:08PM 21 08:08PM 22 08:08PM 23	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of documents. The conditions that were stated in our report stands and so we have nothing further at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board members have any questions that they want to take ask? (No Response.)	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17 08:10PM 18 08:10PM 20 08:10PM 21 08:10PM 22 08:10PM 23 08:10PM 23	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met as well. I also felt that it met the particular site suitability test of the court and that you are particularly well-suited, that we were large enough to support multifamily development, as well as provide a sufficient amount of parking. The replacement of the building in poor condition with a new development that I think is
08:08PM 15 08:08PM 17 08:08PM 18 08:08PM 19 08:08PM 20 08:08PM 21 08:08PM 22 08:08PM 23 08:08PM 23	take a vote tonight. So next is going to be Steve, who is our engineer. And do you have any comments? MR. COLLAZUOL: We have nothing further based on tonight's testimony and submission of documents. The conditions that were stated in our report stands and so we have nothing further at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Any board members have any questions that they want to take ask? (No Response.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No? Okay.	08:10PM 14 08:10PM 15 08:10PM 16 08:10PM 17 08:10PM 18 08:10PM 20 08:10PM 21 08:10PM 22 08:10PM 23 08:10PM 23	that is modern, meets all of the building codes, I think is consistent with the development in the area, particularly its location. Drainage improvements being provided on-site, I think that standard is met as well. I also felt that it met the particular site suitability test of the court and that you are particularly well-suited, that we were large enough to support multifamily development, as well as provide a sufficient amount of parking. The replacement of the building in poor condition with a new development that I think is consistent with the development in the area,

	65		67
08:10PM 1	particularly directly on the opposite side of the	08 13PM 1	developments in the AA zone.
08:10PM 2	highway.	08:13PM 2	MR. KAUKER: Okay.
08.10PM 3	Your zoning ordinance permits mid-rise	08:13PM 3	In terms of the appropriateness of the
08:10PM 4	multifamily development in the zone in which we're	08:13РМ 4	site, you spoke about that briefly, but the proposed
08 10PM 5	located, not within the location that we are, so we	08:13PM 5	density here is about 17 units greater.
08:11PM 6	do need that variance.	08:13PM 6	So even in my experience, that's kind
08:11PM 7	However, the borough anticipated	08:13PM 7	of large even from a development that's proposing
08:11PM 8	multifamily development within the AA zone.	08:13PM 8	affordable housing.
08:11PM 9	There is multifamily development	08:13PM 9	You know, the density if you calculated
08:11PM 10	allowed on East Columbia Avenue from Broad Avenue to	08:13PM 10	the permitted density based upon 5,000-square-foot
08:11PM 11	Roff, And that's actually only approximately	08:13PM 11	lot area and the fact that a two-family home would be
08:11PM 12	300 feet to the east on our property.	08:13PM 12	permitted on that lot, you have, you know, a density
08:11PM 13	So not that far from our site, the	08 13PM 13	basically of about 17 units on this site.
08:11PM 14	borough permits multifamily development in the AA	08:13PM 14	So that's, you know, a rather large
08:11PM 15	zone.	08 13PM 15	increase, I think.
08:11PM 16	I'd indicated that the property and the	08:13PM 16	MR. SPATZ: Yes. Yeah. Absolutely,
08:11PM 17	building were oriented towards Route 46, rather than	08:13PM 17	yes.
08:11PM 18	to the lower density development residences on West	08:13PM 18	Your ordinance treats that in terms of
08:11PM 19	Columbia Avenue.	08:13PM 19	lot area per unit and we are significantly below it.
08:11PM 20	Putting high-rise apartments on major	08:13PM 20	But, again, I think that its location,
08:11PM 21	thoroughfares, particularly a highway like Route 46	08:13PM 21	particularly on with access almost directly onto
08:11PM 22	is an appropriate development in an urban area.	08:13PM 22	Route 46 means traffic and the impact from it is not
08:11PM 23	And I felt that there was supporting	08:14PM 23	going into the lower density residential areas, but
08:11PM 24	reasons for both the height and density variances	08:14PM 24	either onto Grand or on Broad if you did the U-turn
08:11PM 25	that we were seeking.	08:14PM 25	around on Grand or getting directly onto the highway.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	66		68
08:12PM 1	66 MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary.	08 14PM 1	68 And the fact that there are
08:12PM 1 08:12PM 2	2-	08.14PM 1 08:14PM 2	
	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary.	_	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates
08:12PM 2	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions.	08:14PM 2	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development.
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes.	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by,
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 8 08:14PM 9	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well.
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 8 08:14PM 9 08:14PM 10	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 9 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 8 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 10	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 9 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby,	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 8 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those.
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 11	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 8 08:14PM 9 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 13 08:12PM 13	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 9 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 9 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 13 08:12PM 13	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 9 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first.
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 13 08:12PM 14 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 16	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure.
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 13 08:12PM 14 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 16	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 9 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 17	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 17	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of the governing body at that time was to not have	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 17 08:14PM 17	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your testimony you talked about the I guess you talked
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 17 08:12PM 18 08:12PM 18 08:12PM 16	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of the governing body at that time was to not have multiple family homes in this specific area.	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 17 08:14PM 18	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your testimony you talked about the I guess you talked about the need for affordable housing and also the
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 13 08:12PM 14 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 17 08:12PM 18 08:12PM 19 08:12PM 18	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of the governing body at that time was to not have multiple family homes in this specific area. MR. SPATZ: That's why we're seeking a	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 17 08:14PM 18 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 19	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your testimony you talked about the I guess you talked about the need for multiple family housing?
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 17 08:12PM 18 08:12PM 19 08:12PM 20 08:12PM 20	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of the governing body at that time was to not have multiple family homes in this specific area. MR. SPATZ: That's why we're seeking a use variance. I recognize that it's not a permitted	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 17 08:14PM 18 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 20 08:14PM 20 08:14PM 20	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your testimony you talked about the I guess you talked about the need for affordable housing and also the need for multiple family housing? MR. SPATZ: That's a need for
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 13 08:12PM 14 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 17 08:12PM 18 08:12PM 19 08:12PM 20 08:12PM 21 08:12PM 21	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of the governing body at that time was to not have multiple family homes in this specific area. MR. SPATZ: That's why we're seeking a use variance. I recognize that it's not a permitted use in our particular location, so we're seeking the	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 17 08:14PM 18 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 20 08:15PM 21 08:15PM 22	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your testimony you talked about the I guess you talked about the need for affordable housing and also the need for multiple family housing? MR. SPATZ: That's a need for affordable housing, typically that is provided in
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 14 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 17 08:12PM 18 08:12PM 20 08:12PM 20 08:12PM 21	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of the governing body at that time was to not have multiple family homes in this specific area. MR. SPATZ: That's why we're seeking a use variance. I recognize that it's not a permitted use in our particular location, so we're seeking the use variance. I think the site is appropriate	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 18 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 20 08:14PM 20 08:15PM 22 08:15PM 22	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your testimony you talked about the I guess you talked about the need for affordable housing and also the need for multiple family housing? MR. SPATZ: That's a need for affordable housing, typically that is provided in multiple dwelling housing.
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 9 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 17 08:12PM 18 08:12PM 19 08:12PM 20 08:12PM 21 08:12PM 21 08:12PM 21	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of the governing body at that time was to not have multiple family homes in this specific area. MR. SPATZ: That's why we're seeking a use variance. I recognize that it's not a permitted use in our particular location, so we're seeking the use variance. I think the site is appropriate because it meets a lot of the same standards and	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 17 08:14PM 18 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 20 08:15PM 21 08:15PM 23 08:15PM 23	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your testimony you talked about the I guess you talked about the need for affordable housing and also the need for multiple family housing? MR. SPATZ: That's a need for affordable housing, typically that is provided in multiple dwelling housing. MR. KAUKER: Okay.
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 8 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 17 08:12PM 18 08:12PM 20 08:12PM 20 08:12PM 21	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of the governing body at that time was to not have multiple family homes in this specific area. MR. SPATZ: That's why we're seeking a use variance. I recognize that it's not a permitted use in our particular location, so we're seeking the use variance. I think the site is appropriate because it meets a lot of the same standards and qualifications and qualities as the other multifamily	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 18 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 20 08:14PM 20 08:15PM 22 08:15PM 22	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your testimony you talked about the I guess you talked about the need for affordable housing and also the need for multiple family housing? MR. SPATZ: That's a need for affordable housing, typically that is provided in multiple dwelling housing. MR. KAUKER: Okay. Are you aware of the percentage of
08:12PM 2 08:12PM 3 08:12PM 4 08:12PM 5 08:12PM 6 08:12PM 7 08:12PM 9 08:12PM 10 08:12PM 11 08:12PM 12 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 15 08:12PM 17 08:12PM 16 08:12PM 17 08:12PM 18 08:12PM 19 08:12PM 20 08:12PM 21 08:12PM 21 08:12PM 21	MR. KAUKER: I appreciate that summary. And just a couple other questions. So in a couple of other things that you mentioned there, you talked about the borough anticipated multiple family zoning in the AA zone. MR. SPATZ: Yes. MR. KAUKER: And then you referenced the fact that it, I guess at one point in time was permitted certain areas in the AA? MR. SPATZ: In certain areas, not in the area which we're particularly located, I acknowledge that, but relatively nearby, approximately 300 feet within the AA zone on West Columbia from it's MR. KAUKER: So that basically can speak to the fact that this area was specifically excluded from that requirement, meaning the intent of the governing body at that time was to not have multiple family homes in this specific area. MR. SPATZ: That's why we're seeking a use variance. I recognize that it's not a permitted use in our particular location, so we're seeking the use variance. I think the site is appropriate because it meets a lot of the same standards and	08:14PM 2 08:14PM 3 08:14PM 4 08:14PM 5 08:14PM 6 08:14PM 7 08:14PM 10 08:14PM 11 12 13 14 15 16 08:14PM 17 08:14PM 18 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 19 08:14PM 20 08:15PM 21 08:15PM 23 08:15PM 23	And the fact that there are similar-type developments directly on the opposite side of 46, I think that, you know, that indicates that in this particular location, it's not inappropriate to have a high-rise development. I think the density is supported by, you know, a sufficient amount of parking as testimony was previously provided. I think the site improvements go towards that as well. I think it's a well-suited site for what we're doing. We definitely do need variances and I acknowledge those. MR. KAUKER: Yeah, we can talk about the height later. I want you to do the D-1 criteria first. MR. SPATZ: Sure. MR. KAUKER: But I believe in your testimony you talked about the I guess you talked about the need for affordable housing and also the need for multiple family housing? MR. SPATZ: That's a need for affordable housing, typically that is provided in multiple dwelling housing. MR. KAUKER: Okay.

multiple family housing that exists in the borough today. MR. SPATZ: I have not done that math. Just know that there's a sizable need for such as foreign to design and such going into Grand Avenue, which is a market rate units to get be made as well, going into Grand Avenue, which is cours and legislature. And that this while it certainly doesn't meet that obligation placed on the cours and legislature. And that this while it certainly doesn't meet that obligation, goes a way towards supporting that by providing affordable housing, but I think with a mass rame to the new providing does help that. Minute 13 market are the subject of the su		69		71
2 because that's the one-way road, as Mr. Sinoff a ljust know that there's a sizable need for affordable housing, an obligation placed on the answer 16 doesn't meet that obligation, goes a way towards supporting that by providing affordable housing, Reducing the number of units obviously reduces the amount of affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try losses 17 MR. SPATZ: We provided testimony regarding traffic flow and traffic directions. Again, I think because there is a sizable amount of affordable thousing, Reducing the number of units obviously reduces the amount of affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try losses 15 MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct this bird's what was been then, but was just a propriate affordable housing as well, correct, when you're preparing sizes 17 MR. SPATZ: Veah, that's correct trying to provide affordable housing. MR. SPATZ: We provided testimony regarding traffic flow and traffic directions. Again, I think breause there is a sizable amount of makes thas it the safe, that it's directly on a highway, there's a losses 16 MR. SPATZ: We provided testimony that was just a strange that the you're that it's directly affordable housing. The mass transit in the area, summal to you have well-cular access and agerss from the summal to you have well-cular access and agers from the summal to you have well-cular access and agers from the summal to you have well-cular access and agers from the summal to you have well-cular access and agers from the summal to you have well-cular access and agers from the summal to you have well-cular access and agers from the summal to you have well-cular access and agers from the summal to you have well-cular access and agers from the summal to you have well-cular access and agers from the summal to you have well-cular access and a				
MR. SPATZ: May not done that math. Just know that thren's a sizable need for some some stands that this while it certainly doesn't meet that obligation placed on the courts and legislature. And that this while it certainly doesn't meet that obligation, goes a way towards with the source of the courts and legislature. And that this while it certainly doesn't meet that obligation, goes a way towards with the source of the courts and legislature. And that this while it certainly doesn't meet that obligation, goes a way towards with the source of the courts of a fordable housing, but I think that we're providing effordable housing, unwant to try to identify the most appropriate sites for affordable housing was well, correct, when you're preparing housing? MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when that we're providing does help that. MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. Think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a support of the service of the residents. MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. The mass transit in the area, think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a control of the forest shopping very close by, which provides bother's shopping very clos			1	
4 I just know that there's a sizable need for affordable housing, an obligation placed on the affordable housing, an obligation placed on the survey 5 cores and legislature. And that this while it certainly 8 dean't meet that obligation, goes a way towards supporting that hy providing affordable housing, 8 reducing the number of units obviously reduces the amount of affordable housing, but I think what we're providing dees help that. 9 MR, KAUKER: And keeping in mind when thying to provide affordable housing, but I think what we're providing dees help that. 9 MR, KAUKER: Okay. And then just in that it's directly on a highway, there's a significant amount of mass transit in the area, a limit of the size appropriate size in that it's directly on a highway, there's a significant amount of mass transit in the area, a lower 2 was a series of the location on 46 from a zoning standpoint, it's not that object the size appropriate size in the area, a lower 2 was a series and a series an				
5 affordable housing, an obligation placed on the courts and logislature. And that this while it certainly desert meet that obligation, goes a way towards deserting that they routing affordable housing. And that this while it certainly desert meet that obligation, goes a way towards deserting that they routing affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try to identify the most appropriate sites for affordable housing as well, correct, when you're preparing housing? MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a graph and that it's directly on a highway there's a graph and that it's an appropriate strain in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 residents of the affordable housing. MR. KAUKER: Otay-A. An				
6 courts and legislature. And that this while lit certainly And that this while lit certainly And that this while lit certainly Again, I think because there is a sizable amount of mass transit in the area, I think this while then are allows that it's directly on a highway, there's a sizable amount of mass transit in the area, I think that's what we're providing does help that. And that this while they providing a fordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. Answer 10 Answer 10 Answer 11 Answer 12 Answer 12 Answer 13 Answer 14 Answer 14 Answer 15 Answer 14 Answer 15 Answer 15 Answer 15 Answer 15 Answer 16 Answer 16 Answer 17 Answer 18 Answer 17 Answer 18 Answe	_	-	_	•
And that this while it certainly doesn't meet that obligation, goes a way towards with meet that obligation, goes a way towards with meet that obligation and fordable housing. Reducing the number of units obviously decides the amount of affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when with meet and the most appropriate sites for affordable housing, you want to try trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try trying to provide affordable housing? MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a displication of the affordable noting. MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a displication opportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area, that when we get to more, get to other sample opportunities for the residents. The mass transit makes the site appropriate for that then. A diskrict, so there's a displication of the affordable housing. The mass transit makes the help to tow, in terms of the helpful of the winter should have veriful and that is cloated on 46 or adjacent to extend the provided sold that the winter should have very the provider of form a zoning standpoint, it's not area. The mass transit in the area, think the area, that it's directly on a highway, there's a displication of the affordable on the area, that it's directly on a highway, there's a displication of the affordable on the area, there's shopping very close by, which provides both serves a stant to the affordable will be appropriate site in the area, allows the area of the helpful of the will be unlided to a displication of the affordable will be appropriate site in the area, allows the area of the helpful of the will be appropriate site in the area, and the area of the helpful of the will be appropriate and the area and the area of the helpful of the will be approp	_		•	
doesn't meet that obligation, goes a way towards supporting that by providing affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try to define the trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try to define the providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try to define the provide affordable housing, you want to try to define the provide affordable housing, you want to try to define the provide affordable housing, you want to try to define the provide affordable housing, you want to try to define the provide affordable housing, you want to try to define the provide affordable housing, there's shopping very close by, which provides both sportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area, there's shopping very close by, which provides both sportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, CS.R., RP.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 residents of the affordable housing, MR. KAUKER: Now, So think it is an appropriate services that they need. So I think it is an appropriate services for the residents. MR. KAUKER: Now, So think it is an appropriate services for the residents. The mass transit in the area, there's shopping very close by, which provides both services for the residents. The mass transit in the area, there's shopping very close by, which provides both services for the residents. The mass transit in the area, there's shopping very close by, which provides both services for the residents. The mass transit in	-	<u>-</u>	-	
y supporting that by providing affordable housing. Reducing the number of units obviously deduces the amount of affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. Reducing the furnities of affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. Reducing the furnities of affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. Reducing the furnities of affordable housing, you want to try to identify the most appropriates sites for affordable housing. You want to try to identify the most appropriate sites for affordable housing? NR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a supplication of the try of affordable housing. The mass transit in the area, there's shopping very close by, which provides both services for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 To residents of the affordable housing, you want to try to identify the market rate units to get to work, get to other amarket rate units			•	
Reducing the number of units obviously reduces the amount of affordable housing, but I think was are 12 were providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try to identify the most appropriate sites for affordable housing as well, correct, when you're preparing housing? MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a significant amount of mass transit in the area, significant amount of mass transit in the area, significant amount of mass transit in the area, significant amount of that land that's zoned AA. Now, just moving on, you taking a wall as the market rate units to get to work, get to other services for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-84-11812 70 residents of the affordable housing. MR. KAUKER: Cokay. And then I'm going aware is the mass that in all the bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. MR. KAUKER: Cokay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. MR. KAUKER: Cokay. And then I'm going aware is the side at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, on the side at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, on the height of the building is similar to other buildings within the nelghborhood. So neighborhood could – and if you look at the wall wall was a physical barriers. A. M. RAUKER: Cokay. And then I'm going a decidence of the size it is sue as well. The country of the affordable housing. Mass of the location on 46 front able to provide the mass transit opportunities and the them aport of the leads on the height of the building is similar to other bui			•	
reduces the amount of affordable housing, but I think what we're providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try to identify the most appropriate sites for affordable housing? MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a significant amount of mass transit in the area, there's shopping very close by, which provides both services for the residents, provides job services for the residents, provides job services for the residents, and the surface of the mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCC, C.S.R. R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-841-1812 70 residents of the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. 50 I think it is an appropriate site in the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. 50 I think it is an appropriate of the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. 50 I think it is an appropriate of the affordable in the analysis of the affordable housing. MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then just in the transit opportunities and I think that's appropriate. 4 bout 19 4 bousing 3 swell, correct, when you're preparing housing? 5 The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCC, CSR. R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-841-1812 70 residents of the affordable housing. MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going that the sevent of the that this full cannot of the that units of the district, so there's a significant amount of that that district, so there's a significant amount of that that district, so there's a significant amount of that land that's goned A. 3 significant amount of that land that's goned A. 3 significant amount of that land that's goned A. 3 significant amount of that land that's goned A. 3 significant amount of that land that's goned A. 3 significant amount of that land that's goned that this buil				
wint we're providing does help that. What we're providing does help that. What we're providing does help that. MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try to identify the most appropriate sites for affordable housing as well, correct, when you're preparing housing? MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a supportant site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a supportant site in there's shopping very close by, which provides both services for the residents, provides job sportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. AND LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area allows LauRA A. CaruCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. The mass transit in the area. The mass transit of the location on the format availance of the sign of the locat		-		
MR. KAUKER: And keeping in mind when trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try to identify the most appropriate sites for affordable housing as well, correct, when you're preparing housing? MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a survey 21 significant amount of mass transit in the area, there's shopping very close by, which provides both sares 22 services for the residents, provides both sares 23 services for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 residents of the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. Sol think it is an appropriate Sol to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. GHARMAN FERGUSON: Sure. MR. KAUKER: Now, Just moving on, you talked about hold on one second. Let me just make sure 1 have nothing else with respect to the D-1 variance. MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then just in the terms of one thing I wanted to point out, in terms of the the displication on 46 from a zoning standpoint, it's not that unique, it's pretty munique, it's pretty munique				
trying to provide affordable housing, you want to try to identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable with the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable in the seal appropriate site in the identify the most appropriate sites for affordable services for the residents. I think this is an appropriate site in the identify the most appropriate site in the ide				
terms of one thing I wanted to point out, in terms of the housing as well, correct, when you're preparing housing? MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a significant amount of mass transit in the area, shared 23 services for the residents, provides job construct 24 opportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 To residents of the affordable units as well as the marker rate units to get to work, get to other as a significant amount of that thing ling is laured to point out, in terms of the the coation on 46 from a zoning standpoint, it's not that unique, it's pretty much the majority of that unique, because you're actually not be a good thing that it's consensual 24 services for the residents. To residents of the affordable nousing. A solution of the affordable housing. MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to down what has been a services that they need. Solution of the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. Solution of the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. Solution of the size at issue as well. MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriate set of the size at issue as well. MR. KAUKER: In terms of the actually not be a good thing that it's actually not be a good thing that it's actually not be a good thing that it's actually not located on 46 itself, it's actually not be a good thing that it's actually not located on 46 itself, it's actually not be a good that the control of a neighborhood. It talks about, you was the height of the same and it are a nonramp as well. The some actually not be a good thing that it's actually not be a good thing that it's actually not be a good that that onraws 12 traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia some 22 Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. The mass 25 that the neight of the same and the south side. And of any propriateness of the size of its actually not be addown Route 46. And				
housing as well, correct, when you're preparing housing? MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in that it's directly on a highway, there's a that it's directly on an type and that it's directly on a highway, there's a that it's directly on and the high at the same and that it's directly on and the high at the same and that it's directly on and two-family homes. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the site at issue as well. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable units as well as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses of the affordable housing. The mass transit in the area allows are will as the masses are the height of the belight of the belight of the adout. A correct the the first of the affordable housing. The masses transit in the area allows are w	1			
housing? MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in there is directly on a highway, there's a significant amount of mass transit in the area, alsow 23 services for the residents, provides job arism 25 apportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 10 residents of the affordable units as well as the arism 3 services that they need. Service St that they need. MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we age to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. PM. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we age to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. PM. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we age to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. PM. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we age to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. PM. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we age to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. PM. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we age to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. PM. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we age to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. I fact, I mean, in this location, The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 Toronistent with buildings that are located across on the other side of 46. Surem 5 Services that they need. Surem 5 Services that they need. Services that they need. Services that they need. Services that they need. So I think it is an appropriate Toronistent with buildings that are located across on the other side of 46. Surem 5 Services that they need. So Sible that to side that this building is similar to the other si	1			
MR. SPATZ: Yeah, that's correct. I think this is an appropriate site in ones allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.R.R., L.L.C. 201-841-1812 70 mission 5 mission 6 mission 7 mission 7 mission 8 mission 19 mission 20 missio	1			
1 Think this is an appropriate site in all tit's directly on a highway, there's a significant amount of mass transit in the area, stignificant amount of that land that's zoned AA. Now, just moving on, you talked about hold on one second. Let me just make sure I have nothing else with respect to the D-1 variance. Okay. So in terms of the height of the building, you mentioned that this building is LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 residents of the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other side of 46. So I think it is an appropriate MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to dadress that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriate so the side at issue as well. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriate so the side at issue as well. So "neighborhood is a key term in that review of the height of this building is similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. So "neighborhood is a key term in that review of the height of this building is similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. So "neighborhood is a key term in that review of the height of this building is similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. So "neighborhood is a key term in	I .	-		
significant amount of that land that's zoned AA. Now, just moving on, you talked about hold on one second. Let me just make sure I necessary 23 services for the residents. provides job original 24 opportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 original 4 services that they need. So I think it is an appropriate So I to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. So Harman 10 MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to the detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. So I think it is sue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, solven 15 It's somewhat unique, because you're activally technically not located on 46 fisself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways that it's located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways that it's located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways that it's located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways that it's located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways that it's located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways. As Mr. Simol testified to, most of the ways that it's a hi	08:15PM 18	·		
significant amount of mass transit in the area, significant amount of mass transit in the area, stransit in the area, stransit in the area allows services for the residents, provides job opportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R. R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 To residents of the affordable units as well as the services that they need. So I think it is an appropriate To market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. So I think it is an appropriate MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going of the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, output for the appropriate strain all the beauting of the size at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, output for actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simmiff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to ped down West Columbia somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I allows 25 on you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I allows 25 on you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I allows 25 think is probably going to head down Route 46. And	08,15РМ 19			
there's shopping very close by, which provides both active 23 services for the residents, provides job active 24 opportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 residents of the affordable units as well as the arriver at a units to get to work, get to other services that they need. So I think it is an appropriate below 4 So I think it is an appropriate Active 5 to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, active 11 couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's located near 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're active 12 to address that in a cutually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. Mr. Walker, in appears all the local traffic I whink is probably going to head down Route 46. And	08:15PM 20			-
services for the residents, provides job opportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 residents of the affordable units as well as the area reviews of the affordable units as well as the services that they need. So I think it is an appropriate So I think it is an appropriate MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's located near 46? MR. Whith it is an appropriate actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And	08:15PM 21	5		
Okaya 24 Opportunities for the residents. The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 The sidents of the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. So I think it is an appropriate So I think it is an appropriate To address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. CONSISTING 10 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's located near 46? It's somewhate less the swell that they are the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. So Firm 12 As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. The mass transit in the area allows buildings, you mentioned that this buildings is latural. ALAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R, R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	08:15PM 22	there's shopping very close by, which provides both		
The mass transit in the area allows LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7	08 15PM 23	services for the residents, provides job		
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.I.C. 201-641-1812 70 Tesidents of the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. So I think it is an appropriate So I coation for the affordable housing. MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateses of the site at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Siprat 2: Correct; yes. MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Board's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the board can grant a variance if they find that the height of this building si similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. So "neighborhood" is a key term in that review of the height variance. In my opinion, a neighborhood, it talks about, you definition of a neighborhood, it talks about, you housely be separated — it can be categorized a number of ways. As Mr. Siprat 2: Correct; yes. MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Board's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the board can grant a variance if they find that the height of this buildings within the neighborhood. So "neighborhood" is a key term in that review of the height variance. In my opinion, a neighborhood, it talks about, you ways. So iffer 1: A gray 2: A gray 3: A gray	08 16PM 24	· ·		
201-641-1812 70 70 71 residents of the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. 72 market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. 73 services that they need. 74 So I think it is an appropriate to assembly 5 location for the affordable housing. 75 MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. 76 MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. 76 MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the goard's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the board can grant a variance if they one there is the says is that the beight of this building shiftling within the neighborhood. 77 MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Board's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the beard can grant a variance if they one there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the beard can grant a variance if they one there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the beard can grant a variance if they one there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the beard can grant a variance if they one there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the beard can grant a variance if they one there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the height of this buildings within the neighborhood. 78 MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Board's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the height of this buildings within the neighborhood. 79 MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Board's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lak	08:16PM 25		08:18PM 25	*
70 60.1697M 1 residents of the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other 3 services that they need. 6 So I think it is an appropriate 6 MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going 6 MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going 7 to address that in a little bit more detail when we 6 get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. 6 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the 6 appropriateness of the site at issue as well. 7 On-1697M 1 Couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's 7 On-1697M 1 On-1697M 1 Ocated near 46? 8 On-1697M 2 Ocated near 46? 9 On-1697M 1 Ocated near 46? 10 On-1697M 1 Ocated near		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		
1 residents of the affordable units as well as the market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. 3 services that they need. 4 So I think it is an appropriate location for the affordable housing. 5 Ils that correct? 5 Ils that correct, yes. 5 MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. 6 Septim 10 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. 6 In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's onesm 10 located near 46? 6 If the stream 11 located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. 6 If the stream 12 located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. 7 If a couldn't it is completely one and two-family homes. 8 MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Board's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the board can grant a variance if they find that the height of this building is similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. 8 Is that correct? MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Board's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the board can grant a variance if they other buildings within the neighborhood. 8 On 1899 11 So "neighborhood" is a key term in that review of the height of this building is similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. 9 If the other side of 46. 9 MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Board's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lake Heights werse Grasso case. And what that says is that the beight of this building is similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. 1 So "neighborhood" is a key term in that review of the height of this building and it was a proportiate with buildings that are located across on the other side of 46. 1				
market rate units to get to work, get to other services that they need. Service the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. Sories MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Sourse Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the board can grant a variance if they find that the height of this building is similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. Series 10 Series 11 Seri			١.,	
services that they need. 50 I think it is an appropriate 60 Identify 5 location for the affordable housing. 61 MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going on serw 7 to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. 61 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. 61 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. 61 In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's located near 46? 61 It's somewhat unique, because you're actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. 61 As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to head down Route 46. And services is probably going to provide the provided in the province of the source o	08:16PM 1			
Solf-film 4 So I think it is an appropriate location for the affordable housing. MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. Solf-film 10 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's located near 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. SPATZ: Correct, yes. MR. KAUKER: Okay. So just for the Board's guidance, what he's referring to there is the Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the board can grant a variance if they find that the height of this building is similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. So "neighborhood" is a key term in that review of the height variance. In my opinion, a neighborhood could — and if you look at the definition of a neighborhood, it talks about, you know, the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated — it can be categorized a number of ways. But one of the ways that it's differentiated is between physical barriers. I would consider Route 46, obviously it's a highway, to be a physical barrier between, you know, the north side and the south side. So, you know, when you're assessing the height of the buildings, I think you would more appropriately look at the height of buildings in the area on that it's definition of a neighborhood could — and if you look at the definition of a neighborhood could — and if you look at the definition of a neighborhood could — and if you look at the definition of a neighborhood could — and if you look at the definition of a neighborhood could — and if you look at the definition of a neighborhood could — and if you look at the definition of a neighborhood could — and if you look at the definition of a neighborho	08:16PM 2			
5 location for the affordable housing. 6 MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going 7 to address that in a little bit more detail when we 8 get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. 6 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the 9 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. 6 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the 9 Appropriateness of the site at issue as well. 10 In fact, I mean, in this location, 10 couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's 10 located near 46? 10 located near 46? 11 located near 46? 12 It's somewhat unique, because you're 15 It's somewhat unique, because you're 16 located and then there's a wall between 46 and that 17 on-16PM 18 located and then there's a wall between 46 and that 18 on-16PM 19 On-1	08:16PM 3			
6 MR. KAUKER: Okay. And then I'm going to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. 6 IGHOM 9 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. 6 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. 7 In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's located near 46? 8 Ichem 16 Ichem 17 Ichem 18 Ichem 19 Ichem	08:16PM 4		_	· ·
to address that in a little bit more detail when we get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. 68-16PM 9 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. 68-16PM 10 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. 11 In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's located near 46? 12 It's somewhat unique, because you're actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. 12 Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. 13 Spring Lake Heights verse Grasso case. And what that says is that the board can grant a variance if they find that the height of this building is similar to other buildings within the neighborhood. 14 So "neighborhood" is a key term in that review of the height variance. In my opinion, a neighborhood could and if you look at the definition of a neighborhood, it talks about, you know, the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. 15 So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And set set was as well. 16 So "seed to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. 17 Oc. 18PM 10 Oc. 18PM 11 So "neighborhood" is a key term in that review of the height or the height or this buildings within the neighborhood. 18 So "neighborhood" is a key term in that review of the height or heighborhood, it talks about, you definition of a neighborhood, it talks about, you ways. 18 So "seed the height of this buildings within the neighborhood. 19 So "neighborhood is a key term in that review of the height or heighborhood is a key term in that the heighborhood is a key term in that the heighborhood is a key term in that review of the height or heighborhood, it talks about, you was period and the heighborhood is a key term in that the heighborhood, it can be categorized a	ов:16РМ 5			·
get to the negative criteria, Mr. Chairman, as well. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's located near 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the content 20 As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And Oc. 16PM DOCATION AR. KAUKER: In terms of the Oc. 16PM	08:16PM 6	•	_	-
9 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. 9 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure. 9 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the 10 appropriateness of the site at issue as well. 11 appropriateness of the site at issue as well. 12 In fact, I mean, in this location, 13 couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's 14 located near 46? 15 It's somewhat unique, because you're 16 actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's 17 near an onramp and that's where the frontage is 18 located and then there's a wall between 46 and that 19 onramp as well. 10 As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the 11 somewhat unique, because you're 12 at a cut ally technically not located on 46 itself, it's 13 neighborhood could and if you look at the 14 definition of a neighborhood, it talks about, you 15 know, the characteristics of a physical area and it 16 may be separated it can be categorized a number of 17 ways. 18 But one of the ways that it's 18 differentiated is between physical barriers. 19 differentiated is between physical barrier between, you 20 know, the north side and the south side. So, you 21 know, when you're assessing the height of the 22 building, I think you would more appropriately look 23 at the height of buildings within the neighborhood. 24 other buildings within the neighborhood. 25 neighborhood' is a key term in that 26 in projection of the height variance. In my opinion, a 26 in projection of the height variance. In my opinion, a 26 in projection of the height variance. In my opinion, a 26 in projection of the height variance. In my opinion, a 26 in projection of the height variance. In my opinion, a 26 in projection of the height variance. In my opinion, a 26 in projection of the height variance. In my opinion, a 26 in projection of the height variance. 26 in projection of the height variance. 26 in projection of the height variance. 27 in projection of the height variance. 28 in projection of a neighborhood. 28 in projection of a neighborhood of the height variance. 28 in projection of a neighbor	08/16PM 7		_	
OBLIGHM 10 MR. KAUKER: In terms of the appropriateness of the site at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, OBLIGHM 13 COULDIN't it actually not be a good thing that it's OBLIGHM 15 It's somewhat unique, because you're OBLIGHM 16 actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's OBLIGHM 17 near an onramp and that's where the frontage is OBLIGHM 19 OBLIGHM 20 AVENUE, MICH 21 Traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia OBLIGHM 21 AVENUE, Which is completely one and two-family homes. OBLIGHM 22 So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going OBLIGHM 25 think is probably going to head down Route 46. And OBLIGHM 10 other buildings within the neighborhood. OBLIGHM 11 So "neighborhood" is a key term in that OBLIGHM 12 review of the height variance. In my opinion, a neighborhood could and if you look at the definition of a neighborhood, it talks about, you know, the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. OBLIGHM 16 OBLIGHM 17 Ways. OBLIGHM 17 Ways. OBLIGHM 18 OBLIGHM 19 WINDING WITHING WITHING WAYS. OBLIGHM 19 OBLIGHM 19 WINDING WITHING WAYS. OBLIGHM 10 OBLIGHM 12 WAYS. OBLIGHM 11 OBLIGHM 12 WAYS. OBLIGHM 12 WAYS. OBLIGHM 12 WAYS. OBLIGHM 13 OCHERM 12 WAYS. OBLIGHM 14 WAYS. OBLIGHM 15 WAYS. OBLIGHM 16 WAYS ABOUT WAYS. OBLIGHM 16 WAYS ABOUT WAYS. OBLIGHM 17 WAYS. OBLIGHM 16 WAYS ABOUT WAYS ABOUT WAYS. OBLIGHM 17 WAYS. OBLIGHM 18 WAYS ABOUT WAYS ABOUT WAYS ABOUT WAYS. OBLIGHM 16 WAYS ABOUT WAYS A	08:16PM 8	=	_	•
appropriateness of the site at issue as well. In fact, I mean, in this location, couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's couldn't it actually not be a good thing that it's couldn't it actually not located near 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's couldn't it actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's couldn't it actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46 itself, it's couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're couldn't it actually not located on 46? It would consider Route 46, obviously it's a highway, to be a physical barrier between, you know, the north side and the south side. So, you know, when you're assessing the height of the building, I think you would more appropriately look at the height of buildings in the area on that side	08:16PM 9			-
In fact, I mean, in this location, COUIDIN'T it actually not be a good thing that it's COUIDIN'T it actually not located and it it actually not located on 46 itself, it's COUIDIN'T it actually not located and it it actually not located and it it is an eighborhood could and if you look at the COUIDIN'T it actually not located and it it is an eighborhood could and if you look at the COUIDIN'T it actually not located and it it is an eighborhood, it talks about, you wand it may be separated it can be categorized a number of COUIDIN'T it actually not located and it may be separated it can be categorized and it may be separated it can be categorized and it may be separated it can be categorized and it may be separated it can be categorized and it may be separated it can be categorized and it may be separated it can be categorized and it it m				
COBLIGHM 13 COUIDIN'T it actually not be a good thing that it's OBLIGHM 14 Located near 46? OBLIGHM 15 It's somewhat unique, because you're OBLIGHM 16 actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's OBLIGHM 17 near an onramp and that's where the frontage is OBLIGHM 18 located and then there's a wall between 46 and that OBLIGHM 19 ONLIGHM 20 AS Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the OBLIGHM 21 traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia OBLIGHM 22 Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. OBLIGHM 23 So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going OBLIGHM 24 think is probably going to head down Route 46. And OBLIGHM 25 think is probably going to head down Route 46. And				-
located near 46? It's somewhat unique, because you're actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the striffic is probably going to go down West Columbia Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And Desigrant 14 definition of a neighborhood, it talks about, you know, the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. Desigrant 15 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. Desigrant 16 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. Desigrant 17 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. Desigrant 18 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. Desigrant 18 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. Desigrant 17 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. Desigrant 18 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. Desigrant 18 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. Desigrant 19 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized an umber of ways. Desigrant 19 Use in the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized an umber of ways. Desigrant 19 Use in the characteristics of a physical barrier in the may be		·		
It's somewhat unique, because you're 08-16PM 15 It's somewhat unique, because you're 08-16PM 16 08-16PM 17 near an onramp and that's where the frontage is 08-16PM 18 located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. 08-16PM 20 As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the 08-16PM 21 traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia 08-17PM 22 Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. 08-17PM 23 So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going 08-17PM 24 somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I 08-19PM 15 know, the characteristics of a physical area and it may be separated it can be categorized a number of ways. 08-19PM 17 08-19PM 18 But one of the ways that it's differentiated is between physical barriers. I would consider Route 46, obviously it's a highway, to be a physical barrier between, you know, the north side and the south side. So, you know, when you're assessing the height of the building, I think you would more appropriately look at the height of buildings in the area on that side	08:16PM 13			
actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And actually technically not located on 46 itself, it's near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. But one of the ways that it's differentiated is between physical barriers. I would consider Route 46, obviously it's a highway, to be a physical barrier between, you know, the north side and the south side. So, you know, when you're assessing the height of the building, I think you would more appropriately look at the height of buildings in the area on that side				
near an onramp and that's where the frontage is located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. 8.16PM 20 As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And 08.19PM 17 ways. 08.19PM 18 But one of the ways that it's differentiated is between physical barriers. 1 would consider Route 46, obviously it's a highway, to be a physical barrier between, you know, the north side and the south side. So, you know, when you're assessing the height of the building, I think you would more appropriately look at the height of buildings in the area on that side	1			
18 located and then there's a wall between 46 and that onramp as well. 08:19PM 20	08:16PM 16			
onramp as well. OB:16PM 20 As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia OB:17PM 21 Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. OB:17PM 22 Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. OB:17PM 24 Somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And obsiders at the height of buildings in the area on that side OB:19PM 19 differentiated is between physical barriers. OB:19PM 20 I would consider Route 46, obviously it's a highway, to be a physical barrier between, you know, the north side and the south side. So, you know, when you're assessing the height of the building, I think you would more appropriately look at the height of buildings in the area on that side	1			
As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And As Mr. Simoff testified to, most of the observed as Mr. Simoff testified to, most	1	located and then there's a wall between 46 and that		
traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And traffic is probably going to go down West Columbia 08:19PM 21 it's a highway, to be a physical barrier between, you know, the north side and the south side. So, you know, when you're assessing the height of the building, I think you would more appropriately look at the height of buildings in the area on that side	08:16PM 19			
Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And Avenue, which is completely one and two-family homes. Rhow, the north side and the south side. So, you know, when you're assessing the height of the building, I think you would more appropriately look at the height of buildings in the area on that side			1	
OB:17PM 23 So, you know, unless you're going to 46 and going OB:17PM 24 somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I OB:17PM 25 think is probably going to head down Route 46. And OB:17PM 26 think is probably going to head down Route 46. And	08:16PM 21			
somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I somewhere else, it appears all the local traffic I think is probably going to head down Route 46. And think is probably going to head down Route 46. And building, I think you would more appropriately look at the height of buildings in the area on that side	08:17PM 22		1	
obition 25 sometimes discovered and the state of the stat	08:17PM 23			
dollars and the production of	08:17PM 24			
LAURA A. CAPUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	08:17PM 25		08:19PM 25	
200,000,000		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
201-641-1812 201-641-1812 18 of 44 sheets				

			75
	73		75
08 19PM 1	of Route 46.	08:21PM 1	properties, first because they're going to be most
08 19PM 2	So the question would be are there any	08:21PM 2	impacted. It's going to have an impact on light, air
08:19PM 3	buildings in the neighborhood on the north side of 46	08:21PM 3	and open space, which is also the purpose of zoning.
08_19PM 4	that are that tall?	08.21PM 4	That leads into the negative criteria.
08 19PM 5	MR. SPATZ: There are none that I can	08:21PM 5	So with respect to the negative criteria, I don't
08 19PM 6	recall that are that tall, although there are a	08 22PM 6	really have any questions for Mr. Spatz, but just
08:19PM 7	number of commercial buildings on Broad just a little	08:22PM 7	want to provide the board with some guidance.
08:19PM 8	bit to the east of us that are three stories.	08 22PM 8	As Mr. Spatz mentioned, ultimately you
08,19PM 9	They're commercial buildings, so even in two stories,	08;22PM 9	need to weigh the positive criteria, which are the
08 20PM 10	they're similar in height to us.	08 22PM 10	benefits of the application, with the negative
08 20PM 11	In my testimony at the last meeting, I	08 22PM 11	criteria.
08 20PM 12	acknowledged that we were significantly taller than	08:22PM 12	So when you look at the negative
08:20PM 13	what is permitted within the zone as well as to the	08 22PM 13	criteria, and as I just mentioned, you want to keep
08:20PM 14	properties behind us to the north of us in the lower	08:22PM 14	in mind that this property is bounded by two or
08:20PM 15	density residential areas.	08 22PM 15	one and two-family homes for the most part, right,
08:20PM 16	But again, I think that on a major	08:22PM 16	and two- to three-story buildings.
08:20PM 17	roadway or on a highway, having an increased height	08:22PM 17	You want to keep in mind what the
08:20PM 18	is not inappropriate.	08:22PM 18	proposal is on this property in terms of the five- to
08 20PM 19	A higher building allows us to provide	08:22PM 19	six-story building depending upon the vantage point
08 20PM 20	parking. Again, the number of units allows the	08:22PM 20	and where you're viewing it from.
08:20PM 21	providing of affordable housing. You could consider	08:22PM 21	And also the limited setbacks that are
08:20PM 22	the multifamily on the opposite side of the highway	08;22PM 22	provided as well and the impact that that would have
08:20PM 23	not part of this neighborhood, but they are in direct	08 22PM 23	on the adjoining properties.
08:20PM 24	sight lines of our development as well as the other	08:22PM 24	You know, for those reasons itself, I
08:20PM 25	development on the street on West Columbia that, you	08 22PM 25	think that what's being proposed would be out of
00.20718 ==0	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	74		76
08:20PM 1	74 know.	08 22PM 1	76 character with the rest of the neighborhood itself.
	know.	08 22PM 1 08:23PM 2	
08:20PM 2			character with the rest of the neighborhood itself.
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on	08:23PM 2	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the	08:23PM 2 08:23PM 3	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and	08:23PM 2 08:23PM 3 08:23PM 4	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight	08:23PM 2 08:23PM 3 08:23PM 4 08:23PM 5	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and	08:23PM 2 08:23PM 3 08:23PM 4 08:23PM 5 08:23PM 6	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that	08:23PM 2 08:23PM 3 08:23PM 4 08:23PM 5 08:23PM 6 08:23PM 7	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 9	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood.	08:23PM 2 08:23PM 3 08:23PM 4 08:23PM 5 08:23PM 6 08:23PM 7 08:23PM 8	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood.	08:23PM 2 08:23PM 3 08:23PM 4 08:23PM 5 08:23PM 6 08:23PM 7 08:23PM 8 08:23PM 9	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 8 08.23PM 9 08.23PM 10	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 8 08.23PM 9 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 8 08.23PM 9 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 13	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story	08:23PM 2 08:23PM 4 08:23PM 5 08:23PM 6 08:23PM 7 08:23PM 8 08:23PM 9 08:23PM 10 08:23PM 11 08:23PM 12 08:23PM 12	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again.
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 12	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct?	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 9 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 13 08.23PM 13	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 14 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15	Know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes.	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 13 08.23PM 14 08.23PM 15	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 14 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 17	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting, but I had a little broader view of the neighborhood	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 13 08.23PM 14 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 16	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 16 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 18	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting,	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 9 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 13 08.23PM 13 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 16 08.23PM 17	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a step back and looking at it from a practical
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 14 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 16 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 17	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting, but I had a little broader view of the neighborhood then discussing this evening. MR. KAUKER: And those would be the	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 13 08.23PM 14 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a step back and looking at it from a practical standpoint, a layman's standpoint, you're looking at
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 16 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 18 08:21PM 18 08:21PM 19 08:21PM 20	Know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting, but I had a little broader view of the neighborhood then discussing this evening. MR. KAUKER: And those would be the properties that would be most impacted by this	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 14 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a step back and looking at it from a practical standpoint, a layman's standpoint, you're looking at basically a five- to six-story building, as I said
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 16 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 18 08:21PM 19 08:21PM 20 08:21PM 20	know. So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting, but I had a little broader view of the neighborhood then discussing this evening. MR. KAUKER: And those would be the	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 16 08.23PM 16 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 19	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a step back and looking at it from a practical standpoint, a layman's standpoint, you're looking at basically a five- to six-story building, as I said before, that's immediately adjacent to one-family
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 9 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 16 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 18 08:21PM 19 08:21PM 20 08:21PM 21 08:21PM 21	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting, but I had a little broader view of the neighborhood then discussing this evening. MR. KAUKER: And those would be the properties that would be most impacted by this specific development. MR. SPATZ: Correct.	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 13 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 20 08.23PM 21 08.23PM 22	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a step back and looking at it from a practical standpoint, a layman's standpoint, you're looking at basically a five- to six-story building, as I said before, that's immediately adjacent to one-family homes and two-family homes that are two- and
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 16 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 20 08:21PM 20 08:21PM 21	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting, but I had a little broader view of the neighborhood then discussing this evening. MR. KAUKER: And those would be the properties that would be most impacted by this specific development. MR. SPATZ: Correct. MR. SPATZ: Correct. MR. SPATZ: Correct.	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 13 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 20 08.23PM 21 08.23PM 22	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a step back and looking at it from a practical standpoint, a layman's standpoint, you're looking at basically a five- to six-story building, as I said before, that's immediately adjacent to one-family homes and two-family homes that are two- and three-story buildings.
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 14 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 16 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 19 08:21PM 20 08:21PM 21 08:21PM 21 08:21PM 21 08:21PM 21	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting, but I had a little broader view of the neighborhood then discussing this evening. MR. KAUKER: And those would be the properties that would be most impacted by this specific development. MR. SPATZ: Correct. MR. SPATZ: Correct. MR. KAUKER: So when you take a look at the height of the buildings, the limited setbacks,	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 5 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 14 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 16 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 18 08.23PM 19 09.23PM 18 08.23PM 23 08.23PM 22 08.23PM 22 08.23PM 22	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a step back and looking at it from a practical standpoint, a layman's standpoint, you're looking at basically a five- to six-story building, as I said before, that's immediately adjacent to one-family homes and two-family homes that are two- and three-story buildings. So I have nothing else for Mr. Spatz,
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 3 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 8 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 13 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 16 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 20 08:21PM 20 08:21PM 21	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting, but I had a little broader view of the neighborhood then discussing this evening. MR. KAUKER: And those would be the properties that would be most impacted by this specific development. MR. SPATZ: Correct. MR. SPATZ: Correct. MR. KAUKER: So when you take a look at the height of the buildings, the limited setbacks, you really need to take a look at those adjacent	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 14 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 16 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 16 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 23PM 23PM 17 08.23PM 23PM 23PM 23PM 23PM 23PM 23PM 24 08.23PM 23PM 23	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a step back and looking at it from a practical standpoint, a layman's standpoint, you're looking at basically a five- to six-story building, as I said before, that's immediately adjacent to one-family homes and two-family homes that are two- and three-story buildings. So I have nothing else for Mr. Spatz, but happy to answer any questions.
08:20PM 2 08:20PM 4 08:21PM 5 08:21PM 6 08:21PM 7 08:21PM 10 08:21PM 11 08:21PM 12 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 15 08:21PM 16 08:21PM 17 08:21PM 18 08:21PM 19 08:21PM 20 08:21PM 20 08:21PM 21 08:21PM 22 08:21PM 23 08:21PM 23	So they see those apartments all the time. It's not as if they were down further on Grand, further towards the further towards the west or even on the opposite side of Broad and further towards the east, they're in direct sight line of all of the properties in this neighborhood. So I think that I would consider that part of the neighborhood. MR. KAUKER: But the immediate neighborhood and more importantly, the properties and the dwellings that this property is located immediately adjacent to are two and three-story buildings, correct? MR. SPATZ: Correct, yes. I indicated that at the last meeting, but I had a little broader view of the neighborhood then discussing this evening. MR. KAUKER: And those would be the properties that would be most impacted by this specific development. MR. SPATZ: Correct. MR. SPATZ: Correct. MR. KAUKER: So when you take a look at the height of the buildings, the limited setbacks,	08.23PM 2 08.23PM 4 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 6 08.23PM 7 08.23PM 10 08.23PM 11 08.23PM 12 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 14 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 15 08.23PM 16 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 16 08.23PM 17 08.23PM 23PM 23PM 17 08.23PM 23PM 23PM 23PM 23PM 23PM 23PM 24 08.23PM 23PM 23	character with the rest of the neighborhood itself. Then just one other thing that I just did want to point out with respect to the negative criteria, another aspect to look at as well is traffic. So I talked about that a little bit briefly before, Mr. Simoff talked about that as well. And in terms of where this site is located, where it has access to on West Columbia and the onramp to Route 46, you know, that's something I think that the board needs to take into consideration. And also as we have mentioned before, where it ultimately travels to, which is Grand Avenue, if it's basically local traffic again. So, you know, for those reasons, I think that, you know, when the board has to weigh the positive and negative criteria, again, just taking a step back and looking at it from a practical standpoint, a layman's standpoint, you're looking at basically a five- to six-story building, as I said before, that's immediately adjacent to one-family homes and two-family homes that are two- and three-story buildings. So I have nothing else for Mr. Spatz, but happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Counsel.

	77		79
08 24PM 1	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, if I may, may	08 25PM 1	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
08 24PM 2	we have a copy of Mr. Kauker's report? Because I	08:25PM 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay,
08:24PM 3	believe that was the Chair's edict when last we were	08 25PM 3	So let's take a five-minute break.
08:24PM 4	here, that	08:25PM 4	We're going to take a five-minute break. And then
08 24PM 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I said your experts	08:25PM 5	when we come back, I'm going to open it up to the
08:24PM 6	should contact our experts and	08:25PM 6	residents and they can ask their questions and come
08:24PM 7	MR. SOKOLICH: We were advised that a	08:25PM 7	back. Okay. Five minutes.
08 24PM 8	report was being submitted and once we have that, we	08 25PM 8	(Whereupon, a brief recess is held at
08:24PM 9	were going to provide a supplemental report. It's	08 25PM 9	8:26 p.m. to 8:33 p.m.)
08:24PM 10	okay, but can we have a copy of that report?	08 33PM 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. All right.
08:24PM 11	MR. KAUKER: You don't have April 12th?	08:33PM 11	You want to take attendance?
08:24PM 12	MR. SOKOLICH: I don't.	08:33PM 12	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
08:24PM 13	Do you, David?	08:33PM 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'm here.
08:24PM 14	MR. SPATZ: No, I don't.	08:33PM 14	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
08:24PM 15	MR. SOKOLICH: That's fine.	08:33PM 15	MR. BROGNA: Here.
08:24PM 16	If you don't mind if you give me a	08 33PM 16	MS, REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
08:24PM 10 08:24PM 17	copy, that's fine.	08:33PM 17	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here.
08:24PM 17 08:24PM 18	Thank you, Mike.	08:33PM 18	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
08 24PM 10 08:24PM 19	MR. KAUKER: Yup.	08:33PM 19	MR. GRALA: Here.
	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION	08:33PM 13	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
08:24PM 20		08:33PM 20 08:33PM 21	MR. KIM: Here.
08:24PM 21	BY MR. SOKOLICH:	08 33PM 21 08:33PM 22	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
08:24PM 22	Q. But in fairness, though, David, are you	08:33PM 22 08:33PM 23	MR. TERRANOVA: Here.
08:24PM 23	aware if Pal Park actually provides a lot of areas in		
08:24PM 24	this town to provide multifamily housing?	08 33PM 24	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
08:24PM 25	A. No, it's not in a great number of	08:33PM 25	MR. CHUNG: Here.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
			20
	78	4	MC DEDEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
08:24PM 1	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are	08:33PM 1	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon?
08:24PM 2	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process.	08 33PM 2	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here.
	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood.	08 33PM 2 08:33PM 3	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as
08:24PM 2	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas	08:33PM 2 08:33PM 3 08:33PM 4	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney.
08:24PM 2 08:24PM 3	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or	08:33PM 2 08:33PM 3 08:33PM 4 08:34PM 5	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now
08:24PM 2 08:24PM 3 08:24PM 4	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing?	08:33PM	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a
08:24PM 2 08:24PM 3 08:24PM 4 08:25PM 5	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 3 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can
08:24PM 2 08:24PM 3 08:24PM 4 08:25PM 5 08:25PM 6	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing?	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 3 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 8	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's
08:24PM 2 08:24PM 3 08:24PM 4 08:25PM 5 08:25PM 6 08:25PM 7	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 3 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 8 08.34PM 9	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes.
08:24PM 2 08:24PM 3 08:24PM 4 09:25PM 5 08:25PM 6 08:25PM 7 08:25PM 8	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of	08 33PM 2 08 33PM 3 08 33PM 4 08 34PM 5 08 34PM 6 08 34PM 7 08 34PM 8	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to
08:24PM 2 08:24PM 3 08:24PM 4 08:25PM 5 08:25PM 6 08:25PM 7 08:25PM 8 08:25PM 9	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process.	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 3 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 8 08.34PM 9	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes.
08.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 8 08.25PM 9 08.25PM 10	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away,	08 33PM 2 08:33PM 3 08:33PM 4 08:34PM 5 08:34PM 6 08:34PM 7 08:34PM 8 08:34PM 10 08:34PM 11 08:34PM 11	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over.
06.24PM 2 08.24PM 3 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 8 08.25PM 9 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular	08 33PM 2 08:33PM 4 08:33PM 5 08:34PM 5 08:34PM 6 08:34PM 8 08:34PM 8 08:34PM 10 08:34PM 11 08:34PM 12 08:34PM 13	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same,
06.24PM 2 08.24PM 3 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 8 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not?	08 33PM 2 08:33PM 3 08:33PM 4 08:34PM 5 08:34PM 6 08:34PM 7 08:34PM 8 08:34PM 10 08:34PM 11 08:34PM 11	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over.
08.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 8 08.25PM 9 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 12	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct.	08 33PM 2 08:33PM 4 08:33PM 5 08:34PM 5 08:34PM 6 08:34PM 8 08:34PM 8 08:34PM 10 08:34PM 11 08:34PM 12 08:34PM 13	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same,
08.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 8 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 13 08.25PM 13	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 13 08.34PM 13	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we
08.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 13 08.25PM 13 08.25PM 13	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 13 08.34PM 14 08.34PM 15	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning.
08.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 13 08.25PM 13 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 15	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 3 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 13 08.34PM 14 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to
08.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 13 08.25PM 14 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 16	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued that it was intentionally omitted to avoid providing	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to the people in the audience, name and address.
06.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 18 08.25PM 16	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued that it was intentionally omitted to avoid providing affordable housing?	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.33PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 13 08.34PM 14 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 17	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to the people in the audience, name and address. THE COURT REPORTER: When you give your
06.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 18 08.25PM 19 08.25PM 20	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued that it was intentionally omitted to avoid providing affordable housing? A. It's possible. I'm not sure what went into the	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.33PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 14 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 16 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 18	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to the people in the audience, name and address. THE COURT REPORTER: When you give your name, just spell it for me, please.
08.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 19 08.25PM 20 08.25PM 20	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued that it was intentionally omitted to avoid providing affordable housing? A. It's possible. I'm not sure what went into the decision, but	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 16 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 16 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 18 08.34PM 19 08.34PM 20 08.35PM 20	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to the people in the audience, name and address. THE COURT REPORTER: When you give your name, just spell it for me, please. MS. PAPOTER: Neris Papoter, N-E-R-I-S,
08.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 14 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 18 08.25PM 18 08.25PM 19 08.25PM 20 08.25PM 21 08.25PM 22	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued that it was intentionally omitted to avoid providing affordable housing? A. It's possible. I'm not sure what went into the decision, but Q. My point exactly.	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 14 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 16 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 19 08.34PM 19	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to the people in the audience, name and address. THE COURT REPORTER: When you give your name, just spell it for me, please. MS. PAPOTER: Neris Papoter, N-E-R-I-S, P-A-P-O-T-E-R. I'm resident of this town for 24 years
06.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 14 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 18 08.25PM 19 08.25PM 20 08.25PM 20 08.25PM 21 08.25PM 22 08.25PM 23	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued that it was intentionally omitted to avoid providing affordable housing? A. It's possible. I'm not sure what went into the decision, but Q. My point exactly. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman.	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 14 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 16 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 18 08.34PM 19 08.35PM 20 08.35PM 21 08.35PM 22	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to the people in the audience, name and address. THE COURT REPORTER: When you give your name, just spell it for me, please. MS. PAPOTER: Neris Papoter, N-E-R-I-S, P-A-P-O-T-E-R.
06.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 18 09.25PM 19 08.25PM 20 08.25PM 21 08.25PM 22 08.25PM 23 08.25PM 23	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued that it was intentionally omitted to avoid providing affordable housing? A. It's possible. I'm not sure what went into the decision, but Q. My point exactly. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So, Court	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 16 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 18 08.34PM 19 08.35PM 20 08.35PM 21 08.35PM 23 08.35PM 23 08.35PM 23	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to the people in the audience, name and address. THE COURT REPORTER: When you give your name, just spell it for me, please. MS. PAPOTER: Neris Papoter, N-E-R-I-S, P-A-P-O-T-E-R. I'm resident of this town for 24 years and it's been a totally different town that I move
06.24PM 2 08.24PM 4 08.25PM 5 08.25PM 6 08.25PM 7 08.25PM 10 08.25PM 11 08.25PM 12 08.25PM 14 08.25PM 15 08.25PM 16 08.25PM 17 08.25PM 18 08.25PM 19 08.25PM 20 08.25PM 20 08.25PM 21 08.25PM 22 08.25PM 23	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued that it was intentionally omitted to avoid providing affordable housing? A. It's possible. I'm not sure what went into the decision, but Q. My point exactly. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So, Court Reporter, you want a break?	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 14 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 16 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 18 08.34PM 19 08.34PM 20 08.35PM 21 08.35PM 21 08.35PM 22	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to the people in the audience, name and address. THE COURT REPORTER: When you give your name, just spell it for me, please. MS. PAPOTER: Neris Papoter, N-E-R-I-S, P-A-P-O-T-E-R. I'm resident of this town for 24 years and it's been a totally different town that I move here. Unfortunately, the construction here
06:24PM 2 06:24PM 4 06:25PM 5 08:25PM 6 08:25PM 7 08:25PM 10 08:25PM 11 08:25PM 12 08:25PM 15 08:25PM 17 08:25PM 15 08:25PM 16 08:25PM 17 08:25PM 16 08:25PM 17 08:25PM 17 08:25PM 18 08:25PM 19 08:25PM 20 08:25PM 20 08:25PM 23 08:25PM 23 08:25PM 24	areas. There are a few areas, many of them are approved through the use variance process. Q. Understood. Are you aware if any of those areas specifically in the ordinance allow for affordable or impose the requirement for affordable housing? A. I'm not aware of anything in the ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of the development process. Q. The point is made that 300 feet away, multifamily is permitted, but on this particular site, it's not? A. Correct. Q. The argument is made that that was arguably intentional by the governing body to exclude this property, correct? Could it not also be argued that it was intentionally omitted to avoid providing affordable housing? A. It's possible. I'm not sure what went into the decision, but Q. My point exactly. MR. SOKOLICH: Thank you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So, Court	08.33PM 2 08.33PM 4 08.34PM 5 08.34PM 6 08.34PM 7 08.34PM 10 08.34PM 11 08.34PM 12 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 15 08.34PM 16 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 17 08.34PM 18 08.34PM 19 08.35PM 20 08.35PM 21 08.35PM 23 08.35PM 23 08.35PM 23	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So as well, we've got to wait for the attorney. Okay. So we're going to open it up now to the people in the audience. You can ask a question if you want, you can make a comment, you can talk about anything you want to talk about, but it's three minutes. The other thing is we're not going to continue to hear the same objection over and over and over. So once it gets to be too the same, then we're going to have to cut it off because we don't want to be here till 1 o'clock in the morning. So with that I'm going open it up to the people in the audience, name and address. THE COURT REPORTER: When you give your name, just spell it for me, please. MS. PAPOTER: Neris Papoter, N-E-R-I-S, P-A-P-O-T-E-R. I'm resident of this town for 24 years and it's been a totally different town that I move here.

			83
	81	08 36PM 1	MS. PAPOTER: another thing that I
08 35PM 1	went out of hand. And for that reason, we having a	_	really feel I have, though, I live here, we don't
08 35PM 2	big problem.	_	have a sidewalk, unfortunately, because of the way of
08:35PM 3	And I'm not an architect, I don't have no experience density or nothing, all that. I'm a	08 37PM 3	the construction is going on. Okay?
08 35PM 4		08 37PM 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:35PM 5	mother of my daughter who go to school in this town and I go very active in the school board meetings.	08 37PM 6	MS. PAPOTER: And another thing that I
_	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	08 37PM 7	have, and I always want to come to this meeting,
	MS. PAPOTER: And the big problem that	08:37PM 8	because the time is a little bit late.
08:35PM 8	we have here is parking space, that's number one.	08 37PM 9	I have a question. Isn't it a little
08:35PM 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	08:37PM 10	contradiction that a person who is control have a
08:35PM 11	MS. PAPOTER: So by constructing this,	08:37PM 11	bigger company construction here as part of the board
08:35PM 12	it's going to be a big problem for our town, that we	08 37PM 12	member here, Mr. Elefteriou. Isn't that a conflict
08:35PM 13	are out of hand in that.	08:37PM 13	of interest?
08 35PM 14	And the second and the most important	08:37PM 14	MS. TESTA: No, there's no conflict of
08:36PM 15	one that I know that are few member here have a	08:37PM 15	interest.
08:36PM 16	student in school and live in town. And I don't know	08:37PM 16	MS. PAPOTER: Okay.
08 36PM 17	if some of these people here been going or are here	08:37PM 17	MS. TESTA: All the board members
08 36PM 18	about the problem that we run into in this town. And	08:37PM 18	volunteer their time. They're appointed by the Mayor
08:36PM 19	the bigger problem that we run into in this town is	08 37PM 19	and Counsel and they bring various expertise to the
08:36PM 20	the school, it's the school.	08:37PM 20	board.
08.36PM 21	MS. TESTA: If I could stop you.	08:37PM 21	MS. PAPOTER: And what are we doing
08:36PM 22	Excuse me.	08:37PM 22	when it's going to be one of his projects?
08:36PM 23	The board cannot take into	08 37PM 23	MS. TESTA: Then he's recused.
08:36PM 24	consideration any impact that it has on services such	08 37PM 24	MS. PAPOTER: He's recused?
08 36PM 25	as schools.	08:37PM 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, he doesn't
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	00		
	82		84
08:36PM 1	So if you could please we can't	08:37PM 1	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with
08:36PM 1 08:36PM 2	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony.	08:37PM 2	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes.
	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 3	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you
08:36PM 2	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding.	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 3 08:37PM 4	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 3	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 3 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 3 08:36PM 4	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 3 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 3 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 3 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the
08-36PM 2 08-36PM 3 08-36PM 4 08-36PM 5 08-36PM 6 08-36PM 7 08-36PM 8	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that.	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 3 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 8	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town.
08-36PM 2 08-36PM 3 08-36PM 4 08-36PM 5 08-36PM 6 08-36PM 7 08-36PM 8 08-36PM 9	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 3 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 8 08:37PM 9	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 8 08:36PM 9 08:36PM 10	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record.	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 3 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 8 08:37PM 9 08:37PM 10	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town,
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 3 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 8 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted.	OB:37PM 2 OB:37PM 3 OB:37PM 4 OB:37PM 5 OB:37PM 6 OB:37PM 7 OB:37PM 8 OB:37PM 9 OB:37PM 10 OB:37PM 11	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 3 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 8 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 3 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 8 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 8 08:36PM 9 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 13	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 8 08:37PM 9 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 13	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 8 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 13 08:36PM 13	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 9 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 13 08:37PM 13	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 8 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 13 08:36PM 14 08:36PM 15	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert.	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 13 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else?
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 13 08:36PM 14 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 15	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 13 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 15	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 8 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 13 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 16 08:36PM 17	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee?	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 9 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 13 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 17	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 9 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 13 08:36PM 14 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 17	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee? MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, we've got our share	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 13 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 17	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West Harwood Terrace, corner of Grand Avenue.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 14 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 18 08:36PM 18	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee? MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, we've got our share of them.	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 18 08:38PM 18	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West Harwood Terrace, corner of Grand Avenue. Okay. I just complimented the man
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 14 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 16 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 18 08:36PM 19 08:36PM 20	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee? MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, we've got our share of them. MS. PAPOTER: Because it's only in this	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 19 08:38PM 19 08:38PM 20	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West Harwood Terrace, corner of Grand Avenue. Okay. I just complimented the man sitting at the end there, I don't know his name, but
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 8 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 13 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 16 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 16 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 18 08:36PM 20 08:36PM 20 08:36PM 21	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee? MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, we've got our share of them. MS. PAPOTER: Because it's only in this town making all this	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 18 08:38PM 20 08:38PM 20 08:38PM 20	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West Harwood Terrace, corner of Grand Avenue. Okay. I just complimented the man sitting at the end there, I don't know his name, but he was right on when he was talking about the
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 8 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 14 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 18 08:36PM 18 08:36PM 19 08:36PM 20 08:36PM 21 08:36PM 22	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee? MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, we've got our share of them. MS. PAPOTER: Because it's only in this town making all this MR. SOKOLICH: We have our share of	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 13 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 18 08:38PM 21 08:38PM 21 08:38PM 21	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West Harwood Terrace, corner of Grand Avenue. Okay. I just complimented the man sitting at the end there, I don't know his name, but he was right on when he was talking about the problems.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 16 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 18 08:36PM 19 08:36PM 20 08:36PM 21 08:36PM 22 08:36PM 23	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee? MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, we've got our share of them. MS. PAPOTER: Because it's only in this town making all this MR. SOKOLICH: We have our share of them and have the foresight to	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 18 08:38PM 20 08:38PM 20 08:38PM 20	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West Harwood Terrace, corner of Grand Avenue. Okay. I just complimented the man sitting at the end there, I don't know his name, but he was right on when he was talking about the problems. One thing we have in common,
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 16 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 18 08:36PM 19 08:36PM 20 08:36PM 20 08:36PM 21 08:36PM 22 08:36PM 23 08:36PM 23	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee? MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, we've got our share of them. MS. PAPOTER: Because it's only in this town making all this MR. SOKOLICH: We have our share of them and have the foresight to MS. PAPOTER: project	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 18 08:38PM 19 08:38PM 20 08:38PM 20 08:38PM 21 08:38PM 23 08:38PM 23 08:38PM 23	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West Harwood Terrace, corner of Grand Avenue. Okay. I just complimented the man sitting at the end there, I don't know his name, but he was right on when he was talking about the problems.
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 16 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 18 08:36PM 19 08:36PM 20 08:36PM 21 08:36PM 22 08:36PM 23	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee? MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, we've got our share of them. MS. PAPOTER: Because it's only in this town making all this MR. SOKOLICH: We have our share of them and have the foresight to MS. PAPOTER: project MS. PAPOTER: project MR. SOKOLICH: Okay.	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 18 08:38PM 19 08:38PM 20 08:38PM 21 08:38PM 22 08:38PM 23	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West Harwood Terrace, corner of Grand Avenue. Okay. I just complimented the man sitting at the end there, I don't know his name, but he was right on when he was talking about the problems. One thing we have in common, Mr. Ferguson, is the fact that we have lived here for
08:36PM 2 08:36PM 4 08:36PM 5 08:36PM 6 08:36PM 7 08:36PM 10 08:36PM 11 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 12 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 15 08:36PM 16 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 16 08:36PM 17 08:36PM 19 08:36PM 20 08:36PM 20 08:36PM 21 08:36PM 23 08:36PM 23 08:36PM 24	So if you could please we can't accept that testimony. MS. PAPOTER: Okay. Well, the big problem here is overcrowding. MS. TESTA: Right. Again, we cannot discuss the school, that MS. PAPOTER: Okay. We're not gonna discuss that. MR. SOKOLICH: I just want to interpose an objection for the record. MS. TESTA: Yes, so noted. MS. PAPOTER: I want to ask Mr. Sokolich, have you think about MR. SOKOLICH: I'm not really an expert. MS. PAPOTER: moving all of these projects that you feel that are so good to Fort Lee? MR. SOKOLICH: Oh, we've got our share of them. MS. PAPOTER: Because it's only in this town making all this MR. SOKOLICH: We have our share of them and have the foresight to MS. PAPOTER: project	08:37PM 2 08:37PM 4 08:37PM 5 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 6 08:37PM 7 08:37PM 10 08:37PM 11 08:37PM 12 08:37PM 14 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 15 08:38PM 16 08:38PM 17 08:38PM 18 08:38PM 19 08:38PM 20 08:38PM 20 08:38PM 21 08:38PM 23 08:38PM 23 08:38PM 23	MS. TESTA: He has nothing to do with it, yes. MS. PAPOTER: I really feel that, you know, it's it should not be. MS. TESTA: Again right. Again, these board members volunteer their time, they put in many hours of work for the town. MS. PAPOTER: Well, in my name as a resident, a supporter, as a taxpayer in this town, this is a big no. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MS. PAPOTER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, name and address. MS. COMAS: Melanie Comas, 83 West Harwood Terrace, corner of Grand Avenue. Okay. I just complimented the man sitting at the end there, I don't know his name, but he was right on when he was talking about the problems. One thing we have in common, Mr. Ferguson, is the fact that we have lived here for ages. Okay? Me from 1956, a long time ago, and

r			0.7
	85	١ ,	87
08 38PM 1	we're still here.	08 41PM 1	because at one time, the town wanted to redevelop all
08:38PM 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	08 41PM 2	that, take the soap factory, put in apartments, all
08 38PM 3	MS. COMAS: And we see the how	08 41PM 3	that. This thing is not good. So not only that, but this is a
08 38PM 4	everything everything was one and two families, it	08 41PM 4	
08:38PM 5	was a nice town.	08 41PM 5	quality-of-life issue. The project to me is a slap in the face to the residents of West Columbia Avenue
08/38PM 6	Now it's overbuilt. It's like a	08:41PM 6	and to the people of Palisades Park, because anything
08 38PM 7	concrete jungle. It's ridiculous. Okay.	08 41PM 7	like this could be constructed anywhere in town. And
08.38PM 8	Now, West Columbia Avenue is a one way	08 41PM 8	·
08:38PM 9	down to Grand Avenue. To the left and right, each	08:41PM 9	some of it has been. Look what they did to
08:38PM 10	street, West Harriet and then West Ruby also are two	08 41PM 10	Caragonti's (phonetic) property. The project takes away the expected peaceful existence of the residents
08:39PM 11	streets that go down to Grand Avenue, and that's all	08 41PM 11	on West Columbia Avenue and it doesn't belong here.
08:39PM 12	in that one little spot where 46 is there with the	08:41PM 12	Besides this problem, there's a
08 39PM 13	ramp to get on 46 west. Then they come off, you	08:41PM 13	
08:39PM 14	know, the other ramp off 46 and then Harriet, you	08:41PM 14	horrible
ов:зэрм 15	can't make a left.	08:41PM 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Listen, you want to
08:39PM 16	The only thing you could do, you know,	08 41PM 16	sum up? Your three minutes are up.
08:39PM 17	with Columbia and Ruby, because you'd have to wait	08 41PM 17	MS. COMAS: Yeah, I'm just another half
08:39PM 18	forever to cross over the northern lane, is to come	08:41PM 18	a minute. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
ов:зэрм 19	up the other street. So two streets from Ruby to		MS, COMAS: The horrible congestion on
08:39PM 20	West Harwood.	08:41PM 20 08:42PM 21	Grand Avenue now teeming with too many cars and heavy
08:39PM 21	I see hundreds and hundreds of cars	08 42PM 21 08 42PM 22	trucking.
08:39PM 22	just, you know, bumper to bumper going south, going	08:42PM 22 08:42PM 23	Also we need speed limit signs coming
08 39PM 23	to that overpass. Okay?	08:42PM 23	into Pal Park from Ridgefield and Leonia. There's
08:39PM 24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. COMAS: And I see them that they	08:42PM 25	one that says 35 miles per hour almost in Leonia and
ов:зэрм 25	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	U0.42PW 20	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	86		88
ов:зэрм 1	get annoyed that they're waiting so long, so they	08:42PM 1	I've been I'm going to tell you ten years going to
08:39PM 1 08:39PM 2	scoop up West Harwood Terrace and they probably scoop	08 42PM 2	the Mayor and Council to get that done, I call the
08:40PM 3	up the town, you know, the street next to it. And	08:42PM 3	state, it's supposed to be very easy, it was never
08:40PM 4	that's dangerous.	08 42PM 4	done.
08:40PM 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right,	08 42PM 5	So at 5 o'clock in the morning all
08:40PM 6	MS. COMAS: Because they want to get	08:42PM 6	these heavy trucks come down 50 miles per hour, we've
08 40PM 7	across the northern lane, but they do, boom, boom,	08:42PM 7	got all kinds of
08:40PM 8	that's all they do.	08:42PM 8	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, I have to
08:40PM 9	Okay. And even me crossing the street,	08:42PM 9	object because this is way off base.
08:40PM 10	I have to watch out. This is to me it's like the	08;42PM 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
08:40РМ 11	Titanic meets the Bermuda Triangle, hundreds of cars	08:42PM 11	MS. COMAS: No. No, it isn't, because
ов:40РМ 12	on Grand Avenue at that one light. Okay?	08 42PM 12	I live there.
ов 40РМ 13	The other thing is a 40-unit apartment	08:42PM 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's enough.
08:40PM 14	building only adds to the traffic problem. It's not	08:42PM 14	Listen
0840РМ 15	an asset to the area. The building does not conform	08 42PM 15	MS. COMAS: Okay. The last comment
ов:40РМ 16	to the area in any way that I could see. They've	08:42PM 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You had your last
08 40PM 17	squeezed into a very small space.	08:42PM 17	comments two comments ago.
08:40PM 18	West Columbia has one and two-family	08:42PM 18	MS. COMAS: Okay. The state all
08:40РМ 19	homes, so we don't need this monstrosity there. Not	08:42PM 19	right. I'm finished, but the last thing, the state
08:40PM 20	that I live so close to it, but I'm affected also	08:42PM 20	should get involved with this, that whole big mess.
08 40PM 21	because they can put anything anywhere in town if	08:42PM 21	We need the state.
08:40PM 22	this is accepted.	08:43PM 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Who else would like
ов 40РМ 23	The only place that, you know,	08:43PM 23	yes, my friend, name and address.
08:41PM 24	construction like this might be viable is across	08:43PM 24	MR. BEERLE: Ernst Beerle, 329 East
08:41PM 25	Grand Avenue where the soap factory was and all that,	08:43PM 25	Columbia.
I	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	I	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812

	89		91
		08 45PM 1	But he, you know, he has an absolute
08 43PM 1	The I've been here for many, many years. And if you remember, coming off of Route 46	08 45PM 2	right to come in and put this up. And if the board
06 43PM 2	eastbound on the right-hand side, yes, there's a big,	08 45PM 3	cut him off, like, at the first meeting and said
08.43PM 3	call it apartment building, that is four- or	08 45PM 4	listen, this is not going to fly, we're not going to
08 43PM 4		08 45PM 5	do it, the next day he's going to be in court saying
08 43PM 5	five-stories high. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	08 45PM 6	that you didn't hear my application.
08:43PM 6	MR. BEERLE: Does anybody remember what	08 45PM 7	MR. BEERLE: Well, it's happening now
08:43PM 7	_	08 45PM 8	anyway.
08 43PM 8	used to be there? Like a junkyard, just about. Garages.	08:45PM 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But no, they're
08 43PM 9	-	08 45PM 10	in court, from my understanding, because it's
08:43PM 10	It was really, really dilapidated. That was the	08 45PM 11	what's
08:43PM 11	perfect place to put something like that, it didn't	08:45PM 12	MR. SOKOLICH: It has nothing to do
08,43PM 12	affect any private homes.	08:45PM 13	with
08/43PM 13	This affects all private homes all	08.45PM 14	MS. TESTA: It has nothing to do with
08:43PM 14	around here for many, many blocks. It just makes no	08:45PM 15	this application, so no need to comment.
08 43PM 15	sense at all. Forget the traffic and for me,	08:45PM 16	MR, SOKOLICH: Yeah.
08:43PM 16	forget the traffic, but it is an issue. But it's	08:45PM 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay, No problem.
08:44PM 17	just no good. It's no good.	08:45PM 17	So that's the reason why we're here.
08:44PM 18	My vote if I can do a hundred votes, I	08:45PM 10 08:46PM 19	I'm sure the board would love not to, you know, hear
08:44PM 19	would vote a hundred times. It's no good. I don't know why this was even considered, I'm sorry for	08:46PM 19	these cases, but what are we going to do?
08:44PM 20	saying it, but I don't even understand why it's even	08:46PM 21	So next.
08:44PM 21	• •	08.46PM 22	Thank you for your comments, I
08,44PM 22	considered. We're spending lots and lots of money	08:46PM 23	appreciate it.
08:44PM 23	for this. Everybody's spending lots of money for	08:46PM 24	MR. BEERLE: That's no good.
08:44PM 24 08 44PM 25	this. It should never have been considered. Shame	08:46PM 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well, that's
08 44PM Z3	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	90	1	92
08:44PM 1		08 46PM 1	the law, what's new.
08:44PM 1	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion.	08,46PM 1 08:46PM 2	
	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal	_	the law, what's new.
08:44PM 2	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion.	08:46PM 2	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend.
08:44PM 2 08:44PM 3	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	08:46PM 2 08:46PM 3	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything?
08:44PM 2 08:44PM 3 08:44PM 4	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have	08:46PM 2 08:46PM 3 08:46PM 4	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue.
08:44PM 2 08:44PM 3 08:44PM 4 08:44PM 5	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already.	08:46PM 2 08:46PM 3 08:46PM 4 08:46PM 5	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O?
08:44PM 2 08:44PM 3 08:44PM 4 08:44PM 5 08:44PM 6	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah.	08:46PM 2 08:46PM 3 08:46PM 4 08:46PM 5 08:46PM 6	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah.
08:44PM 2 08:44PM 3 08:44PM 4 08:44PM 5 08:44PM 6 08:44PM 7	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts	08:46PM 2 08:46PM 3 08:46PM 4 08:46PM 5 08:46PM 6 08:46PM 7	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 3 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 8	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 8 08.46PM 9 08.46PM 10	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already.
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 3 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 8 08.44PM 9	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 8 08.46PM 9 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 10	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 8 08.44PM 9 08.44PM 10	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 06.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 8 08.46PM 9 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 8 08.44PM 9 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 8 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 12	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times.
08:44PM 2 08:44PM 4 08:44PM 5 08:44PM 6 08:44PM 7 08:44PM 8 08:44PM 10 08:44PM 11 08:44PM 11	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do?	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 9 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 13 08.46PM 13	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 8 08.44PM 9 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 12	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 9 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 13 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 14	the law, what's new. Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit,
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 8 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 13 08.44PM 13	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 06.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 13 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 15	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 3 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 13 08.44PM 14 08.44PM 15	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there.	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 13 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 16	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 13 08.44PM 14 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 17 08.44PM 17	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there. MR. BEERLE: Yeah, three houses.	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 13 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 17	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is affected directly it goes on the right turn, it
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 13 08.44PM 14 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 16 08.45PM 17 08.45PM 18	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there. MR. BEERLE: Yeah, three houses. You've got three houses there, each house has the	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 18	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is affected directly it goes on the right turn, it goes onto 46.
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 13 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 17 08.45PM 17 08.45PM 18 08.45PM 19 08.45PM 20	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there. MR. BEERLE: Yeah, three houses. You've got three houses there, each house has the apartment and the owner.	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 18 08.46PM 19	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is affected directly — it goes on the right turn, it goes onto 46. And so this apartment would directly
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 13 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 16 08.45PM 17 08.45PM 19 08.45PM 20 08.45PM 20 08.45PM 20	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there. MR. BEERLE: Yeah, three houses. You've got three houses there, each house has the apartment and the owner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, but an	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 8 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 18 08.46PM 19 08.46PM 20 08.46PM 20	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is affected directly — it goes on the right turn, it goes onto 46. And so this apartment would directly affect the traffic that goes that way and will affect
08:44PM 2 08:44PM 5 08:44PM 5 08:44PM 6 08:44PM 7 08:44PM 10 08:44PM 11 08:44PM 12 08:44PM 13 08:44PM 15 08:44PM 16 08:45PM 17 08:45PM 17 08:45PM 20 08:45PM 21 08:45PM 21	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there. MR. BEERLE: Yeah, three houses. You've got three houses there, each house has the apartment and the owner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, but an applicant comes in, obviously this is a lot more	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 8 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 18 08.46PM 19 08.46PM 20 08.46PM 20	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is affected directly — it goes on the right turn, it goes onto 46. And so this apartment would directly affect the traffic that goes that way and will affect mass transit.
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 14 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 16 08.45PM 17 08.45PM 18 06.45PM 20 08.45PM 20 08.45PM 21 08.45PM 22 08.45PM 22	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there. MR. BEERLE: Yeah, three houses. You've got three houses there, each house has the apartment and the owner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, but an applicant comes in, obviously this is a lot more intense than the usual, you know, two-family thing.	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 18 08.46PM 19 08.46PM 20 08.46PM 21 08.46PM 22 08.46PM 22	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is affected directly it goes on the right turn, it goes onto 46. And so this apartment would directly affect the traffic that goes that way and will affect mass transit. So it seems kind of ridiculous to me to
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 13 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 16 08.45PM 17 08.45PM 18 08.45PM 20 08.45PM 21 08.45PM 21 08.45PM 21 08.45PM 23 08.45PM 23	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there. MR. BEERLE: Yeah, three houses. You've got three houses there, each house has the apartment and the owner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, but an applicant comes in, obviously this is a lot more intense than the usual, you know, two-family thing. They want to take it down and put another one up,	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 06.46PM 4 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 13 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 19 08.46PM 20 08.46PM 21 08.46PM 21 08.46PM 22 08.46PM 23 08.46PM 23	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is affected directly — it goes on the right turn, it goes onto 46. And so this apartment would directly affect the traffic that goes that way and will affect mass transit. So it seems kind of ridiculous to me to say that, to keep on saying mass transit is — it's,
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 4 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 14 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 16 08.45PM 17 08.45PM 18 06.45PM 20 08.45PM 20 08.45PM 21 08.45PM 22 08.45PM 22	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there. MR. BEERLE: Yeah, three houses. You've got three houses there, each house has the apartment and the owner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, but an applicant comes in, obviously this is a lot more intense than the usual, you know, two-family thing. They want to take it down and put another one up, right?	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 08.46PM 4 08.46PM 5 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 18 08.46PM 19 08.46PM 20 08.46PM 21 08.46PM 22 08.46PM 22	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is affected directly it goes on the right turn, it goes onto 46. And so this apartment would directly affect the traffic that goes that way and will affect mass transit. So it seems kind of ridiculous to me to say that, to keep on saying mass transit is it's, like, all about mass transit when actually, they're
08.44PM 2 08.44PM 5 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 6 08.44PM 7 08.44PM 10 08.44PM 11 08.44PM 12 08.44PM 13 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 15 08.44PM 16 08.45PM 17 08.45PM 18 08.45PM 20 08.45PM 21 08.45PM 21 08.45PM 21 08.45PM 23 08.45PM 23	on the person that is trying to do this, my personal opinion. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. So just so you're clear, I might have said this already. MR. BEERLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If a person puts the application in, the board has to MR. BEERLE: I understand that. But it's five months already or more. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, but MR. BEERLE: And the decision was oh it's okay. What else can we do? What else can we do? What else can we do? Bring it down to 10 units. Bring it down to 12 units. MS. COMAS: Or put three houses there. MR. BEERLE: Yeah, three houses. You've got three houses there, each house has the apartment and the owner. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, but an applicant comes in, obviously this is a lot more intense than the usual, you know, two-family thing. They want to take it down and put another one up,	08.46PM 2 08.46PM 3 06.46PM 4 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 6 08.46PM 7 08.46PM 10 08.46PM 11 08.46PM 12 08.46PM 13 08.46PM 14 08.46PM 15 08.46PM 16 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 17 08.46PM 19 08.46PM 20 08.46PM 21 08.46PM 21 08.46PM 22 08.46PM 23 08.46PM 23	Anybody else that wants to say anything? Name and address, my friend. MR. CHO: Michael Cho, 33 West Ruby Avenue. THE COURT REPORTER: C-H-O? MR. CHO: C-H-O, yeah. Yeah, I think we've been talking about a lot of things already. So the only thing I want to add, which I mentioned last time, is I mentioned mass transit a bunch of times. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. CHO: But if you take mass transit, and I take it every single day to work, you take the 166-T or the 166 if you're taking local and that is affected directly — it goes on the right turn, it goes onto 46. And so this apartment would directly affect the traffic that goes that way and will affect mass transit. So it seems kind of ridiculous to me to say that, to keep on saying mass transit is — it's,

### 1 actually hurting mass transit because even right now, ### 2 it already takes, I don't know, several minutes to same 3 get from the last stop on 166 onto the actual highway 1 because of how much traffic there is on a rush hour 1 lime. ### 1 Actually hurting mass transit because from the last stop on 166 onto the actual highway 1 lime. ### 2 How much traffic there is on a rush hour 1 lime. ### 3 And if there's even more traffic 1 lime. ### 4 Because there's apartments, that is being crazy. ### One of the reasons why I 1 limes 1 limes 1 limes. ### 9 I'm bringing this up is one of the reasons why I 2 and work in the city. ### 12 and work in the city. ### 2 and work in the city. ### 3 But every minute that it effects my 2 limes 1 limes 2 l				05
Section of the last stop on 166 onto the actual highway are with a state of how much traffic there is on a rush hour warm of time. Section of the sectio		93		95
## Secure of how much traffic there is on a rush hour traffic there is even more traffic the decision of the reasons why in the decision of the reasons why in the more of the reasons why in the decision of the reasons why in the more of the more of the reasons why in the more of the more of the reasons why in the more of the more of the reasons why in the more of the more of the reasons why in the more of the reasons why in the more of the more of the reasons why in the more of the reasons w	08:47PM 1	·		·
because of how much traffic there is on a rush hour starts of time. And if there's even more traffic arms of because of how much traffic there is on a rush hour of them, shart is being crazy. Acrow 8	08 47PM 2			
time. And if there's even more traffic because there's apartments, that is being crazy. One of the reasons why I make and 9 I'm bringing this up is one of the reasons why I make and 10 ended up living in Palisades Park is because of the make and 11 mass transit and how I could take this to the city make and 12 and work in the city. make and 13 and I'm sure I'm not the only person. And I'm sure I'm ont the only person. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHI: That's it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHI: That's it. LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-841-812 AND CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SHIN: I'm oling some kind of amount of them, like 90 percent of amount of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they are and 10 okay. They don't want, okay, this apartment. Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the asswaid 13 out of the meeting and say what they asswaid 13 out of the meeting and say what they asswaid 13 out of the meeting and say what they asswaid 13 out of the meeting and say what they asswaid 14 out of the m	08 47PM 3	· .		_
And if there's even more traffic Active A property because there's apartments, that is being crazy. And one of the reasons - the reason why a many 10 more of the reasons - the reason why 1 mass transit and how I could take this to the city are mass transit and how I could take this to the city are mass transit and how I could take this to the city are mass transit and how I could take this to the city are mass transit and how I could take this to the city are mass transit and how I could take this to the city are mass transit and how I could take this to the city are mass transit and how I could take this to the city are mass transit and how I could take this to the city are mass transit to pecome delayed, I think you should take that into consideration. And so the fact that you're even, like, are may 10 more like, are may 12 more may 12 more may 14 more may 15 more may 15 more may 16 more may 18 more	08:47PM 4	because of how much traffic there is on a rush hour	08 49PM 4	3 3
Other	08 47PM 5	time.	08:49PM 5	
### 8 One of the reasons — the reason why are without the city of the principle this up is one of the reasons why I worm 10 one will be a worm 10 one of the reasons why I worm 10 one will be a worm 10 one of the reasons why I worm 10 one will be a worm 10 one will	08:47PM 6		08 49PM 6	as to what your opinion is, but you cannot represent
### 1 Pim bringing this up is one of the reasons why I ended up living in Palisades Park is because of the mass transit and how I could take this to the city and work in the city. ### 13	08 47PM 7	because there's apartments, that is being crazy.	08:49PM 7	
metrow 10 ended up living in Palisades Park is because of the mass transit and how It ould take this to the city and work in the city. metrow 12 and work in the city. metrow 14 commute, it ends up me wanting to live here less. metrow 15 And I'm sure I'm not the only person. metrow 16 And so the fact that you're even, like, metrow 17 And so the fact that you're even, like, metrow 18 And so the fact that you're even, like, metrow 19 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. metrow 20 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. metrow 21 MR. CHO: That's it. metrow 22 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? metrow 23 Yes, my friend name and address. metrow 24 MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. metrow 37 Anybody Sast Ruby Avenue LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 94 MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of calling fight now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them most of them, like 99 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them most of them, like 99 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them most of them, like 99 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them most of them, like 99 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them most of them, like 99 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. metrow 10 MR. SHIN: Yean, S-H-I-N. metrow 11 MR. SHIN: Yean, S-H-I-N. metrow 12 MR. SHIN: Yean, S-H-I-N. metrow 13 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. metrow 14 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. metrow 15 MR. SHIN: So I'm just saying, okay, we'll remember what you board members decide too Okay? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. metrow 16 MR. SHIN: So I'm just saying, okay, we'll remember what you board	08:47PM 8	One of the reasons the reason why	08:49РМ 8	
mass transit and how I could take this to the city mass transit and how I could take that into mass transit and transit and the commender decide too dokay? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SHIN: So I'm pust saying, okay, mass transit to become and the building here would cause mass transit to become delayed, I think you should take that into consideration. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHO: That's it. MR. SHIN: So I'm pust awing. MR.	08:47PM 9	I'm bringing this up is one of the reasons why I	08 49PM 9	opinion with them. Okay? That's what I'm saying.
and work in the city. But every minute that it effects my commute, it ends up me wanting to live here less. And I'm sure I'm not the only person. And so the fact that you're even, like, carm 15 chairm 19	08 47PM 10	ended up living in Palisades Park is because of the	08 49PM 10	MS. TESTA: Please, convey your
But every minute that it effects my carmy 44 commute, it ends up me wanting to live here less. And I'm sure I'm not the only person. And so the fact that you're even, like, and the serve 20 days and and address. MR. SHIN: Thank you. Chairma 12 Chairma 22 Chairma 22 MR. DALL CHO: Star Reporters. Can you just spell serve 24 MS. TESTA: Your address, and that's air	08 47PM 11	mass transit and how I could take this to the city	08 49PM 11	comments to your opinion, not to other people.
Commute, it ends up me wanting to live here less. And I'm sure I'm not the only person. And So the fact that you're even, like, the building here would cause mass transit to become delayed, I think you should take that into consideration. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Anybody else? Anybody else have delayed, I think you should take that into consideration. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHO: That's it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you. Anybody else? Anybody else have delayed, I think you should take that into consideration. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? Anybody else? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHO: That's it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin construe 23 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell construe 3 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 4 MR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 12 CAMPIN 1 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 13 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 14 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR. SHIN: The doing some kind of construe 15 MAR	08 47PM 12	and work in the city.	08:49РМ 12	
Section 15 And I'm sure I'm not the only person. And so the fact that you're even, like, such 16 MR. SHIN: Thank you.	08 47PM 13	But every minute that it effects my	08 49PM 13	we'll remember what you board members decide today.
Section 15 And I'm sure I'm not the only person. And so the fact that you're even, like, such 16 MR. SHIN: Thank you.	08:47PM 14	commute, it ends up me wanting to live here less.	08:49PM 14	Okay?
### 16 And so the fact that you're even, like, marmed 17 the building here would cause mass transit to become delayed, I think you should take that into consideration. ### 18 delayed, I think you should take that into consideration. ### 19 CONSIDERATION OR		·	08:49PM 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
Castring 17 The building here would cause mass transit to become all delayed, I think you should take that into consideration.	1		08 49PM 16	MR. SHIN: Thank you.
delayed, I think you should take that into consideration. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? Anybody? MR. CHO: That's it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? MR. SHIN: My name and address. MR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin living in 214 10th Street. LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 GRAFPM 1 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell your last name? MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of canded and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. So I'd like to just convey what the town people wants for all the voting board members. Okay. They don't want, okay, this apartment. Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the people, okay, they lived some people they live ownews 18 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park like 19 30 years. And they want the Palisad		•	08:49РМ 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHO: That's Lower 21 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? Ves., my friend name and address. MR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? Ves., my friend name and address. MR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. MARINE 5 MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. MR. SHIN: Want. MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHIN: Want. MR.			08 49PM 18	Anybody else? Anybody else have
CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. CHO: That's it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? WR. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? WR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? WR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin WR. DALL CHO: My name is Dall Cho, MR. Dall CHO: My name i			08 49PM 19	
CALIFIN 21 MR. CHO: That's it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? Yes, my friend name and address. MR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin Iliving in 214 10th Street. LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell owners and address and that's air quality. MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. So I'd like to just convey what the town people wants for all the voting board members. CALIFIN 17 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 18 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 19 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 10 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 10 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 11 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 11 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 10 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 11 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 10 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 10 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 11 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 10 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 11 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 10 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 11 THE COURT REPORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 10 COLORY THE PORTER THANK YOU. CALIFIN 10 COLORY THE PORTE	1		08:49PM 20	Okay. Seeing oh, yes, my friend, go
CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? Yes, my friend name and address. MR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. DRAFFW 25 IIVIng in 214 10th Street. LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 ORAFFW 2 THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell owards and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of charms and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. ORAFFW 11 So I'd like to just convey what the coases 12 Coases 12 Coases 12 Coases 13 Coases 14 Coases 15 Coases 16 Coases 16 Coases 16 Coases 17 Coases 18 Coases 18 Coases 18 Coases 18 Coases 19 Coas	1			
Yes, my friend name and address. MR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 94 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell your last name? MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them — most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. So I'd like to just convey what the caren 13 Okash 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. Okash 16 Okasy. They don't want, okay, this apartment. Okash 17 Okash 18 Okash 19 Okash 10 Okasy. They don't want, okay, this apartment. Okash 17 Okash 18 Okash 17 Okash 19 Okash				
MR. SHIN: My name is Charlie Shin living in 214 10th Street. LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 94 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell owners of them. Shin: I'm doing some kind of campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. 94 95 94 1				
Section 1 Section 25 Section 25 Section 25 Section 26 Section 26 Section 26 Section 26 Section 27 Section 27 Section 28 Section 29 Section 201-641-1812				
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 94 ©RATPM 1 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell your last name? 94 MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. GRASPM 5 MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of OR ABPM 7 campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of OR ABPM 11 want. 95 1 I appreciate your professional opinion. There's one thing I want to address and that's air quality. 96 97 98 4 Once we were all babies and you know why the babies cry; it's related to fresh air. 98 4 Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. 98 98 10 SESPM 1 Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. 98 11 I appreciate your professional opinion. 12 Once we were all babies and you know also why the babies cry; it's related to fresh air. 98 18 19 19 10 SESPM 1 11 I appreciate your professional opinion. 11 I want to address and that's air quality. 12 SOSOM 4 13 Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. 14 Want. 15 SOSOM 8 16 I magine you wake up early morning, open the wind want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 10 SESPM 10 SESPM 11 11 Want. 12 SOS I'd like to just convey what the town people wants for all the voting board members. 18 SOS Want 14 Okay. 19 10 SESPM 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. 10 OKASPM 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. 10 OKASPM 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the observed 17 people, okay, they lived some people they live 19 10 SESPM 15 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. 19 10 SESPM 15 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. 19 10 OKASPM 17 OKASPM 18 10 OKASPM 18 11 OKASPM 19 12 OKASPM 19 13 OKASPM 19 14 OKASPM 19 15 OKASPM 10 OKASPM 19 16 OKASPM 10		-		
201-641-1812 94 CRAFFM 1 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell operations of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. ORAFFM 1 CHAIRMAN FOR all being to what want. ORAFFM 1 CHAIRMAN FOR ALL CHO: For these people and operation. ORAFFM 1 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell operation. ORAFFM 6 MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. ORAFFM 7 Campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park operation. ORAFFM 10 them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. ORAFFM 11 ORAFFM 12 So I'd like to just convey what the town people wants for all the voting board members. ORAFFM 13 OKAPY. They don't want, okay, this apartment. ORAFFM 14 ORAFFM 15 Oyears, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived on than 9 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park higher on the pittsburgh. Okay? ORAFFM 15 OYEARS, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived on than Pittsburgh. Okay?	08:4/PM 23			
ORASPIN 1 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell of soft and spend of the last two weeks, I orange and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they orange and say wh				
CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of MR. DALL CHO: For these people and				96
THE COURT REPORTER: Can you just spell graph 3 your last name? OR 48PPM 4 MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. OR 48PPM 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. OR 48PPM 6 MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. OR 48PPM 10 Want. OR 48PPM 11 Want. OR 48PPM 12 So I'd like to just convey what the OR 48PPM 13 town people wants for all the voting board members. OR 48PPM 14 OKASY. OR 48PPM 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. OR 48PPM 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the OR 48PPM 17 people, okay, they lived some people they live OR 48PPM 18 50 years, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived OR 48PPM 19 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park higher OR 48PPM 20 than Pittsburgh. Okay? OR 54PPM 10 OK 54PPM	1		08:50PM 1	I appreciate your professional opinion.
OBJORN 3 your last name? OBJORN 4 MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. OBJORN 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. OBJORN 6 MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of OBJORN 7 Campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I OBJORN 8 met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park OBJORN 9 and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of OBJORN 10 them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they OBJORN 11 want. OBJORN 12 So I'd like to just convey what the OBJORN 13 town people wants for all the voting board members. OBJORN 14 Okay. OBJORN 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. OBJORN 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the OBJORN 17 Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. OBJORN 8 Imagine you wake up early morning, open the wind OBJORN 9 want fresh air. OBJORN 10 We can survive 10 days without any other food. There's a law not written in the universe, in the OBJORN 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the OBJORN 18 OKABPM 19 30 years, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived OBJORN 4 Once we were all babies and you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies and you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also why the babies cry; Why we cry. But you know also whithe problems are called to fresh air. Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. Source Palls (to fresh air. Now, the traffi			_	
MR. SHIN: Yeah, S-H-I-N. OBJORN 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. OBJORN 6 MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of OBJORN 7 Campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I OBJORN 8 met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park OBJORN 9 and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of OBJORN 10 them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they OBJORN 11 want. OBJORN 12 So I'd like to just convey what the OBJORN 13 town people wants for all the voting board members. OBJORN 14 ONCE WE were all babies and you know also why the babies cry; it's related to fresh air. OBJORN 7 Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. OBJORN 8 Imagine you wake up early morning, open the wind. OBJORN 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet OBJORN 10 Want fresh air. OBJORN 10 Want fresh air. OBJORN 11 Want, want, we cannot live 10 minutes. OBJORN 12 We can survive 10 days without any other food. OBJORN 13 There's a law not written in the universe, in the OBJORN 14 ONCE WE WERE All babies and you know also why the babies cry; it's related to fresh air. OBJORN 5 Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. OBJORN 6 INT. SHOW, the traffic flow, but airflow. OBJORN 7 Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. OBJORN 10 Want fresh air. OBJORN 9 Want fresh air. OBJORN 9 Want fresh air. OBJORN 10 Want fresh air. OBJORN 10 Want fresh air. OBJORN 10 Want fresh air. OBJORN 11 Want fresh air. OBJORN 12 Want fresh air. OBJORN 12 Want fresh air. OBJORN 9 Want fresh air. OBJORN 14 ONCE WE GRAW UP ALL CHO: I Want fresh air. OBJORN 15 Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. OBJORN 16 Why we cry. OBJORN 17 Why we cry. OBJORN 16 Why we cry				
THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. OB 48PM 6		•		•
MR. SHIN: I'm doing some kind of OB HOPM OB HO			_	
7 campaign right now. And for the last two weeks, I 8 met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park 9 and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of 10 them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they 11 want. 12 So I'd like to just convey what the 13 town people wants for all the voting board members. 14 Okay. 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the 17 Ossopm 17 Now, the traffic flow, but airflow. 18 Imagine you wake up early morning, open the wind 18 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet 19 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet 10 ossipm 10 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet 11 Without air, we cannot live 10 minutes 12 We can survive 10 days without any other food. 13 There's a law not written in the universe, in the 14 earth, we have to make air quality. 15 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. 16 MR. DALL CHO: I want to say just the 17 remember air quality. 18 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. 19 Ossipm 18 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. 10 ossipm 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and 19 Ossipm 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and 10 ossipm 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and 10 ossipm 10 ossipm 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and	6			
met more than 3,000 residence here in Palisades Park and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. So I'd like to just convey what the town people wants for all the voting board members. Makepin 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. Makepin 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the Okayem 17 Okasepin 18 Okasepin 19 Okasepin 20 Okasepin 19 Okasepin 19 Okasepin 19 Okasepin 19 Okasepin 20 Okasepin 19 Okasepin 20 O		-	_	
9 and all of them most of them, like 90 percent of 08.48PM 10 them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they 08.48PM 11 want. 08.48PM 12 So I'd like to just convey what the 08.48PM 13 town people wants for all the voting board members. 08.48PM 14 Okay. 08.48PM 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. 08.48PM 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the 08.48PM 17 people, okay, they lived some people they live 08.48PM 18 50 years, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived 08.48PM 19 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park higher 08.48PM 20 than Pittsburgh. Okay? 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 want fresh air. But this, what, 200 feet, 60 feet rectangle is right there. 9 obsiPM 10 wants rectangle is right there. 18 obsiPM 10 wants rectangle is right there. 19 obsiPM 10 wants rectangle is right there. 10 obs			_	
them ask me to go to board meeting and say what they want. So I'd like to just convey what the town people wants for all the voting board members. Okaspm 14 Okay. They don't want, okay, this apartment. Okaspm 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the Okaspm 17 people, okay, they lived some people they live Okaspm 18 50 years, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived Okaspm 19 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park higher Okaspm 20 than Pittsburgh. Okay? They do board meeting and say what they Okasp what they Okasp want. Okasipm 10 rectangle is right there. Without air, we cannot live 10 minutes We can survive 10 days without any other food. There's a law not written in the universe, in the earth, we have to make air quality. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. DALL CHO: I want to say just that remember air quality. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. They don't want, okay, this apartment. Okasipm 18 Okasp WR. DALL CHO: For these people and Okasp MR. DALL CHO: For these people and Okasp MR. DALL CHO: For these people and Okasp MR. DALL CHO: For these people and	_			
want. OB-48PM 11 want. OB-48PM 12 So I'd like to just convey what the OB-48PM 13 town people wants for all the voting board members. OB-48PM 14 Okay. OB-51PM 12 We can survive 10 days without any other food. OB-51PM 12 We can survive 10 days without any other food. OB-51PM 13 There's a law not written in the universe, in the OB-51PM 14 earth, we have to make air quality. OB-51PM 15 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. OB-51PM 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the OB-51PM 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the OB-51PM 17 remember air quality. OB-51PM 18 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. OB-51PM 19 OB-51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and OB-51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and OB-51PM 19 OB-51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and OB-51PM 19				
So I'd like to just convey what the 08.48PM 12 So I'd like to just convey what the 08.48PM 13 town people wants for all the voting board members. 08.48PM 14 Okay. 08.48PM 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. 08.48PM 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the 08.48PM 17 people, okay, they lived some people they live 08.51PM 12 We can survive 10 days without any other food. There's a law not written in the universe, in the earth, we have to make air quality. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. DALL CHO: I want to say just that or remember air quality. OR.51PM 16 OKS1PM 17 remember air quality. OR.51PM 17 remember air quality. OR.51PM 18 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. OR.51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and OR.51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and OR.51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and OR.51PM 19 OR.51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and				5 0
town people wants for all the voting board members. 08.48PM 14 Okay.	1			
OB.48PM 14 Okay. OB.48PM 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. OB.48PM 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the OB.48PM 17 people, okay, they lived some people they live OB.48PM 18 50 years, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived OB.48PM 19 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park higher OB.51PM 14 earth, we have to make air quality. OB.51PM 15 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. OB.51PM 16 MR. DALL CHO: I want to say just that obering the people and observed by the people and obser		-		
They don't want, okay, this apartment. OB.48PM 15 They don't want, okay, this apartment. OB.48PM 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the OB.48PM 17 people, okay, they lived some people they live OB.48PM 18 50 years, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived OB.51PM 15 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. OB.51PM 16 MR. DALL CHO: I want to say just that observed the people air quality. OB.51PM 18 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. OB.51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and observed than Pittsburgh. Okay?	1			
OB:48PM 16 Okay? They all point out the problems, but all the people, okay, they lived some people they live OB:48PM 18 50 years, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived OB:51PM 18 OB:51PM 19 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park higher OB:48PM 20 than Pittsburgh. Okay? OB:51PM 16 MR. DALL CHO: I want to say just that obesity in the people and OB:51PM 17 remember air quality. OB:51PM 18 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. OB:51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and OB:51PM 20 you.	1			
OB.48PM 17 people, okay, they lived some people they live OB.48PM 18 50 years, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived OB.48PM 19 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park higher OB.48PM 20 than Pittsburgh. Okay? OB.51PM 17 remember air quality. OB.51PM 18 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. OB.51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and you.		•		
OB.48PM 18 50 years, some guys lived like 40 years. I lived OB.48PM 19 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park higher OB.48PM 20 than Pittsburgh. Okay? OB.51PM 19 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. DALL CHO: For these people and you.	1			
19 30 years. And they want the Palisades Park higher 08.48PM 20 than Pittsburgh. Okay? 08.51PM 19 MR. DALL CHO: For these people and you.	1			
OB-51PM 20 than Pittsburgh. Okay?				
100.701 W EV CHOTT TELEBOTY ST.	1		1	
A ALLES ALLE	1	-		<u>·</u>
21 Small street cannot accommodate, like, OB SIPM 21 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Thank you.				
Okay. Anybody else?	08 49PM 22			
OB.49PM 23 I told them the board members will make very wise OB.52PM 23 MR. BEERLE: Can I speak again?	08:49PM 23			
TOWARM BY GOLDSON, ORGAN, HOLLING BY THE TOTAL PROPERTY.	08:49PM 24	decision, okay, hearing all the town people wants.	I	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Very briefly you
08 49PM 25 Okay? 08 52PM 25 can say a word.	08 49PM 25	Okay?	08 52PM 25	
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	1	LAUDA A CADUCCI CED DDD LLC	1	LAURA A. CARUCCI. C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
201-641-1812 201-641-1812 24 of 44		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	1	

	97		99		
08 52PM 1	But just so we're all clear, we don't	08 54PM 1	expert evidence in order to present their case.		
08 52PM 2	usually grant second opinions, but in this one case,		2 MR, PETER SHIN: So all the time you		
08 52PM 3	we're going to do it and then if you spoke, you're	08.54PM 3			
08 52PM 4	done.	_	4 project?		
08 52PM 5	MR. BEERLE: Thank you.	08 54PM 5	MS. TESTA: Sure if it comes before the		
08:52PM 6	Ernst Beerle, 329 East Columbia.	08:54PM 6	board, if the board has the jurisdiction to hear it.		
08:52PM 7	The people that are trying to build	08 54PM 7	MR. PETER SHIN: No, I think this is		
08:52PM 8	this thing, I think, are doing a snow job and	08 54PM 8	too much here you guys spend, you know, time. A		
08:52PM 9	promoting affordable housing, trying to campaign	08:54PM 9	waste of time. For a small job, okay.		
08:52PM 10	they're using that as a campaign to promote this	08 54PM 10	But this kind of very, very unusual.		
08:52PM 11	project, I think. Please take that into	08:54PM 11	So you are relying you are the		
08 52PM 12	consideration.	_{08,54PM} 12 professional people. You should cut it after this			
08:52PM 13	Yes, I fully agree with low-income	08:54PM 13	kind of project, definitely no. All right?		
08 52PM 14	housing, but I don't think that's a safe place for	08:54PM 14	You make an amendment. The public		
08:52PM 15	kids to be even.	08:54PM 15	people is very confused. All right? Please.		
08:52PM 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	08:54РМ 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.		
08:52PM 17	MR. BEERLE: It's got so much traffic.	08:54PM 17	MR. PETER SHIN: Okay.		
08.52PM 18	Thank you.	08:55PM 18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Anybody		
08 52PM 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, I agree.	08:55РМ 19	else?		
08:53PM 20	Okay. Anybody else?	ов:55РМ 20	Anybody else have any comments at all?		
08.53PM 21	Yes, this person in the back, go ahead.	08.55PM 21	Okay.		
08:53PM 22	Name and address, my friend.	08:55PM 22	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, before you		
08:53PM 23	MR. PETER SHIN: My name is Peter Shin.	08:55PM 23	deliberate, may I have a moment to		
08:53PM 24	I live at 800 12th Street, Unit 609.	08:55PM 24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Let me just close.		
08:53PM 25	I have a question to everybody. Okay?	08:55PM 25	I want to make I want to close the		
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812		
	98		100		
08:53PM 1	I heard this is a very unusual project. I don't know	08:55PM 1	public, participation of the public.		
08:53PM 2	your I don't know what's the board regulation, you	08 55PM 2	So we're good on that, right, Diane?		
08:53PM 3	should cut it off before a public meeting.	08:55PM 3	MS. TESTA: Right, make a motion,		
08:53PM 4	I don't know what's the reason you	08:55PM 4	second.		
08:53PM 5	guys have a public meeting.	08:55PM 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I make a motion we		
08:53PM 6	MS. TESTA: No, sir.	08:55PM 6	close it.		
08:53PM 7	Excuse me, sir.	08:55PM 7	MR. GRALA: I'll second.		
08:53PM 8	As the Chairman has stated earlier, an	08:55PM 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.		
08:53PM 9	applicant has the right to bring an application	08:55PM 9	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?		
08:53PM 10	before this board and the board has a duty to hear	08:55РМ 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.		
08:53PM 11	the application and hear the experts and make a	08:55PM 11	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?		
08:53PM 12	determination based on all the facts that are	08:55PM 12	MR. BROGNA: Yes.		
08:53PM 13	presented to it.	08:55PM 13	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?		
08:53PM 14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay?	08:55PM 14	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.		
08:53PM 15	MR. PETER SHIN: That's the reason you	08:55PM 15	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?		
08:54PM 16		08:55PM 16	MR. GRALA: Yes.		
08:54PM 17	guys open the public meeting?	08:55PM 10			
08:54PM II	guys open the public meeting? MS. TESTA: It's the law.	08:55PM 17	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?		
08:54PM 17 08:54PM 18			MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim? MR. KIM: Yes.		
	MS. TESTA: It's the law.	08:55PM 17			
08:54PM 18	MS. TESTA: It's the law. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's the law.	08:55PM 17 08:55PM 18 08:55PM 19 08:55PM 20	MR. KIM: Yes.		
08:54PM 18 08:54PM 19	MS. TESTA: It's the law. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's the law. It's the law.	08:55PM 17 08:55PM 18 08:55PM 19	MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?		
08:54PM 18 08:54PM 19 08:54PM 20	MS. TESTA: It's the law. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's the law. It's the law. MS. TESTA: Of course.	08:55PM 17 08:55PM 18 08:55PM 19 08:55PM 20	MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.		
08:54PM 18 08:54PM 19 08:54PM 20 08:54PM 21	MS. TESTA: It's the law. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's the law. It's the law. MS. TESTA: Of course. And the meetings are all public.	08:55PM 17 08:55PM 18 08:55PM 19 08:55PM 20 08:55PM 21	MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?		
08:54PM 18 08:54PM 19 08:54PM 20 08:54PM 21 08:54PM 22	MS. TESTA: It's the law. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's the law. It's the law. MS. TESTA: Of course. And the meetings are all public. MR. PETER SHIN: Okay. You can't cut	08:55PM 17 08:55PM 18 08:55PM 19 08:55PM 20 08:55PM 21 08:55PM 22	MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Yes.		
08:54PM 18 08:54PM 19 08:54PM 20 08:54PM 21 08:54PM 22 08:54PM 23	MS. TESTA: It's the law. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's the law. It's the law. MS. TESTA: Of course. And the meetings are all public. MR. PETER SHIN: Okay. You can't cut it off on your level, this kind of unusual project?	08.55PM 17 08.55PM 18 08.55PM 19 08.55PM 20 08.55PM 21 08.55PM 22 08.55PM 23	MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Counselor,		
08:54PM 18 08:54PM 19 08:54PM 20 08:54PM 21 08:54PM 22 08:54PM 23 08:54PM 24	MS. TESTA: It's the law. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's the law. It's the law. MS. TESTA: Of course. And the meetings are all public. MR. PETER SHIN: Okay. You can't cut it off on your level, this kind of unusual project? MS. TESTA: No, because the applicant	08.55PM 17 08.55PM 18 08.55PM 19 08.55PM 20 08.55PM 21 08.55PM 22 08.55PM 23 08.55PM 23	MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Yes.		
08.54PM 18 08.54PM 19 08.54PM 20 08.54PM 21 08.54PM 22 08.54PM 23 08.54PM 24	MS. TESTA: It's the law. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's the law. It's the law. MS. TESTA: Of course. And the meetings are all public. MR. PETER SHIN: Okay. You can't cut it off on your level, this kind of unusual project? MS. TESTA: No, because the applicant has the right to present testimony and evidence, and	08.55PM 17 08.55PM 18 08.55PM 19 08.55PM 20 08.55PM 21 08.55PM 22 08.55PM 23 08.55PM 23	MR. KIM: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung? MR. CHUNG: Yes. MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mrs. Yoon? MS. YOON: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Counselor,		

1	101		103		
08 55PM 1	you have an opportunity now to answer anything you	08,58PM 1	we do believe that the parking we're providing at 52,		
08:55PM 2	want to answer.	08 58PM 2	which is more than one spot per unit, with ample		
08:55PM 2	And in addition, if you could give us	08 58PM 3	spaces for what we believe to be visitors, to be		
08.55PM 4	your closing statement.		ample based on this location and its proximity to		
08 55PM 5	MR. SOKOLICH: Certainly, Chairman.	08:58PM 4 08:58PM 5	mass transportation.		
08:56PM 6	If you don't mind, I'm going to stay	08:58PM 6	Again, might not be a popular position,		
08:56PM 7			but it is reality.		
08.56PM 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Absolutely.	08 58PM 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.		
08 56PM 9	MR. SOKOLICH: If that's acceptable.	08 58PM 9	MR. SOKOLICH: And that's where we are.		
08:56PM 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Absolutely.	08:58PM 10	It might not be popular to compare our side of 46 to		
08.56PM 11	MR, SOKOLICH: I turned 60 this past	08:58PM 11	the other side to a similarly situated piece of		
08 56PM 12	July, so I'm a little tired now.	08 58PM 12	property, but frankly, the R-2 zone permits within		
08.56PM 13	But look, I don't only handle popular	08:58PM 13	only a couple 300 feet away, multifamily residential		
08:56PM 14	applications, right? I'm an advocate. My job is to	08:58PM 14	development.		
08:56PM 15	present the best application for my client.	08 58PM 15	Now, one might argue that it was		
08 56PM 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	08:58PM 16	specifically excluded, multifamily development. One		
08:56PM 17	MR. SOKOLICH: Not all of them are	08.58PM 17	may also argue that that would be the perfect place		
08:56PM 17 08:56PM 18	popular. Some of them are embraced by neighborhoods,	08:58PM 18	for affordable housing, and that's what we proposed		
08 56PM 10 08 56PM 19	others aren't.	08:58PM 19	to this board.		
08:56PM 19	But this particular application is the	08:58PM 20	Our planner also had we believe to be		
08:56PM 20	ultimate example of an applicant that appears before	08:58PM 21	very ample and robust planning testimony. He		
08:56PM 21	the board in good faith as it invested tens of	08:58PM 22	provided the board with the positive and negative		
08 56PM 23	thousands of dollars in the area's most qualified and	08 58PM 23	criteria testimony that's required by law.		
08:56PM 24	respected experts from architect to engineer to	08 58PM 24	We do believe that we satisfy and		
08:56PM 24	planning to traffic and has presented what it	08:59PM 25	provide special reasons for the granting of this		
08:56PW 23	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812		
	102		104		
1					
08:56DM 1	believes to be a viable development based in sound	08:59PM 1	application, which include an appropriate use of		
08:56PM 1	believes to be a viable development based in sound	08:59PM 1	application, which include an appropriate use of lands in a manner that will promote what we believe		
08.56PM 2	planning.	١ .	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe		
08.56PM 2 08:56PM 3	planning. At no time during the process this did	08 59PM 2	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general		
08.56PM 2 08:56PM 3 08:57PM 4	planning. At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe		
08:56PM 2 08:56PM 3 08:57PM 4 08:57PM 5	planning. At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08:59PM 4	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public.	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08 59PM 5	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7	planning. At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08 59PM 5 08:59PM 6	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 8	planning. At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08:59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment.		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 8 08.57PM 9	planning. At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 8	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 8	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 8 08:59PM 9	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass		
06.56PM 2 06.56PM 3 06.57PM 4 06.57PM 5 06.57PM 6 06.57PM 7 08.57PM 8 06.57PM 9 08.57PM 10	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 8 08:59PM 9 08:59PM 10	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46.		
06.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 8 08.57PM 9 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 8 08:59PM 9 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 8 06.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 13	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't.	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 8 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 11	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 8 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire.	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08:59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 8 08:59PM 9 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 13	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe		
06.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 8 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 13 08.57PM 14 08.57PM 15	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08:59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 8 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 13 08:59PM 13	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 13 08.57PM 14 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 15	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 13 08:59PM 14 08:59PM 15	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away.		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 13 08.57PM 14 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 17	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the parking, we make no-parking zones. We deal with	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 8 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 13 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 16	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away. And, in fact, there are sites very		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 13 08.57PM 14 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 15	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the parking, we make no-parking zones. We deal with standpipes. We deal with some of the other	08 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08:59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 9 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 13 08:59PM 14 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 16 08:59PM 17	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away. And, in fact, there are sites very similar to the one now before this board that has		
06.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 13 08.57PM 14 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 17 08.57PM 17	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the parking, we make no-parking zones. We deal with standpipes. We deal with some of the other suppression comments that were made by fire; another	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08:59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 13 08:59PM 14 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 17 08:59PM 17	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away. And, in fact, there are sites very similar to the one now before this board that has essentially what it is that this applicant is looking		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 14 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 17 08.57PM 18 08.57PM 19 08.57PM 20	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the parking, we make no-parking zones. We deal with standpipes. We deal with some of the other	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08:59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 14 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 16 08:59PM 18 08:59PM 18 08:59PM 18	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away. And, in fact, there are sites very similar to the one now before this board that has essentially what it is that this applicant is looking to have approved.		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 17 08.57PM 18 08.57PM 19 08.57PM 20 08.57PM 20 08.57PM 20	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the parking, we make no-parking zones. We deal with standpipes. We deal with some of the other suppression comments that were made by fire; another example of not turning a tin ear to the concern. You heard from our traffic expert that	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08 59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 14 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 16 08:59PM 17 08:59PM 18 08:59PM 19 08:59PM 20	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away. And, in fact, there are sites very similar to the one now before this board that has essentially what it is that this applicant is looking to have approved. Its orientation towards Route 46, we		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 13 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 17 08.57PM 18 08.57PM 19 08.57PM 20 08.57PM 21 08.57PM 21 08.57PM 22	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the parking, we make no-parking zones. We deal with standpipes. We deal with some of the other suppression comments that were made by fire; another example of not turning a tin ear to the concern.	08.59PM 2 08.59PM 3 08.59PM 4 08.59PM 5 08.59PM 6 08.59PM 7 08.59PM 10 08.59PM 11 08.59PM 12 08.59PM 12 08.59PM 15 08.59PM 15 08.59PM 16 08.59PM 17 08.59PM 17 08.59PM 18 08.59PM 20 08.59PM 20 08.59PM 20	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away. And, in fact, there are sites very similar to the one now before this board that has essentially what it is that this applicant is looking to have approved. Its orientation towards Route 46, we believe to be also very positive. We've heard the		
06.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 13 08.57PM 14 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 18 08.57PM 19 08.57PM 20 08.57PM 21 08.57PM 22 08.57PM 22	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the parking, we make no-parking zones. We deal with standpipes. We deal with some of the other suppression comments that were made by fire; another example of not turning a tin ear to the concern. You heard from our traffic expert that spoke to you about what we believe to be the ITE demands here.	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 13 08:59PM 14 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 17 08:59PM 17 08:59PM 17 08:59PM 18 08:59PM 19 08:59PM 20 09:00PM 21 09:00PM 21	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away. And, in fact, there are sites very similar to the one now before this board that has essentially what it is that this applicant is looking to have approved. Its orientation towards Route 46, we believe to be also very positive. We've heard the case Grasso versus the Borough of Spring Lake		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 17 08.57PM 18 08.57PM 19 08.57PM 20 08.57PM 20 08.57PM 20 08.57PM 23 08.57PM 23 08.57PM 23	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the parking, we make no-parking zones. We deal with standpipes. We deal with some of the other suppression comments that were made by fire; another example of not turning a tin ear to the concern. You heard from our traffic expert that spoke to you about what we believe to be the ITE demands here. Now, they might not be figure number	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08:59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 14 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 16 08:59PM 18 08:59PM 18 08:59PM 19 08:59PM 20 09:00PM 21 09:00PM 22 09:00PM 23	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away. And, in fact, there are sites very similar to the one now before this board that has essentially what it is that this applicant is looking to have approved. Its orientation towards Route 46, we believe to be also very positive. We've heard the case Grasso versus the Borough of Spring Lake Heights, which is the gold star case on how to get		
08.56PM 2 08.56PM 3 08.57PM 4 08.57PM 5 08.57PM 6 08.57PM 7 08.57PM 10 08.57PM 11 08.57PM 12 08.57PM 14 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 15 08.57PM 16 08.57PM 17 08.57PM 18 08.57PM 19 08.57PM 20 08.57PM 21 08.57PM 22 08.57PM 22 08.57PM 22	At no time during the process this did this applicant duck an issue or not respond. You may not have liked the response, it might not have been good enough for the public. Frankly, some of them might look at it as, I think I heard snow job, but this is an applicant that's willing to provide a multifamily and a 20-percent satisfied; that simply cannot be ignored. And we ask the board to consider it and we know that you will, we know that you will. I'm not suggesting that you won't. There was an issue with regard to fire. We immediately dispatched one of our experts, engaged in telephone conference calls. We adjust the parking, we make no-parking zones. We deal with standpipes. We deal with some of the other suppression comments that were made by fire; another example of not turning a tin ear to the concern. You heard from our traffic expert that spoke to you about what we believe to be the ITE demands here.	06 59PM 2 08:59PM 3 08:59PM 4 08:59PM 5 08:59PM 6 08:59PM 7 08:59PM 10 08:59PM 11 08:59PM 12 08:59PM 14 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 15 08:59PM 16 08:59PM 17 08:59PM 18 08:59PM 19 08:59PM 20 09:00PM 21 09:00PM 23 09:00PM 23	lands in a manner that will promote what we believe to be public health, safety, morals and general welfare, provision for sufficient space in appropriate locations. And we also believe it to be an improvement and the promotion of a desirable visual environment. The subject site we also believe to be particularly suited, its proximity to mass transportation, its proximity to Route 46. We believe that combined all three lots, the property is large enough to satisfy and handle this type of a development. We also believe excuse me that it is a sound argument to raise that multifamily is permitted only 300 feet away. And, in fact, there are sites very similar to the one now before this board that has essentially what it is that this applicant is looking to have approved. Its orientation towards Route 46, we believe to be also very positive. We've heard the case Grasso versus the Borough of Spring Lake Heights, which is the gold star case on how to get height variances and what needs to be shown.		

	405		107		
	105	ля:n2PM 1	won't vote.		
09:00PM 1	our building is significantly taller than the	09:02PM 1 09:02PM 2	How about Elefti? He's good.		
09:00PM 2	buildings adjacent to it, but we do believe that it		MS, TESTA: Um-hmm.		
09:00PM 3	is compelling that there is a similarly situated		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Charley is an		
09:00PM 4	building directly across. And the way our building is situated,		alternate, correct?		
	we believe that we've minimized the impact as best we		MS. TESTA: He's the first alternate.		
09:00PM 6	can as best we can by situating the building		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: He's the first		
	where we have it proposed.		alternate. Mr. Grala, yes. You're yes.		
			This gentleman here is yes.		
CO.OGI III			MS. TESTA: Mr. Kim's been here. I		
09:00PM 10			believe all members have been here for all of the		
			meetings and at least read the transcript if you were		
09:00PM 12			absent, correct?		
09:00PM 13	I The second		But I believe everybody has been here.		
09:00PM 14 09:00PM 15	fact, would be embraced.	09:02PM 14 09:02PM 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So the only		
09:00PM 15	I will also tell you that this project	09:02PM 16	one that's not going to vote would be Ms. Yoon,		
09:00PM 17	has received quite a bit of negative attention.	09:02PM 17	sorry.		
09:00PM 17	We're in a political season, that is the reality.	09:02PM 18	Okay. So the board had listened to the		
09:00PM 18 09:01PM 19	When you go on social media, you would it's	09:02PM 19	applicant's experts, the board experts and residents		
09:01PM 19	incomprehensible what we're reading about with this	09:02PM 20	that live in the immediate area and other people that		
09:01PM 20	particular development and the untruths that are out	09:03PM 21	live in Palisades Park.		
09:01PM 21	there.	09:03PM 22	The application was originally for		
09:01PM 22	But that's something that I'm hoping	09:03PM 23	50 units, they were reduced to 40 units, four floors		
09:01PM 24	that the board can filter through and not consider,	09:03PM 24	of units over two floors of parking.		
09:01PM 24	because many of the things that you're hearing about	09:03PM 25	I still feel that this is too much		
09:01PM 23	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	32,557 111 20	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812		
	106		108		
2004FW 1	106 with this application are simply not true.	09:03PM 1			
09:01PM 1	with this application are simply not true.		density for the area. This is a one and two-family		
09:01PM 2	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been	_	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is		
09:01PM 2	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled,	09:03PM 2	density for the area. This is a one and two-family		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening,	09:03PM 2	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together		
09:01PM 2	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet.		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 8	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 8	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside.	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 8	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 8	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 8 09:03PM 9	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 8 09:01PM 9 09:01PM 10	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you.	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 8 09:03PM 9 09:03PM 10	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 8 09:01PM 9 09:01PM 10 08:01PM 11	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 8 09:03PM 9 09:04PM 10 09:04PM 11	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 8 09:01PM 9 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 8 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet.		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 8 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes;	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 8 09:03PM 9 09:04PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 13	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 9 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 14 09:02PM 15	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes.	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 9 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 13 09:04PM 13	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 8 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 14	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 8 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 13 09:04PM 14 09:04PM 15	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height.		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 14 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 16	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate.	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 9 09:04PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 13 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 15	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 15	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, she's the	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 9 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 13 09:04PM 14 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 16 09:04PM 17	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a three-family home to the rear of the property and it		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 9 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 14 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 16 17 18	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, she's the second alternate.	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 13 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 17	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a three-family home to the rear of the property and it is one- and two-family homes. The five density,		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 14 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 16 17 18 19	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, she's the second alternate. I'm sorry.	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 14 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 16 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 18 09:04PM 18	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a three-family home to the rear of the property and it is one- and two-family homes. The five density, density is proposed in much too great for this site.		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 14 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 16 17 18 19 20	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, she's the second alternate. I'm sorry. MS. TESTA: I think we have eight	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 18 09:04PM 19	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a three-family home to the rear of the property and it is one- and two-family homes. The five density, density is proposed in much too great for this site. A C variance follows. The lot area per		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 16 17 18 19 20 21	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, she's the second alternate. I'm sorry. MS. TESTA: I think we have eight members here this evening, so Ms. Yoon will not vote.	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 16 09:04PM 16 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 18 09:04PM 20 09:04PM 20	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a three-family home to the rear of the property and it is one- and two-family homes. The five density, density is proposed in much too great for this site. A C variance follows. The lot area per unit, 2,000 2500 square feet is required; the		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 16 17 18 19 20 21	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, she's the second alternate. I'm sorry. MS. TESTA: I think we have eight members here this evening, so Ms. Yoon will not vote. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So you	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 18 09:04PM 19 09:04PM 20 09:04PM 21	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a three-family home to the rear of the property and it is one- and two-family homes. The five density, density is proposed in much too great for this site. A C variance follows. The lot area per unit, 2,000 2500 square feet is required; the applicant proposes 324.17 square feet per unit. The		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 14 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 09:02PM 23	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, she's the second alternate. I'm sorry. MS. TESTA: I think we have eight members here this evening, so Ms. Yoon will not vote. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So you said what?	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 18 09:04PM 18 09:04PM 18 09:04PM 19 09:04PM 20 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 22 09:04PM 22	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a three-family home to the rear of the property and it is one- and two-family homes. The five density, density is proposed in much too great for this site. A C variance follows. The lot area per unit, 2,000 2500 square feet is required; the applicant proposes 324.17 square feet per unit. The building coverage is 40 percent is required; the		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 12 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 14 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 09:02PM 23 09:02PM 23	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, she's the second alternate. I'm sorry. MS. TESTA: I think we have eight members here this evening, so Ms. Yoon will not vote. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So you said what? MS. TESTA: Ms. Yoon would note vote.	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 18 09:04PM 19 09:04PM 20 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 23 09:05PM 23	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a three-family home to the rear of the property and it is one- and two-family homes. The five density, density is proposed in much too great for this site. A C variance follows. The lot area per unit, 2,000 2500 square feet is required; the applicant proposes 324.17 square feet per unit. The building coverage is 40 percent is required; the applicant proposes 76.55.		
09:01PM 2 09:01PM 3 09:01PM 4 09:01PM 5 09:01PM 6 09:01PM 7 09:01PM 10 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 11 09:01PM 13 09:02PM 14 09:02PM 15 09:02PM 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 09:02PM 23 09:02PM 23	with this application are simply not true. So for all the reasons that have been stated by the team of experts that we've assembled, for all the reasons that I summarized this evening, we would respectfully request that the board act favorably on the application and keep in mind that this applicant is willing to help this community satisfy its constitutional mandate to provide affordable housing with a 20-percent set aside. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I just want to be clear now, this is a seven-member board. Who are the seven members that are Mr. Terranova, yes; Ms. Yoon; yes. MS. TESTA: No, Ms. Yoon is the second alternate. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, she's the second alternate. I'm sorry. MS. TESTA: I think we have eight members here this evening, so Ms. Yoon will not vote. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So you said what? MS. TESTA: Ms. Yoon would note vote. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Ms. Yoon	09:03PM 2 09:03PM 3 09:03PM 4 09:03PM 5 09:03PM 6 09:03PM 7 09:03PM 10 09:04PM 11 09:04PM 12 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 15 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 17 09:04PM 18 09:04PM 19 09:04PM 20 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 21 09:04PM 23 09:05PM 23	density for the area. This is a one and two-family zone. The applicant argues that the lot is oversized, but he has taken three lots that are not all conforming and is looking to join them together for a lot size of 16,200.58 square feet. This application would require at least the following variances: A D a use variance for the number of units. While the applicant reduced it to 40 units, the property is zoned for one- and two-family residential. And they D-6 height variance, 25 feet is permitted, the applicant is seeking 62.42 feet. The building would be a six-story building. There are no buildings in the area that come close to that height. Next, the subject property is a three-family home to the rear of the property and it is one- and two-family homes. The five density, density is proposed in much too great for this site. A C variance follows. The lot area per unit, 2,000 2500 square feet is required; the applicant proposes 324.17 square feet per unit. The building coverage is 40 percent is required; the applicant proposes 76.55. The front yard, 25 feet is required and		

	109		111
09:05PM 1	the applicant is proposing 3.67 feet. The rear yard	09:08PM 1	MR. GRALA: I'll second.
09:05PM 2	is 25 feet required and the applicant is proposing	09:08PM 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Let's take a vote.
09:05PM 3	13.00.	09:08РМ 3	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Ferguson?
09:05PM 4	Seventy parking spaces are required and	09:08РМ 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes for the denial.
09:05PM 5	the applicant proposes 52. Besides having the	09:08PM 5	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Brogna?
09:05PM 6	sufficient spaces for the residents of the building,	09:08PM 6	MR. BROGNA: Yes for the denial.
09:05PM 7	he is not providing any visitor spaces.	09:08PM 7	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Elefteriou?
09:05PM 8	We are all familiar with this location.	09:08РМ 8	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes for denial.
09:05PM 9	There is very limited on-street parking. Basically	09:08PM 9	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Grala?
09:06PM 10	across the street from the property is a ramp to go	09:08PM 10	MR. GRALA: Yes for denial.
09:06PM 11	onto Route 46 west. There is no parking on that side	09;08PM 11	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Kim?
09:06PM 12	of the road. While the applicant experts testify	09:08PM 12	MR. KIM: Yes for the denial.
09:06PM 13	that people don't have do not have all cars, the	09:08PM 13	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Terranova?
09:06РМ 14	reality is that they can depend on mass transit.	09:08PM 14	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes for denial.
09:06РМ 15	I disagree with most people don't have	09:08PM 15	MS. REBEKAH KIM: Mr. Chung?
09:06РМ 16	a car. Even if they travel into the city, they still	09:08РМ 16	MR. CHUNG: Yes for denial.
09:06PM 17	have to have at least one to travel around New Jersey	09:08PM 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you.
09:06PM 18	for shopping, visiting and family.	09:09PM 18	All right. Hey, hey.
09:06PM 19	I am also concerned with the safety of	09:09РМ 19	MR. SOKOLICH: Chairman, thank you for
09:06РМ 20	the people pulling in and out of the garage. This	09:09РМ 20	the board's consideration.
09:06РМ 21	area is heavily trafficked and it is the ramp to	09:09PM 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thank you.
09:06PM 22	Route 46, which leads to the turnpike; Route 80,	09:09РМ 22	Can I get a motion to adjourn?
09:06PM 23	which leads to most of the state highways.	09:09РМ 23	MR. ELEFTERIOU: I motion.
09:06РМ 24	What is the benefit Palisades Park will	09:09PM 24	MR. GRALA: I second.
09:07PM 25	receive from this application? People who live on	09:09PM 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	110		112
09:07PM 1	West Ruby who have a large building in their	09:09PM 1	(Whereupon, this meeting is adjourned.
09:07PM 2	backyards only 5 feet away.	09:09PM 2	Time noted: 9:10 p.m.)
09:07PM 3	I see no positive benefit. I see	3	
09:07PM 4	addition traffic issues with the parking areas	4	
09:07PM 5	overcrowding the site.	5 6	
09:07PM 6	The property is surrounded by homes	1	
09:07PM 7	that are one-, and two-, three-stories high and one	7	
09:07PM 8	and two homes to the north and west.	8	
09:07PM 9	The zoning ordinance states that is the	9	
09:07PM 10	intent of one- and two-family districts is to	10	
09:07PM 11	preserve the present one and two-family residential	12	
09:07PM 12	character of the borough.	13	
09:07PM 13	It is specifically not the intent to	14	
09:07PM 14	provide additional multifamily.	15	
09:07PM 15	I think this project would be a huge	16	
09:07PM 16	detriment to the neighborhood and Palisades Park. I	17	
09:07PM 17	don't feel that the applicant has met the burden of	18	
09:08PM 18	showing that there will not be a substantial	19	
09:08PM 19	detriment to the public good, is not consistent with	20	
09:08PM 20	the surrounding neighborhood. The building will	20 21	
09:08PM 21	neglect light, air light and open spaces for those	21	
09:08PM 22	homes in the areas.	23	
09:08PM 23	So I'm going to make a motion to deny	23	
09:08РМ 24	the application.	25	
09:08PM 25	Can I get a second? LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	23	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
			201-641-1812
I .	201-641-1812		EVI-VTI-IVIA

	172
	CERTIFICATE
1 2	CERTIFICATE
3	
•	I, LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.C.R., R.P.R., a Notary
4	Public of the State of New Jersey, Notary ID.
	#50094914, Certified Court Reporter of the State of
5	New Jersey, and a Registered Professional Reporter,
	hereby certify that the foregoing is a verbatim record of the testimony provided under oath before
6	any court, referee, board, commission or other body
7	created by statute of the State of New Jersey.
•	I am not related to the parties
8	involved in this action; I have no financial
_	interest, nor am I related to an agent of or employed
9	
	this action.
10	This transcript complies with
	regulation 13:43-5.9 of the New Jersey Administrative Code.
11	Code.
12	
13	22
	. U h.
14	
15	LAMEN A. CARUCCI, C.C.R., R.P.R. License #XI02050, and Notary Public
15	of New Jersey #50094914, Notary
16	Expiration Date December 3, 2026
17	Dated: 6/0/24
18	
19 20	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
	201-041-1812

щ	2,000 [1] - 108:21	35 [4] - 1:6, 3:16,	56 [3] - 3:23, 54:16,	944-0083 [1] - 2:7
#	20 [9] - 1:2, 17:7,	17:19, 87:25	54:21	96 [2] - 4:11, 4:17
			57 [1] - 3:22	9:10[1] - 112:2
# 50094914 [2] - 113:4,	41:15, 50:1, 50:12,	35-37 [1] - 18:7		
113:15	50:18, 51:6, 60:7	37 [5] - 1:6, 3:16, 3:19,	58 [2] - 41 :18, 54:15	9th [1] - 29:15
#XI02050 [1] - 113:15	20-11 [3] - 1:3, 3:3,	4:23, 17:19	59 [2] - 4 : 4 , 53: 2 5	
	10:8	38 [1] - 3:20		Α
\$	20-22 [2] - 1:14, 3:14	39 [5] - 1:6, 3:16, 3:21,	6	
Ψ	20-percent [2] -	17:19, 18:8		A-30 [5] - 4:21, 29:12,
\$618.75 [1] - 6:7	102:10, 106:9		6 [5] - 33:17, 33:23,	29:14, 29:16, 29:21
ÇOLONO (II)	200 [2] - 40:23, 96:9	4	34:12, 34:13, 34:15	A-31 [3] - 4:22, 39:12,
0	201 [5] - 1:9, 2:7, 2:11,	7	60 [5] - 3:24, 46:15,	39:18
0		4 [4] - 1:13, 3:12,	46:20, 96:9, 101:11	A-32 [4] - 4:24, 43:25,
07024 (0. 2:10	3:7, 15:20	32:16, 53:8	609 [1] - 97:24	44:6, 59:18
07024 [1] - 2:10	201-641-1812 [2] -	, and the second		AA [8] - 65:8, 65:14,
07650 [1] - 2:6	1:24, 2:22	4/25/2024 [2] - 39:9,	61 [2] - 38:15, 38:20	
	202 [2] - 1:13, 3:12	39:17	617 [2] - 1:7, 3:16	66:5, 66:9, 66:13,
1 1	2024 [12] - 1:2, 4:21,	40 [10] - 27:25, 41:13,	62.42 [1] - 108:12	67:1, 71:19, 71:20
	4:23, 4:24, 12:2,	48:11, 50:11, 50:19,	63 [1] - 4:6	ability [1] - 59:15
1 [4] - 1:21, 30:5, 45:8,	15:11, 15:22, 17:9,	58:17, 94:18,		able [2] - 19:22, 50:21
80:15	29:15, 29:20, 44:3,	107:23, 108:9,	7	ABSENT [2] - 1:18,
10 [6] - 3:4, 41:4, 48:7,	44:5	108:23	·	1:22
90:15, 96:11, 96:12		40-unit [3] - 18:6,	7 [6] - 4:24, 34:7,	absent [1] - 107:13
10-feet [1] - 30:19	2026 [1] - 113:16	86:13, 94:22	34:13, 34:14, 44:3,	absolute [1] - 91:1
10-feet-8-inches [2] -	21-14 [3] - 1:11, 3:10,		44:5	absolutely [5] - 22:11,
	8:3	410 [1] - 2:6		
27:10, 35:3	21-23 [2] - 1:7, 3:16	416 [2] - 1:5, 3:4	70 [2] - 54:20, 58:5	57:8, 67:16, 101:8,
100 [2] - 36:5, 49:25	214 [2] - 4:15, 93:25	42 [2] - 3:22, 4:24	716 [3] - 1:14, 3:14,	101:10
10th [2] - 4:15, 93:25	22 [1] - 17:7	420 [2] - 1:11, 3:9	17:7	abstain [1] - 9:21
11 [1] - 3:7	224-4000 [1] - 2:11	43 [1] - 3:22	72 [4] - 1:8, 3:6, 11:11,	accept [5] - 7:6, 8:13,
12 [3] - 3:9, 61:10,	23-15 [3] - 1:5, 3:15,	44 [2] - 4:3, 4:3	15:20	10:15, 26:20, 82:2
90:16	17:18	450 [4] - 1:10, 3:9,	76 [6] - 41:17, 54:8,	acceptable [1] - 101:9
121 [1] - 17:7		13:5, 15:9	54:18, 58:3, 58:5,	accepted [2] - 32:20,
1223 [1] - 2:10	23-17 [4] - 1:7, 3:5,	46 [39] - 40:21, 45:13,	58:15	86:22
	11:10, 15:19		76.55 [1] - 108:24	access [23] - 27:22,
129 [4] - 1:14, 3:13,	24 [1] - 80:22	45:15, 45:17, 55:1,	77 [1] - 4:3	
16:2, 17:6	24-01 [4] - 1:13, 3:13,	55:8, 55:10, 55:14,		30:6, 30:10, 34:9,
12th [3] - 4:18, 77:11,	16:1, 17:6	56:23, 57:20, 57:25,	7:00 [3] - 15:11, 15:22,	34:10, 34:17, 41:9,
97:24	24-02 [4] - 1:9, 3:8,	65:17, 65:21, 67:22,	17:9	43:10, 45:3, 54:11,
13.00 [1] - 109:3	12:25, 15:8	68:3, 70:14, 70:16,	7:08 [1] - 1:2	54:24, 55:1, 56:1,
139 [4] - 1:14, 3:13,	25 [5] - 4:23, 60:11,	70:18, 70:23, 70:25,		57:20, 57:25, 58:22,
16:2, 17:6	108:11, 108:25,	71:1, 71:16, 71:18,	8	58:24, 59:15, 61:7,
13:43-5.9 [1] - 113:10	109:2	71:19, 72:2, 72:20,		61:8, 67:21, 71:11,
141 [3] - 1:14, 3:13,	2500 [1] - 108:21	73:1, 73:3, 76:9,	8 [4] - 3:12, 30:18,	76:8
16:2	•	85:12, 85:13, 85:14,	35:1, 35:8	accommodate [1] -
15 _[1] - 3:14	254 [4] - 1:12, 3:11,	89:2, 92:19, 103:10,	8-feet [1] - 30:12	94:21
	8:3, 8:14	104:10, 104:20,	80 [2] - 4:8, 109:22	accommodation [1] -
150 [1] - 32:21	26 [2] - 3:18, 3:18	109:11, 109:22	800 [2] - 4:18, 97:24	27:20
15th [1] - 24:19	29 [1] - 4:21	467 [2] - 26:10, 26:16	83 [2] - 4:10, 84:17	accomplished [1] -
16 [2] - 1:11, 3:9			84 [1] - 4 :10	
16,200.58 [1] - 1 08:5	3	49.57 [1] - 40:25		30:8
160 [2] - 42:17, 42:22			85th [2] - 53:24, 54:17	accordance [4] - 5:3,
166 [2] - 92:17, 93:3	3 [6] - 1:5, 1:22, 3:4,	5	88 [1] - 4:11	30:21, 31:15, 32:19
166-T [1] - 92:17	31:23, 31:24, 113:16		8:26 [1] - 79:9	according [2] - 51:18,
17 [3] - 3:16, 67:5,	3,000 [1] - 94:8	5 [5] - 33:2, 33:11,	8:33 [1] - 79:9	60:6
67:13	3.67 [1] - 109:1	55:23, 88:5, 110:2		account [2] - 33:13,
17th [5] - 12:2, 14:14,	30 [2] - 19:23, 94:19	5,000-square-foot [1]	9	59:15
15:11, 15:22, 17:9		- 67:10		accurately [2] - 30:25,
	300 [5] - 65:12, 66:13,	50 [4] - 48:11, 88:6,	9 [5] - 1:9, 3:7, 4:21,	31:12
18 [1] - 58:8	78:10, 103:13,	94:18, 107:23	15:20, 29:20	ACIP [1] - 46:14
1956 [1] - 84:25	104:15	516 [1] - 40:20	9-feet [1] - 30:12	
	32 [2] - 4 :16, 95:25	52 [3] - 58:6, 103:1,		acknowledge [4] -
2	324.17 [1] - 108:22		90 [1] - 94:9	36:14, 66:12, 68:12,
	329 [3] - 4:12, 88:24,	109:5	91 [1] - 4:13	104:25
2 [4] - 1:21, 30:22,	97:6	53 [1] - 4:5	92 [1] - 4:14	acknowledged [1] -
31:6, 31:8	33 [2] - 4:13, 92:5	550 [3] - 1:4, 3:4, 10:8	94 [1] - 4:16	73:12
	,,			
			1	

Act [1] - 5:4 act [2] - 36:20, 106:5 action [5] - 21:18, 23:5, 23:18, 113:8, 113:9 active [1] - 81:6 actual [4] - 28:24, 30:17, 33:15, 93:3 add [2] - 51:21, 92:11 added [1] - 41:7 addition [7] - 27:11, 27:15, 32:9, 32:22, 63:22, 101:3, 110:4 additional [4] - 33:8, 44:22, 62:9, 110:14 address [17] - 25:19, 26:13, 38:18, 42:20, 46:18, 46:20, 60:24, 70:7, 80:17, 84:16, 88:23, 92:4, 93:23, 95:21, 95:24, 96:2, 97:22 adds [1] - 86:14 adjacent [7] - 13:13, 18:9, 71:18, 74:13, 74:25, 76:20, 105:2 adjoining [1] - 75:23 adjourn [1] - 111:22 adjourned [2] - 17:8, 112:1 adjournment [7] -11:12, 11:18, 11:25, 14:13, 16:3, 16:5, 21:23 adjust [1] - 102:16 ADJUSTMENT [2] -1:1, 1:16 Adjustment [1] - 2:3 Administrative [1] -113:10 ado [1] - 26:3 advised [1] - 77:7 advocate [1] - 101:14 aerial [1] - 45:10 affect [4] - 8:18. 89:12, 92:21 affected [4] - 47:10, 48:2, 86:20, 92:18 affects [2] - 54:12, 89:13 affidavit [1] - 13:10 affordable [34] -23:12, 48:25, 49:1, 49:17, 49:21, 49:24, 50:2, 50:12, 50:21, 50:24, 51:4, 51:12, 51:14, 51:16, 63:12, 63:22, 67:8, 68:19, 68:22, 69:5, 69:9. 69:11, 69:14, 69:15,

70:1, 70:5, 73:21, 78:5. 78:6. 78:8. 78:18, 97:9, 103:18, 106:9 afternoon [1] - 53:8 agent [1] - 113:8 ages [1] - 84:25 ago [3] - 8:12, 84:25, 88:17 agree [7] - 21:10, 22:15, 23:24, 56:20, 59:9, 97:13, 97:19 agreed [2] - 20:20, 50:1 agrees [1] - 54:6 ahead [7] - 18:3, 21:18, 31:7, 45:7, 52:3, 95:21, 97:21 AIA [2] - 3:18, 26:9 AICP [3] - 2:18, 4:3, 4:6 air [8] - 75:2, 96:2. 96:6, 96:9, 96:11, 96:14, 96:17, 110:21 airflow [1] - 96:7 alarms [1] - 31:22 **ALBANESE** [1] - 1:18 Albanese [1] - 5:12 allow [1] - 78:5 allowed [2] - 65:10, 95:2 allows [3] - 69:25, 73:19, 73:20 alluded [2] - 22:24, 28:19 almost [3] - 60:7, 67:21, 87:25 altered [1] - 47:24 alternate [5] - 106:16, 106:18, 107:5, 107:6, 107:8 ALTERNATE [3] -1:21, 1:21, 1:22 amendment [1] -99:14 amount [8] - 41:18, 50:21, 64:22, 68:7, 69:11, 69:21, 71:8, 71:20 ample [3] - 103:2, 103:4, 103:21 analysis [1] - 43:17 Anderson [1] - 2:10 announced [1] - 14:6 annoved [1] - 86:1 answer [3] - 76:24. 101:1, 101:2

anticipated [2] - 65:7,

anyway [1] - 91:8

66:5

apartment [8] - 30:8, 64:4, 86:13, 89:4, 90:20, 92:20, 94:15, apartments [5] - 56:1, 65:20, 74:2, 87:2, apologize [2] - 21:19, appeal [1] - 23:21 Applause [1] - 56:11 applause [1] - 56:16 applicable [1] - 35:16 applicant 1371 - 8:10. 13:4, 15:10, 15:21, 15:24, 17:8, 17:11, 17:22, 19:10, 20:7, 22:6, 22:13, 23:7, 23:9, 36:14, 49:21, 50:9, 90:22, 98:9, 98:24, 101:21, 102:4, 102:9, 104:18, 105:12, 106:7, 108:2, 108:8, 108:11, 108:22, 108:24, 109:1, 109:2, 109:5, 109:12, 110:17 applicant's [4] - 12:1, 27:3, 57:18, 107:19 Applicants [2] - 2:7, 2:11 applicants [1] - 24:1 application [36] -8:13, 8:14, 13:7, 18:4, 18:12, 19:11, 19:13, 22:9, 22:17, 25:5, 26:6, 36:20, 38:11, 40:1, 42:12, 43:5, 46:11, 47:2, 49:16, 51:6, 56:8, 75:10, 90:8, 91:6, 91:15, 98:9, 98:11, 101:15, 101:20, 104:1, 106:1, 106:6, 107:22, 108:6, 109:25, 110:24 applications [1] -101:14 applied [1] - 59:21 appointed [1] - 83:18 appreciate [5] - 37:8, 56:16, 66:1, 91:23, 96:1 apprised [1] - 36:19 appropriate [14] -33:4, 51:5, 51:7, 63:24, 64:2, 65:22, 66:23, 69:15, 69:19,

104:1, 104:5 appropriately [1] -72:24 appropriateness [2] -67:3, 70:11 approval [3] - 10:11, 23:12, 32:8 approve [3] - 8:13, 9:7, 18:5 approved [3] - 50:11, 78:2, 104:19 April [2] - 4:23, 77:11 architect [3] - 18:14, 81:3, 101:24 architectural [2] -28:17, 40:9 area [27] - 30:19, 32:17, 34:10, 48:9, 48:11, 53:17, 60:8, 64:14, 64:25, 65:22, 66:11, 66:16, 66:19, 67:11, 67:19, 69:21, 69:25, 71:8, 72:15, 72:25, 86:15, 86:16, 107:20, 108:1, 108:14, 108:20, 109:21 area's [1] - 101:23 areas [14] - 30:6, 32:14, 58:25, 59:1, 66:9, 66:10, 67:23, 73:15, 77:23, 78:1, 78:4, 110:4, 110:22 arguably [1] - 78:15 argue [2] - 103:15, 103:17 argued [1] - 78:16 argues [1] - 108:2 argument [2] - 78:14, 104:14 arrangements [1] -47:20 art [1] - 105:14 aside [4] - 50:12, 51:6, 51:8, 106:9 aspect [2] - 52:16, 76:4 assembled [1] - 106:3 assessing [1] - 72:23 asset [1] - 86:15 assigned [2] - 59:4, 61:8 associated [2] -32:14, 33:18 Associates [1] - 18:19 assuming [1] - 58:25 attached [1] - 45:8 attendance [2] -52:10, 79:11

attention [1] - 105:17

attorney [4] - 6:7, 6:8, 11:15, 80:4 attorneys [1] - 13:12 audience [2] - 80:6, 80:17 augment [1] - 33:3 avail [1] - 36:25 available [2] - 33:5, 56:5 Avenue [41] - 1:6, 1:12, 2:6, 2:10, 3:11, 3:16, 4:10, 4:12, 4:13, 4:16, 8:4, 8:14, 17:19, 18:8, 26:10, 26:16, 28:11, 40:20, 40:21, 42:17, 42:22, 54:25, 55:21, 65:10, 65:19, 70:22, 71:1, 71:3, 76:12, 84:18. 85:8, 85:9, 85:11, 86:12, 86:25, 87:6, 87:12, 87:21, 92:6, 95:25 avoid [1] - 78:17 aware [4] - 68:25, 77:23, 78:4, 78:7 В

babies [2] - 96:4, 96:5 backyards [1] - 110:2 balance [3] - 49:8, 52:11, 58:18 barrier [1] - 72:21 barriers [1] - 72:19 base [1] - 88:9 based [8] - 53:25, 59:21, 61:5, 62:17, 67:10, 98:12, 102:1, 103:4 bases [1] - 19:7 basic [1] - 41:11 basis (11 - 59:5 become [1] - 93:17 bedrooms [1] - 60:2 BEERLE [11] - 88:24, 89:7, 90:6, 90:9, 90:12, 90:18, 91:7, 91:24, 96:23, 97:5, 97:17 Beerle [3] - 4:11, 88:24, 97:6 begins [2] - 45:13, 45:14 begun [1] - 8:19 behalf [3] - 13:4, 17:22, 36:13 behind [1] - 73:14 **BEING** [1] - 1:16 belief [2] - 45:4

70:4, 71:9, 71:13,

believes [1] - 102:1 Bellview [4] - 1:12, 2:8, 3:11, 8:3 belong [1] - 87:12 below [1] - 67:19 beneficial [3] - 51:14, 51:16, 51:17 benefit 191 - 19:9, 27:2, 29:11, 46:25, 49:3, 51:13, 63:13, 109:24, 110:3 benefits [4] - 48:22, 49:9, 50:7, 75:10 Bergen [7] - 1:4, 1:14, 3:4, 3:13, 10:8, 16:2, 17:7 Bermuda [1] - 86:11 Bern (11 - 13:13 best [4] - 39:4, 101:15, 105:6, 105:7 better [2] - 30:7, 32:2 between [7] - 20:10, 34:9, 53:20, 58:7, 70:18, 72:19, 72:21 beyond [1] - 20:22 big [7] - 81:2, 81:8, 81:12, 82:3, 84:11, 88:20, 89:3 bigger [2] - 81:19, 83:11 biggest [1] - 32:24 Bill [7] - 26:3, 26:25, 28:5, 29:18, 29:25, 31:4, 52:5 bill [2] - 6:6, 6:9 bills [1] - 6:5 bit [8] - 31:2, 47:11, 64:2, 70:7, 73:8, 76:5, 83:8, 105:17 Block [14] - 1:5, 1:7, 1:9, 1:11, 1:13, 1:14, 3:4, 3:7, 3:9, 3:12, 3:14, 3:16, 15:20, 17.7 blocks [2] - 54:12, 89:14 blue [1] - 45:12 BOARD [6] - 1:1, 1:16, 2:15, 2:16, 2:17, 2:18 Board [2] - 2:3, 8:9 board [71] - 5:5, 6:7, 7:4, 8:12, 13:8, 13:15, 19:4, 19:8, 19:10, 19:15, 21:2, 21:9, 22:17, 22:20, 23:13, 25:9, 25:15, 27:3, 29:3, 29:11, 36:20, 37:7, 37:11, 37:15, 42:3, 43:16,

44:13, 44:16, 47:17, 52:12, 52:22, 54:6, 55:19, 56:7, 56:18, 57:2, 62:21, 72:8, 75:7, 76:10, 76:15, 81:6, 81:23, 83:11, 83:17, 83:20, 84:6, 90:8, 91:2, 91:19, 94:10, 94:13, 94:23, 95:13, 98:2, 98:10, 99:6, 101:22, 102:11, 103:19, 103:22, 104:17, 105:10, 105:24, 106:5, 106:12, 107:18, 107:19, 113:6 Board's [1] - 72:6 board's [3] - 20:11, 43:18, 111:20 Board/Professionals [3] - 3:19, 3:23, 4:4 body [4] - 25:9, 66:18, 78:15, 113:6 boom [2] - 86:7 borough [9] - 5:5, 6:7, 49:19, 54:4, 65:7, 65:14, 66:4, 69:1, 110:12 BOROUGH [2] - 1:1, 1:16 Borough [2] - 21:1, 104:22 borough's [1] - 50:23 boss [1] - 36:2 Boulevard [10] - 1:4, 1:10, 1:14, 3:4, 3:9, 3:13, 10:9, 15:9, 16:2, 17:7 bounded [1] - 75:14 break [3] - 78:25, 79:3, 79:4 BRIAN [1] - 1:20 brief [5] - 18:11, 26:25, 39:20, 52:5, 79:8 briefly [3] - 67:4, 76:5, 96:24 bring [7] - 21:1, 21:21, 63:1, 83:19, 90:15, 98:9 bringing [1] - 93:9 brings [1] - 17:17 Brinkerhoff [4] - 1:8, 3:6, 11:11, 15:20 Broad [8] - 2:6, 55:3, 55:6, 55:7, 65:10,

67:24, 73:7, 74:5

broader [1] - 74:17

Brogna [14] - 5:14,

6:14, 7:12, 9:12, 10:20, 12:10, 14:19, 16:15, 17:13, 17:14, 17:15, 79:14, 100:11, 111:5 BROGNA [14] - 1:21, 5:15, 6:10, 6:15, 7:13, 9:13, 10:21, 12:11, 14:20, 16:6, 16:16, 79:15, 100:12, 111:6 build [1] - 97:7 Builders [5] - 1:10, 3:8, 12:25, 13:5, 15:9 building [44] - 18:6, 27:22, 28:7, 28:9, 28:18, 30:20, 32:20, 33:4, 35:4, 35:10, 35:11, 41:8, 41:10, 49:6, 49:12, 53:21, 60:20, 61:1, 64:12, 64:13, 64:23, 65:17, 71:25, 72:9, 72:24, 73:19, 75:19, 76:19, 86:14, 86:15, 89:4, 93:17, 105:1, 105:4, 105:5, 105:7, 105:14, 108:13, 108:14, 108:23, 109:6, 110:1, 110:20 Building [1] - 32:8 buildings [13] - 64:4, 72:1, 72:10, 72:25, 73:3, 73:7, 73:9, 74:14, 74:24, 75:16, 76:22, 105:2, 108:14 built [2] - 58:10, 58:14 bulk [1] - 49:5 bulletin [1] - 5:5 bumper [2] - 85:22 bunch [1] - 92:13 burden [1] - 110:17 Bureau [5] - 29:19, 32:12, 33:16, 33:17, 36:23 Bureau's [2] - 33:3, 33:11 business [6] - 6:4, 8:2, 38:18, 42:20, 46:17, 46:20 busy [1] - 71:4 button [2] - 61:13, 61:18 BY [11] - 2:5, 26:24, 29:17, 31:3, 39:2, 39:19, 43:2, 44:7, 46:23, 57:15, 77:21

C C-H-O [1] - 95:23 C.C.R [2] - 113:3, 113:14 C.S.R [2] - 1:23, 2:21 calculated [2] - 54:1, 67:9 calculations [1] -44:20 campaign [3] - 94:7, 97:9, 97:10 cannot [6] - 81:23, 82:5, 94:21, 95:6, 96:11, 102:10 car[1] - 109:16 Caragonti's [1] -87:10 card [3] - 58:22, 60:23, 61:1 care [1] - 6:5 carried [4] - 11:16, 15:10, 15:21, 19:13 carry [1] - 13:15 cars [4] - 85:21, 86:11, 87:21, 109:13 CARUCCI [4] - 1:23, 2:21, 113:3, 113:14 case [10] - 1:3, 22:19, 27:22, 41:10, 53:17, 72:7, 97:2, 99:1, 104:22, 104:23 Case [19] - 1:5, 1:7, 1:9, 1:11, 1:13, 3:3, 3:5, 3:8, 3:10, 3:13, 3:15, 8:3, 10:8, 11:10, 15:8, 15:19, 16:1, 17:6, 17:18 cases [1] - 91:20 categories [1] - 53:15 categorized [1] -72:16 caused [1] - 25:3 causes [1] - 21:18 ceiling [1] - 30:19 cellular [1] - 27:25 Census [1] - 60:6 certain [3] - 61:9, 66:9, 66:10 certainly [12] - 16:1, 40:18, 47:3, 49:3, 49:22, 50:5, 51:13, 58:21, 63:22, 64:8, 69:7, 101:5 **CERTIFIED** [2] - 1:24, 2:22 Certified [1] - 113:4 certify [1] - 113:5 Chair [2] - 28:19, 52:1

Chair's [1] - 77:3

Chairman [15] - 3:19, 3:24, 13:3, 18:7, 19:17, 22:12, 25:2, 26:19, 51:23, 57:6, 70:8, 77:1, 78:23, 98:8, 101:5 **CHAIRMAN** [151] -1:17, 1:18, 5:1, 5:11, 6:3, 6:11, 6:13, 7:3, 7:11, 8:1, 8:22, 8:25, 9:6, 9:9, 9:11, 10:1, 10:4, 10:7, 10:13, 10:19, 11:9, 11:17, 11:23, 12:3, 12:9, 12:24, 13:23, 14:9, 14:12, 14:16, 14:18, 15:25, 16:7, 16:12, 16:14, 17:16, 20:1, 20:6, 20:9, 20:18, 21:6, 21:20, 22:11, 22:15, 22:21, 22:25, 23:15, 23:24, 24:14, 24:18, 25:7, 25:11, 26:1, 26:4, 26:18, 26:20, 37:8, 37:18, 37:21, 37:24, 38:2, 38:5, 42:8, 46:1, 51:25, 52:3, 52:13, 52:20, 52:23, 53:2, 54:5, 55:4, 56:12, 56:15, 56:25, 57:2, 57:5, 57:8, 57:13, 60:17, 62:1, 62:21, 62:25, 70:9, 76:25, 77:5, 78:24, 79:2, 79:10, 79:13, 80:3, 81:7, 81:10, 83:5, 83:25, 84:12, 84:14, 85:2, 85:24, 86:5, 87:15, 87:19, 88:10, 88:13, 88:16, 88:22, 89:6, 90:3, 90:7, 90:11, 90:21, 91:9, 91:17, 91:25, 92:14, 93:20, 93:22, 94:1, 95:15, 95:17, 96:15, 96:18, 96:21, 96:24, 97:16, 97:19, 98:14, 98:18, 99:16, 99:18, 99:24, 100:5, 100:8, 100:10, 100:25, 101:8, 101:10, 101:16, 103:8, 106:11, 106:17, 106:22, 106:25, 107:4, 107:7, 107:15, 111:2, 111:4, 111:17, 111:21, 111:25 chairman [11] - 17:21, 18:2, 21:14, 37:5,

42:6, 45:24, 52:18, 56:14, 88:8, 99:22, 111:19 Chairperson [1] - 8:8 chambers [1] - 15:12 chance [1] - 53:9 changed [3] - 41:12, 49:7 changes [13] - 39:4, 40:8, 40:16, 41:1, 41:11, 41:20, 42:1, 45:20, 47:9, 47:16, 47:25, 48:1, 52:8 character [2] - 76:1, 110:12 characteristics [1] -72:15 charging [1] - 33:15 Charley [1] - 107:4 CHARLIE [1] - 1:21 Charlie [2] - 4:14, 93:24 Chiurazzi [2] - 28:24, 36:2 CHO [10] - 92:5, 92:7, 92:8, 92:15, 93:21, 95:22, 95:25, 96:16, 96:19 Cho [4] - 4:13, 4:16, 92:5, 95:22 choice [1] - 20:7 CHUNG [12] - 1:21, 5:25, 6:25, 7:23, 9:23, 11:6, 12:21, 15:5, 17:1, 79:25, 100:22, 111:16 Chung [11] - 5:24, 6:24, 7:22, 9:22, 11:5, 12:20, 15:4, 16:25, 79:24, 100:21, 111:15 circulation [2] - 43:10, 54:12 city [3] - 93:11, 93:12, 109:16 City's [1] - 23:11 classified [2] - 50:13, 50:14 cleaned [1] - 27:17 cleanup [1] - 30:17 clear [5] - 21:17, 36:3, 90:4, 97:1, 106:12 clearance [2] - 30:12, 30:18 clearances [1] - 30:7 Clerk's [1] - 5:7 client [2] - 14:4, 101:15 clients [1] - 20:25 Cliffs [2] - 26:10,

26:16 close [6] - 69:22, 86:20, 99:24, 99:25, 100:6, 108:15 closer [2] - 28:11, 48:18 closing [1] - 101:4 Cocoros [11] - 18:15, 18:16, 18:17, 19:23, 25:18, 26:15, 26:21, 31:2, 37:6, 40:9, 41:2 COCOROS [4] - 3:18, 26:8, 26:14, 26:16 code [6] - 30:21, 32:20, 35:9, 36:10, 61:14, 61:20 Code [1] - 113:11 codes [1] - 64:13 COLLAZUOL [2] -2:16, 62:16 Columbia [24] - 1:6, 3:16, 4:12, 17:19, 18:8, 40:20, 45:18, 54:24, 54:25, 55:11, 65:10, 65:19, 66:14, 70:21, 71:1, 73:25, 76:8, 85:8, 85:17, 86:18, 87:6, 87:12, 88:25, 97:6 Comas [2] - 4:10, 84:17 COMAS [10] - 84:17, 85:3, 85:25, 86:6, 87:17, 87:20, 88:11, 88:15, 88:18, 90:17 combination [1] -59:23 combined [2] - 58:13, 104:11 coming [6] - 43:9, 57:23, 58:21, 62:3, 87:23, 89:2 commenced [1] - 13:8 **COMMENT** [1] - 4:7 comment [5] - 29:2, 32:10, 80:7, 88:15, 91:15 comments [15] - 21:9, 36:16, 53:5, 62:5, 62:9, 62:10, 62:15, 88:17, 91:22, 95:5, 95:11, 99:20, 102:19 commercial [4] -30:14, 53:20, 73:7, 73:9 commission [1] -113:6

committed [2] - 25:15,

50:10

common [1] - 84:23 community [1] - 106:7 commute [1] - 93:14 company [1] - 83:11 compare [1] - 103:10 compelling [1] - 105:3 complaint [4] - 21:16, 23:4, 24:9 completely [2] -19:21, 70:22 complies [1] - 113:10 complimented [1] -84:19 comply [3] - 23:11, 36:10, 37:1 component [1] - 51:17 comprehension [1] -20:22 concern [3] - 33:22, 54:23, 102:20 concerned [3] - 58:1, 59:6, 109:19 concerns [4] - 27:13, 31:19, 36:12, 43:11 conclude [1] - 20:4 conclusion [2] - 36:6, 51:11 conclusions [2] -29:7, 47:23 concrete [1] - 85:7 condition [2] - 64:12, 64:24 conditions [3] - 37:1, 43:7, 62:18 conduct [1] - 5:9 conference [1] -102:16 confidence [1] - 40:4 confirmed [1] - 52:9 conflict [2] - 83:12, 83:14 conform [1] - 86:15 conforming [1] -108:4 confuse [2] - 24:24, 25:6 confused [1] - 99:15 confusing [4] - 23:8, 24:5, 24:23, 25:4 confusion [1] - 25:3 congestion [1] - 87:20 connection [2] -31:14, 43:5 connections [2] -30:24, 31:10 consider [9] - 51:5, 51:7, 55:19, 72:20, 73:21, 74:8, 102:11, 105:10, 105:24

34:7, 59:19, 76:10, 81:24, 93:19, 97:12, 111:20 considerations [1] -51:10 considered [5] - 24:9, 51:10, 89:20, 89:22, considering [1] - 51:8 consistent [5] - 64:14, 64:25, 72:1, 102:25, 110:19 constitutional [2] -50:25, 106:8 constraints [1] - 24:8 constructed [1] - 87:8 constructing [1] -81:11 construction [9] -8:15, 8:18, 27:12, 27:13, 37:3, 80:25, 83:4, 83:11, 86:24 contact [3] - 20:19, 24:21, 77:6 contacted [2] - 13:12, 20:15 contacting [2] - 20:11, 37:9 continue [3] - 22:8, 24:16, 80:11 continued [2] - 18:4, 45:4 CONTINUED [2] -57:14, 77:20 contractually [1] -59:11 contradiction [1] -83:10 control [1] - 83:10 controlled [2] - 59:12, 61:7 conversation [1] -29:4 convey [2] - 94:12, 95:10 coordinate [1] - 31:17 copy [3] - 77:2, 77:10, 77:17 corner [1] - 84:18 Corp [3] - 1:6, 2:12, 3:15 correct [29] - 11:13, 28:1, 28:2, 28:20, 29:3, 29:8, 34:5, 34:20, 35:17, 35:18, 36:16, 36:17, 37:4, 41:25, 50:17, 58:2, 59:12, 60:10, 60:13, 69:16, 69:18, 72:3, 72:4, 74:14, 74:15,

78:13, 78:16, 107:5, 107:13 Correct [1] - 74:22 correspondence [5] -25:20, 31:24, 32:5, 33:3, 36:8 council [1] - 15:12 Council [1] - 88:2 counsel [7] - 13:1, 13:10, 14:2, 17:20, 22:23, 23:21 Counsel [7] - 2:3, 2:7, 2:11, 25:1, 52:14, 76:25, 83:19 Counselor [1] - 8:6 counselor [1] - 100:25 couple [7] - 9:4, 47:14, 63:5, 64:11, 66:2, 66:3, 103:13 course [8] - 21:3, 22:13, 23:4, 36:19, 37:3, 41:5, 48:18, 98:20 courses [2] - 23:5, 23:18 Court [2] - 78:24, 113:4 COURT [8] - 1:24, 2:22, 31:1, 79:1, 80:18, 92:7, 94:2, 94:5 court [5] - 23:10, 64:19, 91:5, 91:10, 113:6 courts [3] - 51:2, 51:13, 69:6 coverage [1] - 108:23 crazy [1] - 93:7 created [1] - 113:7 creation [1] - 34:8 credit [2] - 54:19, 58:4 criteria [11] - 51:9, 68:14, 70:8, 75:4, 75:5, 75:9, 75:11, 75:13, 76:4, 76:16, 103:23 critical [2] - 53:23 critically [1] - 49:15 cross [2] - 53:20, 85:18 crossing [1] - 86:9 cry [2] - 96:5 cut [6] - 28:14, 80:14, 91:3, 98:3, 98:22, 99:12

D

D-1 [2] - 68:14, 71:23 **D-6** [1] - 108:10

consideration [7] -

daily [1] - 59:5 DALL [5] - 95:22, 95:23, 95:25, 96:16, 96:19 Dall [2] - 4:16, 95:22 dangerous [1] - 86:4 Daniel [2] - 8:10, 8:11 DANIEL [2] - 2:5, 2:5 data [1] - 60:6 date [3] - 14:6, 24:12, 39:14 Date [1] - 113:16 dated [2] - 29:19, 44:2 Dated [5] - 4:21, 4:24, 29:15, 44:5, 113:17 daughter [1] - 81:5 DAVID [2] - 1:19, 4:3 David [7] - 19:3, 46:19, 46:24, 51:19, 52:6, 77:13, 77:22 days [1] - 96:12 deadlines [1] - 24:13 deal [2] - 102:17, 102:18 deaths [1] - 9:5 December [1] - 113:16 decide [1] - 95:13 decision [3] - 78:21, 90:12, 94:24 deck [1] - 34:24 decks [1] - 41:19 deed [1] - 50:15 definitely [3] - 57:20, 68:11, 99:13 definition [1] - 72:14 delayed [1] - 93:18 deliberate [1] - 99:23 deliberations [1] -56:7 delta [1] - 58:7 demands [1] - 102:23 denial [7] - 111:4, 111:6, 111:8, 111:10, 111:12, 111:14, 111:16 density [15] - 55:17, 64:2, 65:18, 65:24, 67:5, 67:9, 67:10, 67:12, 67:23, 68:6, 73:15, 81:4, 108:1, 108:18, 108:19 deny [1] - 110:23 department [14] -27:14, 27:21, 30:24, 31:10, 31:11, 31:17, 32:1, 32:11, 34:11, 37:9, 37:12, 37:16, 41:9 Department [1] - 32:8 depth [1] - 40:24

describes [1] - 39:4 Description [1] - 4:20 design [7] - 31:16, 31:21, 32:1, 32:7, 33:12, 36:11, 61:3 designated [2] - 34:8, 50:15 designed [1] - 32:19 desirable [2] - 64:10, 104:6 detail [3] - 18:14, 20:25, 70:7 detailed [2] - 19:5, 36:15 details [1] - 30:5 determination [1] -98:12 detriment [2] - 110:16, 110:19 develop [1] - 23:9 developed [2] - 30:25, 31:12 developing [2] -17:19, 22:14 Development [5] -1:6, 2:12, 3:15, 17:18, 18:5 development [30] -18:10, 19:1, 22:17, 32:2, 36:16, 36:19, 53:19, 60:1, 64:3, 64:14, 64:21, 64:24, 64:25, 65:4, 65:8, 65:9, 65:14, 65:18, 65:22, 67:7, 68:5, 73:24, 73:25, 74:21, 78:9, 102:1, 103:14, 103:16, 104:13, 105:21 developments [2] -67:1, 68:2 **DIANE** [1] - 2:3 Diane [6] - 14:2, 15:16, 16:8, 39:11, 43:25, 100:2 different [8] - 35:13, 35:17, 44:15, 44:18, 45:22, 59:9, 59:24, 80:23 differentiated [1] -72:19 difficult [4] - 54:10, 54:14, 59:3, 59:10 digest [1] - 53:9 dilapidated [1] - 89:10

dimension [1] - 49:15

Direct [4] - 3:18, 3:21,

DIRECT [6] - 26:23,

39:1, 43:1, 46:22,

3:22, 4:3

direct [4] - 20:4, 64:5, 73:23, 74:6 directions [1] - 71:6 directly [8] - 65:1, 67:21, 67:25, 68:2, 69:20, 92:18, 92:20, 105:4 disagree [1] - 109:15 disaster [1] - 94:22 disclosed [1] - 34:19 discretion [1] - 19:21 discuss [3] - 54:3, 82:6, 82:8 discussing [1] - 74:18 discussion [2] -20:10, 45:2 dispatched [1] -102:15 distributed [2] -18:24, 44:12 distributing [2] -25:24, 29:18 district [1] - 71:19 districts [1] - 110:10 documents [1] - 62:18 dollars [2] - 101:23, 105:13 done [13] - 21:25, 22:2, 23:19, 25:1, 25:18, 25:20, 28:16, 47:12, 51:23, 69:3, 88:2, 88:4, 97:4 Dong [3] - 1:4, 3:3, 10:8 door [2] - 13:17, 54:11 **DOT** [1] - 45:3 Douglas [1] - 13:13 down [25] - 17:24, 23:22, 31:1, 31:4, 40:21, 41:17, 48:11, 54:19, 55:3, 55:4, 55:10, 55:15, 55:16, 55:21, 56:17, 56:23, 70:21, 70:25, 74:3, 85:9, 85:11, 88:6, 90:15, 90:16, 90:24 drainage [2] - 64:15, 105:11 drawings [4] - 32:2, 32:7, 34:2, 40:10 DRC [5] - 1:6, 2:12, 3:15, 17:18, 18:5 driver [1] - 45:15 driveway [4] - 55:1, 55:2, 56:21, 57:24 driveways [5] - 30:10, 45:6, 45:11, 57:20 **DSN** [1] - 2:12

57:14, 77:20

duck [1] - 102:4 duly [4] - 26:11, 38:16, 42:18, 46:16 duplex [1] - 8:15 during [4] - 36:19, 37:2, 57:16, 102:3 duty [1] - 98:10 dwelling [1] - 68:23 dwellings [1] - 74:12

E

ear[1] - 102:20 early [1] - 96:8 earth [1] - 96:14 east [7] - 28:7, 35:5, 40:20, 55:6, 65:12, 73:8, 74:6 East [10] - 1:10, 3:9, 4:12, 4:16, 13:5, 15:9, 65:10, 88:24, 95:25, 97:6 eastbound [1] - 89:3 easterly [1] - 40:25 easy [2] - 24:23, 88:3 edict [1] - 77:3 Edsall [4] - 1:10, 3:9, 13:6, 15:9 effect [1] - 25:20 effects [1] - 93:13 egress [1] - 71:11 eight [4] - 30:4, 50:2, 50:19, 106:20 either [5] - 19:14, 37:2, 42:2, 61:18, 67:24 elaborate [1] - 35:7 elected [1] - 21:1 electric [2] - 33:7, 54:19 electrical [1] - 33:13 **ELEFTERIOS** [1] -1:18 Elefteriou [13] - 5:16, 6:16, 7:14, 9:14, 10:22, 12:12, 14:21, 16:17, 17:13, 79:16, 83:12, 100:13, 111:7 ELEFTERIOU [15] -1:18, 5:17, 6:17, 7:9, 7:15, 9:15, 10:23, 12:13, 14:22, 16:11, 16:18, 79:17, 100:14, 111:8, 111:23 Elefti [1] - 107:2 embraced [2] -101:18, 105:15 emergency [2] -

27:23, 34:18

empirical [1] - 60:6 employed [2] - 57:23, 113:8 empty [1] - 58:25 end [5] - 24:15, 30:22, 61:18, 62:4, 84:20 ended [2] - 37:11, 93:10 endorsed [1] - 36:5 ends [1] - 93:14 enforcement [1] -33:18 engage [1] - 25:17 engaged [5] - 13:14, 18:21, 22:16, 22:22, 102:15 engagement [2] -43:4, 47:1 engineer (6) - 18:18, 18:21, 27:18, 39:23, 62:15, 101:24 **ENGINEER** [2] - 2:16, 2:17 engineered [1] - 32:19 engineering [4] -32:20, 34:3, 40:15, 56:9 Engineers [2] - 44:23, 53:15 Englewood [2] -26:10, 26:16 ensure [1] - 34:10 enter [1] - 60:25 entire [3] - 13:18, 34:1, 51:15 entirely [1] - 35:17 entitled [2] - 23:22, 39:6 entry [1] - 30:8 environment [2] -64:10, 104:7 erase [1] - 61:21 ernst [1] - 97:6 Ernst [2] - 4:11, 88:24 **ESQUIRE** [3] - 2:3, 2:5, 2:9 essentially [1] -104:18 **ESTHER** [1] - 1:22 estimates [1] - 43:9 EV [1] - 58:4 evaluate [2] - 53:12, 56:7 evaluating [1] - 55:19 evening [14] - 8:8, 13:3, 13:22, 17:17, 17:21, 18:22, 25:14, 40:14, 46:24, 47:9,

63:7, 74:18, 106:4,

106:21

dual [1] - 22:13

evening's [1] - 19:16 event [3] - 17:17, 34:18, 54:21 evidence [2] - 98:25, 99:1 exact [1] - 24:11 exactly [1] - 78:22 Examination [4] -3:18, 3:21, 3:22, 4:3 **EXAMINATION** [6] -26:23, 39:1, 43:1, 46:22, 57:14, 77:20 example [2] - 101:21, 102:20 excellent [1] - 40:7 exclude [1] - 78:15 excluded [2] - 66:17, 103:16 excuse (7) - 32:12. 37:6, 43:19, 81:22, 95:5, 98:7, 104:14 Exhibit [3] - 29:16, 39:18, 44:5 exhibits [1] - 44:9 existence [1] - 87:11 existing [1] - 43:6 exists [1] - 69:1 expected [2] - 30:8, 87:11 **experience** [2] - 67:6, 81:4 expert [6] - 26:21, 47:23, 63:2, 82:15, 99:1, 102:21 expertise [1] - 83:19 experts [26] - 20:11, 20:14, 20:15, 20:17, 20:19, 20:21, 24:20, 37:18, 38:1, 39:22, 43:18, 52:5, 52:22, 62:8, 62:11, 64:1, 77:5, 77:6, 98:11, 101:24, 102:15, 106:3, 107:19, 109:12 Expiration [1] -113:16 explain [1] - 25:23 explained [1] - 28:4 expressed [1] - 36:15 extension [5] - 8:4, 8:21, 9:7, 10:10, 10:12 extent [2] - 44:25, 45:20 extinguishing [1] -33:8

F face [1] - 87:6 facilities [2] - 30:25, 31:11 fact [14] - 25:18, 35:15, 64:4, 64:7, 66:8, 66:16, 67:11, 68:1, 70:12, 84:24, 93:16, 104:16, 105:15 factory [2] - 86:25, 87:2 facts [1] - 98:12 fail/safe [1] - 33:18 fair [3] - 24:7, 51:4, 64:12 fairly [1] - 51:10 fairness [1] - 77:22 faith [1] - 101:22 familiar [4] - 23:2, 40:8, 47:15, 109:8 families [2] - 8:18, 85:4 family [20] - 9:4, 9:5, 66:5, 66:19, 67:11, 68:20, 69:1, 70:22, 75:15, 76:20, 76:21, 86:18, 90:23, 108:1, 108:10, 108:17, 108:18, 109:18, 110:10, 110:11 far [6] - 24:9, 35:9, 36:11, 58:1, 60:4, 65:13 fascinating [1] - 7:5 fashion [1] - 29:25 favor [1] - 37:11 favorably [2] - 36:20, 106:6 feet [24] - 30:18, 35:3, 40:20, 40:23, 40:24, 40:25, 41:4, 48:7, 65:12, 66:13, 78:10, 96:9, 103:13, 104:15, 108:5, 108:11, 108:12, 108:21, 108:22, 108:25, 109:1, 109:2, 110:2 felt [2] - 64:18, 65:23 FERGUSON [150] -1:17, 5:1, 5:11, 6:3, 6:11, 6:13, 7:3, 7:11, 8:1, 8:22, 8:25, 9:6, 9:9, 9:11, 10:1, 10:4, 10:7, 10:13, 10:19, 11:9, 11:17, 11:23, 12:3, 12:9, 12:24,

13:23, 14:9, 14:12,

14:16, 14:18, 15:25, 16:7, 16:12, 16:14, 17:16, 20:1, 20:6, 20:9, 20:18, 21:6, 21:20, 22:11, 22:15, 22:21, 22:25, 23:15, 23:24, 24:14, 24:18, 25:7, 25:11, 26:1, 26:4, 26:18, 26:20, 37:8, 37:18, 37:21, 37:24, 38:2, 38:5, 42:8, 46:1, 51:25, 52:3, 52:13, 52:20, 52:23, 53:2, 54:5, 55:4, 56:12, 56:15, 56:25, 57:2, 57:5, 57:8, 57:13, 60:17, 62:1, 62:21, 62:25, 70:9, 76:25, 77:5, 78:24, 79:2, 79:10, 79:13, 80:3, 81:7, 81:10, 83:5, 83:25, 84:12, 84:14, 85:2, 85:24, 86:5, 87:15, 87:19, 88:10, 88:13, 88:16, 88:22, 89:6, 90:3, 90:7, 90:11, 90:21, 91:9, 91:17, 91:25, 92:14, 93:20, 93:22, 94:1, 95:15, 95:17, 96:15, 96:18, 96:21, 96:24, 97:16, 97:19, 98:14, 98:18, 99:16, 99:18, 99:24, 100:5, 100:8, 100:10, 100:25, 101:8, 101:10, 101:16, 103:8, 106:11, 106:17, 106:22, 106:25, 107:4, 107:7, 107:15, 111:2, 111:4, 111:17, 111:21, 111:25 Ferguson [14] - 3:19, 3:24, 5:10, 6:12, 7:10, 9:10, 10:18, 12:8, 14:17, 16:13, 79:12, 84:24, 100:9, 111:3 few [5] - 13:2, 20:7, 47:12, 78:1, 81:15 Figure [1] - 45:8 figure [3] - 23:25, 45:1, 102:24 file [6] - 13:18, 21:21, 22:16, 24:4, 24:10 filed [4] - 5:7, 22:6, 24:18, 24:22

financial [2] - 113:8, 113:9 findings [1] - 43:17 fine [2] - 77:15, 77:17 finished [1] - 88:19 Fire [10] - 29:19, 32:12, 33:2, 33:10, 33:16, 33:17, 35:2, 35:20, 36:14, 36:23 fire [27] - 25:17, 27:14. 27:20, 28:15, 28:19, 28:24, 30:2, 30:24. 31:10, 31:21, 31:22, 32:11, 33:12, 34:8, 34:19, 36:22, 37:9, 37:12, 37:16, 41:7, 41:9, 41:10, 47:20, 102:14, 102:19 first [10] - 6:4, 8:2, 52:16, 53:3, 63:9, 68:15, 75:1, 91:3, 107:6, 107:7 five [11] - 22:2, 28:9, 35:4, 75:18, 76:19, 79:3, 79:4, 79:7, 89:5, 90:10, 108:18 five-minute [2] - 79:3, five-stories [1] - 89:5 five-story [1] - 28:9 flaw [1] - 61:2 floor [3] - 27:9, 41:21, 48:6 floors [3] - 28:4, 107:23, 107:24 flow [2] - 71:6, 96:7 fly [1] - 91:4 folks [1] - 60:7 follow [1] - 63:6 follow-up [1] - 63:6 following [1] - 108:7 follows [5] - 26:11, 38:16, 42:18, 46:16, 108:20 food [1] - 96:12 foolproof [1] - 61:24 foot [1] - 32:21 footprint [2] - 27:16, 49:6 foregoing [1] - 113:5 foresight [1] - 82:23 forever [1] - 85:18 forget [2] - 89:15, 89:16 Fort [2] - 2:10, 82:17 forth [2] - 35:17, 44:19

24:12, 24:13

filter [1] - 105:24

finally [2] - 19:2, 35:1

final [1] - 46:6

forward [2] - 11:20, 42:10 four [4] - 19:18, 52:5, 89:4, 107:23 frankly [2] - 102:7, 103:12 free [1] - 62:11 fresh [2] - 96:6, 96:9 Friday [1] - 13:14 friend [6] - 60:21, 88:23, 92:4, 93:23, 95:20, 97:22 Friend [2] - 46:15, 46:20 front [7] - 27:22, 33:20, 34:1, 34:16, 34:17, 41:24, 108:25 frontage [6] - 33:5, 41:8, 43:7, 43:8, 45:5, 70:17 fully [1] - 97:13 future [1] - 30:7

G

gain [3] - 58:22, 58:24, 61:8 gaining [1] - 57:24 garage [5] - 30:12, 54:12, 56:1, 57:24, 109:20 garages [1] - 89:9 gate [2] - 60:22, 61:16 gated [1] - 61:25 general [2] - 63:21, 104:3 generation [1] - 43:8 gentleman [1] - 107:9 given [3] - 13:15, 34:7, 64:3 gold [1] - 104:23 gonna [1] - 82:7 governing [2] - 66:18, 78:15 Grading [3] - 4:22, 39:7, 39:16 Grala [13] - 3:23, 5:18, 6:18, 7:16, 9:16, 10:24, 12:14, 14:23, 16:19, 79:18, 100:15, 107:8, 111:9 GRALA [20] - 1:19, 6:19, 7:8, 7:17, 9:8, 9:17, 10:17, 10:25, 12:15, 14:15, 14:24, 16:20, 56:20, 57:1, 79:19, 100:7, 100:16, 111:1, 111:10, 111:24 grand [1] - 55:5

filing [3] - 22:23,

Grand [28] - 1:12, 1:12, 2:8, 3:11, 3:11, 8:3, 8:14, 28:11, 40:21, 55:4, 55:8, 55:11, 55:15, 55:16, 55:21, 56:24, 67:24, 67:25, 71:3, 74:4, 76:12, 84:18, 85:9, 85:11, 86:12, 86:25, 87:21 grant [8] - 11:25, 14:10, 14:13, 16:4, 19:7, 72:8, 97:2 granting [1] - 103:25 Grasso [2] - 72:7, 104:22 great [6] - 36:24, 38:4, 39:10, 46:4, 77:25, 108:19 greater [2] - 41:9, 67:5 gridlock [1] - 55:8 guess [6] - 8:5, 33:14, 43:13, 61:17, 66:8, 68:18 guidance [2] - 72:6, 75:7 guys [5] - 94:18, 98:5, 98:16, 99:3, 99:8

н

Hackensack [2] -38:15, 38:21 Hal [2] - 53:5, 56:16 HAL [2] - 2:17, 4:5 half [4] - 55:9, 58:11, 58:12, 87:17 hand [8] - 21:21, 28:8, 29:3, 38:9, 46:9, 81:1, 81:13, 89:3 handle [3] - 52:14, 101:13, 104:13 happy [1] - 76:24 hard [1] - 23:25 Harriet [2] - 85:10, 85:14 Harrington [2] - 46:15, 46:21 Harwood [4] - 4:10, 84:18, 85:20, 86:2 head [2] - 56:22, 70:25 heads [1] - 33:14 health [2] - 63:20, 104:3 hear [9] - 36:6, 39:22, 56:4, 80:11, 91:6, 91:19, 98:10, 98:11, 99:6 heard [11] - 10:14, 19:14, 26:25, 35:22,

37:12, 53:6, 98:1, 102:8, 102:21, 104:21 hearing [4] - 18:4, 23:19, 94:24, 105:25 hearings [2] - 18:13, 40:1 heavily [1] - 109:21 heavy [2] - 87:21, 88:6 height [20] - 27:9, 35:2, 41:4, 41:12, 48:5, 49:12, 64:5, 65:24, 68:14, 71:24, 72:9, 72:12, 72:23, 72:25, 73:10, 73:17, 74:24, 104:24, 108:10, 108:15 Heights [2] - 72:7, 104:23 held [2] - 51:14, 79:8 help [3] - 33:6, 69:12, 106:7 hence [2] - 13:21, 24:13 hereby [1] - 113:5 high [10] - 27:12, 35:16, 64:4, 65:20, 68:5, 89:5, 110:7 high-rise [5] - 27:12, 68:5 higher [3] - 64:2, 73:19, 94:19

30:12, 30:13, 35:10, 35:10, 35:16, 65:20, highway [11] - 45:18, 64:3, 64:6, 65:2, 65:21, 67:25, 69:20, 72:21, 73:17, 73:22, 93:3 highways [1] - 109:23 Hillcrest [7] - 1:10, 3:8, 12:25, 13:4, 15:9, 42:17, 42:22 HIM [1] - 6:20 hmm [1] - 107:3 hold [2] - 9:2, 71:22 home [2] - 67:11, 108:17 Homes [1] - 2:12 homes [12] - 66:19, 70:22, 75:15, 76:21,

86:19, 89:12, 89:13, 108:18, 110:6, 110:8, 110:22 hope [1] - 25:9 hopes [1] - 25:13 hoping [1] - 105:23 horrible [2] - 87:14, 87:20 hour [3] - 87:25, 88:6,

93:4 hours [1] - 84:7 house [1] - 90:19 houses [3] - 90:17, 90:18, 90:19 housing [38] - 23:12, 48:25, 49:1, 49:17, 49:21, 49:24, 50:2, 50:13, 50:21, 50:24, 51:4, 51:13, 51:14, 63:12, 63:22, 67:8, 68:19, 68:20, 68:22, 68:23, 69:1, 69:5, 69:9, 69:11, 69:14, 69:16, 69:17, 70:5, 73:21, 77:24, 78:6, 78:8, 78:18, 97:9, 97:14, 103:18, 106:9 Hudson [2] - 38:15, 38:20 huge [1] - 110:15 hundred [3] - 40:24, 89:18, 89:19 hundreds [4] - 85:21, 86:11, 105:12 hurting [1] - 93:1

individually [1] - 53:3 ID [1] - 113:4 infer [1] - 23:8 Ident/Evid [1] - 4:20 influx [1] - 33:7 identification [4] information [3] -29:16, 29:20, 39:18, 35:24, 44:22, 60:6 44:6 inherently [2] - 51:16, identified [1] - 32:13 51:17 identifies [1] - 45:9 installed [1] - 31:14 identify [2] - 33:3, Institute [2] - 44:22, 69:15 53:14 ignored [1] - 102:11 intend [2] - 19:16, imagine [1] - 96:8 62:6 immediate [2] - 74:10, intended [1] - 33:5 107:20 intense [1] - 90:23 immediately [4] intent [3] - 66:17, 33:20, 74:13, 76:20, 110:10, 110:13 102:15 intentional [1] - 78:15 impact [7] - 18:23, intentionally [1] -18:25, 67:22, 75:2, 78:17 75:22, 81:24, 105:6 interest [5] - 36:15, impacted [2] - 74:20, 83:13, 83:15, 113:8, 75:2 113:9 impacts [1] - 49:10 interpose [1] - 82:9 implemented [1] intersection [1] - 55:8 33:19 intervene [1] - 21:15 importance [1] - 48:24 invest [1] - 105:12 important [2] - 49:15, invested [1] - 101:22 81:14 involve [1] - 31:20 importantly [1] - 74:11 involved [4] - 36:11, impose [1] - 78:6 47:2, 88:20, 113:8 imposed [1] - 37:1 issue [7] - 35:1, 54:9, impossible [1] - 54:14 70:11, 87:5, 89:16,

102:4, 102:14 issues [4] - 9:3, 9:4, 20:13, 110:4 ITE [4] - 53:25, 54:16, 59:20, 102:22 Item [4] - 31:6, 31:8, 33:11, 33:23 items [1] - 30:4 itself [3] - 70:16, 75:24, 76:1

improved [1] - 49:11

Improvement [1] -

improvement [1] -

improvements [3] -

inability [1] - 23:11

inappropriate [2] -

include [1] - 104:1

income [1] - 97:13

incorporate [1] -

55:18, 67:15

increase [3] - 55:17,

increased [2] - 33:14,

increases [1] - 48:12

indicated [4] - 63:11,

indicates [3] - 18:7,

30:1, 68:3

63:16, 65:16, 74:16

indicate [1] - 29:25

incomprehensible [1]

including [3] - 8:17,

68:5, 73:18

9:4, 31:22

- 105:20

19:13

73:17

64:15, 68:9, 105:9

48:19

104:6

Jersey [18] - 2:6, 2:10, 26:10, 26:17, 38:15, 38:21, 42:17, 42:23, 46:15, 46:21, 51:1, 60:4, 109:17, 113:4. 113:5, 113:7, 113:10, 113:15 Jin [3] - 1:8, 3:6, 15:19 **Jo** [4] - 1:8, 3:6, 11:11, 15:19 job [5] - 69:23, 97:8. 99:9, 101:14, 102:8 JOHN [1] - 1:19 join [1] - 108:4 JOSEPH [1] - 1:17 July [1] - 101:12 June [6] - 11:16, 12:2, 14:14, 15:11, 15:22, 17.9 jungle [1] - 85:7 junkyard [1] - 89:9 jurisdiction [3] -22:18, 45:13, 99:6

Κ

KAUKER [20] - 2:18, 4:6, 63:5, 66:1, 66:7, 66:15, 67:2, 68:13, 68:17, 68:24, 69:13, 70:6, 70:10, 71:14, 72:5, 74:10, 74:19, 74:23, 77:11, 77:19 Kauker [2] - 4:4, 63:3 Kauker's [1] - 77:2 keep [7] - 19:24, 36:18, 56:17, 75:13, 75:17, 92:24, 106:6 keeping [1] - 69:13 key [4] - 58:22, 60:23, 61:20, 72:11 keypad [1] - 61:14 kick [1] - 24:25 kids [1] - 97:15 Kim [13] - 5:20, 6:20, 7:18, 9:18, 11:1, 11:11, 12:7, 12:16,

69:21, 69:25, 71:8,

14:25, 16:21, 79:20, 100:17, 111:11 KIM [105] - 1:20, 1:22, 2:15, 5:10, 5:12, 5:14, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:21, 5:22, 5:24, 6:1, 6:12, 6:14, 6:16, 6:18, 6:21, 6:22, 6:24, 7:1, 7:10, 7:12, 7:14, 7:16, 7:18, 7:19, 7:20, 7:22, 7:24, 9:10, 9:12, 9:14, 9:16, 9:18, 9:19, 9:20, 9:22, 9:24, 10:18, 10:20, 10:22, 10:24, 11:1, 11:2, 11:3, 11:5, 11:7, 12:6, 12:8, 12:10, 12:12, 12:14, 12:16, 12:17, 12:18, 12:20, 12:22, 14:17, 14:19, 14:21, 14:23, 14:25, 15:1, 15:2, 15:4, 15:6, 16:13, 16:15, 16:17, 16:19, 16:21, 16:22, 16:23, 16:25, 17:2, 17:4, 17:12, 17:15, 79:12, 79:14, 79:16, 79:18, 79:20, 79:21, 79:22, 79:24, 80:1, 100:9, 100:11, 100:13, 100:15, 100:17, 100:18, 100:19, 100:21, 100:23, 111:3, 111:5, 111:7, 111:9, 111:11, 111:12, 111:13, 111:15 Kim's [1] - 107:10 kind [10] - 8:13, 34:15, 61:6, 67:6, 92:23, 94:6, 98:23, 99:3, 99:10, 99:13 kinds [1] - 88:7 known [3] - 13:5, 19:3, 40:19 Koester [1] - 18:20 KOESTNER [4] - 3:20, 38:13, 38:19, 38:20 Koestner [8] - 18:19, 34:4, 34:6, 38:6, 38:19, 38:24, 42:7 Koestner's [1] - 34:20 Kwangmi [4] - 1:8, 3:6, 11:11, 15:19

L

L-U-G-L-I-O [1] -

42:22 L.L.C [2] - 1:23, 2:21 lack [1] - 18:25 Lake [2] - 72:7, 104:22 Land [1] - 63:18 land [2] - 22:17, 71:20 lands [1] - 104:2 lane [7] - 34:9, 34:19, 41:8, 45:15, 47:20, 85:18, 86:7 large [5] - 64:20, 67:7, 67:14, 104:12, 110:1 largest [1] - 14:7 last [30] - 8:5, 10:12, 10:14, 15:25, 20:9, 28:5, 36:13, 39:7, 40:13, 43:15, 44:16, 47:16, 49:9, 49:10, 53:10, 53:18, 57:1, 58:9, 59:2, 63:8, 73:11, 74:16, 77:3, 88:15, 88:16, 88:19, 92:12, 93:3, 94:3, 94:7 Last [2] - 4:23, 39:17 late [1] - 83:8 LAURA [4] - 1:23, 2:21, 113:3, 113:14 LauraACaruccillc@ gmail.com [2] - 1:25, 2:23 Law [2] - 8:11, 63:18 law [6] - 92:1, 96:13, 98:17, 98:18, 98:19, 103:23 LAW [1] - 2:5 lawsuit [2] - 21:8, 22:6 lawyer [2] - 22:4, 27:3 lavman's [1] - 76:18 layout [1] - 41:18 leads [3] - 75:4, 109:22, 109:23 least [9] - 5:6, 16:1, 18:12, 50:22, 51:16, 55:9, 107:12, 108:6, 109:17 leave [1] - 37:10 Lee [4] - 2:10, 8:11, 82:17 LEE [6] - 2:5, 2:5, 8:7, 8:24, 9:3, 10:3 left [3] - 35:5, 85:9, 85:15 legal [1] - 57:21 legislature [3] - 51:2, 51:18, 69:6 Leonia [4] - 42:17, 42:22, 87:24, 87:25

less [1] - 93:14

Letter [3] - 4:21,

29:6, 29:8, 29:18, 29:22, 30:1, 30:4, 31:6. 44:2 Level [3] - 4:22, 39:7, 39:17 level [7] - 30:10. 30:11, 45:10, 54:14, 55:10, 55:12, 98:23 levels [2] - 36:22, 61:6 IGRALA [1] - 5:19 License [1] - 113:15 licensed [2] - 18:14, 19:3 life [1] - 87:5 light [4] - 75:2, 86:12, 110:21 limit [2] - 33:19, 87:23 limited [4] - 32:17, 74:24, 75:21, 109:9 limiting [1] - 59:12 line [1] - 74:7 lines [1] - 73:24 listen [3] - 87:15, 88:14, 91:4 listened [1] - 107:18 litigate [1] - 21:16 litigation [1] - 22:23 live [12] - 60:20, 81:16, 83:2, 86:20, 88:12, 93:14, 94:17, 96:11, 97:24, 107:20, 107:21, 109:25 lived [4] - 84:24, 94:17, 94:18 living [2] - 93:10, 93:25 LLC [12] - 1:4, 1:10, 1:12, 2:8, 2:12, 3:3, 3:8, 3:11, 8:3, 10:8, 12:25, 15:9 local [4] - 45:19, 70:24, 76:12, 92:17 located [10] - 65:5, 66:11, 70:14, 70:16, 70:18, 71:18, 71:19, 72:1, 74:12, 76:7 location [14] - 8:14, 31:13, 54:24, 63:25, 64:15, 65:5, 66:22, 67:20, 68:4, 70:5, 70:12, 71:16, 103:4, 109:8 locations [3] - 30:23, 31:9, 104:5 look [11] - 43:6, 59:23, 72:13, 72:24, 74:23, 74:25, 75:12, 76:4, 87:9, 101:13, 102:7

29:15, 44:4

letter [11] - 29:2, 29:5,

looking [8] - 8:4, 11:12, 16:2, 28:8, 76:17, 76:18, 104:18, 108:4 lou [1] - 43:3 Lou [6] - 43:15, 44:8, 45:23, 52:5, 57:16, 60:15 LOUIS [1] - 3:22 Louis [4] - 4:24, 18:21, 42:21, 44:4 love [2] - 19:22, 91:19 low [3] - 50:14, 56:17, 97:13 low-income [1] -97:13 lower [3] - 65:18, 67:23, 73:14 Lower [3] - 4:22, 39:7, 39:16 lowering [1] - 28:18 LS [2] - 3:20, 38:14 Luglio [10] - 4:24, 18:22, 19:23, 25:23, 42:9, 42:21, 44:5, 54:1, 57:7 LUGLIO [4] - 3:22, 42:15, 42:21, 61:4 M

main [3] - 17:17, 27:8, 44:21 maintains [1] - 22:18 maintenance [2] -30:15, 32:25 major [2] - 65:20, 73:16 majority [1] - 71:17 man [1] - 84:19 managed [1] - 23:13 management [1] -105:11 mandate [3] - 50:25, 54:2. 106:8 mandated [1] - 51:3 manner [2] - 19:5, 104:2 Mark [3] - 13:4, 17:22, 43:14 MARK [1] - 2:9 mark [4] - 29:10, 39:12, 43:13, 43:24 marked [4] - 29:16, 29:20, 39:17, 44:5 market [1] - 70:2 marshal [4] - 25:17, 28:16, 28:20, 30:2 mass [19] - 33:7, 59:16, 60:12, 64:7,

71:12, 92:12, 92:15, 92:22, 92:24, 92:25, 93:1, 93:11, 93:17, 103:5, 104:9, 109:14 master [1] - 47:6 material [5] - 27:5, 40:16, 41:20, 42:1, 47:15 materially [1] - 44:15 math [1] - 69:3 matter [4] - 22:23, 23:6, 23:17, 35:9 maximum [1] - 32:23 MAY [1] - 1:2 Mayor [2] - 83:18, 88:2 mean [9] - 20:16, 21:25, 24:4, 28:14, 56:3, 57:12, 61:2, 70:12, 95:1 meaning [1] - 66:17 means [2] - 36:5, 67:22 measures [1] - 57:22 mechanical [1] - 32:3 media [1] - 105:19 meet [2] - 23:13, 69:8 meeting [37] - 5:1, 5:4, 7:5, 11:16, 12:2, 14:13, 15:11, 15:22, 16:3, 16:5, 16:8, 17:9, 17:25, 20:10, 20:12, 24:19, 25:17, 28:15, 40:13, 47:8, 49:9, 49:10, 52:11, 53:10, 63:8, 63:17, 73:11, 74:16, 83:7, 91:3, 94:10, 98:3, 98:5, 98:16, 99:3, 112:1 meetings [5] - 5:8, 62:3, 81:6, 98:21, 107:12 Meetings [1] - 5:4 meets [5] - 63:23, 64:8, 64:13, 66:24, 86:11 Melanie [2] - 4:10, 84:17 MEMBER [8] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:19, 1:20, 1:20, 1:21, 1:21, 1:22 member [3] - 81:15, 83:12, 106:12 members [13] - 19:14, 37:15, 56:18, 57:3, 62:22, 83:17, 84:6, 94:13, 94:23, 95:13, 106:13, 106:21,

107:11 Members [1] - 8:9 memorialization [2] -10:9, 10:15 memorialize [1] -43:17 memorialized [1] -29:7 mentioned [9] - 49:23, 66:4, 71:3, 71:25, 75:8, 75:13, 76:11, 92:12 mess [1] - 88:20 met [6] - 29:1, 63:19, 64:16, 64:18, 94:8, 110:17 Michael [2] - 4:13, 92:5 MICHAEL [2] - 2:18, 4:6 mid [5] - 27:12, 30:20, 35:11, 35:16, 65:3 mid-rise [5] - 27:12, 30:20, 35:11, 35:16, 65:3 might [11] - 23:8, 30:16, 62:12, 86:24, 90:4, 102:5, 102:7, 102:24, 103:6, 103:10, 103:15 Mike (1) - 77:18 mikes [1] - 12:4 miles [2] - 87:25, 88:6 mind [7] - 29:24, 69:13, 75:14, 75:17, 77:16, 101:6, 106:6 minds [1] - 20:12 minimal [1] - 49:10 minimized [1] - 105:6 minute [5] - 57:7, 79:3, 79:4, 87:18, 93:13 minutes [10] - 7:4, 7:7, 19:18, 19:23, 79:7, 80:9, 87:16, 93:2, 96:11 mitigate [2] - 33:6, 36:12 moderate [1] - 50:14 modern [1] - 64:13 moment [1] - 99:23 momentarily [1] -18:24 **MONDAY** [1] - 1:2 money [2] - 89:23, 89:24 monstrosity [1] month [3] - 10:14, 11:13, 11:19

months [2] - 22:2, 90:10 morals [2] - 63:21, 104:3 morning [3] - 80:15, 88:5, 96:8 most [11] - 27:4, 69:15, 70:20, 74:20, 75:1, 75:15, 81:14, 94:9, 101:23, 109:15, 109:23 mother [1] - 81:5 motion [13] - 6:9, 7:6, 7:8, 9:7, 10:14, 11:24, 14:12, 16:4, 100:3, 100:5, 110:23, 111:22, 111:23 move [1] - 80:23 moving [2] - 71:21, 82:16 MR [237] - 5:15, 5:17, 5:19, 5:21, 5:23, 5:25, 6:10, 6:15, 6:17, 6:19, 6:21, 6:23, 6:25, 7:8, 7:9, 7:13, 7:15, 7:17, 7:19, 7:21, 7:23, 8:7, 8:24, 9:3, 9:8, 9:13, 9:15, 9:17, 9:19, 9:21, 9:23, 10:3, 10:17, 10:21, 10:23, 10:25, 11:2, 11:4, 11:6, 12:6, 12:11, 12:13, 12:15, 12:17, 12:19, 12:21, 13:3, 14:1, 14:15, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:3, 15:5, 15:16, 16:6, 16:11, 16:16, 16:18, 16:20, 16:22, 16:24, 17:1, 17:21, 18:2, 20:3, 20:8, 20:17, 21:5, 21:14, 22:10, 22:12, 22:16, 22:22, 23:1, 23:16, 24:6, 24:17, 25:2, 25:8, 25:12, 26:2, 26:8, 26:14, 26:19, 26:24, 29:10, 29:17, 31:3, 37:5, 38:4, 38:6, 38:13, 38:19, 38:23, 39:2, 39:11, 39:14, 39:19, 42:6, 42:9, 42:15, 42:21, 43:2, 43:24, 44:2, 44:7, 45:24, 46:3, 46:13, 46:19, 46:23, 51:23, 52:1, 52:4, 52:18,

53:1, 53:7, 54:7,

55:5, 56:14, 56:20, 57:1, 57:6, 57:10, 57:15, 60:14, 61:4, 62:16, 63:5, 63:15, 66:1, 66:6, 66:7, 66:10, 66:15, 66:20, 67:2, 67:16, 68:13, 68:16, 68:17, 68:21, 68:24, 69:3, 69:13, 69:18, 70:6, 70:10, 71:5, 71:14, 72:4, 72:5, 73:5, 74:10, 74:15, 74:19, 74:22, 74:23, 77:1, 77:7, 77:11, 77:12, 77:14, 77:15, 77:19, 77:21, 78:23, 79:15, 79:17, 79:19, 79:21, 79:23, 79:25, 82:9, 82:14, 82:18, 82:22, 82:25, 88:8, 88:24, 89:7, 90:6, 90:9, 90:12, 90:18, 91:7, 91:12, 91:16, 91:24, 92:5, 92:8, 92:15, 93:21, 93:24, 94:4, 94:6, 95:1, 95:8, 95:12, 95:16, 95:22, 95:25, 96:16, 96:19, 96:23, 97:5, 97:17, 97:23, 98:15, 98:22, 99:2, 99:7, 99:17, 99:22, 100:7, 100:12, 100:14, 100:16, 100:18, 100:20, 100:22, 101:5, 101:9, 101:11, 101:17, 103:9, 111:1, 111:6, 111:8, 111:10, 111:12, 111:14, 111:16, 111:19, 111:23, 111:24 MS [181] - 5:10, 5:12, 5:14, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:22, 5:24, 6:1, 6:2, 6:12, 6:14, 6:16, 6:18, 6:20, 6:22, 6:24, 7:1, 7:2, 7:10, 7:12, 7:14, 7:16, 7:18, 7:20, 7:22, 7:24, 7:25, 9:10, 9:12, 9:14, 9:16, 9:18, 9:20, 9:22, 9:24, 9:25, 10:6, 10:10, 10:18, 10:20, 10:22, 10:24, 11:1, 11:3, 11:5, 11:7, 11:8, 11:14, 11:21, 12:1, 12:7, 12:8,

12:16, 12:18, 12:20, 12:22, 12:23, 13:24, 14:11, 14:17, 14:19, 14:21, 14:23, 14:25, 15:2, 15:4, 15:6, 15:7, 15:8, 15:17, 16:13, 16:15, 16:17, 16:19, 16:21, 16:23, 16:25, 17:2, 17:3, 17:4, 17:5, 17:12, 17:14, 17:15, 17:23, 26:5, 26:12, 29:14, 37:23, 37:25, 38:8, 38:17, 38:22, 39:13, 42:11, 42:19, 42:24, 44:1, 46:8, 46:17, 52:22, 79:12, 79:14, 79:16, 79:18, 79:20, 79:22, 79:24, 80:1, 80:2, 80:20, 81:8, 81:11, 81:21, 82:3, 82:5, 82:7, 82:11, 82:12, 82:16, 82:20, 82:24, 83:1, 83:6, 83:14, 83:16, 83:17, 83:21, 83:23, 83:24, 84:1, 84:3, 84:5, 84:9, 84:13, 84:17, 85:3, 85:25, 86:6, 87:17, 87:20, 88:11, 88:15, 88:18, 90:17, 91:14, 95:3, 95:10, 95:24, 98:6, 98:17, 98:20, 98:24, 99:5, 100:3, 100:9, 100:11, 100:13, 100:15, 100:17, 100:19, 100:21, 100:23, 100:24, 106:15, 106:20, 106:24, 107:3, 107:6, 107:10, 111:3, 111:5, 111:7, 111:9, 111:11. 111:13, 111:15 multifamily [15] - 18:6, 64:21, 65:4, 65:8, 65:9, 65:14, 66:25, 73:22, 77:24, 78:11, 102:9, 103:13. 103:16, 104:15, 110:14 multiple 161 - 53:15. 66:5, 66:19, 68:20, 68:23, 69:1 multiplier [1] - 53:24 multiuse [1] - 53:19 Municipal [1] - 63:18 municipalities [1] -51:3

municipality [2] -49:17, 49:23

N Nam [3] - 1:4, 3:3, 10:8 name [19] - 26:12, 28:22, 38:17, 42:19, 46:17, 80:17, 80:19, 84:9, 84:16, 84:20, 88:23, 92:4, 93:23, 93:24, 94:3, 95:21, 95:22, 97:22, 97:23 near [2] - 70:14, 70:17 nearby [1] - 66:12 necessary [3] - 21:13, 34:11, 60:1 need [18] - 13:18, 18:1, 48:5, 48:12, 56:2, 65:6, 68:11, 68:19, 68:20, 68:21, 69:4, 70:3, 74:25, 75:9, 86:19, 87:23, 88:21, 91:15 needed [1] - 48:6 needs [2] - 76:10, 104:24 negative [11] - 49:10, 51:9, 70:8, 75:4, 75:5, 75:10, 75:12, 76:3, 76:16, 103:22, 105:17 neglect [1] - 110:21 neighborhood [13] -72:10, 72:11, 72:13, 72:14, 73:3, 73:23, 74:7, 74:9, 74:11, 74:17, 76:1, 110:16, 110:20 neighborhoods [1] -101:18 neighbors [1] - 56:4 NERIS [1] - 80:20 Neris [2] - 4:8, 80:20 never [3] - 14:3, 88:3, 89:25 New [18] - 2:6, 2:10, 26:10, 26:17, 38:15, 38:21, 42:17, 42:22, 46:15, 46:21, 51:1, 60:3, 109:17, 113:4, 113:5, 113:7, 113:10, 113:15 new [7] - 13:7, 30:25, 31:11, 43:9, 64:24, 92:1, 105:13 newspapers [1] - 5:6 next [19] - 7:3, 10:5,

11:10, 11:13, 11:19,

12:10, 12:12, 12:14,

12:24, 14:13, 16:3. 16:5. 16:8. 24:18. 24:19, 42:8, 53:16, 62:14, 86:3, 91:5, 91:21, 108:16 nice [1] - 85:5 NJ [4] - 1:4, 3:3, 10:8, 45:3 no-parking [3] -34:22, 47:20, 102:17 none [3] - 20:14, 42:4, 73:5 north [6] - 49:25. 55:14, 72:22, 73:3, 73:14, 110:8 northerly [1] - 40:20 northern [2] - 85:18, 86:7 Notary [4] - 113:3, 113:4, 113:15, 113:15 note [1] - 106:24 noted [2] - 82:11, 112:2 nothing [16] - 26:7, 36:7, 37:5, 38:12, 42:13, 44:17, 45:21, 46:12, 62:16, 62:19, 71:23, 76:23, 81:4, 84:1, 91:12, 91:14 notice [11] - 5:2, 5:4, 5:5, 11:22, 11:23, 14:7, 15:13, 15:14, 15:23, 17:10, 24:22 noticed [1] - 13:9 notify [1] - 11:19 novel [1] - 54:24 number [35] - 28:3, 30:22, 31:23, 33:2, 34:7, 34:14, 36:18, 41:5, 41:16, 43:9, 48:11, 48:15, 48:17, 49:13, 50:11, 50:19, 53:11, 54:16, 55:18, 58:22, 59:25, 60:1, 60:2, 61:9, 63:17, 63:19, 69:10, 72:16, 73:7, 73:20, 77:25, 81:9, 102:24, 102:25, 108:8 Number [10] - 30:5, 31:24, 32:16, 33:17, 34:12, 34:13, 34:15, 35:1, 35:8 numbers [3] - 54:22, 58:2, 59:20

0

o'clock [3] - 53:8,

80:15, 88:5 oath (1) - 113:6 object [2] - 88:9, 95:2 objected [1] - 35:23 objection [5] - 13:24, 14:1, 14:4, 80:11, 82:10 objectionable [1] -36:7 obligation [8] - 49:2, 49:16, 49:24, 50:5, 50:6, 50:23, 69:5, 69.8 observation [1] -57:17 observations [1] -56:3 obviously [5] - 50:4, 50:19, 69:10, 72:20, 90:22 OF [6] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:4, 1:16, 2:5 off-site [1] - 43:11 offer [2] - 49:18, 99:3 Office [2] - 5:7, 8:11 office [2] - 32:10, 53:21 OFFICE [1] - 2:5 official [3] - 5:6, 28:24, 36:22 older [1] - 64:11 omitted [1] - 78:17 on-site [2] - 43:10, 64:16 on-street [2] - 33:19, 109:9 once [5] - 23:19, 56:23, 77:8, 80:13, 96:4 one [77] - 6:6, 8:21, 10:5, 11:10, 12:24, 13:12, 18:9, 18:16, 21:21, 22:1, 22:8, 23:21, 27:13, 35:3, 36:18, 41:2, 41:14, 45:1, 45:10, 46:6, 48:6, 50:6, 51:9, 53:16, 53:18, 53:19, 54:13, 54:25, 55:1, 55:10, 56:8, 57:7, 57:19, 61:5, 61:6, 63:12, 66:8, 70:22, 71:2, 71:12, 71:15, 71:22, 72:18, 75:15, 76:2, 76:20, 81:9, 81:15, 83:22, 84:23, 85:4, 85:8, 85:12, 86:12, 86:18, 87:1,

87:25, 90:24, 93:8,

93:9, 96:2, 97:2,

102:15, 103:2, 103:15, 103:16, 104:17, 105:14, 107:16, 108:1, 108:9, 108:18, 109:17, 110:7, 110:10, 110:11 one-family [1] - 76:20 one-way [1] - 71:2 one-year [1] - 8:21 ongoing [1] - 33:6 onramp [3] - 70:17, 70:19, 76:8 open [17] - 5:1, 5:8, 13:17, 37:11, 52:15, 58:18, 60:23, 61:10, 61:16, 62:9, 75:3, 79:5, 80:5, 80:16, 96:8, 98:16, 110:21 Open [1] - 5:3 open-door [1] - 13:17 open-ended [1] -37:11 opening [1] - 26:25 openings [1] - 30:11 opinion [9] - 21:11, 59:9, 59:14, 72:12, 90:2, 95:6, 95:9, 95:11, 96:1 opinions [2] - 52:9, 97:2 opportunities [2] -69:24, 71:13 opportunity [7] -13:15, 18:13, 20:16, 20:19, 45:16, 62:4, 101:1 opposite [5] - 64:5, 65:1, 68:2, 73:22, 74:5 order [4] - 6:4, 8:2, 23:10, 99:1 ordinance [7] - 47:6, 48:19, 65:3, 67:18, 78:5, 78:8, 110:9 orientation [1] -104:20 oriented [1] - 65:17 originally [1] - 107:22 ourselves [1] - 36:25 outcome [1] - 113:9 overbuilt [1] - 85:6 overcrowding [2] -82:4, 110:5 overpass [1] - 85:23 oversized [1] - 108:3 own [2] - 21:11, 60:8

Р P-A-P-O-T-E-R [1] -80:21 P.E [7] - 2:16, 2:17, 3:20, 3:22, 4:5, 38:14, 42:16 p.m [7] - 1:2, 15:11, 15:22, 17:9, 79:9, 112:2 P.P [3] - 2:18, 4:6, 46:14 pad [1] - 58:23 page [1] - 39:3 Pal [2] - 77:23, 87:24 Palisades [15] - 2:6, 4:9, 13:6, 21:2, 22:18, 28:20, 60:8, 71:18, 87:7, 93:10, 94:8, 94:19, 107:21, 109:24, 110:16 **PALISADES** [2] - 1:1, 1:16 Papoter [2] - 4:8, 80:20 PAPOTER [17] -80:20, 81:8, 81:11, 82:3, 82:7, 82:12, 82:16, 82:20, 82:24, 83:1, 83:6, 83:16, 83:21, 83:24, 84:3, 84:9, 84:13 parallel [1] - 54:11 PARK [2] - 1:1, 1:16 Park [19] - 2:6, 4:9, 13:6, 21:2, 22:18, 28:20, 46:15, 46:21, 60:8, 71:18, 77:23, 87:7, 87:24, 93:10, 94:8, 94:19, 107:21, 109:24, 110:16 parking [77] - 30:6, 30:10, 30:11, 32:14, 32:17, 32:23, 33:20, 33:25, 34:10, 34:16, 34:22, 41:6, 41:16, 41:18, 41:19, 41:23, 43:12, 44:22, 45:10, 47:20, 48:14, 48:16, 52:16, 53:4, 53:12, 53:15, 53:20, 53:24, 54:9, 54:11, 54:13, 55:23, 55:24, 56:2, 56:5, 56:19, 58:1, 58:3, 58:4, 58:6, 58:8, 58:10, 58:11, 58:12, 58:13, 58:14, 58:15, 58:17, 58:18, 58:19, 58:25, 59:1,

59:2, 59:4, 59:6,

59:8, 59:10, 59:11, 59:15, 59:20, 59:25, 61:6, 64:22, 68:7, 73:20, 81:9, 102:17, 103:1, 107:24, 109:4, 109:9, 109:11, 110:4 part [8] - 54:3, 58:9, 59:2, 73:23, 74:9, 75:15, 78:8, 83:11 participation [1] -100:1 particular [7] - 60:8, 64:18, 66:22, 68:4, 78:11, 101:20, 105:21 particularly [9] -48:24, 49:12, 64:15, 64:20, 65:1, 65:21, 66:11, 67:21, 104:9 parties [1] - 113:7 pass [1] - 25:19 passed [1] - 8:5 past [2] - 47:13, 101:11 PAUL [1] - 1:18 pay [1] - 6:9 PDF [1] - 13:18 peaceful [1] - 87:11 peak [1] - 53:22 people [22] - 25:6, 57:23, 61:9, 62:2, 80:6, 80:17, 81:17, 87:7, 94:13, 94:17, 94:24, 95:11, 96:19, 97:7, 99:12, 99:15, 107:20, 109:13, 109:15, 109:20, 109:25 per [11] - 32:21, 48:9, 48:11, 55:23, 58:12, 67:19, 87:25, 88:6, 103:2, 108:20, 108:22 perceived [1] - 24:13 percent [11] - 36:5, 41:15, 50:1, 50:12, 50:18, 51:6, 60:7, 60:11, 94:9, 108:23 percentage [1] - 68:25 percentile [2] - 53:24, 54:17 perfect [2] - 89:11, 103:17 perfectly [1] - 24:7 perhaps [1] - 34:9 period [1] - 14:6 permission [2] -39:12, 43:25 permit [1] - 45:3

owner [2] - 8:18,

owners [1] - 13:13

90:20

permits [3] - 65:3, 65:14, 103:12 permitted [8] - 66:9, 66:21, 67:10, 67:12, 73:13, 78:11, 104:15, 108:11 person [9] - 59:5, 61:15, 61:19, 61:20, 83:10, 90:1, 90:7, 93:15, 97:21 personal [3] - 8:17, 9:3, 90:1 Peter [2] - 4:17, 97:23 PETER [6] - 97:23, 98:15, 98:22, 99:2, 99:7, 99:17 phone [1] - 29:4 phonetic [1] - 87:10 physical [3] - 72:15, 72:19, 72:21 pickup [2] - 30:13, 32:24 piece [1] - 103:11 Pittsburgh [1] - 94:20 place [5] - 58:24, 86:23, 89:11, 97:14, 103:17 placed [1] - 69:5 plan [13] - 18:17, 18:20, 27:8, 27:19, 32:9, 34:20, 39:3, 39:6, 39:23, 42:2, 47:5, 47:6, 61:24 Plan [3] - 4:22, 39:7, 39:16 **PLANNER** [1] - 2:18 planner [3] - 19:3, 19:4, 103:20 planning [8] - 21:2, 47:6, 47:22, 56:10, 63:2, 101:25, 102:2, 103:21 plans [1] - 40:17 point [13] - 8:20, 18:16, 30:6, 42:2, 44:21, 45:2, 66:8, 71:15, 75:19, 76:3, 78:10, 78:22, 94:16 points [1] - 34:9 policy [1] - 13:17 political [1] - 105:18 poor [2] - 64:23, 105:11 popular [4] - 101:13, 101:18, 103:6, 103:10 position 161 - 22:5. 22:6, 22:7, 57:18, 57:19, 103:6 positive [6] - 51:8,

75:9, 76:16, 103:22, 104:21, 110:3 possibilities [1] - 61:5 possible [3] - 19:25, 20:2, 78:19 posted [1] - 5:4 postponement [1] -13:25 pounds [1] - 32:21 PP [1] - 4:3 practical [1] - 76:17 practice [1] - 32:20 preferably [1] - 21:25 preference [1] - 52:19 preferences [1] -31:18 preliminarily [1] -13:21 premises [2] - 13:5, 18:7 prepared [1] - 18:23 preparing [1] - 69:16 PRESENT [1] - 1:16 present [11] - 18:13, 18:22, 19:17, 19:24, 25:13, 25:14, 57:16, 98:25, 99:1, 101:15, 110:11 presentation [1] -19:22 presented [5] - 18:17, 19:2, 29:2, 98:13, 101:25 preserve [1] - 110:11 pretty [2] - 41:1, 71:17 prevent [1] - 57:23 Prevention [10] -29:19, 32:12, 33:2, 33:10, 33:16, 33:17, 35:2, 35:20, 36:14, 36:23 previous [2] - 7:4, 27:7 previously [1] - 68:8 primary [1] - 50:7 private [2] - 89:12, 89:13 privy [1] - 24:11 problem [12] - 10:4, 11:18, 13:23, 81:2. 81:8, 81:12, 81:18, 81:19, 82:4, 86:14, 87:13, 91:17 problems [2] - 84:22, 94:16 procedures [1] - 33:19 PROCEEDING [1] process [5] - 31:21,

36:12, 78:2, 78:9,

102:3 profession [1] - 56:8 professional (3) -18:18, 96:1, 99:12 Professional [1] -113:5 professionals [1] -31:17 project [13] - 34:3, 45:6, 51:15, 82:24, 87:5, 87:10, 97:11, 98:1, 98:23, 99:4, 99:13, 105:16, 110:15 projects [4] - 54:4, 61:5, 82:17, 83:22 promised [1] - 62:3 promote [2] - 97:10, 104:2 promoting [3] - 63:20, 64:9, 97:9 promotion [1] - 104:6 proof [1] - 13:11 proper [1] - 59:24 properties [6] - 73:14, 74:7, 74:11, 74:20, 75:1, 75:23 property [23] - 13:13, 22:14, 23:10, 34:1, 34:16, 34:18, 47:5, 49:15, 65:12, 65:16, 71:12, 74:12, 75:14, 75:18, 78:16, 87:10, 103:12, 104:12, 108:9, 108:16, 108:17, 109:10, 110:6 proposal [2] - 49:1, 75:18 proposed [17] - 18:10, 29:19, 30:23, 31:9, 31:15, 32:3, 33:20, 45:6, 45:11, 49:20, 55:25, 63:12, 67:4, 75:25, 103:18, 105:8, 108:19 proposes [3] - 108:22, 108:24, 109:5 proposing [7] - 33:25, 49:4, 50:8, 58:16, 67:7, 109:1, 109:2 protection [2] - 31:21, protocol [1] - 19:21 provide [29] - 27:22, 30:5, 30:10, 32:13, 34:17, 34:24, 38:11, 41:9, 42:12, 43:8, 46:11, 47:6, 47:10,

102:9, 103:25, 106:8, 110:14 provided [29] - 5:6, 13:10, 15:14, 15:15, 15:23, 17:10, 18:20, 18:24, 19:6, 28:17, 36:15, 39:25, 44:15, 44:23, 45:1, 47:23, 48:21, 50:22, 52:7, 54:21, 58:14, 63:25, 64:16, 68:8, 68:22. 71:5, 75:22, 103:22. 113.6 provides [3] - 69:22, 69:23, 77:23 providing [10] - 41:17, 58:6, 63:21, 63:24, 69:9, 69:12, 73:21, 78:17, 103:1, 109:7 provision [3] - 48:25. 51:12, 104:4 proximity [5] - 60:3, 64:3, 103:4, 104:9, 104:10 PUBLIC [1] - 4:7 public [31] - 5:1, 15:14, 15:18, 17:11, 17:25, 18:12, 19:14, 19:24, 20:5, 20:24, 27:2, 39:22, 42:3, 48:22, 49:3, 50:7, 51:13, 52:12, 63:13, 63:20, 98:3, 98:5, 98:16, 98:21, 99:3, 99:14, 100:1, 102:6, 104:3, 110:19 Public [3] - 5:3, 113:4, 113:15 publication [1] - 13:11 pull [1] - 60:22 pulling [1] - 109:20 Purpose [2] - 63:20, 64:9 purpose [5] - 44:18, 47:1, 52:8, 63:24, 75:3 purposes [10] - 19:16, 22:14, 22:23, 29:21, 30:17, 32:25, 33:6, 33:9, 35:10, 63:17 pursue [1] - 22:13 pursuing [1] - 23:17 put [14] - 17:24, 32:22, 36:10, 44:19, 54:3, 58:23, 61:17, 84:7, 86:21, 87:2, 89:11, 90:17, 90:24, 91:2

51:3. 51:6. 63:10. puts [1] - 90:7 64:22, 69:14, 73:19, putting [1] - 65:20 75:7, 77:9, 77:24,

Q qualifications [1] -66:25 qualified [1] - 101:23 qualities [1] - 66:25 quality [4] - 87:5, 96:3, 96:14, 96:17 quality-of-life [1] -87:5 quarter [1] - 60:11 quarters [1] - 55:20 questioned [1] - 33:18 questions [16] - 20:5, 42:7, 45:25, 52:12, 56:19, 60:15, 62:5, 62:10, 62:11, 62:22, 63:4, 63:6, 66:2, 75:6, 76:24, 79:6 Questions [3] - 3:19, 3:23, 4:4 quick [4] - 27:4, 40:15, 52:7, 57:7 quickly [2] - 57:18, 63:14 quite [1] - 105:17 R R-2[1] - 103:12

R.P.R [4] - 1:23, 2:21, 113:3, 113:14 raise [3] - 38:8, 46:8, 104:14 raised [2] - 20:13, 35:2 ramp [6] - 40:21, 45:14, 85:13, 85:14, 109:10, 109:21 ramps [1] - 32:15 rate [1] - 70:2 rather [3] - 6:8, 65:17, 67:14 ratio [1] - 58:11 reach [2] - 29:7, 36:6 reached [1] - 30:1 reaching [1] - 51:11 read [5] - 5:8, 21:16, 23:3, 23:4, 107:12 reading [2] - 7:5, 105:20 real [1] - 9:1 reality [4] - 54:15, 103:7, 105:18, 109:14 really [12] - 21:12, 23:16, 24:11, 45:21,

48:16, 49:17, 50:1,

61:24, 74:25, 75:6, 82:14, 83:2, 84:3, 89:10 rear [2] - 108:17, 109:1 reason [6] - 40:13, 81:1, 91:18, 93:8, 98:4, 98:15 reasons [15] - 8:17, 48:22, 63:11, 63:13, 63:14, 63:16, 65:24, 75:24, 76:14, 93:8, 93:9, 103:25, 106:2, 106:4 **REBEKAH** [92] - 2:15, 5:10, 5:12, 5:14, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 5:22, 5:24, 6:1, 6:12, 6:14, 6:16, 6:18, 6:20, 6:22, 6:24, 7:1, 7:10, 7:12, 7:14, 7:16, 7:18, 7:20, 7:22, 7:24, 9:10, 9:12, 9:14, 9:16, 9:18, 9:20, 9:22, 9:24, 10:18, 10:20, 10:22, 10:24, 11:1, 11:3, 11:5, 11:7, 12:8, 12:10, 12:12, 12:14, 12:16, 12:18, 12:20, 12:22, 14:17, 14:19, 14:21, 14:23, 14:25, 15:2, 15:4, 15:6, 16:13, 16:15, 16:17, 16:19, 16:21, 16:23, 16:25, 17:2, 17:4, 17:12, 17:15, 79:12, 79:14, 79:16, 79:18, 79:20, 79:22, 79:24, 80:1, 100:9, 100:11, 100:13, 100:15, 100:17, 100:19, 100:21, 100:23, 111:3, 111:5, 111:7, 111:9, 111:11, 111:13, 111:15 rebuttal [2] - 57:7, 57:11 receive [1] - 109:25 received [3] - 7:4, 53:7, 105:17 recently [1] - 13:8 recess [1] - 79:8 recognize [1] - 66:21 recollection [1] -25:16 recommendation [1] -33:15 record [8] - 18:3,

26:13, 44:13, 47:1, 50:10, 52:2, 82:10, 113:6 rectangle [1] - 96:10 recused [2] - 83:23, 83:24 redevelop [1] - 87:1 redirect [1] - 57:13 reduce [1] - 50:20 reduced [14] - 27:9, 28:4, 35:2, 41:4, 41:5, 41:15, 41:21, 48:6, 48:8, 48:10, 48:15, 50:20, 107:23, 108:8 reduces [1] - 69:11 reducing [6] - 48:10, 48:15, 49:2, 50:6, 50:22, 69:10 reduction [5] - 41:21, 47:19, 49:11, 49:12, 59:20 referee [1] - 113:6 referenced [1] - 66:7 referring [3] - 25:22, 31:25, 72:6 refusal [1] - 23:11 regard [3] - 19:20, 25:21, 102:14 regarding [4] - 18:5, 18:25, 27:7, 71:6 regards [2] - 31:18, 38:11 Registered [1] - 113:5 regulation [2] - 98:2, 113:10 regulations [1] - 35:16 reiterate [1] - 50:9 related [4] - 63:7, 96:6, 113:7, 113:8 relates [1] - 34:15 relating [2] - 13:5, 30:5 relatively [1] - 66:12 relief [2] - 22:19, 27:5 relving (1) - 99:11 remain [4] - 20:5, 49:6, 52:9, 52:10 remarks [1] - 27:1 remember [4] - 89:2, 89:7, 95:13, 96:17 remotely [1] - 61:16 removing [1] - 64:11 rent [1] - 60:7 replacement [1] -64:23

replacing [1] - 64:12

report [16] - 18:23,

Report [2] - 4:24, 44:4

25:25, 43:18, 43:22,

44:2, 44:14, 44:18, 45:9, 45:21, 53:8, 59:18, 62:19, 77:2, 77:8, 77:9, 77:10 **REPORTER** [6] - 31:1, 79:1, 80:18, 92:7, 94:2, 94:5 Reporter [3] - 78:25, 113:4, 113:5 REPORTERS [2] -1:24, 2:22 represent [2] - 21:15, represented [1] -24:20 representing [1] -8:10 represents [1] - 13:13 reputable [1] - 19:4 request [8] - 8:21, 12:2, 13:25, 15:10, 15:21, 18:5, 35:7, 106:5 requested [7] - 11:15, 17:8, 32:1, 32:11, 32:12, 35:23, 43:16 require [2] - 33:7, 108:6 required [8] - 41:6, 41:16, 103:23, 108:21, 108:23, 108:25, 109:2, 109:4 requirement [12] -48:16, 49:1, 53:14, 53:25, 54:8, 54:18, 58:5, 58:10, 58:15, 59:25, 66:17, 78:6 requirements [4] -31:18, 35:14, 53:12, 54:4 requires [5] - 45:3, 48:20, 55:23, 58:3, 78:8 residence [1] - 94:8 residences [1] - 65:18 resident [2] - 80:22, 84:10 Residential [1] - 48:19 residential [9] - 18:6, 18:8, 53:21, 58:19, 67:23, 73:15, 103:13, 108:10, 110:11 residents [11] - 37:22, 61:10, 62:10, 69:23, 69:24, 70:1, 79:6, 87:6, 87:11, 107:19, 109:6 resolution [5] - 8:5,

respectfully [1] -106:5 respond [3] - 22:10, 52:11, 102:4 response [9] - 30:9, 31:13, 32:4, 32:16, 33:10, 33:22, 35:19, 35:22, 102:5 Response [5] - 5:13, 37:17, 37:20, 57:4, 62:24 responses [1] - 35:24 rest [1] - 76:1 restricted [1] - 50:15 restriction [1] - 50:16 restrictions [1] - 32:13 result [4] - 23:10, 23:12, 43:11, 47:24 return (1) - 40:14 review [14] - 13:16, 13:19, 19:6, 19:9, 19:19, 32:1, 32:8, 32:10, 39:21, 47:4, 47:5, 47:9, 53:10, 72:12 reviewed [6] - 19:4, 21:17, 29:5, 29:6, 41:23, 43:18 revised [1] - 39:7 Revised [2] - 4:23, 39:17 revisions [3] - 19:13, 19:19, 27:8 Ridgefield (1) - 87:24 ridiculous [2] - 85:7, 92:23 right-hand [2] - 28:8, 89:3 rise [10] - 27:12, 30:20, 35:10, 35:11, 35:16, 65:3, 65:20, 68:5 road [4] - 54:25, 71:2, 71:4, 109:12 roadway [2] - 45:19, 73:17 robust [2] - 39:25, 103:21 Roff [1] - 65:11 roll [7] - 5:9, 6:11, 12:5, 14:16, 16:12, 56:17, 100:8 Roll [1] - 9:9 Route [22] - 40:21, 45:15, 45:17, 55:1,

37:2

respect [5] - 59:19,

63:6, 71:23, 75:5,

respected [1] - 101:24

55:10, 55:14, 57:20, 57:25, 65:17, 65:21, 67:22, 70:25, 71:1, 72:20, 73:1, 76:9, 89:2, 104:10, 104:20, 109:11, 109:22 RSIS 181 - 53:13, 54:2. 54:17, 54:18, 55:23, 58:2, 58:10, 59:21 Ruby [8] - 4:13, 4:16, 85:10, 85:17, 85:19, 92:5, 95:25, 110:1 run [3] - 62:7, 81:18, 81:19 rush [1] - 93:4

S

S-H-I-N [1] - 94:4 S-P-A-T-Z[1] - 46:19 safe [1] - 97:14 safety [4] - 43:11, 63:21, 104:3, 109:19 sat [1] - 101:7 satisfied [2] - 63:17, 102:10 satisfy [3] - 103:24, 104:12, 106:8 school [6] - 81:5, 81:6, 81:16, 81:20, 82:6 schools [1] - 81:25 scoop (2) - 86:2 scope [2] - 43:4, 47:1 season [1] - 105:18 second [23] - 6:10, 7:9, 9:8, 10:16. 10:17, 12:6, 12:7, 14:15, 16:6, 16:10, 16:11, 17:12, 61:12, 71:22, 81:14, 97:2, 100:4, 100:7, 106:15, 106:18, 110:25, 111:1, 111:24 secondarily [1] - 41:7 SECRETARY [1] -2:15 see [12] - 8:6, 8:7, 23:20, 47:10, 62:8, 74:2, 85:3, 85:21, 85:25, 86:16, 110:3 seeing [1] - 95:20 seek (1) - 28:19 seeking [5] - 48:2, 65:25, 66:20, 66:22, 108:12 seeks [1] - 22:19 sense [1] - 89:15

8:21, 10:6, 30:1,

sent [2] - 13:17, 36:1 sentiment [1] - 25:9 **SEONGHYE** [1] - 1:20 separated [1] - 72:16 seriously [1] - 19:11 service [1] - 13:11 services [3] - 69:23, 70:3, 81:24 set [6] - 30:23, 35:13, 50:12, 51:6, 51:8, 106:9 set-aside [2] - 51:6, 51.8 setbacks [3] - 49:7, 74:24, 75:21 settle [1] - 59:24 seven [5] - 28:6, 28:11, 34:15, 106:12, 106:13 seven-member [1] -106:12 seven-story [2] - 28:6, 28:11 seventy [1] - 109:4 several [1] - 93:2 shall [5] - 20:4, 30:11, 31:14, 32:7, 32:17 shame [1] - 89:25 share [3] - 51:4, 82:18, 82:22 Shin [4] - 4:14, 4:17, 93:24, 97:23 SHIN [12] - 93:24, 94:4, 94:6, 95:8, 95:12, 95:16, 97:23, 98:15, 98:22, 99:2, 99:7, 99:17 shopping [2] - 69:22, 109:18 short [3] - 54:16, 54:17, 54:22 shots [1] - 21:13 showing [2] - 27:21, 110:18 shown [2] - 34:2, 104:24 shows [2] - 27:20, 45:10 side [21] - 28:7, 28:8, 28:10, 34:8, 40:20, 40:24, 40:25, 64:5, 65:1, 68:3, 72:2, 72:22, 72:25, 73:3, 73:22, 74:5, 89:3, 103:10, 103:11, 109:11 sidewalk [1] - 83:3 sight [2] - 73:24, 74:6 signed [1] - 44:9 significant [2] - 69:21,

71:20 significantly [4] -41:12, 67:19, 73:12, 105:1 signs [4] - 17:24, 18:1, 32:22, 87:23 similar [5] - 64:5, 68:2, 72:9, 73:10, 104:17 similar-type [1] - 68:2 similarly [2] - 103:11, 105:3 Simoff [7] - 25:22, 43:19, 59:3, 70:20, 71:2, 76:6, 102:25 SIMOFF [5] - 2:17, 4:5, 53:7, 54:7, 55:5 Simoff's [1] - 57:17 simple [1] - 9:2 simply [3] - 71:10, 102:10, 106:1 single [1] - 92:16 Site [4] - 4:22, 39:7, 39:16, 48:19 site [33] - 18:17, 18:20, 34:20, 39:23, 40:19, 40:23, 42:1, 43:8, 43:10, 43:11, 45:9, 47:4, 58:14, 58:21, 64:1, 64:16, 64:19, 65:13, 66:23, 67:4, 67:13, 68:8, 68:10, 69:19, 70:11, 71:9, 76:7, 78:12, 104:8, 105:9, 108:19, 110:5 sites [2] - 69:15, 104:16 sitting [1] - 84:20 situated [3] - 103:11, 105:3, 105:5 situating [1] - 105:7 six [8] - 28:6, 28:9, 28:12, 35:5, 58:4, 75:19, 76:19, 108:13 six-story [5] - 28:9, 28:12, 75:19, 76:19, 108:13 sizable [3] - 48:25, 69:4, 71:8 size [2] - 60:2, 108:5 slabs [1] - 32:18 slap [1] - 87:5 slow [2] - 31:1, 31:4 small [3] - 86:17, 94:21, 99:9 snow [2] - 97:8, 102:8 soap [2] - 86:25, 87:2 social [1] - 105:19

SOGs [2] - 30:24,

31:11 SOKOLICH [77] - 2:9, 13:3, 14:1, 15:16, 17:21, 18:2, 20:3, 20:8, 20:17, 21:5, 21:14, 22:10, 22:12, 22:16, 22:22, 23:1, 23:16, 24:6, 24:17, 25:2, 25:8, 25:12, 26:2, 26:19, 26:24, 29:10, 29:17, 31:3, 37:5, 38:4, 38:6, 38:23, 39:2, 39:11, 39:14, 39:19, 42:6, 42:9, 43:2, 43:24, 44:2, 44:7, 45:24, 46:3, 46:23, 51:23, 52:1, 52:4, 52:18, 53:1, 56:14, 57:6, 57:10, 57:15, 60:14, 77:1, 77:7, 77:12, 77:15, 77:21, 78:23, 82:9, 82:14, 82:18, 82:22, 82:25, 88:8, 91:12, 91:16, 95:1, 99:22, 101:5, 101:9, 101:11, 101:17, 103:9, 111:19 Sokolich [7] - 3:18, 3:21, 3:22, 4:3, 13:4, 17:22, 82:13 somewhat [2] - 40:2, 70:15 somewhere [2] -49:24, 70:24 soon [1] - 28:16 sorry [9] - 31:5, 34:14, 37:24, 45:7, 55:5, 71:1, 89:20, 106:19, 107:17 sort [1] - 30:15 sought [3] - 19:6, 27:5, 50:12 sound [2] - 102:1, 104:14 south [3] - 55:16, 72:22, 85:22 **space** [9] - 33:1, 54:13, 58:12, 58:17, 59:1, 75:3, 81:9, 86:17, 104:4 spaces [25] - 41:6, 41:16, 41:18, 48:17, 53:25, 54:10, 54:11, 54:18, 55:23, 58:3, 58:5, 58:6, 58:8, 58:18, 59:3, 59:4, 59:6, 59:8, 59:10, 59:25, 103:3, 109:4, 109:6, 109:7, 110:21 spanned [1] - 18:12 SPATZ [18] - 4:3, 46:13, 46:19, 63:15, 66:6, 66:10, 66:20, 67:16, 68:16, 68:21, 69:3, 69:18, 71:5, 72:4, 73:5, 74:15, 74:22, 77:14 Spatz [8] - 19:3, 19:23, 46:7, 46:19, 51:24, 75:6, 75:8, 76:23 Spatz's [1] - 63:6 special (6) - 48:22, 63:10, 63:13, 63:14, 63:16, 103:25 specific [2] - 66:19, 74:21 specifically [4] -66:16, 78:5, 103:16, 110:13 speed [2] - 24:3, 87:23 spell [2] - 80:19, 94:2 spend [1] - 99:8 spending [2] - 89:23, 89:24 spot [2] - 85:12, 103:2 Spring [2] - 72:7, 104:22 sprinkler [1] - 31:22 square [4] - 32:21, 108:5, 108:21, 108:22 squeezed [1] - 86:17 standard [4] - 23:14, 63:23, 64:8, 64:16 standards [3] - 59:21, 59:22, 66:24 Standards [1] - 48:20 standpipes [4] -30:23, 31:10, 31:14, 102:18 standpoint [7] - 40:15, 49:20, 57:21, 58:20, 71:16, 76:18 stands [1] - 62:19 star [1] - 104:23 start [2] - 45:12, 56:23 state [12] - 26:12, 38:17, 42:19, 45:17, 46:17, 50:25, 88:3, 88:18, 88:19, 88:21, 105:14, 109:23 State [5] - 51:18, 53:13, 113:4, 113:4, 113:7 state-of-the-art [1] -105:14 statement [2] - 5:9,

states [1] - 110:9 station [1] - 53:16 stations [1] - 33:15 statute [1] - 113:7 statutory [2] - 19:7, 23:14 stay [2] - 45:18, 101:6 stayed [1] - 27:16 step [1] - 76:17 Steve [8] - 18:18, 34:4, 34:6, 39:3, 39:20, 52:6, 62:14 Steve's [1] - 34:2 STEVEN [4] - 1:21, 2:16, 3:20, 38:20 Steven [1] - 38:19 still [6] - 27:25, 48:5, 48:12, 85:1, 107:25, 109:16 stop [2] - 81:21, 93:3 stories [8] - 27:20, 35:4, 35:5, 47:19, 73:8, 73:9, 89:5, 110:7 stormwater [1] -105:11 story [13] - 28:6, 28:9, 28:11, 28:12, 35:3, 41:3, 74:13, 75:16, 75:19, 76:19, 76:22, 108:13 straightforward [1] -41:2 streamline [3] - 19:22, 27:1, 39:21 street [11] - 33:19, 34:8, 56:5, 73:25, 85:10, 85:19, 86:3, 86:9, 94:21, 109:9, 109:10 Street [6] - 4:15, 4:18, 38:15, 38:20, 93:25, 97:24 street-side [1] - 34:8 streets [2] - 85:11, 85:19 striping [5] - 27:21, 33:25, 34:16, 34:22, 57:22 structural [1] - 32:18 structure [7] - 28:7, 28:9, 28:12, 32:23, 33:21, 41:3 structures [1] - 64:11 student [1] - 81:16 stuff [1] - 25:5 subcode [1] - 36:22 subject [2] - 104:8, 108:16 submission [1] -

101:4

62:17 submit [2] - 32:9, 33:16 submitted [4] - 7:7, 32:7, 35:19, 77:8 subsequent [1] - 32:1 substantial [1] -110:18 sufficient [4] - 64:22, 68:7, 104:4, 109:6 suggesting [2] - 36:4, 102:13 suggestion [2] -19:20, 31:25 suit [4] - 21:1, 21:21, 24:4, 24:22 suitability [1] - 64:19 suited 131 - 64:20. 68:10, 104:9 sum [1] - 87:16 summarize [1] - 63:14 summarized [1] -106:4 summary [6] - 18:11, 27:4, 29:24, 40:15, 63:10, 66:1 sums [1] - 51:22 supplemental [2] -30:5, 77:9 supply [1] - 33:4 support [3] - 47:7, 48:23, 64:21 **supported** [1] - 68:6 supporter [1] - 84:10 supporting [3] -48:22, 65:23, 69:9 supposed [1] - 88:3 suppression [3] -33:6, 33:12, 102:19 surrounded [1] -110:6 surrounding [1] -110:20 survive [1] - 96:12 swear [4] - 26:5, 38:10, 42:11, 46:10 switching [1] - 35:10 sworn [4] - 26:11, 38:16, 42:18, 46:16 SWORN [2] - 3:2, 4:2 Sylvan [2] - 26:10, 26:16 synopsis [1] - 52:7 system [4] - 31:22, 33:13, 58:23, 61:13 systems [3] - 31:21, 32:3, 105:13

T

talks [2] - 59:3, 72:14 tall [2] - 73:4, 73:6 taller [2] - 73:12, 105:1 taxpayer [1] - 84:10 team [1] - 106:3 technically [1] - 70:16 teeming [1] - 87:21 telephone [1] - 102:16 ten [2] - 59:8, 88:1 tenants [3] - 30:15, 32:18, 59:12 tens [1] - 101:22 term [1] - 72:11 terms [12] - 27:25, 48:14, 48:20, 49:5, 67:3, 67:18, 70:10, 71:15, 71:24, 75:18, 76:7 Terrace [8] - 1:8, 3:6, 11:11, 15:20, 46:15, 46:21, 84:18, 86:2 Terranova [12] - 5:22, 6:22, 7:20, 9:20, 11:3, 12:18, 15:2, 16:23, 79:22, 100:19, 106:13, 111:13 TERRANOVA [12] -1:19, 5:23, 6:23, 7:21, 9:21, 11:4, 12:19, 15:3, 16:24, 79:23, 100:20, 111:14 terrible [1] - 56:21 test [1] - 64:19 TESTA [54] - 2:3, 10:6, 10:10, 11:14, 11:21, 12:1, 12:7, 13:24, 14:11, 15:8, 15:17, 17:5, 17:14, 17:23, 26:5, 26:12, 29:14, 37:23, 37:25, 38:8, 38:17, 38:22, 39:13, 42:11, 42:19, 42:24, 44:1, 46:8, 46:17, 52:22, 81:21, 82:5, 82:11, 83:14, 83:17, 83:23, 84:1, 84:5, 91:14, 95:3, 95:10, 95:24, 98:6, 98:17, 98:20, 98:24, 99:5, 100:3, 106:15, 106:20, 106:24, 107:3, 107:6, 107:10 testified [1] - 70:20

testifies [4] - 26:11,

38:16, 42:18, 46:16

testify [1] - 109:12 testimony [39] - 18:14, 18:18, 18:21, 18:24, 19:3, 19:17, 26:6, 27:1, 27:7, 28:16, 28:18, 34:25, 38:10, 39:25, 40:5, 42:12, 44:15, 44:19, 45:22, 46:10, 47:7, 47:11, 47:23, 48:21, 49:18, 52:5, 62:17, 63:7, 63:25, 68:7, 68:18, 71:5, 73:11, 82:2, 98:25, 103:21, 103:23, 113:6 **TESTIMONY** [2] - 3:2, 4:2 text [1] - 21:8 THE [7] - 2:5, 31:1, 79:1, 80:18, 92:7, 94:2, 94:5 THERE [1] - 1:16 thereof [1] - 18:25 they've [2] - 52:7, 86:16 third (1) - 29:12 thirds [1] - 55:20 thoroughfares [1] -65:21 thousands [2] -101:23, 105:13 three [19] - 18:8, 18:12, 19:18, 48:2, 55:20, 61:4, 73:8, 74:13, 75:16, 76:22, 80:9, 87:16, 90:17, 90:18, 90:19, 104:11, 108:3, 108:17, 110:7 three-family [1] -108:17 three-quarters [1] -55:20 three-stories [1] -110:7 three-story (3) -74:13, 75:16, 76:22 throughout [1] - 52:11 timing [1] - 24:9 tin [1] - 102:20 tired [1] - 101:12 Titanic [1] - 86:11 today [3] - 39:15, 69:2, 95:13 together [2] - 61:17, 108:4 tonight [9] - 6:6, 8:2, 8:20, 22:1, 25:1, 27:2, 27:18, 62:13, 63:11

tonight's [1] - 62:17 took [1] - 59:15 top [2] - 41:3, 45:9 tops [1] - 19:23 total [1] - 41:19 totally [1] - 80:23 towards [11] - 49:2, 50:5, 50:22, 56:23, 65:17, 68:9, 69:8, 74:4, 74:6, 104:20 town [18] - 77:24, 80:22, 80:23, 81:5, 81:12, 81:16, 81:18, 81:19, 82:21, 84:8, 84:10, 85:5, 86:3, 86:21, 87:1, 87:8, 94:13, 94:24 tracks [1] - 23:23 traffic [32] - 18:21, 18:23, 19:1, 25:22, 25:25, 43:7, 43:17, 55:3, 55:9, 55:13, 55:14, 55:15, 55:20, 56:10, 67:22, 70:21, 70:24, 71:6, 76:5, 76:13, 86:14, 89:15, 89:16, 92:21, 93:4, 93:6, 96:7, 97:17, 101:25, 102:21, 110:4 TRAFFIC [1] - 2:17 trafficked [1] - 109:21 train [1] - 53:16 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 1:4 transcript [3] - 53:10, 107:12, 113:10 transit [14] - 64:7, 69:21, 69:25, 71:8, 71:13, 92:12, 92:15, 92:22, 92:24, 92:25, 93:1, 93:11, 93:17, 109:14 Transit [1] - 60:4 Transportation [2] -44:23, 53:14 transportation [4] -59:16, 60:12, 103:5, 104:10 travel [2] - 109:16, 109:17 travels [1] - 76:11 treats [1] - 67:18 Triangle [1] - 86:11 trip [1] - 43:8 truck [2] - 30:13, 32:25 trucking [1] - 87:22

trucks [1] - 88:6

trust [1] - 47:13

true [2] - 58:11, 106:1

truth [12] - 26:7, 38:12, 42:13, 42:14, 46:11, 46:12 truthfully [1] - 22:4 try [4] - 20:12, 23:25, 56:22, 69:14 trying [4] - 69:14, 90:1, 97:7, 97:9 turn [2] - 67:24, 92:18 turned [2] - 27:11, 101:11 turning [1] - 102:20 turnpike [1] - 109:22 two [41] - 5:6, 8:12, 8:16, 8:23, 8:24, 13:12, 19:18, 30:9, 36:21, 48:4, 54:9, 55:20, 58:7, 59:2, 59:6, 61:6, 61:17, 67:11, 70:22, 73:9, 74:13, 75:14, 75:15, 75:16, 76:21, 85:4, 85:10, 85:19, 86:18, 88:17, 90:23, 94:7, 107:24, 108:1, 108:10, 108:18, 110:7, 110:8, 110:10, 110:11 two-family [11] -67:11, 70:22, 75:15, 76:21, 86:18, 90:23, 108:1, 108:10, 108:18, 110:10, 110:11 two-thirds [1] - 55:20 type [6] - 27:12, 30:20, 58:23, 60:1, 68:2, 104:13 typical [2] - 30:12, 30:20 typically [1] - 68:22

U

U-turn [1] - 67:24 U.S [1] - 45:13 ultimate [1] - 101:21 ultimately [2] - 75:8, 76:11 um-hmm [1] - 107:3 unconditionally [1] -14:5 under [1] - 113:6 understood [5] -34:23, 35:12, 46:3, 78:3 unfortunately [2] -80:25, 83:3 unique [2] - 70:15, 71:17

Unit [1] - 97:24 unit [10] - 48:9, 48:12, 55:24, 58:12, 58:17, 61:16, 67:19, 103:2, 108:21, 108:22 units [27] - 27:25, 41:12, 41:13, 48:11, 48:15, 49:13, 49:25, 50:2, 50:3, 50:11, 50:19, 50:20, 55:18, 60:2, 67:5, 67:13, 69:10, 70:1, 70:2, 73:20, 90:15, 90:16, 107:23, 107:24, 108:8, 108:9 units' [1] - 51:17 universe [1] - 96:13 unless [1] - 70:23 unobstructed [2] -34:10, 34:17 untruths [1] - 105:21 unusual [3] - 98:1, 98:23, 99:10 up [31] - 9:2, 14:3, 18:1, 23:6, 25:24, 27:17, 29:11, 38:7, 51:22, 52:15, 55:5, 56:22, 60:22, 61:16, 62:9, 63:1, 63:6, 79:5, 80:5, 80:16, 85:19, 86:2, 86:3, 87:16, 90:24, 91:2, 93:9, 93:10, 93:14, 96:8 update [1] - 27:19 upper [1] - 55:12 urban [2] - 53:17, 65:22 uses [1] - 63:25 usual [1] - 90:23

V

Valente [2] - 28:23, 36:1 van [1] - 32:25 vantage [1] - 75:19 variance [16] - 19:5, 48:5, 48:6, 48:7, 48:12, 58:8, 65:6, 66:21, 66:23, 71:23, 72:8, 72:12, 78:2, 108:7, 108:11, 108:20 variances [12] - 19:7, 47:7, 47:10, 48:2, 48:4, 48:10, 48:20, 49:5, 65:24, 68:11, 104:24, 108:7

variety [1] - 63:25

various [2] - 8:17, 83:19 Vassilios [1] - 26:15 **VASSILIOS** [2] - 3:18, 26:15 vehicle [3] - 32:18, 32:24, 60:9 vehicles [6] - 30:14, 30:16, 33:7, 33:13, 43:9, 54:19 vehicular [2] - 32:14, 71:11 verbatim [1] - 113:5 verse [1] - 72:7 versus [2] - 35:16, 104:22 vertical [1] - 30:7 via [1] - 13:18 viable [2] - 86:24, 102:1 VICE [1] - 1:18 view [1] - 74:17 viewing [1] - 75:20 visit [4] - 47:5, 60:18, 60:19, 60:20 visiting [3] - 61:15, 61:19, 109:18 visitor [9] - 55:24, 58:13, 58:19, 58:20, 58:24, 61:14, 61:21, 109:7 visitors [4] - 58:10, 60:25, 61:11, 103:3 visual [2] - 64:10, 104:7 volumes [2] - 33:8, 35:15 volunteer [2] - 83:18, 84:6 vote [8] - 62:13, 89:18, 89:19, 106:21,

W

106:24, 107:1,

107:16, 111:2

votes [1] - 89:18

voting [1] - 94:13

wait [4] - 43:14, 46:1, 80:4, 85:17 waiting [1] - 86:1 waive [1] - 14:5 wake [1] - 96:8 wall [1] - 70:18 wants [7] - 23:9, 55:14, 55:15, 92:2, 94:13, 94:24 waste [1] - 99:9 watch [1] - 86:10 water [2] - 33:4, 33:8 ways [5] - 49:2, 53:11, 61:23, 72:17, 72:18 weeks [1] - 94:7 weigh [2] - 75:9, 76:15 weight [2] - 32:13, 32:23

welcome [1] - 15:17 welfare [2] - 63:21, 104:4 well-suited [2] -64:20, 68:10

West [23] - 4:10, 4:13, 18:8, 40:20, 40:22, 45:18, 65:18, 66:13, 70:21, 71:1, 73:25, 76:8, 84:17, 85:8, 85:10, 85:20, 86:2, 86:18, 87:6, 87:12, 92:5, 110:1

west [8] - 28:8, 28:10, 56:5, 57:24, 74:5, 85:13, 109:11, 110:8 westbound [1] - 54:25 westerly [1] - 40:24 western [2] - 55:2,

56:21 **whole** [6] - 26:7, 35:13, 38:12, 42:13, 46:12, 88:20

width [1] - 40:23 willing [4] - 36:25, 102:9, 105:12, 106:7 window [1] - 96:8

wipe [1] - 50:4 wise [1] - 94:23 WITNESS [2] - 3:2, 4:2

witness [2] - 19:17, 46:6

witnesses [3] - 25:13, 43:4, 46:25 wondering [1] - 63:9

wondering [1] - 63:8 **word** [2] - 57:12, 96:25

words [3] - 20:7, 51:9, 60:25

writing [1] - 44:19 written [1] - 96:13

Х

X(Cont' [1] - 4:1

Υ

yard [2] - 108:25, 109:1 year [2] - 8:5, 8:21 years [10] - 8:12, 8:16, 8:23, 8:24, 80:22, 88:1, 89:2, 94:18, 94:19
YOON [11] - 1:20, 6:2,
7:2, 7:25, 9:25, 11:8,
12:23, 15:7, 17:3,
80:2, 100:24
Yoon [16] - 6:1, 7:1,
7:24, 9:24, 11:7,
12:22, 15:6, 17:2,
80:1, 100:23,
106:14, 106:15,
106:21, 106:24,
106:25, 107:16
Young [4] - 1:8, 3:6,
11:10, 15:19
yup [2] - 25:11, 77:19

Z

zone [9] - 65:4, 65:8, 65:15, 66:5, 66:13, 67:1, 73:13, 103:12, 108:2
zoned [2] - 71:20, 108:9
zones [1] - 102:17
zoning [9] - 22:20, 25:4, 47:5, 63:18, 65:3, 66:5, 71:16, 75:3, 110:9
ZONING [1] - 1:1