

200 Central Avenue – Suite 102 **MOUNTAINSIDE, NJ 07092** Tel: 201.939.8805 • Fax: 732.943.7249

DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS FOR BLOCK 416, LOT 3 555 Bergen Boulevard BOROUGH OF PALISADES PARK BERGEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

PROJECT NO.: PAPKPRV20.010 DATE: SEPTEMBER 11, 2020

NEGLIA ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES 34 Park Avenue P.O. Box 426 Lyndhurst, NJ 07071

Anthony Kurus, Professional Engineer New Jersey License No. 46445

Civil Engineering • Municipal Engineering • Landscape Architecture • Traffic Engineering Planning • Land Surveying • GIS • Construction Management

www.negliaengineering.com

Table of Contents

DESIGN REPORT

INTRODUCTION	Page No. 3
DESIGN METHODOLOGY	3
Stormwater Management Design	3
Stormwater Conveyance Design	
EXISTING CONDITIONS	5
Existing Site Description	5
Existing Drainage Areas	5
PROPOSED CONDITIONS	
Proposed Site Description	5
Proposed Drainage Areas	5
Proposed Stormwater Detention System	5
Basin Routing Tables	6
Runoff Comparison Tables	7

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Pre-Developed Aerial Photograph
	Modified Rational Method Critical Storm Determination Calculations
	Existing Hydrographs
	Proposed Hydrographs
	Proposed Detention Basin Routings
	Post Construction Runoff Hydrographs
	Hydrograph Summary Reports
	Stormwater Conveyance System Calculations

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1	Existing Drainage Area Map
FIGURE 2	Proposed Drainage Area Map
FIGURE 3	Proposed Sub Area Map

INTRODUCTION

The 555 Bergen Boulevard project proposes to construct a residential building and parking structure on Block 416, Lot 3 in the Borough of Palisades Park. The total tract area is approximately 0.31 acres. In addition, the project proposes to reconstruct Oakdene Avenue to provide additional on-street parking and access to the project site.

The site had been previously used as a heavy equipment yard with materials storage. There was a square shaped garage on site that was used for maintenance and repairs of trucks, and fuel dispensers along the southerly property line. (2002 aerial photo shows it) The site previously functioned like a construction yard, with outdoor storage of materials and vehicles. The pre-developed existing site was previously a fully paved, fully developed site.

This report addresses the engineering design of the stormwater conveyance and stormwater management for the site.

SUMMARY OF DISTURBANCE AND PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS

The project proposes to disturb a total of 0.63 acres to construct both the proposed residential building/parking structure and to construct the off-tract improvements to Oakdene Avenue and minor site improvements to the adjacent property to the north.

The pre-developed existing impervious coverage on the project site is 0.66 acres.

The post-developed site impervious coverage is 0.69 acres.

The project will increase impervious coverage from the pre-developed condition by 0.03 acres.

Since the project is below the threshold of major development as defined by RSIS, Borough of Palisades Park Land Development Ordinance, and NJAC 7:8-4.2, the stormwater management has been designed such that the post project peak rate of runoff does not existing the existing pre-construction peak rate of runoff.

DESIGN METHODOLOGY

Stormwater Management Design

This study was prepared using the Rational Formula to calculate peak rate of runoff and the Modified Rational Method of calculating storage requirements for drainage areas.

The Rational Formula:Q = CIAWhereQ = peak runoff rate (cfs)

C = runoff coefficient I = rainfall intensity (in/hr) A = drainage area (acres) A "weighed" runoff coefficient has been computed for drainage areas consisting of a mixture of different land uses. A "C" value of 0.95 has been utilized for paved areas and roof areas, and a "C" value of 0.63 has been utilized for proposed weeded areas. For gravel areas, a "C" value of 0.84 has been utilized.

Rainfall intensities based on the time of concentration of the drainage area have been utilized in computing peak runoff rates. The time of concentration is defined as the time for runoff to travel from the hydraulically most distant point of the watershed to a point of interest. Values of the time of concentration were determined for existing and proposed conditions based on land cover and slope of the flow path using methods described in TR-55. Rainfall intensities have been taken from Figure 7.2, Rainfall Intensity Curves as per NJAC 5:21-7.2, New Jersey Residential Site Improvement Standards.

The Modified Rational Method:

The modified rational method uses a trial method to approximate the critical storm duration and critical storage volume for the proposed drainage area. The method is iterative since the critical storage volume may result from a storm duration that differs from the time of concentration for the drainage area. Rainfall intensities have been taken from the Trenton, NJ Intensity-Duration-Frequency (I-D-F) curves for the 2 year, 10 year, and 100 year storm, for various storm durations in order to compute the critical storm duration. The critical storm duration which yielded the greatest approximate storage volume was used to design the proposed on site detention facilities.

The proposed detention basin has been designed to attenuate the 2, 10, and 100 year storms. Level pool routing techniques were used to route the critical storm hydrographs through the proposed underground detention basin located in Oakdene Avenue.

Stormwater Conveyance Design

The storm sewer conveyance system was analyzed using the Rational Method for estimating runoff as per ordinance and Residential Site Improvement Standards (RSIS).

To design the system, the site was divided into sub-areas, each contributing runoff to a catch basin or roof drain. A value for area, time of concentration, and a runoff coefficient was calculated for each contributing sub-area. Values for time of concentration were chosen based on land cover and slope of the flow path from the hydraulically most distant point in the sub-area to the appropriate inlet. An average runoff coefficient was chosen based of the percentage of each type of land cover.

The rainfall intensities were taken from the Trenton Rainfall data base.

Storm drainage pipes were then sized based on calculated flows using Manning's Equation and were verified by calculating the peak flows and hydraulic capacity of the pipes.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Existing Conditions

The existing project site is broken down into the following drainage areas for the drainage analysis

- 1. Existing DA-To Front This drainage area is approximately 0.16 acres and is comprised of the paved area adjacent to Bergen Boulevard and a portion of the adjacent parking lot along Bergen Boulevard to the north of the site. Runoff from this drainage area flows to the existing storm conveyance system in Bergen Boulevard.
- 2. Existing DA to Adjacent Lot 1 Depression The topography of the existing site is such that the existing Oakdene Avenue slopes down to the existing site which is ulitimately lower than the properties to the south and to the north. Runoff from this drainage area ultimately discharges to an existing low depression located on the adjacent Lot 1 to the north. This drainage area is approximately 0.43 acres.
- 3. Existing DA to Rear The topography of the existing site is such that the existing site is higher than the property to the east. Runoff from this drainage area flows overland to the existing property to the east/rear of the site. This drainage area is approximately 0.11 acres.

The site had been previously used as a heavy equipment yard with materials storage. There was a square shaped garage on site that was used for maintenance and repairs of trucks, and fuel dispensers along the southerly property line. (2002 aerial photo shows it) The site previously functioned like a construction yard, with outdoor storage of materials and vehicles. The pre-developed existing site was previously a fully paved, fully developed site.

Existing drainage areas are delineated on figure 1.

PROPOSED CONDITIONS

Proposed Conditions

The proposed project site is broken down into the following drainage areas for the drainage analysis

- 1. PR DA-Detained This drainage area is approximately 0.69 acres and is comprised of the paved area adjacent to Bergen Boulevard, a portion of the adjacent parking lot along Bergen Boulevard to the north of the site, the proposed reconstructed Oakdene Avenue, and the project site. Runoff from this drainage area will be collected, stored, and attenuated by the proposed underground detention basin.
- 2. PR DA Undetained to Adjacent Lot 1 Depression This drainage area is approximately 0.006 acres and consists of the perimeter area surrounding the parking structure that will be pervious gravel. Runoff from this drainage area ultimately discharges to the existing low depression located on the adjacent Lot 1 to the north as in the existing condition.

3. PR DA to Undetained to Rear – This drainage area is approximately 0.004 acres and consists of the perimeter area surrounding the parking structure that will be pervious gravel. Runoff from this drainage area ultimately discharges to the rear of the site undetained as in the existing condition.

Proposed Underground Detention Basin

The Proposed underground detention basin consists of six -141 linear feet runs of 30" HDPE pipe and two 30" HDPE manifold header pipes, each 20 feet long. The proposed detention piping will be non-perforated. The total storage volume of the system is 4,362 cf. The system contains an outlet control structure that will discharge stormwater at a controlled/reduced rate to the existing storm conveyance system in Bergen Boulevard.

PROPOSED UNDERGROUND DETENTION BASIN ROUTING SUMMARY

24 Hr Storm (Year)	Peak Outflow	Peak Elevation	Maximum Storage
	(cfs)	(feet)	(cubic feet)
2	0.65	303.77	1,905
10	0.79	304.15	2,747
100	1.23	304.95	4,186

SUMMARY TABLES OF POST PROJECT RUNOFF

TO FRONT (BERGEN BOULEVARD)

	Existing	Proposed	Conclusion
2 year	0.65 cfs	0.65 cfs	Post = Pre
10 Year	0.90 cfs	0.79 cfs	Post < Pre
100 Year	1.23 cfs	1.23 cfs	Post = Pre

TO ADJACENT LOT 1 DEPRESSION

	Existing	Proposed	Conclusion
2 year	1.30 cfs	0.02 cfs	Post < Pre
10 Year	1.78 cfs	0.03 cfs	Post < Pre
100 Year	2.43 cfs	0.04 cfs	Post < Pre

TO REAR

	Existing	Proposed	Conclusion
2 1000	0.30 ofs	0.01 ofs	Dost < Dro
2 year	0.30 CIS	0.01 CIS	
10 Year	0.41 cfs	0.02 cfs	Post < Pre
100 Year	0.56 cfs	0.03 cfs	Post < Pre

Conclusion

The project has been designed to reduce runoff to the surrounding properties and to the adjacent roadway by storing and attenuating runoff in the proposed underground detention basin. The project will have no adverse impact with respect to stormwater management.

APPENDIX A

Pre-Developed Aerial Photograph Modified Rational Method Critical Storm Determination Calculations Existing Hydrographs Proposed Hydrographs Proposed Detention Basin Routings Post Construction Runoff Hydrographs Hydrograph Summary Reports Stormwater Conveyance System Calculations



PRE-Developed site

Existing Peak flow to Front (Bergen Boulevard)

Qallowable (cfs)	Qp (cfs)	l (in/hr)	Tc (min)	C	A (ac)	
0.65	0.65	4.25	10	0,95	0.16	2 year Storm
0.90	0.90	5.9	10	0.95	0.16	10 Year Storm
1.23	1.23	8.1	10	0.95	0.16	100 Year Storm

Critical Storm Determination for 2 year Storm, Proposed Basin

	STORAGE DUP	ATION VALUES -	- CRITICAL STOP	IM/ESTIMATED :	STORAGE VOLU	ME DETERMINATIC	Ň	
Drainage Area (acres)	Runoff Coefficient	Tc(min)	Duration of Storm (min)	Intensity (in/hr)	Peak Inflow Flow Q (cfs)	Inflow volume(cf)	outflow Q (cfs)	outflow v
A	c	D	0	_	Q=CIA	V=QD	Q=CIA	V=QC
0.690	0.95	10.00	10.00	4.25	2.79	1671.53	0.65	387.60
0.690	0.95	10.00	15.00	3.75	2.46	2212.31	0.65	581.40
0.690	0.95	10.00	20.00	3.00	1.97	2359.80	0.65	775.20
0.690	0.95	10.00	25.00	2.75	1.80	2703.94	0.65	969.00
0.690	0.95	10.00	30.00	2.45	1.61	2890.76	0.65	1162.80
0.690	0.95	10.00	60.00	1.50	0.98	3539.70	0.65	2325.60
0.690	0.95	10.00	120.00	0.88	0.58	4153.25	0.65	4651.2

Critical Storm Determination for 10 year Storm, Proposed Basin

	Drainage Area (acres)	STORAGE DU	Tc(min)	Duration of Storm (min)	RM/ESTIMATED Intensity (in/hr)		Peak Inflow Flow Q (cfs)	Peak Inflow Flow Inflow volume(cf) Q (cfs)	Peak Inflow Flow Inflow volume(cf) outflow Q (cfs)	STORAGE VOLUME DETERMINATION Peak Inflow Flow Inflow volume(cf) Q (cfs) Inflow volume(cf)
				(min)		Q (cfs)				
-	A	c	0	0	_	Q=CIA	V≓QD	Q=CIA	V=QD	
-	0.690	0.95	10.00	10.00	5.90	3.87	2320.47	0.90	538.08	
-	0.690	0.95	10.00	15.00	4.90	3.21	2890.76	0.90	807.12	
-	0.690	0.95	10.00	20.00	4.00	2.62	3146.40	0.90	1076.16	
-	0.690	0.95	10.00	25.00	3.75	2,46	3687.19	0.90	1345.20	
-	0.690	0.95	10.00	30.00	3.33	2.18	3929.07	0.90	1614.24	
-	0.690	0.95	10.00	60.00	2.00	1.31	4719.60	0.90	3228.48	
-	0.690	0.95	10.00	120.00	1.25	0.82	5899.50	0.90	6456.96	
2										ļ

Ì									
0,690	0.690	0.690	0.690	0.690	0.690	0.690	A	Drainage Area (acres)	
0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	c	Runoff Coefficient	STORAGE DUF
10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	Ð	Tc(min)	RATION VALUES
120.00	60.00	30.00	25.00	20.00	15.00	10.00	D	Duration of Storm (min)	- CRITICAL STOR
1.75	3.30	4.90	5.40	6.00	6.90	8.10	-	Intensity (in/hr)	M/ESTIMATED S
1.15	2.16	3.21	3.54	3.93	4.52	5.31	Q=CIA	Peak Inflow Flow Q (cfs)	STORAGE VOLU
8259.30	7787.34	5781.51	5309.55	4719.60	4070.66	3185.73	V=QD	Inflow volume(cf)	ME DETERMINATIO
1.23	1.23	1.23	1.23	1.23	1.23	1.23	Q=CIA	outflow Q (cfs)	Ň
8864.64	4432.32	2216.16	1846.80	1477.44	1108.08	738.72	V=Q0	outflow volume (cf)	
-605.34	3355.02	3565.35	3462.75	3242.16	2962,58	2447.01	In - Out	Approximate Storage (cf)	
_0		MAX	_						

Critical Storm Determination for 100 year Storm, Proposed Basin

As per the modified rational method critical storm/estimated storate volume determination, the 100 year 30 minute storm is the critical storm duration resulting the maximum required storage volume. See corresponding basin routing computations.

Table of Contents

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Wednesday, Oct 26 2011, 11:48 AM

2 - Year

Hydrograph Reports	1
Hydrograph No. 2, Mod. Rational, ex da to front - peak flow	. 1
Hydrograph No. 4, Mod. Rational, pr to detenion	2
Hydrograph No. 5, Reservoir, pr route to front	. 3
Pond Report	4
Hydrograph No. 8, Mod. Rational, ex da to rear - peak flow	. 5
Hydrograph No. 9, Mod. Rational, pr da to rear undetained- peak flow	6
Hydrograph No. 11, Mod. Rational, ex da to adj lot 1 depression - peak	. 7
Hydrograph No. 12, Mod. Rational, pr da to adj lot 1 depression - peak	8

10 - Year

Hydrograph Paparte	9
Hydrograph Ne. 0. Med. Detienel, ex de te front - peak flow	9
Hydrograph No. 2, Mod. Halional, ex da to nont - peak now	10
Hydrograph No. 4, Mod. Hational, pr to detenion	10
Hydrograph No. 5, Reservoir, pr route to front	
Pond Report	12
Hydrograph No. 8 Mod. Rational, ex da to rear - peak flow	13
Hydrograph No. 9, Mod. Rational, pr da to rear undetained- peak flow	14
Hydrograph No. 9, Wou. Hallonal, prud to rear andotamed poar net	15
Hydrograph No. 11, Mod. Rational, ex da to auj lot 1 depression - peak	16
Hydrograph No. 12, Mod. Rational, pr da to adj lot 1 depression - peak	10

100 - Year

	a 🚽
Hydrograph Reports	17
Hydrograph No. 2, Mod. Rational, ex da to front - peak flow	17
Hydrograph No. 4, Mod. Rational, pr to detenion	18
Hydrograph No. 5, Reservoir, pr route to front	19
Pond Report	20
Hydrograph No. 8, Mod. Rational, ex da to rear - peak flow	21
Hydrograph No. 9, Mod. Rational, pr da to rear undetained- peak flow	22
Hydrograph No. 11, Mod. Rational, ex da to adj lot 1 depression - peak	23
Hydrograph No. 12, Mod. Rational, pr da to adj lot 1 depression - peak	24

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 2

ex da to front - peak flow

Hydrograph type Storm frequency Drainage area Intensity IDF Curve	 Mod. Rational 2 yrs 0.2 ac 4.308 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge = 0.65 cfs Time interval = 1 min Runoff coeff. = 0.95 Tc by User = 10 min Storm duration = 1 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 393 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 4

pr to detenion

Hydrograph type	 Mod. Rational 2 yrs 0.7 ac 2.450 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge	= 1.61 cfs
Storm frequency		Time interval	= 1 min
Drainage area		Runoff coeff.	= 0.95
Intensity		Tc by User	= 10 min
IDF Curve		Storm duration	= 3 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 2,891 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 5

pr route to front

Hydrograph type= ReservoirStorm frequency= 2 yrsInflow hyd. No.= 4Reservoir name= PIPE STORAGE - Mod Rat.AE	Peak discharge Time interval Max. Elevation Max. Storage	= 0.65 cfs = 1 min = 303.77 ft = 1,905 cuft
---	---	--

Storage Indication method used.

Hydrograph Volume = 2,888 cuft



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Wednesday, Oct 26 2011, 11:48 AM

Pond No. 6 - PIPE STORAGE - Mod Rat.AE

Pond Data

Pipe dia. = 2.50 ft Pipe length = 141.0 ft No. Barrels = 6.0 Slope = 0.20 % Invert elev. = 302.50 ft

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)
0.00	302.50	00	0	0
0.14	302.64	00	17	17
0.28	302.78	00	91	108
0.42	302.92	00	163	271
0.56	303.06	00	211	482
0.30	303.20	00	243	725
0.83	303.33	00	266	991
0.97	303.47	00	283	1,274
1 11	303.61	00	296	1,570
1.25	303.75	00	304	1,874
1.39	303.89	00	308	2,182
1.53	304.03	00	308	2,489
1.60	304.17	00	304	2,793
1.81	304.31	00	296	3,089
1.95	304.45	00	284	3,373
2.09	304.59	00	266	3,638
2.23	304.73	00	243	3,881
2.36	304.86	00	210	4,091
2.50	305.00	00	163	4,254
2.64	305.14	00	90	4,345
2.78	305.28	00	17	4,362

Weir Structures

Culvert / Orifice Structures

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in) Span (in) No. Barrels Invert El. (ft) Length (ft) Slope (%) N-Value Orif Cooff	= 8.00 = 8.00 = 1 = 302.50 = 100.00 = 0.81 = .011 = 0.60	6.20 6.20 1 302.50 0.00 0.00 .013 0.44	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Crest Len (ft) Crest El. (ft) Weir Coeff. Weir Type Multi-Stage	= 0.10 = 304.00 = 3.33 = Rect = Yes	0.00 0.00 3.33 No	0.00 0.00 0.00 No	0.00 0.00 0.00 No
Multi-Stage	= n/a	Yes	No	No	Exfiltration = 0	.000 in/hr (Wet	area) Ta	ilwater Elev	v. = 0.00 ft

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows have been analyzed under inlet and outlet control.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisoive

Hyd. No. 8

ex da to rear - peak flow

Hydrograph type	 Mod. Rational 2 yrs 0.1 ac 4.308 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge	= 0.30 cfs
Storm frequency		Time interval	= 1 min
Drainage area		Runoff coeff.	= 0.63
Intensity		Tc by User	= 10 min
IDF Curve		Storm duration	= 1 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 179 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 9

pr da to rear undetained- peak flow

Hydrograph type	 Mod. Rational 2 yrs 0.0 ac 4.308 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge	= 0.01 cfs
Storm frequency		Time interval	= 1 min
Drainage area		Runoff coeff.	= 0.84
Intensity		Tc by User	= 10 min
IDF Curve		Storm duration	= 1 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 9 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 11

ex da to adj lot 1 depression - peak

Hydrograph type	= Mod. Rational
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs
Drainage area	= 0.4 ac
Intensity	= 4.308 in/hr
IDF Curve	= Trenton.idf

Peak discharge= 1.30 cfsTime interval= 1 minRunoff coeff.= 0.7Tc by User= 10 minStorm duration= 1 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 778 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 12

pr da to adj lot 1 depression - peak

Hydrograph type	= Mod. Rational
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs
Drainage area	= 0.0 ac
Intensity	= 4.308 in/hr
IDF Curve	= Trenton.idf

Wednesday, Oct 26 2011, 11:48 AM

Peak discharge	= 0.02 cfs
Time interval	= 1 min
Runoff coeff.	= 0.84
Tc by User	= 10 min
Storm duration	= 1 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 13 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisoive

Hyd. No. 2

ex da to front - peak flow

Hydrograph type	 Mod. Rational 10 yrs 0.2 ac 5.924 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge	= 0.90 cfs
Storm frequency		Time interval	= 1 min
Drainage area		Runoff coeff.	= 0.95
Intensity		Tc by User	= 10 min
IDF Curve		Storm duration	= 1 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 540 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 4

pr to detenion

Hydrograph type	 Mod. Rational 10 yrs 0.7 ac 3.330 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge	= 2.18 cfs
Storm frequency		Time interval	= 1 min
Drainage area		Runoff coeff.	= 0.95
Intensity		Tc by User	= 10 min
IDF Curve		Storm duration	= 3 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 3,929 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 5

pr route to front

Hydrograph type	 Reservoir 10 yrs 4 PIPE STORAGE - Mod Rat.AE 	Peak discharge	= 0.79 cfs
Storm frequency		Time interval	= 1 min
Inflow hyd. No.		Max. Elevation	= 304.15 ft
Reservoir name		Max. Storage	= 2,747 cuft

Storage Indication method used.

Hydrograph Volume = 3,926 cuft



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Pond No. 6 - PIPE STORAGE - Mod Rat.AE

Pond Data

Pipe dia. = 2.50 ft Pipe length = 141.0 ft No. Barrels = 6.0 Slope = 0.20 % Invert elev. = 302.50 ft

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)	
0.00	302 50	00	0	0	
0.00	302.64	00	17	17	
0.14	302.04	00	91	108	
0.20	302.70	00	163	271	
0.42	302.92	00	211	482	
0.56	303.06	00	243	725	
0.70	303.20	00	266	991	
0.83	303.33	00	200	1 274	
0.97	303.47	00	200	1 570	
1.11	303.61	00	290	1,070	
1.25	303.75	00	304	1,074	
1.39	303.89	00	308	2,182	
1.53	304.03	00	308	2,489	
1.67	304.17	00	304	2,793	
1.81	304.31	00	296	3,089	
1.95	304.45	00	284	3,373	
2.00	304 59	00	266	3,638	
2.00	304 73	00	243	3,881	
2.20	304.86	00	210	4,091	
2.30	305.00	00	163	4,254	
2.50	305.00	00	90	4.345	
2.64	305.14	00	17	4.362	
2.78	305.28	ου	17	1,002	

Culvert / Or	ifice Structu	res			Weir Structu	ires			
	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in) Span (in) No. Barrels Invert El. (ft) Length (ft) Slope (%) N-Value	= 8.00 = 8.00 = 1 = 302.50 = 100.00 = 0.81 = .011	6.20 6.20 1 302.50 0.00 0.00 .013	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Crest Len (ft) Crest El. (ft) Weir Coeff. Weir Type Multi-Stage	= 0.10 = 304.00 = 3.33 = Rect = Yes	0.00 0.00 3.33 No	0.00 0.00 0.00 No	0.00 0.00 0.00 No
Orif. Coeff. Multi-Stage	= 0.60 = n/a	0.44 Yes	0.00 No	0.00 No	Exfiltration = 0).000 in/hr (We	t area) Ta	ilwater Ele	v. = 0.00 ft

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows have been analyzed under inlet and outlet control.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 8

ex da to rear - peak flow

Hydrograph type Storm frequency Drainage area Intensity IDF Curve	 Mod. Rational 10 yrs 0.1 ac 5.924 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge = 0.41 cfs Time interval = 1 min Runoff coeff. = 0.63 Tc by User = 10 min Storm duration = 1 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 246 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 9

pr da to rear undetained- peak flow

Hydrograph type	= Mod. Rational
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs
Drainage area	= 0.0 ac
Intensity	= 5.924 in/hr
IDF Curve	= Trenton.idf

Peak discharge= 0.02 cfsTime interval= 1 minRunoff coeff.= 0.84Tc by User= 10 minStorm duration $= 1 \times \text{Tc}$

Hydrograph Volume = 12 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 11

ex da to adj lot 1 depression - peak

= Mod. Rational
= 10 yrs
= 0.4 ac
= 5.924 in/hr
= Trenton.idf

Peak discharge = 1.78 cfs Time interval = 1 min

	0.7	
=	10	min
=	1 x	Тс
	H II H	= 0.7 = 10 = 1 x

Hydrograph Volume = 1,070 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 12

pr da to adj lot 1 depression - peak

Hydrograph type	= Mod. Rational
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs
Drainage area	= 0.0 ac
Intensity	= 5.924 in/hr
IDF Curve	= Trenton.idf

Peak discharge= 0.03 cfsTime interval= 1 minRunoff coeff.= 0.84Tc by User= 10 minStorm duration= 1 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 18 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 2

ex da to front - peak flow

Drainage area= 0.2 acTrainon ofIntensity= 8.065 in/hrTc by UsIDF Curve= Trenton.idfStorm du	narge = 1.23 rval = 1 m ⊅eff. = 0.95 ∌r = 10 r ration = 1 x T	in nin fc
---	---	-----------------

Hydrograph Volume = 736 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 4

pr to detenion

Hydrograph type	 Mod. Rational 100 yrs 0.7 ac 4.900 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge	= 3.21 cfs
Storm frequency		Time interval	= 1 min
Drainage area		Runoff coeff.	= 0.95
Intensity		Tc by User	= 10 min
IDF Curve		Storm duration	= 3 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 5,782 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 5

pr route to front

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 1.23 cfs
Storm frequency Inflow hyd. No.	= 100 yrs = 4	Max. Elevation	= 304.95 ft
Reservoir name	= PIPE STORAGE - Mod Rat.AE	Max. Storage	= 4,186 cuft

Storage Indication method used.

Hydrograph Volume = 5,779 cuft



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Wednesday, Oct 26 2011, 11:48 AM

Pond No. 6 - PIPE STORAGE - Mod Rat.AE

Pond Data

Pipe dia. = 2.50 ft Pipe length = 141.0 ft No. Barrels = 6.0 Slope = 0.20 % Invert elev. = 302.50 ft

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)	
0.00	302.50	00	0	0	
0.14	302.64	00	17	17	
0.28	302.78	00	91	108	
0.42	302.92	00	163	271	
0.56	303.06	00	211	482	
0.30	303.20	00	243	725	
0.70	303.33	00	266	991	
0.00	303.47	00	283	1,274	
1 11	303.61	00	296	1,570	
1.05	303 75	00	304	1,874	
1.20	303.89	00	308	2,182	
1.59	304.03	00	308	2,489	
1.55	304 17	00	304	2,793	
1.07	304.31	00	296	3,089	
1.01	304.45	00	284	3,373	
1.90	304 59	00	266	3,638	
2.09	304.33	00	243	3,881	
2.20	304.86	00	210	4,091	
2.30	305.00	00	163	4,254	
2.50	305.14	00	90	4,345	
2.78	305.28	00	17	4,362	
Culvert / Or	ifice Structures		Weir Structur	es	

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in) Span (in) No. Barrels Invert El. (ft) Length (ft) Slope (%) N-Value Orif. Coeff. Multi-Stage	= 8.00 = 8.00 = 1 = 302.50 = 100.00 = 0.81 = .011 = 0.60 = n/a	6.20 6.20 1 302.50 0.00 0.00 .013 0.44 Yes	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 .000 0.00 No	Crest Len (ft) Crest El. (ft) Weir Coeff. Weir Type Multi-Stage Exfiltration = 0	= 0.10 = 304.00 = 3.33 = Rect = Yes	0.00 0.00 3.33 No	0.00 0.00 0.00 No	0.00 0.00 0.00 No

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows have been analyzed under inlet and outlet control.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 8

l,

ex da to rear - peak flow

	Hydrograph type Storm frequency Drainage area Intensity IDF Curve	 Mod. Rational 100 yrs 0.1 ac 8.065 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge Time interval Runoff coeff. Tc by User Storm duration	= 0.56 cfs = 1 min = 0.63 = 10 min = 1 x Tc
--	---	--	--	---

Hydrograph Volume = 335 cuft



21

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 9

pr da to rear undetained- peak flow

Hydrograph type	= Mod. Rational
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs
Drainage area	= 0.0 ac
Intensity	= 8.065 in/hr
IDF Curve	= Trenton.idf

Peak discharge= 0.03 cfsTime interval= 1 minRunoff coeff.= 0.84Tc by User= 10 min

Storm duration

Hydrograph Volume = 16 cuft

 $= 1 \times Tc$



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 11

ex da to adj lot 1 depression - peak

Hydrograph type	= 1	Nod. Rational
Storm frequency	= 1	00 yrs
Drainage area	= ().4 ac
Intensity	= 8	3.065 in/hr
IDF Curve	= 7	Frenton.idf

Peak discharge= 2.43 cfsTime interval= 1 minRunoff coeff.= 0.7Tc by User= 10 minStorm duration $= 1 \times Tc$

Hydrograph Volume = 1,457 cuft



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve

Hyd. No. 12

pr da to adj lot 1 depression - peak

Hydrograph type	 Mod. Rational 100 yrs 0.0 ac 8.065 in/hr Trenton.idf 	Peak discharge	= 0.04 cfs
Storm frequency		Time interval	= 1 min
Drainage area		Runoff coeff.	= 0.84
Intensity		Tc by User	= 10 min
IDF Curve		Storm duration	= 1 x Tc

Hydrograph Volume = 24 cuft



Proposed Conveyance Pipe Capacity Calculations Manning Equation - full flow Live Work Units Project Borough of Palisades Park

Proposed Outflow Conveyance Pipe			
D	8	in	
A	0.34906585	ft^2	
Р	2.0943951	ft	
R	0.16666667	tt	the second field of
n	0.011	mannings	rougnness coemcient
S	0.0081	πνπ	
Q (capacity)	1.29	cfs	
Basin Max Outflow	1.23	cfs	100 year storm
Conclusion: The proposed 8" dention basin outlet pip	oing has suffic	cient capacit	у
Existing Downstream Pipe in NJ State Route 63 - E	Bergen Boule	evard	
D	18	in	
A	1.76714587	ft/2	
Р	4.71238898	π	
R	0.375	II monoing'o	roughnoss coefficient
n	0.013	manning S	roughness coencient
S	0.025	IVIL	
Q (capacity)	16.65	cfs	
Pre Development = Post Development Flow to Pipe	1.23	cfs	100 year storm
Conclusion: The existing downstream 18" RCP has s	ufficient capa	city.	
Proposed Roof Leaders			
D	8	in	
A	0.34906585	ft^2	
Р	2.0943951	11 4	
R	0.16666667	IL	roughnoss coefficient
n	0.011	manning 5	roughness coemcient
S	0.02	IVIL	
Q (capacity)	2.03	cfs	
с	0.95		
I	8.4	in/hr	
A	0.12	ac	max area to individual root drain
Max Q to roof leader	0.96	cfs	100 year storm
Conclusion: The proposed 8" roof leaders have suffic	ient capacity		

Proposed Oakdene Storm Conveyance Pipe (conveys runoff to basin) D 12 in A 0.78539816 ft^2 P 3.14159265 ft

R n s	0.25 ft 0.013 manning's 0.01 ft/ft	roughness coefficient Min Slope Proposed for Oakdene Storm Piping
Q (capacity)	3.57 cfs	
C I A Max Q to piping	0.95 8.4 in/hr 0.13 ac 1.04 cfs	Max sub area to Oakdene Storm Piping 100 year storm

Conclusion: The proposed 12" piping has sufficient capacity

Proposed Adjacent Parking Lot storm piping (convey	s runoff to basi	n)	
D	8	in	
Δ	0.34906585	ft^2	
p	2.0943951	ft	
r R	0.166666667	ft	the second finite the second second
n	0.011	manning's	roughness coemicient
S	0.005	ft/ft	
Q (capacity)	1.01	cfs	
с	0.95		
	8.4	in/hr	
Δ	0.12	ac	Sub Area to adjacent parking lot conveyance piping
Max Q to piping	0.96	cfs	100 year storm

Conclusion: The proposed 8" piping has sufficient capacity

Proposed Parking Deck Floor Drain connector piping	Proposed	Parking	Deck	Floor	Drain	connector	piping
--	----------	---------	------	-------	-------	-----------	--------

	6 in	
Δ	0.19634954 ft^2	
P	1.57079633 ft	
R	0.125 ft	
n	0.011 manning	's roughness coefficient
S	0.02 ft/ft	Min Slope Proposed for Oakdene Storm Piping
Q (capacity)	0.94 cfs	
C	0.95	
	8.4 in/hr	
Δ	0.02 ac	Max area to parking deck floor drain
Max Q to piping	0.16 cfs	100 year storm

Conclusion: The proposed 6" piping has sufficient capacity



