```
3
                                                                                            INDEX
                                                                         2 WITNESS
                                                                                                            SWORN TESTIMONY
                                                                         3
                                                                             Case No. 21-31
                  BOROUGH OF PALISADES PARK
     1
                                                                             Fan Associates, LLC
                 ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
MONDAY, JUNE 27, 2022
                                                                             15 Grand Avenue
                     7:00 p.m.
                                                                             Block: 505; Lot: 3 & 4
                                                                                                                        10
     3
         Case No: 22-01
         448 1st Street, LLC
448 1st Street
     4
                                           TRANSCRIPT OF
                                                                             WILLIAM HAMILTON, PP, AICP
                                                                                                                     2 1
                                          ) PROCEEDING
                                                                           Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Alampi
                                                                                                                            2 1
        448 1st Street
Block: 305; Lot: 11
Case No. 22-02
A.O.C. LUC/Ioannis Gelestathis
113 Abbott Ave
Block: 612; Lot: 8
                                                                             Direct Examination by Mr. Alampi
                                                                                                                           22
                                                                         7 Board/Professional Questions
                                                                             Chairman Ferguson
                                                                                                                       5.8
        Block: 505; Lot: 8

Case No. 21-31

Fan Associates, LLC

15 Grand Avenue

Block: 505; Lot: 3 & 4
                                                                             Vice Chairman Albanese
                                                                                                                        59
                                                                                                                    6 1
                                                                             Mr. Carnovale
                                                                         9
                                                                             Public Questions
                                                                             Marsha Schor
                                                                                                                      5 4
    10
                                                                        10
                                                                             50 Henry Avenue
                                                                             Susan Brauer
                                                                                                                      5 5
    11
                                                                        11
                                                                             50 Henry Avenue
         JOSEPH FERGUSON, CHAIRMAN
         PAUL ALBANESE, VICE CHAIRMAN
                                                                             DANIEL McGINNIS, PE, PTOE
    1.3
                                                                             Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Alampi
                                                                                                                            7.8
         ELEFTERIOS ELEFTERIOU, MEMBER
                                                                             Direct Examination by Mr. Alampi
                                                                                                                           64,80
    14
         VINCENT CARNOVALE, MEMBER
                                                                             Board/Professional Questions
    15
                                                                        14
                                                                             Vice Chairman Albanese
                                                                                                                        65.76
         DAVID TERRANOVA, MEMBER
                                                                                                                    71,117
    16
                                                                             Mr. Carnovale
         JOHN GRALA, MEMBER (ABSENT)
                                                                             Chairman Ferguson
                                                                                                                       77,127
                                                                        15
    17
                                                                             Mr. Collazuol
                                                                                                                  104.120
        SEONGHYE YOON, MEMBER
                                                                        16
                                                                                                              130
    1.8
         STEVEN BROGNA, ALTERNATE MEMBER 1
                                                                             Vice Chairman Albanese
                                                                                                                       134
    19
                                                                        17
                                                                             Mr. Terranova
                                                                                                                   142
         CHARLIE CHUNG, ALTERNATE MEMBER 2 (ABSENT)
                                                                             Public Questions
    20
         MICHAEL LEE, ALTERNATE MEMBER 3 (ABSENT)
                                                                        18
                                                                             Susan Brauer
                                                                                                                     138
    21
                                                                             50 Henry Avenue
    22
                                                                        19
               LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS
P.O. BOX 505
SADDLE BROOK, New Jersey 07663
201-641-1812
LauraACaruccillc@gmail.com
    23
                                                                        20
                                                                        21
    24
                                                                        22
    25
                                                                        23
                                                                        24
                LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
                             201-641-1812
                                                                                     LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
                                                                                                   201-641-1812
                                                                         1
                                                                                         INDEX (Continuing)
   APPEARANCES:
2
                                                                         2
                                                                                        EXHIBITS
3
   DIANE TESTA, ESQUIRE
    Counsel for the Board of Adjustment (Case No. 22-02
                                                                             No. Description
                                                                                                         Ident/Evid
    Recused)
     - a n d -
                                                                             Case No. 21-31
    MARC RAMUNDO, ESQUIRE
                                                                             Fan Associates, LLC
    Counsel for the Board of Adjustment, Case No. 22-02
                                                                             15 Grand Avenue
                                                                             Block: 505; Lot: 3 & 4
                                                                         6
    CARMINE ALAMPI, ESQUIRE
                                                                             A-23 Computer Generated Image of
    LAW OFFICE OF ALAMPI & DEMARRAIS
                                                                         7
                                                                                  Residential Amenity Spaces
   One University Plaza
                                                                         8
                                                                             A-24 Proposed Signage Exhibit,
    Suite 404
                                                                                  Dated 6/15/22
    Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
                                                                         9
    (201) 343-4600
                                                                             A-25 Traffic Report, dated 12/27/21 81
10
    Counsel for Applicant, Fan Associates, LLC
                                                                        10
                                                                             A-26 June 16th Response Letter to
                                                                        11
                                                                                  Mr. Sim off
12
                                                                        12
                                                                            ZBA-1 Report of Mr. Sim off, dated
                                                                                  3/18/22
                                                                                                             105
13 ALSO PRESENT:
                                                                        13
                                                                             ZBA-2 Report of Mr. Kauker, dated
   ELENI LAMBRINIDES, LAND USE SECRETARY
14
                                                                        14
                                                                                  3/18/22
                                                                                                              105
   STEVE COLLAZUOL, P.E., BOARD ENGINEER
15
                                                                        15
                                                                             ZBA-3 Completeness Review, dated
                                                                                  1/18/22
                                                                                                              105
   MICHAEL KAUKER, P.P., BOARD PLANNER (ABSENT)
                                                                        16
                                                                             ZBA-4 Report of Mr. Collazuol,
                                                                        17
                                                                                  Dated 3/15/22
                                                                                                                105
17
    HAL SIMOFF, P.E., BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER
                                                                        18
                                                                             ZBA-5 Report of Mr. Collazuol,
18
                                                                                  Dated 6/22/22
                                                                                                                105
19
                                                                        19
20
                                                                        20
21
                                                                        21
22
                                                                        22
23
                                                                        23
24
                                                                        24
25
                                                                        25
            LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
                                                                                     LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
                          201-641-1812
                                                                                                   201-641-1812
```

	5		7
1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Vinny, do	00:-13 1	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Second.
2	you want to lead us in the flag salute?	00:-13 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Kauker & Kauker has
3	(Whereupon, all rise for a Recitation	00:-13 3	one for \$455.00.
4	of the Pledge of Allegiance as led by	00:-13 4	And I made a correction I made a
00:-15 5	Mr. Carnovale.)	00:-13 5	mistake. Let's start again.
00:-15 6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. In	00:-13 6	We got one from Diane Testa, \$1125.00.
00:-15 7	accordance with the Open Public Meeting Act, notice	00:-13 7	We got one from Birchwale & Pellino
00:-15 8	of this meeting has been posted on the borough	00:-13 8	Associates for \$1500.00 because Diane had a conflict,
00:-16 9	bulletin board. Notice has been provided to the	00:-13 9	so they covered.
00:-16 10	official borough newspapers and filed in the Borough	00:-13 10	And then we had Kauker & Kauker is
00:-16 11	Clerk's office.	00:-13 11	\$455.00.
00:-16 12	Roll call.	00:-13 12	Can I get a motion?
00:-16 13	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson?	00:-13 13	MR. CARNOVALE: I make a motion.
00:-16 14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Here.	00:-13 14	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Second.
00:-14 15	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese?	00:-13 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.
00:-14 16	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here.	00:-13 16	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson.
17	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova?	00:-13 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
18	MR. TERRANOVA: Here.	00:-14 18	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese?
19	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Chung?	00:-14 19	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes.
20	(No response.)	00:-14 20	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova?
21	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon?	00:-14 21	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
22	MS. YOON: Here.	00:-14 22	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon?
00:-14 23	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou?	00:-14 23	MS. YOON: Yes.
00:-14 24	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here.	00:-14 24	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou?
25	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Grala?	00:-14 25	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	6		8
1	(No response.)	00:-14 1	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale?
2	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale?	00:-14 2	MR. CARNOVALE: Yes.
3	MR. CARNOVALE: Here.	00:-14 3	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna?
4	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Lee?	00:-12 4	MR. BROGNA: Yes.
5	(No response.)	00:-12 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
6	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna?	00:-12 6	Next we have two memorializations,
00:-14 7	MR. BROGNA: Here.	00:-12 7	Case No. 22-01 448 1st Street, LLC.
00:-14 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So first on	00:-12 8	Can I get a vote on the
00:-14 9	agenda tonight we're going to have the approval for	00:-12 9	memorialization?
00:-14 10	the previous meeting. Everybody's got the minutes.	00:-12 10	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I make a
00:-14 11	Everybody had an opportunity to review the minutes.	00:-12 11	motion for memorialization.
00:-14 12 00:-14 13	Is there any corrections on the	00:-12 12 00:-12 13	MR. CARNOVALE: I second.
00:-14 13	minutes?	00:-12 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Roll call.
00:-14 14	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I'd like to make a motion we accept the minutes.	00:-12 14 00:-12 15	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
00:-14 15	MR. CARNOVALE: I second the motion.	00:-12 15 00:-14 16	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese?
00:-14 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	00:-14 17	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes.
00:-14 17	All in favor?	00:-14 17	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova?
00:-14 10	(Whereupon, all present members respond	00:-14 10	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.
00:-14 10	in the affirmative.)	00:-14 13	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon?
00:-14 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Next we're going to	00:-14 21	MS. YOON: Yes.
	J. J. L. S. W. H. P. L. R. G. G. G. H. H. C. C. W. C. C. Gollig to		MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou?
00:-14 22	pay some bills. We have two from Diane Testa who's	00:-14 22	M3. PAMORINIDES: MI FREEDON
00:-14 22 00:-14 23	pay some bills. We have two from Diane Testa who's our attorney and it is it looks like \$2625.00.	00:-14 22	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
00:-14 22 00:-14 23 00:-13 24	our attorney and it is it looks like \$2625.00.		
00:-14 23	• •	00:-14 23	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.
00:-14 23 00:-13 24	our attorney and it is it looks like \$2625.00. Can I get a motion to pay the bill?	00:-14 23 00:-14 24	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale?
00:-14 23 00:-13 24	our attorney and it is it looks like \$2625.00. Can I get a motion to pay the bill? MR. CARNOVALE: I'll make a motion.	00:-14 23 00:-14 24	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Yes.

	9		11
00:-14 1	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna?	00:-10 1	Do you want to hit the button down below?
00:-12 2	MR. BROGNA: Abstain.	00:-10 2	MR. ALAMPI: How's this?
00:-12 3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Next is	00:-10 3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.
00:-12 4	Case No. 22-02 A.O.C., LLC, 113 Abbott Avenue.	00:-10 4	MR. ALAMPI: So as I was saying,
00:-12 5	Can I get a motion?	5	Chairman and Board Members, we had extensive
00:-12 6	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I'll make a	6	testimony from two professional witnesses, the civil
00:-12 7	motion.	7	engineer who went through all the details of the
00:-12 8	MR. CARNOVALE: Second.	8	site, the existing conditions, the proposed layout of
00:-12 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right, second.	9	the development and placement of the building,
00:-12 10	Roll call.	10	drainage, lighting, landscaping indicating the
00:-12 11	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson.	11	conditions surrounding the property.
00:-12 12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.	12	Chairman, I think your earplugs are
00:-14 13	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese?	13	spinning. I can hear now I can hear a buzz in my
00:-14 14	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes.	14	ear.
00:-14 15	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova?	15	(Laughter.)
00:-14 16	MR. TERRANOVA: Yes.	16	MR. ALAMPI: Or is that you, Pauly?
00:-14 17	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon?	17	VICE CHAIRMAN ALAMPI: To talk to me,
00:-14 18	MS. YOON: Yes.	18	you got to go like this (indicating).
00:-14 19	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou?	19	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Maybe because you're
00:-14 20	MR. ELEFTERIOU: Yes.	20	holding it.
00:-14 21	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale?	21	MS. TESTA: Yeah.
00:-14 22	MR. CARNOVALE: Yes.	22	MR. ALAMPI: Because that or maybe his
00:-14 23	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna?	23	earplugs are
00:-12 24	MR. BROGNA: Abstain.	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: The earplugs are
00:-12 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	25	staying in, so you're going to have to deal with it.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	10		12
00:-12 1	So the one and only case on the agenda	1	MR. ALAMPI: I had a hearing in
00:-12 1 00:-11 2	So the one and only case on the agenda for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC,	1 2	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney
_	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue.	_	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman.	2 3 4	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was	2 3 4 5	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5 00:-11 6	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the	2 3 4 5 6	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do?
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can.
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits.
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner.
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 4 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 17	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 17	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that.
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 17 00:-11 18	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MR. ALAMPI: Am I being picked up on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 17 00:-08 18	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that. And he weighed in on our reports and
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 18 00:-11 19	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MR. ALAMPI: Am I being picked up on the tape?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 18 00:-08 19	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that. And he weighed in on our reports and our planning analysis, but we leave it to you,
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 17 00:-11 18 00:-11 19 00:-11 20	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MR. ALAMPI: Am I being picked up on the tape? I hope so, but we have the court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 17 00:-08 18 00:-08 19	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that. And he weighed in on our reports and our planning analysis, but we leave it to you, Chairman.
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 18 00:-11 19 00:-11 20 00:-11 21	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MR. ALAMPI: Am I being picked up on the tape? I hope so, but we have the court reporter, the ever efficient court reporter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 18 00:-08 19	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that. And he weighed in on our reports and our planning analysis, but we leave it to you, Chairman. Perhaps, you'll let us conclude that
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 17 00:-11 18 00:-11 19 00:-11 20	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MR. ALAMPI: Am I being picked up on the tape? I hope so, but we have the court reporter, the ever efficient court reporter. So we continued with the architectural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 17 00:-08 18 00:-08 20 00:-08 21	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that. And he weighed in on our reports and our planning analysis, but we leave it to you, Chairman. Perhaps, you'll let us conclude that testimony and we'll get a transcript and we'll
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 7 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 12 00:-11 12 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 17 00:-11 18 00:-11 20 00:-11 21 00:-11 22 00:-11 23	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MR. ALAMPI: Am I being picked up on the tape? I hope so, but we have the court reporter, the ever efficient court reporter. So we continued with the architectural testimony and she completed her testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 17 00:-08 18 00:-08 19 00:-08 21 00:-08 21	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that. And he weighed in on our reports and our planning analysis, but we leave it to you, Chairman. Perhaps, you'll let us conclude that testimony and we'll get a transcript and we'll circulate it. We'll send it to Mr. Kauker, because I
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 7 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 11 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 17 00:-11 18 00:-11 20 00:-11 21 00:-11 21 00:-11 22	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MR. ALAMPI: Am I being picked up on the tape? I hope so, but we have the court reporter, the ever efficient court reporter. So we continued with the architectural testimony and she completed her testimony. Do you hear me, Chairman?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 17 00:-08 18 00:-08 19 00:-08 21 00:-08 22 00:-08 23	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that. And he weighed in on our reports and our planning analysis, but we leave it to you, Chairman. Perhaps, you'll let us conclude that testimony and we'll get a transcript and we'll
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 17 00:-11 18 00:-11 20 00:-11 21 00:-11 22 00:-11 23 00:-11 24	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MR. ALAMPI: Am I being picked up on the tape? I hope so, but we have the court reporter, the ever efficient court reporter. So we continued with the architectural testimony and she completed her testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 17 00:-08 18 00:-08 19 00:-08 20 00:-08 21 00:-08 23 00:-08 23	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that. And he weighed in on our reports and our planning analysis, but we leave it to you, Chairman. Perhaps, you'll let us conclude that testimony and we'll get a transcript and we'll circulate it. We'll send it to Mr. Kauker, because I know you want his comments on the record. Good or
00:-11 2 00:-11 3 00:-11 5 00:-11 6 00:-11 8 00:-11 9 00:-11 10 00:-11 12 00:-11 13 00:-11 14 00:-11 15 00:-11 16 00:-11 17 00:-11 18 00:-11 19 00:-11 20 00:-11 21 00:-11 22 00:-11 23 00:-11 24	for tonight is Case No. 21-31, Fan Associates, LLC, 15 Grand Avenue. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman. Chairman, my name is Carmine Alampi just like it was two weeks ago and I'm here to continue the presentation. We had gone through extensive testimony of the site civil engineer dealing with hydrology, drainage and things of that nature and details of the engineering, topography. We then turned the meeting over to the architect who did a very delicate presentation. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can you use the mic? MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MR. ALAMPI: Am I being picked up on the tape? I hope so, but we have the court reporter, the ever efficient court reporter. So we continued with the architectural testimony and she completed her testimony. Do you hear me, Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Is your thing on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 00:-08 17 00:-08 18 00:-08 19 00:-08 20 00:-08 21 00:-08 23 00:-08 23	Ridgefield Park a few months ago where the attorney was hard of hearing, but he forgot his earplugs, so I made the other attorney who was challenging him stand behind him to ask questions so he can hear and he was insulted by that, but what could I do? So we're doing the best we can. We continued with the architectural testimony, which was concluded, a great presentation with many exhibits. Tonight we continue with the traffic engineer and with the planning consultant. I did speak with Michael Kauker, your planner. Unfortunately his son is undergoing a serious operation tomorrow and he called me to tell me that he could not come to the meeting under the circumstances. Of course we understand that. And he weighed in on our reports and our planning analysis, but we leave it to you, Chairman. Perhaps, you'll let us conclude that testimony and we'll get a transcript and we'll circulate it. We'll send it to Mr. Kauker, because I know you want his comments on the record. Good or bad, you want those comments and I understand that.

	13		15
00:-08 1	We have two witnesses. The one who	00:-05 1	much.
00:-08 2	will probably take the longest is the traffic	00:-05 2	Chairman, perhaps you're right. I
00:-08 3	engineer, and then we'll go to the planning	00:-05 3	think we have received Mr. Collazuol's report on
00:-08 4	consultant.	00:-05 4	June 17th which was well, dated the 17th.
00:-08 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	00:-05 5	I might have received it a few days
00:-08 6	MR. ALAMPI: I do have some handouts	00:-05 6	later and I just have to confirm with my engineer
00:-08 7	that we promised you at the last meeting. When we	00:-05 7	whether we sent this around to him.
00:-08 8	did the architect completed the testimony, she had	00:-05 8	Jacob, did you see this?
00:-08 9	all these details about the outdoor patio, the	00:-05 9	MR. SCHULMAN: I did not.
00:-08 10	leisure area and whatever.	00:-05 10	MR. ALAMPI: So that's a good point,
00:-08 11	So they made up a package and these are	00:-05 11	Chairman.
00:-07 12	images and photographs computer generated. We can't	00:-05 12	It looks like we have a report from
00:-07 13	say that they will be exactly what we'll do, but we	00:-04 13	Steve Collazuol, and it's fairly technical. And we
00:-07 14	intend to model all of these amenities on the	00:-04 14	can respond to those things.
00:-07 15	properties for you.	00:-04 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, I think that
00:-07 16	So I have to see where we left off,	00:-04 16	would be helpful.
00:-07 17	where we were.	00:-04 17	MR. ALAMPI: It may have been that it
00:-07 18	Diana, do you know if we were up to	00:-04 18	didn't get circulated by my office.
00:-07 19	A-20 or something?	00:-04 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand.
00:-07 20	MS. TESTA: A-22, material board.	00:-04 20	MR. ALAMPI: So we will definitely
00:-07 21	MR. ALAMPI: There you go. I knew I	00:-04 21	respond to the June 17th Jacob, make a note.
00:-07 22	can count on you.	00:-04 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve, are you okay
00:-07 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Counsel, before you	00:-04 23	with that?
00:-07 24	put your first witness on I just have one question,	00:-04 24	MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes.
00:-07 25	did you get a report from Collazuol Engineering	00:-04 25	MR. ALAMPI: There's a follow-up
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	4.4		40
00: 07 1	14	00:04	16
00:-07 1	answering some asking some of your	00:-04 1	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic,
00:-07 2	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol?	00:-04 2	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to
_	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that.
00:-07 2 3 4	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol?	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our
00:-07 2 3	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it
00:-07 2 3 4 5	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol?	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 5	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 5 00:-04 6	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided.
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so?	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no.
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 9	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 9 00:-04 10	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package.
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 9 00:-04 10 00:-04 11	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there,
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 9 00:-04 10 00:-04 11 00:-04 12	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we?
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 14 00:-06 15	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 10 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 14 00:-03 15	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right.
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 14 00:-06 15 00:-06 16	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you?	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 10 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 15 00:-03 16	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 14 00:-06 15 00:-06 16 00:-06 17	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate,	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 9 00:-04 10 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 15 00:-03 16 00:-03 17	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes.
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 15 00:-06 15 00:-06 17 00:-06 18	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate, the board never received it.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 10 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 15 00:-03 17 00:-03 18	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes. When it comes to Simoff and his review
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 15 00:-06 15 00:-06 17 00:-06 18 00:-06 19	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate, the board never received it. MR. ALAMPI: Didn't receive what?	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 10 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 15 00:-03 15 00:-03 18 00:-03 19	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes. When it comes to Simoff and his review letter, we do have a response and it was sent with
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 15 00:-06 15 00:-06 17 00:-06 18 00:-06 19 00:-06 20	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate, the board never received it. MR. ALAMPI: Didn't receive what? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Your comment to his	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 9 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 15 00:-03 16 00:-03 17 00:-03 18 00:-03 19 00:-03 20	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes. When it comes to Simoff and his review letter, we do have a response and it was sent with the last package, package of materials. Our response
00:-07	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate, the board never received it. MR. ALAMPI: Didn't receive what? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Your comment to his we got his, right.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 9 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 15 00:-03 16 00:-03 18 00:-03 19 00:-03 20 00:-03 21	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes. When it comes to Simoff and his review letter, we do have a response and it was sent with the last package, package of materials. Our response is dated June 16, 2022, that's responding to
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 15 00:-06 15 00:-06 17 00:-06 18 00:-06 19 00:-06 21 00:-06 21	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate, the board never received it. MR. ALAMPI: Didn't receive what? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Your comment to his we got his, right. MR. ALAMPI: Actually, let's see what	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 10 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 15 00:-03 16 00:-03 17 00:-03 18 00:-03 19 00:-03 21 00:-03 22	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes. When it comes to Simoff and his review letter, we do have a response and it was sent with the last package, package of materials. Our response is dated June 16, 2022, that's responding to Mr. Simoff's critique.
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 15 00:-06 15 00:-06 17 00:-06 18 00:-06 19 00:-06 20 00:-06 21 00:-06 22 00:-06 23	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate, the board never received it. MR. ALAMPI: Didn't receive what? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Your comment to his we got his, right. MR. ALAMPI: Actually, let's see what we have. We have a comment to Mr. Simoff's report	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 10 00:-04 12 00:-04 12 00:-03 15 00:-03 16 00:-03 17 00:-03 18 00:-03 19 00:-03 21 00:-03 22 00:-03 23	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes. When it comes to Simoff and his review letter, we do have a response and it was sent with the last package, package of materials. Our response is dated June 16, 2022, that's responding to Mr. Simoff's critique. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, did you get
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 15 00:-06 15 00:-06 17 00:-06 18 00:-06 19 00:-06 21 00:-06 21 00:-06 23 00:-06 24	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate, the board never received it. MR. ALAMPI: Didn't receive what? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Your comment to his we got his, right. MR. ALAMPI: Actually, let's see what we have. We have a comment to Mr. Simoff's report and let's just see what we have here.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 5 00:-04 7 00:-04 9 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 15 00:-03 16 00:-03 17 00:-03 18 00:-03 19 00:-03 21 00:-03 21 00:-03 23 00:-03 24	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes. When it comes to Simoff and his review letter, we do have a response and it was sent with the last package, package of materials. Our response is dated June 16, 2022, that's responding to Mr. Simoff's critique. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, did you get that?
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 15 00:-06 15 00:-06 17 00:-06 18 00:-06 19 00:-06 20 00:-06 21 00:-06 22 00:-06 23	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate, the board never received it. MR. ALAMPI: Didn't receive what? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Your comment to his we got his, right. MR. ALAMPI: Actually, let's see what we have. We have a comment to Mr. Simoff's report and let's just see what we have here. I might be confused, it doesn't take	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 5 00:-04 6 00:-04 7 00:-04 8 00:-04 10 00:-04 12 00:-04 12 00:-03 15 00:-03 16 00:-03 17 00:-03 18 00:-03 19 00:-03 21 00:-03 22 00:-03 23	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes. When it comes to Simoff and his review letter, we do have a response and it was sent with the last package, package of materials. Our response is dated June 16, 2022, that's responding to Mr. Simoff's critique. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, did you get that? MR. SIMOFF: I haven't seen it.
00:-07 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:-07 10 00:-06 11 00:-06 12 00:-06 13 00:-06 15 00:-06 15 00:-06 17 00:-06 18 00:-06 19 00:-06 21 00:-06 21 00:-06 23 00:-06 24	answering some asking some of your MR. ALAMPI: Boswell or Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve Collazuol. MR. ALAMPI: Collazuol? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah. MR. ALAMPI: We got Steve, I think we got your report last week or so? MR. COLLAZUOL: I think so. MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we did. We got a report and we responded to it. Let me just follow up and check my paperwork. We got the report from Mr. Collazuol's office initially on March 15th, but an update on June 17, 2022 and I think we did get a response for the Collazuol report. You did a comment letter, didn't you? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, at any rate, the board never received it. MR. ALAMPI: Didn't receive what? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Your comment to his we got his, right. MR. ALAMPI: Actually, let's see what we have. We have a comment to Mr. Simoff's report and let's just see what we have here.	00:-04 2 00:-04 3 00:-04 4 00:-04 5 00:-04 7 00:-04 9 00:-04 11 00:-04 12 00:-04 13 00:-03 15 00:-03 16 00:-03 17 00:-03 18 00:-03 19 00:-03 21 00:-03 21 00:-03 23 00:-03 24	correspondence and I didn't see anything problematic, but I think the board wants a written response to that. We'll do that. I think we have and you have our traffic engineering report, I believe, Chairman, it was provided. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Truthfully, no. MR. ALAMPI: It was provided with the initial package back in December 2021. I think the board should have it in their package. Diane, I think we have it in there, don't we? MS. TESTA: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal wrote a response had questions listed. Did you MR. ALAMPI: Yes. When it comes to Simoff and his review letter, we do have a response and it was sent with the last package, package of materials. Our response is dated June 16, 2022, that's responding to Mr. Simoff's critique. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, did you get that?

	17			19
00:-03 1	MR. ALAMPI: I think we hand-delivered	00:-01	1	this way we can keep going.
00:-03 2	it to everyone.	00:-01	_	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you.
00:-03 3	MR. SIMOFF: You hand-delivered to me,	00:-01	_	(Whereupon, Computer Generated Image
00:-03 4	it was May 23?	00:-01	_	of Residential Amenity Spaces is received and
00:-03 5	MR. ALAMPI: No, after that date.	00:-01	_	marked as Exhibit A-23 for identification.)
00:-03 6	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Well, I have an extra	00:-01	_	MR. ALAMPI: So this is A-23, I guess.
00:-03 7	one. It should be in your package, but I have a copy	00:-01	_	Also, Chairman, we received a letter.
00:-03 8	here.	00:-01	8	We received an e-mail the other day to supplement the
00:-03 9	Diane, do you have it?	00:00	9	escrow for professional fees.
00:-03 10	MS. TESTA: No.	00:00	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
00:-03 11	Do you have a copy?	00:00	11	MR. ALAMPI: I have the check here.
00:-03 12	MS. LAMBRINIDES: June 16th?	00:00	12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, good.
00:-03 13	MR. ALAMPI: June 16th.	00:00	13	MR. ALAMPI: But I'll mail it in
00:-03 14	So I did file it. What I've been	00:00	14	because I don't know who gets it.
00:-02 15	trying to do	00:00	15	Eleni, you don't take the escrow
00:-02 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I'm not doubting	00:00	16	checks, do you?
00:-02 17	it.		17	MS. LAMBRINIDES: No.
00:-02 18	MR. ALAMPI: No, no, what I've been		18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No.
00:-02 19	trying to do to assist your secretary is when I		19	MR. ALAMPI: It goes to the clerk's
00:-02 20	submit everything here, I usually send a messenger		20	office?
00:-02 21	directly to the consultants.		21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Just mail it in,
00:-02 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, okay.	00:00		that's fine.
00:-02 23	MR. ALAMPI: But, again, not with	00:00		MS. LAMBRINIDES: Building department.
00:-02 24	everything.	00:00		MR. ALAMPI: So that's a little bit of
00:-02 25	So there's a June 16th response.	00:00	25	housekeeping.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812			LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
	18			20
00:-02 1	So to keep the record clear, we did not	00:00	1	With that, we have our representative
00:-02 2	respond to Mr. Collazuol's recent comment letter, but	00:00	2	from Bowman Engineering with a traffic report.
00:-02 3	we will.	00:00	3	We'll swear him in.
00:-02 4	We did respond to Mr. Simoff's letter,	00:00	4	MR. McGINNIS: I thought the Chairman
00:-02 5	but if he didn't see it, I don't expect him to review	00:00	5	suggested that we do the planning before traffic to
00:-02 6	it in five minutes.	00:00	6	give Mr. Simoff time to review the letter?
00:-02 7	I'll give you eight minutes.	00:00	7 8	MR. ALAMPI: What do you want to do, Chairman?
00:-02 8 00:-02 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, how about this, can we start with your expert?	00:00	9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would prefer to
00:-02 9	MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we're going to move	00:00	-	give Hal a chance to review it.
00:-02 11	along.	00:00		MR. ALAMPI: So you want to do the
00:-02 12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: And that will give	00:00		planning first?
00:-02 13	Simoff a chance to review it.	00:00		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, do the
00:-02 14	How's that?	00:00		planning.
00:-02 15	MR. ALAMPI: Excellent.	00:00		MR. ALAMPI: No problem, okay.
00:-02 16	And Diane, we were up to what exhibit,	00:00		That's what we'll do.
00:-01 17	A what?	00:00	17	Chairman, this is Mr. William Hamilton
00:-01 18	MS. TESTA: A-23 this would be.	00:00	18	from Bowman Engineering. Don't be fooled by the
00:-01 19	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you.	00:00	19	engineering company. He is the planning consultant.
00:-01 20	So we're going to do two things,	00:00	20	It's a very big engineering company and
00:-01 21	Chairman. A-23 is just a handout. It speaks for	00:00	21	they have several different professionals in
00:-01 22	itself.	00:00	22	different fields. This is Mr. William Hamilton.
00:-01 23	I have many copies, so that it could be	00:00	23	We'll swear him in and then we'll
00:-01 24	distributed to the board and	00:00		qualify him.
00:-01 25	MS. LAMBRINIDES: I'll help do that,	00:00	25	MS. TESTA: Do you swear that the
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.			LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812			201-641-1812

	21		23
00:00 1	testimony you will give in this application will be	00:01 1	part-time basis for both Madison, as well as West
2	the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?	00:02 2	Caldwell.
3	MR. HAMILTON: I do.	00:02 3	Most of my work is in the private
4	WILLIAM HAMILTON, PP, AICP	00:02 4	sector, projects similar to the one that's before you
5	54 Horsehill Road, Suite 100, Cedar Knolls, New	00:02 5	this evening, where I testified on variances and
6	Jersey 07927, having been duly sworn, testifies	00:02 6	things of that nature.
7	as follows:	00:02 7	Q. Thank you.
8	MS. TESTA: Please state your name for	00:02 8	MR. ALAMPI: Chairman, I know you
9	the record and spell it.	00:02 9	already accepted Mr. Hamilton.
00:00 10	MR. HAMILTON: William H. Hamilton,	00:02 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
00:00 11	H-A-M-I-L-T-O-N.	00:02 11	BY MR. ALAMPI:
00:00 12	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	00:02 12	Q. Bill, could you just tell us before you
00:00 13	BY MR. ALAMPI:	00:02 13	go into your outline and your testimony what
00:00 14	Q. So, Bill, we're going to start with a	00:02 14	documents you reviewed pertaining to the Borough of
00:00 15	very quick voir dire with your credentials.	00:02 15	Palisades Park, whether you reviewed their code of
00:00 16	Could you please give us the benefit of	00:02 16	ordinances, the overlay ordinance, the Master Plan,
00:00 17	your educational background, your experience and your	00:02 17	what did you actually review?
00:00 18	licensing in the field of professional planning?	00:02 18	A. So I reviewed, obviously, the site plan
00:00 19	A. I'd be happy to.	00:02 19	that were part of the application package, as well as
00:00 20	Again, my name is William Hamilton.	00:02 20	the municipal code with respect to both the M-1 and
00:00 21	I'm a principal with Bowman Consulting,	00:02 21	the M-1 residential zone in particular.
00:00 22	which is a multi-discipline firm with headquarters	00:02 22	I looked at the Master Plan.
00:00 23	throughout the country.	00:02 23	I have reviewed your planner's review
00:01 24	I'm a licensed professional planner in	00:02 24	letter on this application as well and any other
00:01 25	New Jersey.	00:02 25	documents that, you know, were in our file that
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
	22		24
00:01 1	I'm also a member of The American	00:02 1	backed up the site plan preparation.
00:01 2	Institute of Certified Planners and a licensed	00:03 2	Q. And you're familiar with Michael
00:01 3	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess,	00:03 2 00:03 3	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner?
00:01 3 00:01 4	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit.	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4	Q. And you're familiar with MichaelKauker, the borough's planner?A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker.
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5	 Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6	 Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all?
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career.	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not.
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you.	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9 00:03 10	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 11	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9 00:03 10 00:03 11	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have.
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you.	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9 00:03 10	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 11	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert.	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9 00:03 10 00:03 11	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board,
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 11 00:01 12 00:01 13	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9 00:03 10 00:03 11 00:03 12 00:03 13	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 11 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI:	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9 00:03 10 00:03 11 00:03 12 00:03 13 00:03 14	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 11 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14 00:01 15	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9 00:03 10 00:03 11 00:03 12 00:03 13 00:03 14 00:03 15	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site.
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 6 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 11 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14 00:01 15 00:01 16	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in good standing in the State of New Jersey?	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9 00:03 10 00:03 11 00:03 12 00:03 13 00:03 14 00:03 15 00:03 16	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site. The board is very familiar with it.
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 11 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14 00:01 15 00:01 16 00:01 17	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in good standing in the State of New Jersey? A. It is.	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 9 00:03 10 00:03 12 00:03 12 00:03 13 00:03 14 00:03 15 00:03 16 00:03 17	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site. The board is very familiar with it. They've heard a lot of testimony.
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 11 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14 00:01 15 00:01 16 00:01 17 00:01 18	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in good standing in the State of New Jersey? A. It is. Q. And could you give us just a little	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 10 00:03 11 00:03 12 00:03 13 00:03 14 00:03 15 00:03 16 00:03 17 00:03 18	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site. The board is very familiar with it. They've heard a lot of testimony. So, briefly, just an overview of what
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 12 00:01 12 00:01 14 00:01 15 00:01 16 00:01 17 00:01 18 00:01 19	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in good standing in the State of New Jersey? A. It is. Q. And could you give us just a little more of your experience, whether you worked on master	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 10 00:03 11 00:03 12 00:03 13 00:03 14 00:03 15 00:03 16 00:03 17 00:03 18 00:03 19	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site. The board is very familiar with it. They've heard a lot of testimony. So, briefly, just an overview of what the application is.
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14 00:01 15 00:01 16 00:01 17 00:01 18 00:01 19 00:01 20 00:01 21 00:01 22	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in good standing in the State of New Jersey? A. It is. Q. And could you give us just a little more of your experience, whether you worked on master plans, organizing ordinances for a municipality, done	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 10 00:03 11 00:03 12 00:03 13 00:03 14 00:03 15 00:03 16 00:03 17 00:03 18 00:03 19 00:03 21 00:03 21	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site. The board is very familiar with it. They've heard a lot of testimony. So, briefly, just an overview of what the application is. A. I'm not going to rehash old testimony.
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14 00:01 15 00:01 16 00:01 17 00:01 18 00:01 19 00:01 20 00:01 21 00:01 23	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in good standing in the State of New Jersey? A. It is. Q. And could you give us just a little more of your experience, whether you worked on master plans, organizing ordinances for a municipality, done many local government consulting as well in the public sector as well as the private? A. Sure.	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 10 00:03 12 00:03 12 00:03 15 00:03 15 00:03 16 00:03 17 00:03 18 00:03 19 00:03 20 00:03 21 00:03 22 00:03 23	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site. The board is very familiar with it. They've heard a lot of testimony. So, briefly, just an overview of what the application is. A. I'm not going to rehash old testimony. You know, the proposal, again, is 122 units in a three-story residential building over two stories of parking, in addition to some commercial use within an
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14 00:01 15 00:01 16 00:01 17 00:01 18 00:01 19 00:01 20 00:01 21 00:01 22 00:01 23 00:01 24	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in good standing in the State of New Jersey? A. It is. Q. And could you give us just a little more of your experience, whether you worked on master plans, organizing ordinances for a municipality, done many local government consulting as well in the public sector as well as the private? A. Sure. I'm currently the municipal planner in	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 10 00:03 11 00:03 12 00:03 13 00:03 14 00:03 15 00:03 16 00:03 17 00:03 18 00:03 19 00:03 20 00:03 21 00:03 23 00:03 24	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site. The board is very familiar with it. They've heard a lot of testimony. So, briefly, just an overview of what the application is. A. I'm not going to rehash old testimony. You know, the proposal, again, is 122 units in a three-story residential building over two stories of parking, in addition to some commercial use within an existing portion of the existing building on the
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14 00:01 15 00:01 16 00:01 17 00:01 18 00:01 20 00:01 21 00:01 22 00:01 23	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in good standing in the State of New Jersey? A. It is. Q. And could you give us just a little more of your experience, whether you worked on master plans, organizing ordinances for a municipality, done many local government consulting as well in the public sector as well as the private? A. Sure. I'm currently the municipal planner in Stanhope. And I have filled that position on a	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 10 00:03 12 00:03 12 00:03 15 00:03 15 00:03 16 00:03 17 00:03 18 00:03 19 00:03 20 00:03 21 00:03 22 00:03 23	 Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site. The board is very familiar with it. They've heard a lot of testimony. So, briefly, just an overview of what the application is. A. I'm not going to rehash old testimony. You know, the proposal, again, is 122 units in a three-story residential building over two stories of parking, in addition to some commercial use within an existing portion of the existing building on the site.
00:01 3 00:01 4 00:01 5 00:01 7 00:01 8 00:01 9 00:01 10 00:01 12 00:01 13 00:01 14 00:01 15 00:01 16 00:01 17 00:01 18 00:01 19 00:01 20 00:01 21 00:01 22 00:01 23 00:01 24	landscape architect and have been so since, I guess, 1985, longer than I'd like to admit. I've testified before boards like the one here this evening throughout New Jersey throughout my career. I've worked on projects of a similar nature to the one that's before you this evening and I look forward to working with you. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We'll accept you as an expert. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And, Bill, your license is still in good standing in the State of New Jersey? A. It is. Q. And could you give us just a little more of your experience, whether you worked on master plans, organizing ordinances for a municipality, done many local government consulting as well in the public sector as well as the private? A. Sure. I'm currently the municipal planner in	00:03 2 00:03 3 00:03 4 00:03 5 00:03 6 00:03 7 00:03 8 00:03 10 00:03 11 00:03 12 00:03 13 00:03 14 00:03 15 00:03 16 00:03 17 00:03 18 00:03 19 00:03 20 00:03 21 00:03 23 00:03 24	Q. And you're familiar with Michael Kauker, the borough's planner? A. I am familiar with Mr. Kauker. Q. Had you conferenced with him on this project at all? A. I have not. Q. Have you had the opportunity to review the overlay zone that applies to this district for multiple dwelling unit A. I have. Q. Okay. So why don't you tell the board, once again, what the proposal is and the distinction between the existing conditions and existing building versus the proposed building on the site. The board is very familiar with it. They've heard a lot of testimony. So, briefly, just an overview of what the application is. A. I'm not going to rehash old testimony. You know, the proposal, again, is 122 units in a three-story residential building over two stories of parking, in addition to some commercial use within an existing portion of the existing building on the

	25		27
00:03 1	Currently there is a maybe 18,500- or	00:05 1	Q. And when we put the application
00:04 2	700-square-foot footprint of the existing building.	00:05 2	together in collaboration with your office and mine
00:04 3	That's going to be reduced by about	00:06 3	and with the notices, with regard to that building
00:04 4	60 percent down to around 6100. So we're proposing	00:06 4	since it doesn't conform and we're doing something
00:04 5	to keep that use, which has currently a liquor store,	00:06 5	on-site, we decided to bite the bullet and also
00:04 6	as well as a gymnasium which we're going to talk	00:06 6	declare an expansion of the nonconforming structure?
00:04 7	about and then there's a residential component	00:06 7	A. That's correct.
00:04 8	associated with the second building on the site,	00:06 8	Q. Can you explain that to the board what
00:04 9	which again, is 122 units of which 41 are one-bedroom	00:06 9	I did there with the application?
00:04 10	and 81 are two-bedroom, which you've heard testimony	00:06 10	A. So that existing building has been
00:04 11	from the architect with that regard.	00:06 11	you know, we did a little research in terms of
00:04 12	Q. Now, Bill, you understand that the	00:06 12	historical aerial photographs and we found that that
00:04 13	property, although it's more than two-and-a-half	00:06 13	building has been there probably well before the
00:04 14	acres, we've determined to keep the property as one	00:06 14	zoning of the property, well before 1954.
00:04 15	parcel at this time?	00:06 15	The uses in it, I'm not exactly sure,
00:04 16	A. Yes.	00:06 16	they changed over the years.
00:04 17	Q. And because we did not seek a	00:06 17	I'm not exactly sure how long the
00:04 18	subdivision and create a series of cross easements,	00:06 18	current uses are, but it's existing nonconforming.
00:04 19	we were proposing two principal structures on one	00:06 19	So we took basically a conservative
00:04 20	building lot, even though it's a multi-acre lot,	00:06 20	standpoint and we said, okay, let's legitimize, let's
00:04 21	correct?	00:06 21	legalize all the uses within that building as part of
00:04 22	A. Correct.	00:06 22	this application so that we have a clean slate,
00:04 23	Q. Is that unusual?	00:06 23	rather than to go back and forth to argue whether
00:05 24	A. It's a little unusual, but when you	00:07 24	
00:05 25	have a parking situation like we have where you have	00:07 25	Q. So focusing on that building for a
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	26		28
00:05 1	26 some of the commercial space, you know, commingled	00:07 1	28 little while, the health club or gym, when we
00:05 1 00:05 2		00:07 1 00:07 2	
_	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled	_	little while, the health club or gym, when we
00:05 2	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense	00:07 2	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us.	00:07 2 00:07 3	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct?
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct.
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such.	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site?
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request.	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct.
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 9	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer,	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 9 00:07 10	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 11	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 9 00:07 10 00:07 11	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone?	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 9 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes.	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 9 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories.
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14 00:05 15	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 9 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance,
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14 00:05 15 00:05 16	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that that nature, correct?	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15 00:07 16	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance, correct?
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14 00:05 15 00:05 16 00:05 17	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that that nature, correct? A. Correct.	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15 00:07 16	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance, correct? A. Correct.
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14 00:05 15 00:05 16 00:05 17 00:05 18	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that that nature, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the property presently is used by	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15 00:07 18	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance, correct? A. Correct. Q. But the proposal that's before the
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14 00:05 15 00:05 16 00:05 17 00:05 18 00:05 19	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that that nature, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the property presently is used by five or six different business enterprises at the	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15 00:07 16 00:07 18 00:07 18	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance, correct? A. Correct. Q. But the proposal that's before the board for the residential building, that building
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14 00:05 15 00:05 16 00:05 17 00:05 18 00:05 19 00:05 20	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that that nature, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the property presently is used by five or six different business enterprises at the present time?	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15 00:07 16 00:07 17 00:07 18 00:07 19 00:07 20	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance, correct? A. Correct. Q. But the proposal that's before the board for the residential building, that building stays almost through to the height limitation, give
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 15 00:05 15 00:05 16 00:05 17 00:05 18 00:05 19 00:05 20 00:05 21	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that that nature, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the property presently is used by five or six different business enterprises at the present time? A. Yes, it is.	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15 00:07 17 00:07 18 00:07 19 00:07 20 00:07 21	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance, correct? A. Correct. Q. But the proposal that's before the board for the residential building, that building stays almost through to the height limitation, give or take 2 or 3 feet?
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 6 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 10 00:05 11 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14 00:05 15 00:05 16 00:05 17 00:05 18 00:05 19 00:05 20 00:05 21 00:05 22	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that that nature, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the property presently is used by five or six different business enterprises at the present time? A. Yes, it is. Q. The building that exists up on Grand	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15 00:07 16 00:07 17 00:07 18 00:07 19 00:07 21 00:07 21 00:07 22	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance, correct? A. Correct. Q. But the proposal that's before the board for the residential building, that building stays almost through to the height limitation, give or take 2 or 3 feet? A. Correct. Q. And that height deviation would be a C
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 7 00:05 8 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 12 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14 00:05 15 00:05 16 00:05 17 00:05 19 00:05 20 00:05 21 00:05 22 00:05 23	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that that nature, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the property presently is used by five or six different business enterprises at the present time? A. Yes, it is. Q. The building that exists up on Grand Avenue, is that a conforming structure as to setback	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15 00:07 16 00:07 17 00:07 18 00:07 19 00:07 20 00:07 21 00:07 22 00:07 23	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance, correct? A. Correct. Q. But the proposal that's before the board for the residential building, that building stays almost through to the height limitation, give or take 2 or 3 feet? A. Correct. Q. And that height deviation would be a C variance?
00:05 2 00:05 3 00:05 4 00:05 5 00:05 7 00:05 9 00:05 10 00:05 12 00:05 13 00:05 14 00:05 15 00:05 16 00:05 17 00:05 18 00:05 19 00:05 20 00:05 21 00:05 22 00:05 23 00:05 24	some of the commercial space, you know, commingled with the residential spaces, it seemed to make sense to us. Now, there are no standards with regard to the M-1 zone with regard to lot areas and the such. So to us it seemed to make sense to come in for the variance request. Q. Now, you mentioned the M-1 zone and I'm not going to deviate from your outline much longer, but you mentioned the M-1 zone, which is the existing commercial zone? A. Yes. Q. And you say there are no standards per se? There's no minimum lot size and things of that that nature, correct? A. Correct. Q. And the property presently is used by five or six different business enterprises at the present time? A. Yes, it is. Q. The building that exists up on Grand Avenue, is that a conforming structure as to setback and height or is it nonconforming?	00:07 2 00:07 3 00:07 4 00:07 5 00:07 6 00:07 7 00:07 8 00:07 10 00:07 11 00:07 12 00:07 13 00:07 14 00:07 15 00:07 16 00:07 17 00:07 18 00:07 19 00:07 20 00:07 21 00:07 23 00:07 23	little while, the health club or gym, when we reviewed the ordinance, there was no specific mention of the gym as a principal permitted use, correct? A. That's correct. Q. So even though it had been in existence for many years, we accepted the fact that that may be a nonconforming use on the site? A. Correct. Q. The same thing with the height of the building, the position compared with the rest of the topography of the site and given the multi-level, I think it's five stories or six stories A. Seven stories. Q. Seven stories. It exceeded the height and triggered what we call the D-6 height variance, correct? A. Correct. Q. But the proposal that's before the board for the residential building, that building stays almost through to the height limitation, give or take 2 or 3 feet? A. Correct. Q. And that height deviation would be a C variance?

	29		31
00:08 1	Q. Not a D?	00:10 1	A. Correct, correct.
00:08 2	A. That's right, it's less than	00:10 2	Q. So let's go to the C variances that we
00:08 3	10 percent.	00:10 3	have triggered and I'll let you go with your outline.
00:08 4	Q. Is there anything that we're doing with	00:10 4	A. So there's really a couple of
00:08 5	regard to the proposal for the new development and	00:10 5	C variances that are associated with the existing
00:08 6	phase that deviates from the overlay zone or the	00:10 6	building.
00:08 7	permitted uses or the height or density or anything	00:10 7	The first is front yard setback:
00:08 8	like that or do we confirm in every way to that zone?	00:10 8	15 foot is required in the M-1 zone where 9.4 feet
00:08 9	A. So there's a couple of C variances	00:10 9	and coverage is 50 percent where 82 percent we're
00:08 10	which I'm going to talk about as part of the	00:10 10	going to talk about that a little bit, because the
00:08 11	application, but for the most part we do conform.	00:10 11	coverage is both commercial, as well as residential
00:08 12	Although there are reasons that we we're asking	00:10 12	and we're reducing the coverage of what is existing
00:08 13	fro deviations in certain areas.	00:10 13	from 87 percent down to 82 percent.
00:08 14	Q. Okay. So we're going to go with your	00:10 14	So we've got a reduction of about
00:08 15	outline. You enumerated the variances first and	00:10 15	5 percent, but we're going to talk about that in a
00:08 16	foremost, the D-1 for two principal uses.	00:10 16	little more detail.
00:08 17	Could you just express that?	00:10 17	We also have a number of C variances
00:08 18	Mr. Kauker is not here. We're keeping	00:10 18	with respect to the M-1 residential district, which
00:08 19	a transcript.	00:10 19	the requirements are somewhat different than the
00:08 20	Why do you articulate a D-1 for that?	00:10 20	standard M-1 district.
00:08 21	A. There is nothing specific in your	00:11 21	Again, as you suggested, we're asking
00:08 22	ordinance that prohibits two principal uses within a	00:11 22	for height relief. We have a five-story building.
00:08 23	lot.	00:11 23	Your ordinance is five stories or 50 feet. We're at
00:08 24	Although Mr. Kauker, in his review	00:11 24	a proposed, I think, 54-and-a-half feet. So we're a
00:09 25	letter, did reference a statement within the	00:11 25	few feet over the ordinance. It's still within the
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
			32
_	30		
00:09 1	ordinance that says specifically any use not	00:11 1	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard
00:09 2	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by	00:11 2	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a
00:09 2 00:09 3	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited.	00:11 2 00:11 3	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony.
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance.	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses,	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel?	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct.	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well.
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application.
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot?	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke.	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone.
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay.	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke.	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance,	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14 00:12 15	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or change of a nonconforming, that pertains to that	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14 00:12 15 00:12 16	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet where 24 square feet is existing. And thirdly, we're
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16 00:09 17	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14 00:12 15 00:12 16 00:12 17	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16 00:09 17 00:09 18	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or change of a nonconforming, that pertains to that existing big building in the front, right?	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14 00:12 15 00:12 16 00:12 17 00:12 18	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet where 24 square feet is existing. And thirdly, we're proposing a residential sign, again, where it's not
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16 00:09 17 00:09 18 00:09 19	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or change of a nonconforming, that pertains to that existing big building in the front, right? A. Yes.	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14 00:12 15 00:12 16 00:12 17 00:12 18 00:12 19	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet where 24 square feet is existing. And thirdly, we're proposing a residential sign, again, where it's not allowed.
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 12 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16 00:09 17 00:09 18 00:09 19 00:09 20	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or change of a nonconforming, that pertains to that existing big building in the front, right? A. Yes. Q. It doesn't pertain to the application	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14 00:12 15 00:12 16 00:12 17 00:12 18 00:12 19 00:12 20	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet where 24 square feet is existing. And thirdly, we're proposing a residential sign, again, where it's not allowed. So although there's an existing sign on
00:09 2 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16 00:09 17 00:09 18 00:09 19 00:09 20 00:09 21	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or change of a nonconforming, that pertains to that existing big building in the front, right? A. Yes. Q. It doesn't pertain to the application for the new development we propose?	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14 00:12 15 00:12 16 00:12 17 00:12 18 00:12 19 00:12 20 00:12 21	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet where 24 square feet is existing. And thirdly, we're proposing a residential sign, again, where it's not allowed. So although there's an existing sign on the site that's 55 square feet, I'm going to show you
00:09 2 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 11 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16 00:09 17 00:09 18 00:09 19 00:09 20 00:09 21 00:09 22	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or change of a nonconforming, that pertains to that existing big building in the front, right? A. Yes. Q. It doesn't pertain to the application for the new development we propose? A. No, it's the existing building.	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14 00:12 15 00:12 16 00:12 17 00:12 18 00:12 19 00:12 21 00:12 21	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet where 24 square feet is existing. And thirdly, we're proposing a residential sign, again, where it's not allowed. So although there's an existing sign on the site that's 55 square feet, I'm going to show you an exhibit that references that sign, as well as the
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 12 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16 00:09 17 00:09 18 00:09 19 00:09 20 00:09 21 00:09 22 00:09 23	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or change of a nonconforming, that pertains to that existing big building in the front, right? A. Yes. Q. It doesn't pertain to the application for the new development we propose? A. No, it's the existing building. Q. And the same with the D-6, the height	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 12 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:11 14 00:12 15 00:12 16 00:12 17 00:12 18 00:12 19 00:12 20 00:12 21 00:12 22	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet where 24 square feet is existing. And thirdly, we're proposing a residential sign, again, where it's not allowed. So although there's an existing sign on the site that's 55 square feet, I'm going to show you an exhibit that references that sign, as well as the proposed sign. We are asking for those three reliefs
00:09 2 00:09 4 00:09 5 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16 00:09 17 00:09 18 00:09 19 00:09 20 00:09 21 00:09 22 00:09 23 00:10 24	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or change of a nonconforming, that pertains to that existing big building in the front, right? A. Yes. Q. It doesn't pertain to the application for the new development we propose? A. No, it's the existing building. Q. And the same with the D-6, the height of the D-6 is far in excess of the permitted height,	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:12 15 00:12 16 00:12 17 00:12 18 00:12 19 00:12 20 00:12 21 00:12 23 00:12 24	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet where 24 square feet is existing. And thirdly, we're proposing a residential sign, again, where it's not allowed. So although there's an existing sign on the site that's 55 square feet, I'm going to show you an exhibit that references that sign, as well as the proposed sign. We are asking for those three reliefs with respect to signage.
00:09 2 00:09 3 00:09 4 00:09 6 00:09 7 00:09 8 00:09 9 00:09 10 00:09 12 00:09 13 00:09 14 00:09 15 00:09 16 00:09 17 00:09 18 00:09 19 00:09 20 00:09 21 00:09 22 00:09 23 00:10 24	ordinance that says specifically any use not specifically permitted in a district established by this chapter is hereby prohibited. So we're taking, I think, that to heart and we're filing for the D-1 variance. Q. Now, not only is it two principal uses, but there will be two principal structures, primary buildings on the entire parcel? A. That's correct. Q. And that would also trigger that part of the D-1 concept, two principal structures on a single building lot? A. Two principal structures, I misspoke. Q. It's okay. Now, with regard to the D-2 variance, that is any modification, alteration, expansion or change of a nonconforming, that pertains to that existing big building in the front, right? A. Yes. Q. It doesn't pertain to the application for the new development we propose? A. No, it's the existing building. Q. And the same with the D-6, the height of the D-6 is far in excess of the permitted height, but it's existing?	00:11 2 00:11 3 00:11 4 00:11 5 00:11 6 00:11 7 00:11 8 00:11 9 00:11 10 00:11 11 00:11 12 00:11 13 00:12 15 00:12 16 00:12 17 00:12 18 00:12 19 00:12 20 00:12 21 00:12 23 00:12 24	C variance, you know, jurisdiction with that regard and the reason for that, I'm going to get into a little later in my testimony. We also are asking for minor relief on open space: 20 percent is required, we're 18 percent. And with that, there are certain standards with regard to open space where there's front yard areas and rear yard areas that we're also asking for relief and, again, I will get into that as well. And, finally, there are a couple of sign variances that are proposed in the application. You're allowed one sign within this zone, in the M-1 zone. We're proposing two signs, so that's a variance first of all; and the second is we're proposing a non-residential sign of 45 square feet where 24 square feet is existing. And thirdly, we're proposing a residential sign, again, where it's not allowed. So although there's an existing sign on the site that's 55 square feet, I'm going to show you an exhibit that references that sign, as well as the proposed sign. We are asking for those three reliefs with respect to signage. Q. Now, Bill, we had marked many exhibits

2012 2 at the last meeting, but you were not here in			33			35
0.012 2 attendance, but I know that you worked on this 0.013 2 procedure the last eligible or nine monthst and you've 0.012 4 seen these exhibits in these team meetings. 0.012 5 Do you need mon't those to help you? 0.012 6 Maybe Jacob, your associate can mount them for you if 0.012 7 you need them? 0.013 10 If's the existing give plant, I guess it on 12 10 0.013 11 is. 0.013 12 O. Hopefully they were — I think they 0.013 14 A. I don't see a marking. 0.013 15 Q. Hopefully they were — I think they 0.013 16 A. Bust it was — again, I don't know what 0.013 17 were marked somewhere in the comer. 0.013 18 exhibit a pand I fam't know what I was proposed under the original site plan with Mr. Schulman. 0.013 19 Q. Right. It's okay. 0.013 20 Than's the existing conditions exhibit? 0.013 21 A. Yeah. 0.013 22 No, this is the proposed conditions. 0.014 23 And was referring to on this 0.013 24 exhibit are the locations of the two signs and one is original site plan with Mr. Schulman. 0.013 25 located proposed on the — I guess it it the north LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.SR, R.P.R., L.L.C. 2014 4 residential in feel and nature, is proposed at the 0.012 25 located proposed on the — I guess it it the north LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.SR, R.P.R., L.L.C. 2014 11 Jast tell us what it is 2 orner. 0.014 12 A. So this is A2a, I'll mark it on the 0.014 12 A. So this is A2a, I'll mark it on the 0.014 13 A. So this is A2a, I'll mark it on the 0.014 15 Exhibit A. 24 for identification.) 0.014 16 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.015 17 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.016 18 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.017 19 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.018 19 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 21 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 22 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 23 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 24 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 25 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 26 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 27 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 28 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 29 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 20 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 21 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 22 (Abertal Mr. Schulman) 0.019 23 (Abertal Mr. Schul	00·12 1	at the last m		00:15	1	
2 2 3	_		<u>-</u>		_	·
10.11 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		•	,			
2012 5	_				_	·
2012 7 you need them? 2012 8 A. Yeah, I think I'd like to call the one 2013 7 When the proposed content in the content in		Seen these c	3		_	,
2012 7 you need them? 2012 8 A. Yesh, I think I'd like to call the one 2015 8 A. Yesh, I think I'd like to call the one 2015 9 2015 10 It's the existing site plan, I guess it 2013 11 2 Q. Hopfully they were - I think they 2013 12 Q. Hopfully they were - I think they 2013 13 2 Q. Hopfully they were - I think they 2013 13 2 Q. Moy 2014 2 Q. And you want to tell us about the 2015 13 2014 2 Q. And you want to tell us about the 2015 14 2 Q. And you want to tell us about the 2015 15 2 Q. Moy 2014 2 Q. Moy 201	_	Maybe lacob			_	
Sexibit to pand I don't know what number it is.		•	•		_	
1012 9 exhibit up and I don't know what number it is. 1013 12 12 12 13 14 15 14 15 15 14 15 15		•			_	·
00.15 10 11's the existing site plan, I guess it 00.15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	_					·
10-13 1 1 1 2 Q. Hopefully they were — I think they 10-13 3 Were marked somewhere in the corner. 10-13 14 A. I don't see a marking. 10-13 15 Q. Okay. All right, well find it. 10-13 16 A. But it was ragain, I don't know what 18 20-13 18 20-13 19 Q. Right. It's okay. 10-13 19 Q. Right. It's okay. 10-13 21 A. Yeah. 19-13 22 No, this is the proposed conditions. 10-13 22 No, this is the proposed conditions 10-13 23 A. Yeah. 19-13 A. Yeah. 19-1		CAMBIC UP				
constraint con		ie	100 the existing site plan, 1 gaess it			
00.13 13 were marked somewhere in the corner. 00.15 13 14 A. I don't see a marking. 00.15 14 A. I don't see a marking. 00.15 15 00.15 16 A. But it was — again, I don't know what 00.15 17 00.15 18 00.15 19 00.15 17 00.15 18 00.15 19 00.			Honefully they were I think they			
Oct 14 A. I don't see a marking.			. , ,			•
0.13 15		_				
0.013 16			_			
00:13 17 exhibit number it is, but it was proposed under the one of the control of the site plan with Mr. Schulman. 00:13 18 original site plan with Mr. Schulman. 00:13 20 That's the existing conditions exhibit? 00:13 21 A. Yeah. No, this is the proposed conditions. No, this is the proposed conditions. No, this is the proposed conditions. And what I was referring to on this exhibit are the locations of the two signs and one is located proposed on the — I guess it's the north LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 34 00:13 21 side of the existing building at the main entrance road to the site. 00:14 3 southern driveway. 00:14 6 So because we have two uses on the site, which is the main entrance to the stee, where the it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A24. 00:14 11 Q. Yesh, we felt it the signs that are opposed are more in keeping with what's being proposed afor the site. So although we were asking for some the site outled. Onlie 22 control 22 control 22 control 22 control 23 control 24 control 24 control 24 control 24 control 25 control 26 control 27 control 27 control 27 control 28 control 29 contro						
00.13 18 Original site plan with Mr. Schulman. 00.15 18 Original site plan with Mr. Schulman. 00.16 19 Original site plan with Mr. Schulman. 00.16 20 Original site plan with Mr. Schulman. 00.18 21 Original site plan with Mr. Schulman. 00.18 22 Original site plan with Mr. Schulman. 00.18 23 Original site plan with Mr. Schulman. Original site plan with Mr. Schulman. Original site site she existing conditions. Original site she with regard to the number of signs, as well as the site of the site. Original site of the site. Original site of the site shibit are the locations of the two signs and one is located proposed on the —1 guess it's the north. Original site of the site. Original site of the site shibit are the locations of the two signs and one is located proposed on the —1 guess it's the north. Original site of the site.					_	
00-13 19			, ,			
10013 20		_	•			
00:13 21 A. Yeah. No, this is the proposed conditions. No, this is the proposed on the — I guess it's the north LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.I.C. 201-641-1812		-	·		_	
00:13 22 No, this is the proposed conditions. 23		Α.	-			•
0013 23			No, this is the proposed conditions.	00:16	22	-
00:13 25 located proposed on the I guess it's the north LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 34 36 37 36 37 38 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	00:13 23			00:16	23	
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 34 36 36 36 36 36 37 37 38 38 38 38 38 38	00:13 24	exhibit are	the locations of the two signs and one is	00:16	24	Grand Avenue. We have a more residential feel on the
30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	00:13 25	located pro	posed on the I guess it's the north	00:16	25	monument sign, again, for the southern entrance of
34 00:13 1 side of the existing building at the main entrance 00:14 2 road to the site. 00:14 3 And then a second sign, which is more 00:14 4 residential in feel and nature, is proposed at the 00:14 5 southern driveway. 00:14 6 So because we have two uses on the 00:14 7 site, we felt it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:14 11 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage." 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 20 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:16 10 O:16 11 O:16 II O:16		LAU	IRA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.			LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
00:13 1 side of the existing building at the main entrance 00:14 2 road to the site. 00:14 3 And then a second sign, which is more 00:14 4 residential in feel and nature, is proposed at the 00:14 5 southern driveway. 00:16 6 0:16 6 1 southern driveway that would have, you know, a 15 Grand Avenue or whatever 00:14 7 site, we felt it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:14 8 And we prepared an exhibit that this would be, 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:14 11 Just tell us what it is? 00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:14 13 the site, which is the main entrance to the 00:16 2 residential units and the leasing area. And then we 100:16 5 listing of, you know, 25 Grand Avenue or whatever 10:16 6 it's called in the future, as well as two or three 10:16 7 tenants that are in the building. 10:16 10 We feel that it's appropriate. 10:16 11 Q. And you collaborated with Eric Keller 10:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 10:16 13 whether it would facilitate traffic safety as well? 10:16 13 have a more, you know, commercial sign proposed for 10:16 5 listing of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever 10:16 6 it's called in the future, as well as two or three 10:16 7 tenants that are in the building. 10:16 7 tenants that are in the building. 10:16 9 A. So from a traffic safety perspective, 10:16 11 Q. And you collaborated with Eric Keller 10:16 11 Q. And you collaborated with Eric Keller 10:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 10:16 13 have a more, you know, 20 men, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever 10:16 5 listing of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever 10:16 6 it's called in the future, as well as two or three 10:16 0 Oi:16 12 Oi:16 14 A. So from a traffic safety perspective, 10:16 12 Oi:16 12 Oi:16 13 Whether it would facilitate traffic safety perspective, 10:16 12 Oi:16 13 Whether it would facilitate traffic safety perspective, 10:16 12 Oi:16 13 Whether it would facilitate traffic safety perspective, 10:16 12 Oi:16 13 Whether it would facilitate traffic safety perspective,			201-641-1812			201-641-1812
00:14 2 road to the site. 00:14 3 And then a second sign, which is more 00:14 4 residential in feel and nature, is proposed at the 00:14 5 southern driveway. 00:14 6 So because we have two uses on the 00:14 7 site, we felt it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:14 11 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage." 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:15 21 The VITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:16 22 residential units and the leasing area. And then we have a more, you know, commercial sign proposed for the northern driveway that would have, you know, a blave a more, you know, commercial sign proposed for the northern driveway that would have, you know, a blave a more, you know, commercial sign proposed for the northern driveway that would have, you know, a blave a more, you know, commercial sign proposed for the northern driveway that would have, you know, a listing of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever on the northern driveway that would have, you know, a olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever olisting of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or			34			36
00:14 4 residential in feel and nature, is proposed at the country of the northern driveway. 00:14 5 southern driveway. 00:14 6 So because we have two uses on the country of site, we felt it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:14 7 site, we felt it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:14 8 And we prepared an exhibit that this would be, what, A-24. 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:14 11 Just tell us what it is? 00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the country of the country o	00:13 1	side of the	existing building at the main entrance	00:16	1	the site, which is the main entrance to the
00:14 4 residential in feel and nature, is proposed at the southern driveway. 00:14 5 So because we have two uses on the 00:14 7 site, we felt it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:14 8 And we prepared an exhibit that this would be, what, A-24. 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:14 11 Just tell us what it is? 00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:16 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage." 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage gisn, for the project, 00:15 25 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, L.L.C. 00:15 25 One defining the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 00:17 21 LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 00:18 20 10:16 18 One deing the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 00:17 20 One defining the more of the southern driveway that would have, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever 00:16 5 listing of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever 00:16 7 it's called in the future, as well as two or three tenants that are in the building. 00:16 7 tenants that are in the building. 00:16 8 Q. Okay. 00:16 9 A. So from a traffic safety perspective, 00:16 10 we feel that it's appropriate. 00:16 10 of your office regarding the placement location and whether it would facilitate traffic safety as well? 00:16 11 Q. And you collaborated with Eric Keller of your office regarding the placement location and whether it would facilitate traffic safety as well? 00:16 12 Q. And do you believe based on those conversations that the variance relief is warranted one of your office regarding the placement location and one of your office regarding the placement location and whether it would facilitate traffic safety as well? 00:16 12 Q. And	00:14 2	road to the	site.	00:16	2	residential units and the leasing area. And then we
00:14 5 southern driveway. 00:16 5 listing of, you know, 15 Grand Avenue or whatever it's called in the future, as well as two or three tenants that are in the building. 00:14 7 site, we felt it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:16 7 00:16 7 00:16 7 00:17 00:18 8 00:18 9 <td< th=""><th>00:14 3</th><th></th><th>And then a second sign, which is more</th><th>00:16</th><th>3</th><th>have a more, you know, commercial sign proposed for</th></td<>	00:14 3		And then a second sign, which is more	00:16	3	have a more, you know, commercial sign proposed for
00:14 6 So because we have two uses on the 00:14 7 site, we felt it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:14 8 And we prepared an exhibit that this would be, 00:16 9 Mat, A-24. 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:16 10 We feel that it's appropriate. 00:14 11 Just tell us what it is? 00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and whether it would facilitate traffic safety as well? 00:14 13 Corner. 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage Exhibit, 00:14 15 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.I.C. 00:17 20 LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.I.C. 00:17 21 LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.I.C. 00:17 20 LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.I.C. 00:17 24 to the Daviances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.I.C. 00:17 21 LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.I.C. 00:18 29 LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.I.C. 00:19 20 LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R.,		residential	in feel and nature, is proposed at the			
00:14 7 site, we felt it was appropriate to have two signs. 00:14 8 And we prepared an exhibit that this would be, 00:14 9 what, A-24. 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:14 11 Just tell us what it is? 00:16 11 Q. And you collaborated with Eric Keller 00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:14 13 corner. 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage." 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		southern d	•	00:16	5	
00:14 8 And we prepared an exhibit that this would be, what, A-24. 00:16 9 A. So from a traffic safety perspective, out of perspective, out of perspective, out of the perspective of the perspective detail the perspective of perspective of perspective detail the perspective of perspective, out of the perspective of the perspective of perspective detail the perspective of					_	
00:14 9 what, A-24. 00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:16 10 we feel that it's appropriate. 00:16 11 Q. And you collaborated with Eric Keller 00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:14 13 corner. 00:14 14 It's called "Proposed Signage." 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage Exhibit, 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 25 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 It's alted the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:16 10 we feel that it's appropriate. 00:16 11 Q. And you collaborated with Eric Keller 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 11 Q. And dyou believe based on those 00:16 13 whether it would facilitate traffic safety perspective, 00:16 14 Q. And you collaborated with Eric Keller 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement loca		-				_
00:14 10 Q. Yes, A-24. 00:14 11 Just tell us what it is? 00:16 11 Q. And you collaborated with Eric Keller 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 13 whether it would facilitate traffic safety as well? 00:16 14 A. We did. 00:16 15 Q. And do you believe based on those 00:16 16 Q. And do you believe based on those 00:17 16 conversations that the variance relief is warranted 00:18 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:18 18 A. We do. 00:19 18 A. We do. 00:11 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:12 10 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:12 11 Q. Thank you. 00:17 12 Q. Thank you. 00:17 12 A. So 00:18 22 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:18 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:18 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:18 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		-	•		_	
00:14 11 Just tell us what it is? 00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:14 13 corner. 00:14 14 It's called "Proposed Signage." 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage Exhibit, 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 India A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		•				,
00:14 12 A. So this is A-24, I'll mark it on the 00:14 13 corner. 00:14 14 It's called "Proposed Signage." 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage Exhibit, 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:16 12 of your office regarding the placement location and 00:16 13 whether it would facilitate traffic safety as well? 00:16 14 A. We did. 00:16 15 Q. And do you believe based on those 00:17 16 conversations that the variance relief is warranted 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 19 Q. Thank you. 00:17 20 You can continue, Bill? 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		Q.	·			• • •
00:14 13 corner. 00:14 14 It's called "Proposed Signage." 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage Exhibit, 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:16 13 whether it would facilitate traffic safety as well? 00:16 14 A. We did. 00:16 15 Q. And do you believe based on those 00:17 16 conversations that the variance relief is warranted 00:17 17 because of those considerations? 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 19 Q. Thank you. 00:17 20 You can continue, Bill? 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		٨				,
00:14 14 1t's called "Proposed Signage." 00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage Exhibit, 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:16 14 A. We did. 00:16 15 Q. And do you believe based on those 00:17 16 conversations that the variance relief is warranted 00:17 17 because of those considerations? 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 19 Q. Thank you. 00:17 20 You can continue, Bill? 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 10:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812			30 tills is A-24, I il mark it on the			
00:14 15 (Whereupon, Proposed Signage Exhibit, 00:16 15 Q. And do you believe based on those 00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:17 16 conversations that the variance relief is warranted 00:17 17 because of those considerations? 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 19 Q. Thank you. 00:17 20 You can continue, Bill? 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:16 15 Q. And do you believe based on those 00:17 16 conversations that the variance relief is warranted 00:17 17 because of those considerations? 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 19 Q. Thank you. 00:17 20 You can continue, Bill? 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		COLLIEL.	It's called "Proposed Signage "			,
00:14 16 dated 6/15/22 is received and marked as 00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:14 22 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:17 16 conversations that the variance relief is warranted 00:17 17 because of those considerations? 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 19 Q. Thank you. 00:17 20 You can continue, Bill? 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812						
00:14 17 Exhibit A-24 for identification.) 00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:14 22 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:17 17 because of those considerations? 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 19 Q. Thank you. 00:17 20 You can continue, Bill? 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		dated 6				•
00:14 18 THE WITNESS: The date is the 26th. 00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:14 22 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:17 18 A. We do. 00:17 19 Q. Thank you. 90:17 20 You can continue, Bill? 90:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812			•			
00:14 19 MS. TESTA: The 27th. 00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:14 22 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:17 19 Q. Thank you. 90:17 20 You can continue, Bill? 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812			•			
00:14 20 THE WITNESS: The 27th, thank you. 00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:14 22 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 7ou can continue, Bill? A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812					_	
00:14 21 6/27/22. 00:14 22 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:17 21 A. So 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812						•
00:14 22 It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows 00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:17 22 Q. I'm taking you off track. 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		6/27/22.	, ,			
00:15 23 are the two proposed signage signs for the project, 00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:17 23 A. That's okay. Let's go back, I guess, 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812			It's dated 6/15/22 and what this shows			
00:15 24 one being the commercial sign, again, which is at the 00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:17 24 to the D variances. We can knock those off first. 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812		are the two	, ,			- ·
00:15 25 northern driveway and the second being the more LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 00:17 25 The D-1 is a little bit of a you LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812	00:15 24			00:17	24	
201-641-1812 201-641-1812	00:15 25			00:17	25	The D-1 is a little bit of a you
		LAU	IRA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.			LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
0 of E7 choose						

	37		39
00:17 1	know, harder than the other variances, because you	00:19 1	environmentally sensitive land with this application.
00:17 1	have to provide special reasons for the granting of	00:19 2	There's no wetland permits. We do have a permit
00:17 2	the variances, and I'm sure you've heard testimony in	00:19 2	that's outstanding with regard to flood hazard area
00:17 3	the past with regard to that, to that wording, you	00:20 4	of the Overpeck Creek, but certainly that is
00:17 5	know, that special reasons, that phrase and the	00:20 5	something that we're going to get.
00:17 6	applicant must prove special reasons for a variance	00:20 6	
00:17 7	for the two principal buildings on the site.	00:20 7	The site can accommodate the density with sufficient parking as you've heard from the
00:17 7	Now, special reasons is a weird phrase	00:20 8	architect and as you will hear from the traffic
00:17 9	and there's three there's three categories of	00:20 9	engineer, the parking is sufficient for both the
00:17 9	-	00:20 10	
00:17 10	special reasons that the legislation has outlined to	00:20 10	commercial, as well as the residential use and the
00:17 11	be shown with this application.	00:20 11	site has access to utilities in terms of water, sewer and the like.
00:17 12	The first is where the use inherently	00:20 12	
00:18 13	serves the public good, such as hospitals and		With regard to the other D variances,
	schools, which is not the case in this regard or the	00:20 14	both the D-2 and the D-6, we don't have to show
00:18 15	property owner would suffer undue hardship by the	00:20 15	special reasons, but we have to show that the site
00:18 16	implementation of any of the requirements.	00:20 16	can accommodate any problems that are associated with
00:18 17	And, again, that's not the case in this	00:20 17	those uses and I think I think that's the case
00:18 18 00:18 19	application or where the use would serve the general	00:20 18 00:20 19	here.
	welfare because the proposed site is particularly		The nonconforming use with regard to,
00:18 20 00:18 21	suitable for the use and we believe that is the	00:20 20 00:20 21	you know, the existing building that's being modified
00:18 21	situation here and there's a number of reasons why we		has sufficient parking and the height is existing and
00:18 22	believe that site could be suitable.	00:20 22 00:20 23	the height of that building, which exceeds the
	First is the existing use is in place,		ordinance standard is existing and it has existed for
00:18 24	an existing building and established businesses that	00:20 24	many, many years.
00:18 25	serve the community and have so for many, many years.	00:21 25	So with any variances, that's the
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	00		40
00.40	38	22.24	40
00:18 1	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to	00:21 1	positive criteria. We also have the negative
00:18 2	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this	00:21 2	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be
00:18 2 00:18 3	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building.	00:21 2 00:21 3	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone.
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area.	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it.
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct?
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified.	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct.
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons.	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 14	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 14 00:21 15	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property.	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 14 00:21 15 00:21 16	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:19 5 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 17	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 14 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone?
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 18	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the residential building and the site improvements, it's	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 12 00:21 14 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17 00:22 18	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone? A. No, I would say no.
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 18 00:19 18 00:19 19	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the residential building and the site improvements, it's recessed significantly below both Route 46, as well	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17 00:22 18 00:22 19	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone? A. No, I would say no. And, in fact, Mr. Kauker in his letter
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 12 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 17 00:19 18 00:19 19 00:19 20	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the residential building and the site improvements, it's recessed significantly below both Route 46, as well as Grand Avenue. It's about 15 feet or so beyond	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 12 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 14 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17 00:22 18 00:22 19 00:22 20	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone? A. No, I would say no. And, in fact, Mr. Kauker in his letter indicates that, you know, the proposed change of use,
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 18 00:19 19 00:19 20 00:19 21	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the residential building and the site improvements, it's recessed significantly below both Route 46, as well as Grand Avenue. It's about 15 feet or so beyond below Grand Avenue and it's about 30 feet or so below	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 14 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17 00:22 18 00:22 20 00:22 21	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone? A. No, I would say no. And, in fact, Mr. Kauker in his letter indicates that, you know, the proposed change of use, which is residential in nature with the existing
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 13 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 17 00:19 18 00:19 19 00:19 20 00:19 21 00:19 22	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the residential building and the site improvements, it's recessed significantly below both Route 46, as well as Grand Avenue. It's about 15 feet or so beyond below Grand Avenue and it's about 30 feet or so below Route 46.	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 14 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17 00:22 18 00:22 19 00:22 20 00:22 21	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone? A. No, I would say no. And, in fact, Mr. Kauker in his letter indicates that, you know, the proposed change of use, which is residential in nature with the existing commercial land uses in the area is consistent with
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 17 00:19 18 00:19 19 00:19 20 00:19 21 00:19 23	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the residential building and the site improvements, it's recessed significantly below both Route 46, as well as Grand Avenue. It's about 15 feet or so beyond below Grand Avenue and it's about 30 feet or so below Route 46. So we feel that it's appropriate. It's	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 12 00:21 12 00:21 15 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17 00:22 18 00:22 19 00:22 20 00:22 21 00:22 23	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone? A. No, I would say no. And, in fact, Mr. Kauker in his letter indicates that, you know, the proposed change of use, which is residential in nature with the existing commercial land uses in the area is consistent with the Master Plan.
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 17 00:19 18 00:19 19 00:19 20 00:19 21 00:19 22 00:19 23 00:19 24	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the residential building and the site improvements, it's recessed significantly below both Route 46, as well as Grand Avenue. It's about 15 feet or so beyond below Grand Avenue and it's about 30 feet or so below Route 46. So we feel that it's appropriate. It's an appropriate use for the site.	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 14 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17 00:22 18 00:22 19 00:22 20 00:22 21 00:22 23 00:22 24	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone? A. No, I would say no. And, in fact, Mr. Kauker in his letter indicates that, you know, the proposed change of use, which is residential in nature with the existing commercial land uses in the area is consistent with the Master Plan. So I would say that he agrees with us
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 5 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 10 00:19 11 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 17 00:19 18 00:19 19 00:19 20 00:19 21 00:19 23	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the residential building and the site improvements, it's recessed significantly below both Route 46, as well as Grand Avenue. It's about 15 feet or so beyond below Grand Avenue and it's about 30 feet or so below Route 46. So we feel that it's appropriate. It's an appropriate use for the site. There's going to be no disturbance on	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 12 00:21 12 00:21 15 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17 00:22 18 00:22 19 00:22 20 00:22 21 00:22 23	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone? A. No, I would say no. And, in fact, Mr. Kauker in his letter indicates that, you know, the proposed change of use, which is residential in nature with the existing commercial land uses in the area is consistent with the Master Plan. So I would say that he agrees with us in regard to this use is appropriate for the site,
00:18 2 00:18 3 00:18 4 00:19 6 00:19 7 00:19 8 00:19 9 00:19 10 00:19 12 00:19 13 00:19 14 00:19 15 00:19 16 00:19 17 00:19 18 00:19 19 00:19 20 00:19 21 00:19 22 00:19 23 00:19 24	You know, as indicated before, we traced it back to 1953, but it's probably before that with this building. The proposed residential building will replace an auto salvage yard that is really a visual detriment to the area. Currently there are a number of uses within that site, and we're going to consolidate that into the two structures that are being the one that's being proposed, the other that's being modified. So the proposed site is particularly suited for the use, again, for a number of reasons. The property has direct access to Route 46 through the ramp that is located just south of the property. The topography of the site, for the residential building and the site improvements, it's recessed significantly below both Route 46, as well as Grand Avenue. It's about 15 feet or so beyond below Grand Avenue and it's about 30 feet or so below Route 46. So we feel that it's appropriate. It's an appropriate use for the site.	00:21 2 00:21 3 00:21 4 00:21 5 00:21 6 00:21 7 00:21 8 00:21 9 00:21 10 00:21 11 00:21 12 00:21 13 00:21 14 00:21 15 00:21 16 00:22 17 00:22 18 00:22 19 00:22 20 00:22 21 00:22 23 00:22 24	positive criteria. We also have the negative criteria with regard to, you know, will there be substantial detriment to the public good by the granting of the variance or will the purpose of the zone plan be impacted or impaired by the zone. Q. Now, the negative criteria, many planners gloss over it, but you're focusing on it. The negative criteria for the D-1 is amongst other requirements, whether it does harm or impairs the intent of the zone plan, correct? A. Correct. Q. And that the municipality passed about five years ago an overlay in this M-1 zone encouraging multiple dwelling limited to two levels of parking and three levels of the residential, could anyone say that by proposing a building that conforms that it impairs the intent of the zone? A. No, I would say no. And, in fact, Mr. Kauker in his letter indicates that, you know, the proposed change of use, which is residential in nature with the existing commercial land uses in the area is consistent with the Master Plan. So I would say that he agrees with us

		1	
	41		43
00:22 1	but, obviously, he will speak for himself.	00:24 1	sure.
00:22 2	Q. Okay.	00:24 2	Q. And are you influenced by those
00:22 3	A. So with regard to the negative	00:24 3	properties surrounding it? I'm talking about an
00:22 4	criteria, it will not have an impact to the	00:24 4	industrial avenue going back to the north. In the
00:22 5	neighborhood, the fact that the commercial building	00:24 5	back area of the property, they need a lot of help
00:22 6	is higher than what's required.	00:24 6	back there.
00:22 7	Again, it's been there many, many years	00:24 7	A. They need help.
00:22 8	and as such is part of the neighborhood.	00:24 8	And this will certainly be the impetus,
00:22 9	Two principal structures on the lot are	00:24 9	hopefully, for the redevelopment of the area.
00:22 10	not are not negative in terms of the I'm	00:24 10	Q. You know I got off track a little bit,
00:22 11	sorry the impact to the neighborhood, because,	00:25 11	but the proposal does no harm to neighbors, to the
00:22 12	again, they've been there not only for many, many	00:25 12	zone plan and, in fact, there's a significant
00:22 13 00:23 14	years.	00:25 13 00:25 14	improvement to the area, is it?
	But also because of the size of the		A. It is, yes.
00:23 15 00:23 16	site, the topography of the site, it makes sense to	00:25 15 00:25 16	Q. So I'm going to let you dwell upon theC variances, because we haven't articulated in detail
00:23 17	have these two buildings as they're proposed. Q. Bill, you've been to the site more than	00:25 17	the need for any of these smaller setback variances,
00:23 17	Q. Bill, you've been to the site more than once, haven't you?	00:25 17	•
00:23 19	A. I have.	00:25 19	but perhaps you could A. So I'm going to talk about the
00:23 19	Q. And the neighboring properties, like	00:25 19	variances as a whole and then specific I'm going
00:23 20	21 Grand and beyond that where there's the golf	00:25 21	to get into specific details for each variance as I
00:23 21	driving range, at 21 Grand there are two, three	00:25 21	go through my testimony.
00:23 23	different buildings housing multiple tenants in each,	00:25 23	The first is, you know, the C-2
00:23 24	correct?	00:25 24	variance relief for the variances noted are granted
00:23 25	A. Yes.	00:25 25	where the purpose of planning is advanced by the
00.20 20	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	00.20 20	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	42		44
00:23 1	Q. And so is it unusual to have multiple	00:25 1	application and by the variance relief and there's a
00:23 2	buildings on a single building lot in that area?	00:25 2	number of purposes of planning that we feel would be
00:23 3	A. No, not really, not really.	00:25 3	advanced by this application.
00:23 4	It's been that way for many, many years	00:25 4	The first is which we talked about,
00:23 5	in terms of multiple uses. This is simply multiple	00:25 5	general welfare, free flow of traffic, which you're
00:23 6	structures.	00:26 6	going to hear from our traffic expert in a few
00:23 7	Q. I don't know if you continued in the	00:26 7	minutes and a desirable visual environment.
00:23 8	back of the property parallel to the railroad tracks,	00:26 8	That is really to lift up this section
00:23 9	but did you have an opportunity to see the condition	00:26 9	of town to a standard that it doesn't exist today.
00:23 10	of some of these properties and the outlying area?	00:26 10	We have we have variances with
00:23 11	I'm talking about south or I guess it would be west	00:26 11	regard to height. We're proposing, I think, 54, a
00:24 12	of Grand Avenue, but parallel with the railroad	00:26 12	little bit over the 50-foot requirement and that is
00:24 13	tracks.	00:26 13	really because of the topography of the site.
00:24 14 00:24 15	Did you ever get a chance to see the condition of these old industrial warehouses and	00:26 14 00:26 15	This isn't going to be we're going to get into negative criteria later, but this isn't
00:24 15	such?	00:26 16	going to be noticed by anyone, the extra couple of
00:24 16	A. Yeah.	00:26 17	feet, since the topography of the site is such that
00:24 17	I did drive around the area on a number	00:26 18	it sits at such a low elevation with regard to both
00:24 19	of occasions and I am familiar with the deteriorated	00:26 19	Route 46 and Grand Avenue.
00:24 10	condition of some of those, some of those areas.	00:26 20	We do have relief on impervious
00:24 21	Q. And would a new development of this	00:26 21	coverage. It's de minimis, it's about 2 percent over
00:24 22	caliber be detrimental and drag down those properties	00:26 22	what's required.
00:24 23	and the conditions or would this be a stimulation of	00:26 23	And, again, we're reducing that
00:24 24	the property going in the right direction?	00:27 24	impervious coverage by about 5 percent.
00:24 25	A. Well, we hope it's a stimulation for	00:27 25	So we're making the situation not only
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
11 of 57 ch	note Dogo 41 to	14 of 168	07/06/2022 07:30:41 PM

	45			47
00:27 1	better, a lot of that impervious coverage is being	00:29	1	water and control, that material is not natural
00:27 2	switched from surface parking, lots to roof water,	00:29	2	grass, so you took the worst case scenario by
00:27 3	which is cleaner.	00:29	3	classifying it as impervious coverage?
00:27 4	We are providing a stormwater	00:29	4	A. Exactly.
00:27 5	management system as you've heard from Mr. Schulman	00:29	5	Q. But isn't it a recreational amenity?
00:27 6	with regard to you know, with respect to what's	00:29	6	A. It is.
00:27 7	being proposed and the fact that it conforms with	00:29	7	And it's an open space and it's green.
00:27 8	your municipal, as well as the NJDEP regulations.	00:29	8	But, you know, we again, we took the
00:27 9	With that, with that overage on		9	conservative approach on that. We did ask for
00:27 10	impervious coverage, naturally there is relief that	00:29 1		relief.
00:27 11	is requested with regard to open space because	00:29 1	1	Q. In your opinion, providing a dog park
00:27 12	there's 2 percent impervious coverage and then	00:29 1		for the residents that will live here, if they choose
00:27 13	there's 2 percent open space variance relief that's	00:29 1	3	to have pets, does that weigh against the de minimis
00:27 14	required.	00:29 1		impervious coverage demand of the variance?
00:27 15	With regard to the open space, there's	00:29 1	5	A. Yes, it does. It actually does.
00:27 16	two standards in your ordinance. One is that	00:30 1	6	Q. I mean, it's a quality-of-life issue;
00:27 17	50 percent of the required front yard area needs to	00:30 1	7	isn't it?
00:27 18	be it needs to be open space.	00:30 1		A. Yup.
00:28 19	And by that it refers to, I think,	00:30 1		Q. So, okay, that's for the impervious
00:28 20	flowers or grass or some sort of impervious coverage.	00:30 2	20	coverage. What other type of C variances did we
00:28 21	That's impossible in the front simply	00:30 2	21	trigger?
00:28 22	because of the existing commercial building.	00:30 2	22	A. I think that's it. They're fairly
00:28 23	We do, again, almost comply with the	00:30 2	23	minor with regard to particularly the residential
00:28 24	requirement overall on the site, but we don't comply	00:30 2	24	development.
00:28 25	specifically with regard to the front yard.	00:30 2	25	And, again, with respect to the
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.			LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812			201-641-1812
	10			40
	46			48
00:28 1	Q. Because you would have the knock down	00:30	1	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography
00:28 1 00:28 2	_		1 2	
_	Q. Because you would have the knock down	00:30	_	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography
00:28 2	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building?	00:30	2	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this
00:28 2 00:28 3	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building.	00:30 00:30	2	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue.
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30	2 3 4	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4 00:28 5	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30	2 3 4 5	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building.	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30	2 3 4 5 6	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design.
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well.	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage,
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82.
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:28 14	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82.
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:29 15	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:29 15 00:29 16	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass.	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup.
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:28 14 00:29 15 00:29 16 00:29 17	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf?	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup. Q with that type of activity?
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:29 15 00:29 16	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass.	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup.
00:28 2 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:29 15 00:29 16 00:29 17 00:29 18 00:29 19	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass. Q. AstroTurf?	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup. Q with that type of activity? A. Yup. Q. Is that considered a type of use that
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 12 00:28 12 00:28 14 00:29 15 00:29 16 00:29 17 00:29 18 00:29 19 00:29 20	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass. Q. AstroTurf? A. Like AstroTurf, exactly.	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 7 8 9 0 1 8 9 0 1 7 8 9 0 1 8 9 0 1 7 8 9 0 1 8 9 0 1 7 8 9 0 1 8 9 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup. Q with that type of activity? A. Yup.
00:28 2 00:28 3 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:28 14 00:29 15 00:29 16 00:29 17 00:29 18 00:29 19 00:29 20 00:29 21	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass. Q. AstroTurf? A. Like AstroTurf, exactly. So although that does absorb water, there's no underdrain in that system and it does go down into the system.	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 2 00:31 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup. Q with that type of activity? A. Yup. Q. Is that considered a type of use that is from a bygone era compared to modern planning concepts?
00:28 2 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:29 15 00:29 15 00:29 16 00:29 17 00:29 18 00:29 19 00:29 20 00:29 21 00:29 22	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass. Q. AstroTurf? A. Like AstroTurf, exactly. So although that does absorb water, there's no underdrain in that system and it does go down into the system. We are taking a position from a	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 2 00:31 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup. Q with that type of activity? A. Yup. Q. Is that considered a type of use that is from a bygone era compared to modern planning concepts? A. Yeah, it would certainly not be allowed
00:28 2 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:29 15 00:29 16 00:29 17 00:29 18 00:29 20 00:29 21 00:29 22 00:29 23	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass. Q. AstroTurf? A. Like AstroTurf, exactly. So although that does absorb water, there's no underdrain in that system and it does go down into the system. We are taking a position from a conservative standpoint that that's impervious and	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 2 00:31 2 00:31 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 2 1 2 2 3	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup. Q with that type of activity? A. Yup. Q. Is that considered a type of use that is from a bygone era compared to modern planning concepts? A. Yeah, it would certainly not be allowed under current zoning. I would think, and certainly
00:28 2 00:28 4 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 14 00:29 15 00:29 16 00:29 17 00:29 18 00:29 19 00:29 20 00:29 21 00:29 22 00:29 23 00:29 24	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass. Q. AstroTurf? A. Like AstroTurf, exactly. So although that does absorb water, there's no underdrain in that system and it does go down into the system. We are taking a position from a conservative standpoint that that's impervious and such that we need relief.	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 100:30 100:30 100:30 100:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup. Q with that type of activity? A. Yup. Q. Is that considered a type of use that is from a bygone era compared to modern planning concepts? A. Yeah, it would certainly not be allowed under current zoning. I would think, and certainly some of the activity that's associated with the
00:28 2 00:28 4 00:28 5 00:28 7 00:28 8 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 13 00:29 15 00:29 16 00:29 17 00:29 18 00:29 20 00:29 21 00:29 22 00:29 23	that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass. Q. AstroTurf? A. Like AstroTurf, exactly. So although that does absorb water, there's no underdrain in that system and it does go down into the system. We are taking a position from a conservative standpoint that that's impervious and such that we need relief. Q. So even though it allows the flow of	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:30 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 1 00:31 2 00:31 2 00:31 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup. Q with that type of activity? A. Yup. Q. Is that considered a type of use that is from a bygone era compared to modern planning concepts? A. Yeah, it would certainly not be allowed under current zoning. I would think, and certainly some of the activity that's associated with the various uses of the site would be more appropriate
00:28 2 00:28 4 00:28 6 00:28 7 00:28 9 00:28 10 00:28 11 00:28 12 00:28 14 00:29 15 00:29 16 00:29 17 00:29 18 00:29 19 00:29 20 00:29 21 00:29 22 00:29 23 00:29 24	Q. Because you would have the knock down that building? A. You'd have to knock down the building. And, again, we're knocking down a portion of that building, but we're not knocking down the whole building. We're asking for relief in the rear as well: 50 percent of the rear yard has to be open space as well. We would comply with that if we did not have a dog park and we're taking a more I guess, a conservative approach on that as well and then the dog park dog park, itself, is pervious impervious material, it's what do you call it? Q. Turf? A. Yeah, it's turf instead of grass. Q. AstroTurf? A. Like AstroTurf, exactly. So although that does absorb water, there's no underdrain in that system and it does go down into the system. We are taking a position from a conservative standpoint that that's impervious and such that we need relief.	00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 100:30 100:30 100:30 100:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	negative criteria, it all relates to the topography of the site and the fact that, you know, this building is going to sit down below Grand Avenue. So any impact on, you know, there's a couple extra feet of height or anything else would certainly be mitigated through the site design. Q. Now, the existing conditions, although there's no large buildings beyond the main building that exists today, it's all impervious coverage, isn't it? A. Yeah, there's 87 percent impervious coverage and we're bringing that down to about 82. Q. And there's an open storage facility, landscaping or contracting company has open storage fenced in, in the back A. Yup. Q with that type of activity? A. Yup. Q. Is that considered a type of use that is from a bygone era compared to modern planning concepts? A. Yeah, it would certainly not be allowed under current zoning. I would think, and certainly some of the activity that's associated with the

	49		51
00:31 1	for inside the building, rather than visible to the	00:34 1	development within the borough.
00:31 2	public who is passing by on Grand Avenue and	00:34 2	Q. And, Bill, I know that you're not an
00:31 3	Route 46.	00:34 3	attorney, maybe more knowledgeable than most
00:31 4	Q. Okay. If you want to continue with	00:34 4	attorneys, but you're not an attorney, but you're
00:31 5	your outline.	00:34 5	familiar with New Jersey's case law that deals with
00:31 6	A. I think that's basically the variances	00:34 6	the Municipal Land Use statute and
00:31 7	that are associated with the site plan.	00:34 7	A. A little bit.
00:31 8	I'd be happy to answer any questions.	00:34 8	Q. the major reasoning behind the
00:31 9	Q. On particular suitability, you	00:34 9	application of the what we call the MLUL?
00:31 10	mentioned that a few times and indicated, why do you	00:34 10	A. Yep.
00:31 11	think the topography constitutes a particular	00:34 11	Q. So that reconciliation and consistency
00:32 12	suitability? I know you said that, but could you	00:34 12	with the Master Plan, isn't that a major theme that's
00:32 13	expand a little bit?	00:34 13	woven through New Jersey's case law by our courts?
00:32 14	A. Well, with	00:34 14	A. It is, absolutely.
00:32 15	Q. With regard to a multi-level building.	00:34 15	Q. And this project and the application,
00:32 16	A. Yeah, so, you know, it's very unusual	00:34 16	this follows that mainstream thread in our case law?
00:32 17	for a site of this size to have such a grade change	00:34 17	A. It does.
00:32 18	within the site.	00:34 18	Q. And I have Mr. Kauker's report from
00:32 19	You know, you're all familiar with the	00:34 19	March 18th, if you I don't know if you have a copy
00:32 20	site. It drops down from Grand Avenue, again, about	00:34 20	with you?
00:32 21	15 feet and it's up to Route 46 of about 30 feet.	00:34 21	A. I do.
00:32 22	So you have a tremendous change in	00:34 22	Q. Is there anything you would like to
00:32 23	grade through the site and as such, certainly it's	00:34 23	specifically point out?
00:32 24	appropriate that it's suitable for this type of use	00:34 24	You did point out several of his
00:32 25	for a large scale building.	00:35 25	comments, but just for purposes of the record, and I
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	E0		F2
nn·32 1	Now, finally, with regard to the Master	00:35 1	52 know that after he reviews your testimony tonight
00:32 1	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master	00:35 1	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight,
00:32 2	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether	00:35 2	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further.
00:32 2 00:32 3	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the	00:35 2 00:35 3	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details?
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not.
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent?	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that.
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan.	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park?
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker.	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11 00:35 12 00:35 13	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes.
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13 00:33 14	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 14	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13 00:33 14 00:33 15	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 14 00:35 15	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13 00:33 14 00:33 15 00:33 16	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with the Master Plan when a D variance is proposed, is	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 12 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 14 00:35 15 00:35 16	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus Union City [sic] that talks about context. It's
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13 00:33 14 00:33 15 00:33 16 00:33 17	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with the Master Plan when a D variance is proposed, is that an important factor?	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 14 00:35 15 00:35 16 00:35 17	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus Union City [sic] that talks about context. It's builds on the Grasso case. The height of a building
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13 00:33 15 00:33 15 00:33 16 00:33 17 00:33 18 00:33 19 00:33 20	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with the Master Plan when a D variance is proposed, is that an important factor? A. Absolutely.	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 14 00:35 15 00:35 16 00:35 17 00:35 18 00:36 19 00:36 20	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus Union City [sic] that talks about context. It's builds on the Grasso case. The height of a building has to be measured by the context of the whole
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13 00:33 15 00:33 15 00:33 16 00:33 17 00:33 18 00:33 19 00:33 20 00:33 21	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with the Master Plan when a D variance is proposed, is that an important factor? A. Absolutely. I mean, it's part of the negative criteria and that there's no impairment to the zone plan and zoning ordinance, but it's also important	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 15 00:35 15 00:35 16 00:35 17 00:35 18 00:36 20 00:36 21	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus Union City [sic] that talks about context. It's builds on the Grasso case. The height of a building has to be measured by the context of the whole neighborhood.
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13 00:33 15 00:33 16 00:33 17 00:33 18 00:33 19 00:33 20 00:33 21 00:33 22	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with the Master Plan when a D variance is proposed, is that an important factor? A. Absolutely. I mean, it's part of the negative criteria and that there's no impairment to the zone plan and zoning ordinance, but it's also important with respect to, you know, when the board is	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 15 00:35 16 00:35 17 00:35 18 00:36 19 00:36 21 00:36 21	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus Union City [sic] that talks about context. It's builds on the Grasso case. The height of a building has to be measured by the context of the whole neighborhood. Are you familiar with that general concept? A. A little bit.
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:33 5 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 10 00:33 12 00:33 12 00:33 15 00:33 16 00:33 17 00:33 18 00:33 19 00:33 21 00:33 22 00:33 23	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with the Master Plan when a D variance is proposed, is that an important factor? A. Absolutely. I mean, it's part of the negative criteria and that there's no impairment to the zone plan and zoning ordinance, but it's also important with respect to, you know, when the board is considering a variance of this nature, that it's	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 10 00:35 12 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 14 00:35 15 00:35 16 00:35 17 00:35 18 00:36 20 00:36 21 00:36 22 00:36 23	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus Union City [sic] that talks about context. It's builds on the Grasso case. The height of a building has to be measured by the context of the whole neighborhood. Are you familiar with that general concept? A. A little bit. Q. All right. But it wouldn't be
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13 00:33 15 00:33 15 00:33 16 00:33 17 00:33 18 00:33 19 00:33 20 00:33 21 00:33 22 00:33 23 00:33 24	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with the Master Plan when a D variance is proposed, is that an important factor? A. Absolutely. I mean, it's part of the negative criteria and that there's no impairment to the zone plan and zoning ordinance, but it's also important with respect to, you know, when the board is considering a variance of this nature, that it's consistent with what this board has outlined in the	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 14 00:35 15 00:35 16 00:35 17 00:35 18 00:36 19 00:36 20 00:36 21 00:36 23 00:36 24	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus Union City [sic] that talks about context. It's builds on the Grasso case. The height of a building has to be measured by the context of the whole neighborhood. Are you familiar with that general concept? A. A little bit. Q. All right. But it wouldn't be repugnant to you as a planning consultant if you saw
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:33 5 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 10 00:33 12 00:33 12 00:33 15 00:33 16 00:33 17 00:33 18 00:33 19 00:33 21 00:33 22 00:33 23	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with the Master Plan when a D variance is proposed, is that an important factor? A. Absolutely. I mean, it's part of the negative criteria and that there's no impairment to the zone plan and zoning ordinance, but it's also important with respect to, you know, when the board is considering a variance of this nature, that it's consistent with what this board has outlined in the past in terms of your, you know, guidance to	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 10 00:35 12 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 14 00:35 15 00:35 16 00:35 17 00:35 18 00:36 20 00:36 21 00:36 22 00:36 23	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus Union City [sic] that talks about context. It's builds on the Grasso case. The height of a building has to be measured by the context of the whole neighborhood. Are you familiar with that general concept? A. A little bit. Q. All right. But it wouldn't be repugnant to you as a planning consultant if you saw multiple dwelling buildings in the immediate area
00:32 2 00:32 3 00:32 4 00:33 5 00:33 6 00:33 7 00:33 8 00:33 9 00:33 10 00:33 11 00:33 12 00:33 13 00:33 15 00:33 15 00:33 16 00:33 17 00:33 18 00:33 19 00:33 20 00:33 21 00:33 22 00:33 23 00:33 24	Q. Now, finally, with regard to the Master Plan, have you had an opportunity to evaluate whether this proposal is consistent with the plan, with the objectives of the Master Plan and if you have, in what way is it consistent? A. Well, it's consistent with the goals of the Master Plan and Mr. Kauker in his in his review letter agreed with us in that regard and, in fact, made a statement that the project is consistent with your Master Plan. So, certainly the goals and the objectives of the Master Plan would be advanced by the project and Mr. Kauker. Q. So as a professional planning consultant, is that important, the consistency with the Master Plan when a D variance is proposed, is that an important factor? A. Absolutely. I mean, it's part of the negative criteria and that there's no impairment to the zone plan and zoning ordinance, but it's also important with respect to, you know, when the board is considering a variance of this nature, that it's consistent with what this board has outlined in the	00:35 2 00:35 3 00:35 4 00:35 5 00:35 6 00:35 7 00:35 8 00:35 9 00:35 10 00:35 11 00:35 12 00:35 13 00:35 14 00:35 15 00:35 16 00:35 17 00:35 18 00:36 19 00:36 20 00:36 21 00:36 23 00:36 24	know that after he reviews your testimony tonight, he'll comment further. But are there any other details? A. There are not. Mr. Kauker was very detailed in terms of, you know, what we have to show in terms or our testimony and we tried to target our testimony to address the issues that he raised in his report and hopefully we've done that. Q. Now, have you noticed within eyesight of the subject property any other multiple dwellings along Grand Avenue or such in Palisades Park? A. Yes. Q. And so are you familiar with the more recent Supreme Court case, it's called Himeji versus Union City [sic] that talks about context. It's builds on the Grasso case. The height of a building has to be measured by the context of the whole neighborhood. Are you familiar with that general concept? A. A little bit. Q. All right. But it wouldn't be repugnant to you as a planning consultant if you saw

	53		55
00:36 1	that this project would fit in in that neighborhood?	00:38 1	with regard to zoning with respect to what's been
00:36 2	A. Oh, absolutely.	00:38 2	proposed is
00:36 3	Q. This would be different, for example,	00:38 3	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, is
00:36 4	if we were in a one-family zone surrounded by all	00:38 4	what?
00:36 5	one-family homes and we just isolated or bought five	00:38 5	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, any changes to
00:36 6	or six properties and then built a mid-rise in the	00:38 6	zoning that results from any new master planning or
00:36 7	middle, that wouldn't be consistent with planning?	00:38 7	items that we addressed with the application.
00:36 8	A. No, I think the borough was forward	00:38 8	MS. SCHOR: There have been some
00:36 9	thinking when they rezoned this or they put in the	00:38 9	changes made to the Master Plan.
00:36 10	overlay zone for residential use and it's certainly	00:38 10	MR. ALAMPI: I'm not going to debate,
00:36 11	appropriate for the site.	00:38 11	Chairman, but the governing body in concert with the
00:36 12	MR. ALAMPI: Chairman, I have no	00:38 12	planning board adopted the overlay zone only about
00:36 13	further direct testimony of the witness, but perhaps	00:38 13	five years ago six years ago and we're following
00:36 14	the board has questions.	00:38 14	that overlay zone.
00:36 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	00:39 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes, name and
00:36 16	So as I said at the last meeting,	00:39 16	address.
00:36 17	because of the size of this, I rely heavily on our	00:39 17	MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer, Henry
00:37 18	experts, because obviously they have the expertise.	00:39 18	Avenue.
00:37 19	So I don't have any questions, but I'll	00:39 19	Yeah, that plan was adopted in August
00:37 20	be waiting for Mr. Kauker's comments at some point in	00:39 20	2016. You're using the old Master Plan.
00:37 21	time.	00:39 21	We have someone working on a new Master
00:37 22	Does anybody on the board have	00:39 22	Plan. Nothing of this size in the last six years has
00:37 23	anything?	00:39 23	come before the board. There have been smaller
00:37 24	(No response.)	00:39 24	buildings. There was one that some of us took to
00:37 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Steve, do you have	00:39 25	court and went on because it was too big.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
			56
00:37 1	54	00:39 1	56
00:37 1 00:37 2	54 anything.	00:39 1 00:39 2	
_	54	_	56 And, yes, the judge said use the
00:37 2	54 anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time.	00:39 2	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan.
00:37 2 00:37 3	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	00:39 2 00:39 3	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue?
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it?	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 9	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 9	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 9 00:37 10	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 9 00:39 10	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 9 00:37 10 00:37 11	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 9 00:39 10 00:39 11	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 9 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 9 00:39 10 00:39 11	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 9 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 9 00:39 10 00:39 11 00:39 12 00:39 13	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 9 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 14	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 9 00:39 10 00:39 11 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 9 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 14 00:37 15	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 9 00:39 10 00:39 11 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 14 00:37 15 00:37 16	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document. MS. SCHOR: It is.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 9 00:39 10 00:39 11 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15 00:40 16	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart. MR. ALAMPI: This is not questioning.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 14 00:37 15 00:37 16 00:38 18 00:38 19	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document. MS. SCHOR: It is. Now things have changed in this town in 20 years. There is a newer Master Plan. It has not quite I guess it hasn't been adopted yet, but	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 10 00:39 11 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15 00:40 16 00:40 17 00:40 18 00:40 19	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart. MR. ALAMPI: This is not questioning.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 14 00:37 15 00:37 16 00:37 17 00:38 18 00:38 19 00:38 20	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document. MS. SCHOR: It is. Now things have changed in this town in 20 years. There is a newer Master Plan. It has not quite I guess it hasn't been adopted yet, but there are a lot of changes that have come to this	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 8 00:39 9 00:39 10 00:39 12 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15 00:40 16 00:40 17 00:40 18 00:40 19 00:40 20	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart. MR. ALAMPI: This is not questioning. This is now general statement. MS. BRAUER: Yes, I'm sorry, I wasn't here for anything. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 9 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 15 00:37 15 00:37 16 00:37 17 00:38 18 00:38 19 00:38 20 00:38 21	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document. MS. SCHOR: It is. Now things have changed in this town in 20 years. There is a newer Master Plan. It has not quite I guess it hasn't been adopted yet, but there are a lot of changes that have come to this town since that Master Plan went into effect and	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 8 00:39 10 00:39 11 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15 00:40 16 00:40 17 00:40 18 00:40 19 00:40 20 00:40 21	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart. MR. ALAMPI: This is not questioning. This is now general statement. MS. BRAUER: Yes, I'm sorry, I wasn't here for anything. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MS. BRAUER: And I'm very concerned
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 15 00:37 15 00:37 16 00:37 17 00:38 18 00:38 19 00:38 20 00:38 21 00:38 22	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document. MS. SCHOR: It is. Now things have changed in this town in 20 years. There is a newer Master Plan. It has not quite I guess it hasn't been adopted yet, but there are a lot of changes that have come to this town since that Master Plan went into effect and you're going by the old one.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 8 00:39 10 00:39 11 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15 00:40 16 00:40 17 00:40 18 00:40 19 00:40 20 00:40 21	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart. MR. ALAMPI: This is not questioning. This is now general statement. MS. BRAUER: Yes, I'm sorry, I wasn't here for anything. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MS. BRAUER: And I'm very concerned about the size of this, what it's going to do to
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 14 00:37 15 00:37 16 00:37 17 00:38 18 00:38 19 00:38 21 00:38 22 00:38 23	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document. MS. SCHOR: It is. Now things have changed in this town in 20 years. There is a newer Master Plan. It has not quite I guess it hasn't been adopted yet, but there are a lot of changes that have come to this town since that Master Plan went into effect and you're going by the old one. THE WITNESS: Yes.	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 8 00:39 10 00:39 12 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15 00:40 16 00:40 17 00:40 18 00:40 19 00:40 20 00:40 21 00:40 22 00:40 23	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart. MR. ALAMPI: This is not questioning. This is now general statement. MS. BRAUER: Yes, I'm sorry, I wasn't here for anything. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MS. BRAUER: And I'm very concerned about the size of this, what it's going to do to traffic.
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 14 00:37 15 00:37 16 00:37 17 00:38 18 00:38 19 00:38 20 00:38 21 00:38 23 00:38 24	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document. MS. SCHOR: It is. Now things have changed in this town in 20 years. There is a newer Master Plan. It has not quite I guess it hasn't been adopted yet, but there are a lot of changes that have come to this town since that Master Plan went into effect and you're going by the old one. THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, by statute, I think, we have to	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 10 00:39 11 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15 00:40 16 00:40 17 00:40 18 00:40 19 00:40 20 00:40 21 00:40 23 00:40 24	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart. MR. ALAMPI: This is not questioning. This is now general statement. MS. BRAUER: Yes, I'm sorry, I wasn't here for anything. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MS. BRAUER: And I'm very concerned about the size of this, what it's going to do to traffic. Yeah, you're right, you know, you're
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 14 00:37 15 00:37 16 00:37 17 00:38 18 00:38 19 00:38 21 00:38 22 00:38 23	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document. MS. SCHOR: It is. Now things have changed in this town in 20 years. There is a newer Master Plan. It has not quite I guess it hasn't been adopted yet, but there are a lot of changes that have come to this town since that Master Plan went into effect and you're going by the old one. THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, by statute, I think, we have to go by the old one. Although, certainly any changes	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 8 00:39 10 00:39 12 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15 00:40 16 00:40 17 00:40 18 00:40 19 00:40 20 00:40 21 00:40 22 00:40 23	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart. MR. ALAMPI: This is not questioning. This is now general statement. MS. BRAUER: Yes, I'm sorry, I wasn't here for anything. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MS. BRAUER: And I'm very concerned about the size of this, what it's going to do to traffic. Yeah, you're right, you know, you're sleeping with Route 46. It's horrendous in the
00:37 2 00:37 3 00:37 4 00:37 5 00:37 6 00:37 7 00:37 8 00:37 10 00:37 11 00:37 12 00:37 13 00:37 14 00:37 15 00:37 16 00:37 17 00:38 18 00:38 19 00:38 20 00:38 21 00:38 23 00:38 24	anything. MR. COLLAZUOL: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to open it up to the public. Yes, name and address. MS. SCHOR: Marsha Schor, Henry Avenue. May I ask, which Master Plan did you look at, the date on it? THE WITNESS: You know, I don't let me just see if I have the date here. I don't have the date here, I'm sorry. I don't remember the date. MS. SCHOR: I ask because the Master Plan everyone has been using is 20 years old. THE WITNESS: It is an old document. MS. SCHOR: It is. Now things have changed in this town in 20 years. There is a newer Master Plan. It has not quite I guess it hasn't been adopted yet, but there are a lot of changes that have come to this town since that Master Plan went into effect and you're going by the old one. THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, by statute, I think, we have to	00:39 2 00:39 3 00:39 4 00:39 5 00:39 6 00:39 7 00:39 10 00:39 11 00:39 12 00:39 13 00:39 14 00:40 15 00:40 16 00:40 17 00:40 18 00:40 19 00:40 20 00:40 21 00:40 23 00:40 24	And, yes, the judge said use the initial Master Plan. MR. ALAMPI: That was on the Leonia border, Grand Avenue? MS. BRAUER: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: But it's being built now. MS. BRAUER: It's being built unfortunately. MR. ALAMPI: Right. MS. BRAUER: But he did downsize it considerably, but this is huge. And we're concerned about with the number of apartments you're putting in, 81 two-bedroom apartments, the volume of children you're going to have, our schools now are falling apart. MR. ALAMPI: This is not questioning. This is now general statement. MS. BRAUER: Yes, I'm sorry, I wasn't here for anything. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: That's okay. MS. BRAUER: And I'm very concerned about the size of this, what it's going to do to traffic. Yeah, you're right, you know, you're

	57			59
00:40 1	morning. It's horrendous during the day. It's	00:52	1	So, number one, which is it? Is it
00:40 2	horrendous and it's dangerous. And I don't know how	00:52	2	is there an easement on that property?
00:40 3	you're going to possibly get traffic safely in and	00:52	3	MR. ALAMPI: There's no written deed of
00:40 4	out of there and how you think	00:52	4	easement as we lawyers look for, there is no easement
5	MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry, I don't mean to	00:52	5	in the title records.
6	interrupt	00:52	6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
7	MS. BRAUER: Yes, you do.	00:52	7	Now, who are the two people that share
8	MS. TESTA: We're going to have a	00:53	8	that agreement? You are one and who's the other?
9	traffic engineer, so perhaps you want to hold this	00:53	9	MR. ALAMPI: Yes, 21 Grand and 15
00:40 10	line of questioning. This should just be for the	00:53		Grand.
00:40 11	planner.	00:53		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So 21 Grand.
00:40 12	MS. BRAUER: We were not at your	00:53		MR. ALAMPI: And 15 ourselves utilize
00:40 13	meeting, so we're playing catch up.	00:53		that driveway; ingress, egress in that driveway.
00:40 14	MR. ALAMPI: But he's going to testify	00:53		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So, you know, what
00:41 15	now.	00:53		happens down the road, five years from now, ten years
00:41 16	MS. BRAUER: Okay.	00:53		from now, whenever, that due to increased traffic,
00:41 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right. Anybody	00:53		can you can they throw you out of the easement
00:41 18	else?	00:53		because it's not recorded?
00:41 19	(No response.)	00:53		MR. ALAMPI: There is no easement.
00:41 20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We're going	00:53	20	So they can't interfere with our
00:41 21	to take a break, 10-minute break for our reporter and	00:53	21	ingress/egress and we cannot interfere with theirs.
00:41 22	then we'll come back.	00:53	22	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Who owns the
00:41 23	All right, 10 minutes.	00:53	23	property, the property that you're talking about?
00:51 24	(Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.)	00:53	24	MR. ALAMPI: I have to look at the
00:51 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We're back	00:53	25	survey and site plan, which I don't Jacob, do we
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.			LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812			201-641-1812
	58			60
00:51 1	58 in session.	00:54	1	60 have our engineering site plan and surveys here?
00:51 1 00:51 2		00:54 00:54	1 2	
	in session.			have our engineering site plan and surveys here?
00:51 2	in session. Do want to call the roll for	00:54	2	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version
00:51 2 00:51 3	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance?	00:54 00:54	2 3	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 4	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese?	00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 4 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes.	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting?
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 4 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 8	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova?	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 4 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 8 00:-14 9	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here.	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 4 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 8 00:-14 9 00:-14 10	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon?	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 4 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 8 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 11	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here.	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 4 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 8 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 11	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou?	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 8 00:-14 10 00:-14 11 00:-14 12 00:-14 13	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here.	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 4 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 8 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 11 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 14	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale?	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 8 00:-14 9 00:-14 11 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 14 00:-14 15	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here.	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 8 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 11 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 14 00:-14 15 00:-14 16	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna?	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 11 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 14 00:-14 15 00:-14 16 00:51 17	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here.	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey,
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 11 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 14 00:-14 15 00:-14 16 00:51 17 00:51 18	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey, a large copy of the survey.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 8 00:-14 9 00:-14 11 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 14 00:-14 15 00:-14 16 00:51 17 00:51 18 00:51 19	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey, a large copy of the survey. MR. ALAMPI: Let's look at it. You can
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 14 00:-14 15 00:-14 16 00:51 17 00:51 18 00:52 20	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a couple of things. One important thing, I took the time to	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey, a large copy of the survey. MR. ALAMPI: Let's look at it. You can leave it there.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 11 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 14 00:-14 15 00:51 17 00:51 18 00:52 20 00:52 21	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a couple of things. One important thing, I took the time to review the transcripts from the last meeting, and I'm	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:55 00:55 00:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey, a large copy of the survey. MR. ALAMPI: Let's look at it. You can leave it there. The property line goes right down the
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 8 00:-14 9 00:-14 11 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 15 00:-14 16 00:51 17 00:51 18 00:51 19 00:52 20 00:52 21 00:52 22	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a couple of things. One important thing, I took the time to review the transcripts from the last meeting, and I'm on page 82 and 83 where Mr. Collazuol is talking	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:55 00:55 00:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey, a large copy of the survey. MR. ALAMPI: Let's look at it. You can leave it there. The property line goes right down the middle of that driveway, but there's no easement
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 15 00:-14 16 00:51 17 00:51 18 00:52 20 00:52 21 00:52 23	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a couple of things. One important thing, I took the time to review the transcripts from the last meeting, and I'm on page 82 and 83 where Mr. Collazuol is talking about easements and on page 82 you say there is no	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:55 00:55 00:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey, a large copy of the survey. MR. ALAMPI: Let's look at it. You can leave it there. The property line goes right down the middle of that driveway, but there's no easement agreement either way.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 11 00:-14 13 00:-14 14 00:-14 15 00:-14 16 00:51 17 00:51 18 00:52 20 00:52 21 00:52 22 00:52 23 00:52 24	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a couple of things. One important thing, I took the time to review the transcripts from the last meeting, and I'm on page 82 and 83 where Mr. Collazuol is talking about easements and on page 82 you say there is no easement, then on the next page, you say there is an	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:55 00:55 00:55 00:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey, a large copy of the survey. MR. ALAMPI: Let's look at it. You can leave it there. The property line goes right down the middle of that driveway, but there's no easement agreement either way. Both properties utilize that as their
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 12 00:-14 13 00:-14 15 00:-14 16 00:51 17 00:51 18 00:52 20 00:52 21 00:52 23	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a couple of things. One important thing, I took the time to review the transcripts from the last meeting, and I'm on page 82 and 83 where Mr. Collazuol is talking about easements and on page 82 you say there is no easement, then on the next page, you say there is an easement.	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:55 00:55 00:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey, a large copy of the survey. MR. ALAMPI: Let's look at it. You can leave it there. The property line goes right down the middle of that driveway, but there's no easement agreement either way. Both properties utilize that as their main ingress/egress and the property line is shared.
00:51 2 00:51 3 00:51 5 00:-14 6 00:-14 7 00:-14 9 00:-14 10 00:-14 11 00:-14 13 00:-14 14 00:-14 15 00:-14 16 00:51 17 00:51 18 00:52 20 00:52 21 00:52 22 00:52 23 00:52 24	in session. Do want to call the roll for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Yes. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So let me just say a couple of things. One important thing, I took the time to review the transcripts from the last meeting, and I'm on page 82 and 83 where Mr. Collazuol is talking about easements and on page 82 you say there is no easement, then on the next page, you say there is an	00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:54 00:55 00:55 00:55 00:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have our engineering site plan and surveys here? MR. SCHULMAN: I have a small version of the site plan. MR. ALAMPI: Bring that up with you. You don't have anything else with you? Did you leave the exhibits or take them from the last meeting? MR. SCHULMAN: I believe I took them. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I don't have the large exhibits from last meeting. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. ALAMPI: But I have the reduced size ones. So I'm going to just answer your question preliminarily. So you're the man. MR. COLLAZUOL: And I have the survey, a large copy of the survey. MR. ALAMPI: Let's look at it. You can leave it there. The property line goes right down the middle of that driveway, but there's no easement agreement either way. Both properties utilize that as their

	61		63
00:55 1	We're right down the middle.	00:58 1	one lane the one lane that you're going to propose
00:56 2	It's what they used to call a common	00:58 2	to put now is on whose property?
00:56 3	driveway in Hudson County when you had two	00:58 3	MR. ALAMPI: It's on their side of the
00:56 4	three-family homes and in between them is one	00:58 4	
00:56 5	driveway, it's called a common driveway, that's what	00:58 5	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: It's their
	it's referred to.		
00:56 6 00:56 7		00:58 6 00:58 7	property.
00:56 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, just to be	00:58 8	MR. ALAMPI: But they can't get in and out without us and we can't get in and out without
00:56 9	clear, I'm not a lawyer. I have there's no written	00:58 9	them.
00:56 10	agreements. And I just as a nonlawyer, I don't	00:58 10	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: They don't get
00:56 11	understand how you can have I mean, you're putting	00:58 11	in on there.
00:56 11	up a building with 122 units, which is going to	00:58 12	MR. McGINNIS: We're adding the lane
00:56 13	increase the parking and, you know, when they had	00:58 13	along the egress.
00:56 14	this agreement at the beginning, I mean, it was just	00:58 14	MR. ALAMPI: You have to be sworn in
00:56 15		00:58 15	first.
00:56 16	MR. ALAMPI: There is no written	00:58 16	So we'll have you sworn in.
00:56 17	agreement.	00:58 17	Why don't we let the engineer answer
00:56 18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Here we go, there's	00:58 18	the question.
00:56 19	no that's my point, there is no written agreement.	00:58 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So
00:56 20	MR. ALAMPI: Which means that it's in	00:58 20	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Ferguson, why don't we
00:56 21	common use for both properties.	00:58 21	swear in my civil engineer so he can answer you.
00:56 22	MR. CARNOVALE: Who's going to stop it,	00:58 22	MS. TESTA: Okay.
00:57 23	sir?	00:58 23	Should we swear him in.
00:57 24	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Can I say one	24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, swear him in,
00:57 25	thing?	25	yes.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	62		64
00:57 1	62 The piece you're talking about, what	1	64 Do you want to raise your hand, my
00:57 1 00:57 2		1 2	
_	The piece you're talking about, what		Do you want to raise your hand, my
00:57 2	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one	2	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend.
00:57 2 00:57 3	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property	3	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the	2 3 4	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand.
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to	2 3 4 5 6 7	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do.
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it.
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis,
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S.
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16 00:57 17	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16 00:57 17 00:57 18	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that? MR. ALAMPI: What do you mean "that"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 00:59 18	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI:
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16 00:57 17 00:57 18 00:57 19	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that? MR. ALAMPI: What do you mean "that"? It's shared. Part of the property belongs to 21,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 00:59 18 00:59 19	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Mr. McGinnis, you're a licensed
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16 00:57 17 00:57 18 00:57 19 00:57 20	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that? MR. ALAMPI: What do you mean "that"? It's shared. Part of the property belongs to 21, part of it belongs to 15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 00:59 18 00:59 19	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. DANIEL McGINNIS: I do. DANIEL McGINNIS: Oaniel McGinnis, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Mr. McGinnis, you're a licensed engineer in the State of New Jersey?
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 15 00:57 15 00:57 17 00:57 18 00:57 19 00:57 20 00:58 21	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that? MR. ALAMPI: What do you mean "that"? It's shared. Part of the property belongs to 21, part of it belongs to 15. Where we propose the egress, that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 00:59 18 00:59 20 00:59 21	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Mr. McGinnis, you're a licensed engineer in the State of New Jersey? A. I am.
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16 00:57 17 00:57 18 00:57 19 00:58 21 00:58 22	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that? MR. ALAMPI: What do you mean "that"? It's shared. Part of the property belongs to 21, part of it belongs to 15. Where we propose the egress, that is going to be used by both 21 and 15 to get out and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 00:59 18 00:59 19 00:59 21 00:59 21	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Mr. McGinnis, you're a licensed engineer in the State of New Jersey? A. I am. Q. And your license is in good standing?
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16 00:57 17 00:57 18 00:57 19 00:57 20 00:58 21 00:58 22 00:58 23	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that? MR. ALAMPI: What do you mean "that"? It's shared. Part of the property belongs to 21, part of it belongs to 15. Where we propose the egress, that is going to be used by both 21 and 15 to get out and the ingress is going to be used by both 15 and 21 to come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 00:59 18 00:59 19 00:59 20 00:59 21 00:59 22	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Mr. McGinnis, you're a licensed engineer in the State of New Jersey? A. I am. Q. And your license is in good standing? A. It is.
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16 00:57 17 00:57 18 00:57 19 00:58 21 00:58 23 00:58 24	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that? MR. ALAMPI: What do you mean "that"? It's shared. Part of the property belongs to 21, part of it belongs to 15. Where we propose the egress, that is going to be used by both 21 and 15 to get out and the ingress is going to be used by both 15 and 21 to come in. There's one lane in, two lanes out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 00:59 18 00:59 19 00:59 20 00:59 21 00:59 22 00:59 23 00:59 24	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Mr. McGinnis, you're a licensed engineer in the State of New Jersey? A. I am. Q. And your license is in good standing? A. It is. Q. And you're testifying in this
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16 00:57 17 00:57 18 00:57 19 00:57 20 00:58 21 00:58 22 00:58 23	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that? MR. ALAMPI: What do you mean "that"? It's shared. Part of the property belongs to 21, part of it belongs to 15. Where we propose the egress, that is going to be used by both 21 and 15 to get out and the ingress is going to be used by both 15 and 21 to come in. There's one lane in, two lanes out. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Where's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 00:59 18 00:59 19 00:59 20 00:59 21 00:59 22	friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Mr. McGinnis, you're a licensed engineer in the State of New Jersey? A. I am. Q. And your license is in good standing? A. It is. Q. And you're testifying in this application as a traffic engineer, but you're also a
00:57 2 00:57 3 00:57 4 00:57 5 00:57 6 00:57 7 00:57 8 00:57 9 00:57 10 00:57 11 00:57 12 00:57 13 00:57 14 00:57 15 00:57 16 00:57 17 00:57 18 00:57 19 00:58 21 00:58 23 00:58 24	The piece you're talking about, what you're going to use to go out now, that was the one that they were using to go in and out. That property belongs to them. The one where you got now where they're coming up and they can go to the left or to the right, that's the way they used to get in and out to get onto, I think, 15 or whatever you want to call it, whoever used that. The property on the other side of that belongings to 21 Grand. You're not using that property, you're not using that property. MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Albanese, I'm not following you. You have an existing driveway. We're making some modifications. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: But who owns that? MR. ALAMPI: What do you mean "that"? It's shared. Part of the property belongs to 21, part of it belongs to 15. Where we propose the egress, that is going to be used by both 21 and 15 to get out and the ingress is going to be used by both 15 and 21 to come in. There's one lane in, two lanes out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 00:59 18 00:59 19 00:59 20 00:59 21 00:59 22 00:59 23 00:59 24	Do you want to raise your hand, my friend. MS. TESTA: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you will give in this application will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? MR. McGINNIS: I do. D A N I E L M c G I N N I S, PE, PTOE 460 Veterans Drive, Suite 6A, Burlington, New Jersey 08016, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MS. TESTA: Please state your name for the record and spell it. MR. McGINNIS: Daniel McGinnis, D-A-N-I-E-L M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Mr. McGinnis, you're a licensed engineer in the State of New Jersey? A. I am. Q. And your license is in good standing? A. It is. Q. And you're testifying in this

		65		67
00:59 1	civil engine		01:01 1	
00:59 2	Α.	That's correct.	01:01 2	
00:59 3		MR. ALAMPI: So reviewing the	01:01 3	
00:59 4	engineering	plans, the existing survey, the existing	01:01 4	MR. ALAMPI: No, no.
00:59 5	lot lines, the	e board has asked a number of questions	01:01 5	THE WITNESS: That's not
00:59 6	without givi	ng me the opportunity to respond, so	01:01 6	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: So how are
00:59 7	we'll have th	ne we'll have the engineer under oath	01:01 7	they going to get on it?
00:59 8	indicate wha	at's what.	01:01	THE WITNESS: So if there were a double
00:59 9		CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	01:01 9	yellow line along that lot line dividing the two ways
00:59 10	DIRECT EXA	MINATION	01:01 10	of traffic.
00:59 11	BY MR. ALA	MPI:	01:01 11	And I can't recall whether that
00:59 12	Q.	Go ahead.	01:01 12	• •
00:59 13	Α.	May I refer to an exhibit?	01:01 13	3,
00:59 14	Q.	Sure.	01:01 14	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Somebody
00:59 15	A.	This is a site-plan-rendering exhibit.	01:01 15	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1
00:59 16	_	viously presented, but I'm not sure which	01:01 16	
01:00 17	exhibit nur		01:01 17	
01:00 18	<i>(</i> 1. 11	This is the driveway in question	01:01 18	
01:00 19	•), the northern site driveway that's	01:01 19	
01:00 20 01:00 21		ween the lot 15 Grand, the subject of	01:01 20 01:01 21	·
01:00 21	tnis applica	ation and then 21 Grand.	01:01 21	
01:00 22	nronorty a	So the ingress is in 21 Grand's	01:01 22	3
01:00 23		nd the egress is in 15 Grand's property. vements, the adding, splitting the egress	01:01 23	
01:00 25	-	cated left-turn lane and right-turn lane,	01:01 25	
01.00 20		JRA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	01.01 20	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
		201-641-1812		201-641-1812
		66		68
01:00 1	that's all o	66 n the 15 Grand property.	01:01 1	
01:00 1 01:00 2	that's all o		01:01 1 01:02 2	you're using that to go in and out.
01:00 2 01:00 3	that's all o	n the 15 Grand property. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Can I ask a		you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4		n the 15 Grand property. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Can I ask a THE WITNESS: Sure.	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way.
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5	question?	n the 15 Grand property. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Can I ask a THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6	question?	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15?	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7	question?	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct.	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after.
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8	question? one going o	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9	question?	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21?	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10	question? one going o	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Can I ask a THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the out belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct.	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9 01:02 10	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10	question? one going o going in belo	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9 01:02 10 01:02 11	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10	question? one going o	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9 01:02 10	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12	question? one going of going in below agreement to	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Can I ask a THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the out belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the othey got.	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand.
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13	question? one going of going in below agreement to	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14	question? one going of going in below agreement to property to	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there.	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15	question? one going of going in below agreement to property to	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Can I ask a THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there. THE WITNESS: The ingress remains the	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half.
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15 01:00 16	question? one going of going in below agreement to property to same, it's not agreement to same.	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Can I ask a THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there. THE WITNESS: The ingress remains the	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15 01:02 16	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half. This guy owns that half, that guy owns
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15 01:00 16 01:00 17 01:00 18 01:00 19	question? one going of going in below agreement to property to same, it's not agreement to same.	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there. THE WITNESS: The ingress remains the ot being modified as part of this It's on their property and shared by	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15 01:02 16 01:02 17 01:02 18 01:02 19	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half. This guy owns that half, that guy owns that half. Now you're proposing to use both of them to go out.
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15 01:00 16 01:00 17 01:00 18 01:00 19 01:01 20	question? one going of going in below agreement to property to same, it's not application.	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there. THE WITNESS: The ingress remains the ot being modified as part of this It's on their property and shared by ties. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: How are they	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15 01:02 16 01:02 17 01:02 18 01:02 19 01:02 19 01:02 20	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half. This guy owns that half, that guy owns that half. Now you're proposing to use both of them to go out. THE WITNESS: That's not
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 9 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15 01:00 16 01:00 17 01:00 18 01:00 19 01:01 20 01:01 21	question? one going of going in below agreement to same, it's not application. both proper going to get	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there. THE WITNESS: The ingress remains the ot being modified as part of this It's on their property and shared by ties. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: How are they it in if you got one going out from 15 and	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 9 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15 01:02 16 01:02 17 01:02 18 01:02 19 01:02 20 01:02 21	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half. This guy owns that half, that guy owns that half. Now you're proposing to use both of them to go out. THE WITNESS: That's not MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no.
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15 01:00 16 01:00 17 01:00 18 01:00 19 01:01 20 01:01 21 01:01 22	question? one going of going in below agreement to property to same, it's not application. both property to going to get one coming	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's HE WITNESS: The ingress remains the ot being modified as part of this It's on their property and shared by ties. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: How are they in if you got one going out from 15 and in from 21? That road going in and out is	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15 01:02 17 01:02 18 01:02 19 01:02 21 01:02 21 01:02 22	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half. This guy owns that half, that guy owns that half. Now you're proposing to use both of them to go out. THE WITNESS: That's not MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no. THE WITNESS: We're not shifting
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15 01:00 16 01:00 17 01:00 18 01:01 20 01:01 21 01:01 22 01:01 23	question? one going of going in below agreement to same, it's not application. both proper going to get	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there. THE WITNESS: The ingress remains the obt being modified as part of this It's on their property and shared by ties. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: How are they it if you got one going out from 15 and in from 21? That road going in and out is f.	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15 01:02 16 01:02 17 01:02 18 01:02 19 01:02 20 01:02 21 01:02 22	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half. This guy owns that half, that guy owns that half. Now you're proposing to use both of them to go out. THE WITNESS: That's not MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no. THE WITNESS: We're not shifting MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, no, don't say
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15 01:00 16 01:00 17 01:00 18 01:00 19 01:01 20 01:01 21 01:01 22 01:01 23 01:01 24	question? one going of going in below agreement to property to same, it's not application. both property to going to get one coming	THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the chey got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there. THE WITNESS: The ingress remains the obt being modified as part of this It's on their property and shared by ties. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: How are they in if you got one going out from 15 and in from 21? That road going in and out is f. THE WITNESS: Right.	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15 01:02 16 01:02 17 01:02 18 01:02 19 01:02 20 01:02 21 01:02 23 01:02 24	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half. This guy owns that half, that guy owns that half. Now you're proposing to use both of them to go out. THE WITNESS: That's not MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no. THE WITNESS: We're not shifting MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, no, don't say their and they and both. There is a driveway. The
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15 01:00 16 01:00 17 01:00 18 01:01 20 01:01 21 01:01 22 01:01 23	question? one going of going in below agreement to property to same, it's mapplication. both proper going to get one coming half and half	THE WITNESS: Sure. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You say the ut belongs to 15? THE WITNESS: Correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the they got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there. THE WITNESS: The ingress remains the ot being modified as part of this It's on their property and shared by ties. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: How are they in if you got one going out from 15 and in from 21? That road going in and out is f. THE WITNESS: Right. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Now, what	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15 01:02 16 01:02 17 01:02 18 01:02 19 01:02 20 01:02 21 01:02 22	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half. This guy owns that half, that guy owns that half. Now you're proposing to use both of them to go out. THE WITNESS: That's not MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no. THE WITNESS: We're not shifting MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, no, don't say their and they and both. There is a driveway. The north part belong to 21. The south part belongs to
01:00 2 01:00 3 01:00 4 01:00 5 01:00 6 01:00 7 01:00 8 01:00 10 01:00 11 01:00 12 01:00 13 01:00 14 01:00 15 01:00 16 01:00 17 01:00 18 01:00 19 01:01 20 01:01 21 01:01 22 01:01 23 01:01 24	question? one going of going in below agreement to property to same, it's mapplication. both proper going to get one coming half and half	THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And the one ongs to 21? THE WITNESS: That's correct. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's the chey got. Now you want to take more of 21's how are you going to get in there. THE WITNESS: The ingress remains the obt being modified as part of this It's on their property and shared by ties. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: How are they in if you got one going out from 15 and in from 21? That road going in and out is f. THE WITNESS: Right.	01:02 2 01:02 3 01:02 4 01:02 5 01:02 6 01:02 7 01:02 8 01:02 10 01:02 11 01:02 12 01:02 13 01:02 14 01:02 15 01:02 16 01:02 17 01:02 18 01:02 19 01:02 20 01:02 21 01:02 23 01:02 24	you're using that to go in and out. Now on the proposal you got traffic going up using both lanes to go one way and one to go the other way. THE WITNESS: Well, that's just the thing. It's the same width for the egress before and after. All we're doing is adding a stripe down the middle of it to divide it. It's just a large area of pavement. We're just formalizing the left and right turn. We're not shifting VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I still don't understand. What I'm trying to say to you is you got a piece of property, you got going out and coming in, half and half. This guy owns that half, that guy owns that half. Now you're proposing to use both of them to go out. THE WITNESS: That's not MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, THE WITNESS: We're not shifting MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, no, don't say their and they and both. There is a driveway. The

	69		71
01:02 1	15.	01:04 1	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: And what
01:02 2	It's always been used for both	01:04 2	happens if he wants to make two going out his side?
01:02 3	properties going in and for both properties going	01:04 3	How are you going to get in? You can, he can't.
01:02 4	out.	01:04 4	That's what I don't understand.
01:02 5	Now what we're doing on our property is	01:04 5	MR. ALAMPI: He can't do it, because
01:03 6	staying within our property and making two lanes	01:04 6	it's been for decades
01:03 7	coming out instead of one. And the one lane going in	01:04 7	MR. CARNOVALE: Sir, I'm sorry
01:03 8	is untouched. It's the way it's always been. There	01:04 8	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: That's right,
01:03 9	is no written deed of easement.	01:04 9	it's been for decades, you going out and coming in.
01:03 10	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: How wide is	01:04 10	Now you want to make two going out.
01:03 11	that?	01:04 11	It's been, for decades, one.
01:03 12	MR. ALAMPI: Well, that's a different	01:05 12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Pauly, let's not
01:03 13	question. The first question is the legality of the	01:05 13	MR. CARNOVALE: Hold on, Pauly.
01:03 14	driveway	01:05 14	Sir, let me ask you a question. If
01:03 15	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: In other	01:05 15	21 Grand decides that they don't want this no more,
01:03 16	words, your piece of property you're making two lanes	01:05 16	since there is no written agreement, what happens if
01:03 17	going out.	01:05 17	they take you to court, what are you guys going to
01:03 18	MR. ALAMPI: You can't interrupt me	01:05 18	do? I mean, that's a legal question.
01:03 19	when I'm interrupting you.	01:05 19	MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we would enforce the
01:03 20	(Laughter.)	01:05 20	ingress and egress as it has been for decades for the
01:03 21	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Well, I can	01:05 21	mutual benefit.
01:03 22	interrupt you because I'm up here and you're over	01:05 22	MR. CARNOVALE: Sir, I'm not a lawyer,
01:03 23	there.	01:05 23	but what leg do you have to stand on? It was a
01:03 24	Okay?	01:05 24	gentleman's agreement.
01:03 25	(Laughter.)	01:05 25	MR. ALAMPI: No gentleman's agreement.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	70		72
01:03 1	MR. ALAMPI: Don't interrupt me when I	01:05 1	MR. CARNOVALE: I'm sorry?
01:03 2	interrupt you.	01:05 2	MR. ALAMPI: It's been operating like
01:03 3	What I'm trying to say is, we have a	01:05 3	that for 50 years.
01:03 4	title search and a review and both property owners	01:05 4	MR. CARNOVALE: So you're saying, let's
01:03 5	have been in cooperation and there is no written	01:05 5	say, it's been grandfathered in?
01:03 6	formalized deed of easement or agreement. I find that to be unusual in this	01:05 6	MR. ALAMPI: It's what they call, in
01:03 7		01:05 7	the course of dealing between the two property
01:03 8 01:04 9	sense. These massive properties have a big investment, all these people, but nobody saw fit to	01:05 8 01:05 9	owners. MR. CARNOVALE: And it could never ever
01:04 9	create a document spelling it out, but it exists and	01:05 9	be debated?
01:04 10	the way in and way out has been harmoniously shared	01:05 10	MR. ALAMPI: They could attempt to. I
01:04 11	by 21, even though they've expanded their building	01:05 11	mean, we would
01:04 12	and like we're expanding.	13	MR. CARNOVALE: I know, sir. I don't
01:04 13	Do you see anyone from 21 Grand	14	want to disrespect you, but that would be up to a
01:04 15	objecting to this application? No.	15	judge and a jury maybe.
01:04 16	Were they contacted	16	MR. ALAMPI: There's no question.
01:04 17	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I don't care	17	I'm not the judge.
01:04 18	about that. I don't care if they were objecting.	18	MR. CARNOVALE: Right.
01:04 19	MR. ALAMPI: Please, please.	01:06 19	MR. ALAMPI: But it could go to
01:04 20	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I'm	01:06 20	litigation.
01:04 21	interrupting you because you've interrupted me.	01:06 21	MR. CARNOVALE: But wouldn't that
01:04 22	I'm going to interrupt you now. You're	01:06 22	impact the whole project?
01:04 23	taking your lane and you're going to make it two	01:06 23	MR. ALAMPI: Yes, but that's going
01:04 24	going out?	01:06 24	beyond your role as the planners for a zone that
01:04 25	MR. ALAMPI: Yes.	01:06 25	permits this type of use.
			LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAONA A. OANOGOI, O.G.N., N.I .N., E.E.G.

	73		75
01:06 1	It's a concern, but it's more of a	01:08 1	MR. CARNOVALE: Right.
01:06 2	private concern between the two property owners and	01:08 2	And my stipulation to you, sir, is, and
01:06 3	if it went to court and went the wrong way, we	01:08 3	I don't want to give you a headache, you're not the
01:06 4	couldn't capitalize on it, but these people would be	01:08 4	judge and I don't want to disrespect you, is that
01:06 5	precluded by the doctrine of estoppel. They have	01:08 5	you're saying that's beyond the scope of this board
01:06 6	been given notice. They've been provided adequate	01:08 6	to think about what kind of mess it's going to be,
01:06 7	notice, we've communicated with them.	01:08 7	should the project be approved.
01:06 8	They haven't participated. They are	01:08 8	MR. ALAMPI: It's beyond the board to
01:06 9	not permitted to sit back and wait until construction	01:08 9	concern, itself, with the private property rights of
01:06 10	and then say they object. So they're on notice.	01:08 10	two cooperating property owners as far as as far
01:06 11	MR. CARNOVALE: One more thing, sir.	01:08 11	as deliberating on the merits of the application.
01:06 12	If I remember correctly, a couple of months ago were	01:08 12	MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Wouldn't it be
01:06 13	you guys trying to get an easement from the property	01:08 13	the board's legal right, and I could ask the lawyer,
01:06 14	owner next door to get into this property by going	01:08 14	to think about the traffic pattern involved?
01:06 15	down, what was it, West Ruby Avenue or I was	01:08 15	MS. TESTA: That's a different issue.
01:06 16	hallucinating?	01:08 16	MR. CARNOVALE: He's the traffic
01:06 17	MR. ALAMPI: You weren't hallucinating,	01:08 17	engineer.
01:06 18	but it wasn't part of this record.	01:08 18	MR. ALAMPI: I understand.
01:07 19	We did contact them in a cooperative	01:08 19	The volume of traffic, the traffic
01:07 20	spirit to work with each other and they were not	01:08 20	mobility is an issue that you should be permitted to
01:07 21	interested in extending a formal easement going out	01:09 21	review liberally. The proprietary ownership, again
01:07 22	to Ruby.	01:09 22	-
01:07 23	So we never did propose that in the	01:09 23	MR. CARNOVALE: Fine, okay.
01:07 24	application, but we did have that communication.	01:09 24	I'll stop about that, but
01:07 25	MR. CARNOVALE: Okay.	01:09 25	MR. ALAMPI: Again, like I'm saying,
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
01:07 1	74	01:00 1	76
01:07 1	So one more thing that Pauly was	01:09 1	sometimes a development goes forward, the board
01:07 2	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing	01:09 2	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning.
01:07 2 01:07 3	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south,	01:09 2 01:09 3	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south,	01:09 2 01:09 3	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out.	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct?	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct.	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 9	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 9	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware.
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 9 01:07 10	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle,	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 9 01:09 10	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 9 01:07 10 01:07 11	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 9 01:09 10 01:09 11	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic.
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 9 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 9 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider.	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 9 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out?	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 9 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 15 01:09 16	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 9 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No.	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 14 01:09 15 01:09 16 01:09 17	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17 01:08 18	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: No, two going	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 14 01:09 15 01:09 17 01:09 18	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, the two going
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17 01:08 18 01:08 19	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: No, two going out.	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 15 01:09 16 01:09 18 01:09 19	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, the two going out is for both of us, 21 Grand also uses that.
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17 01:08 18 01:08 19 01:08 20	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: No, two going out. MR. CARNOVALE: I'm sorry, two going	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 14 01:09 15 01:09 16 01:09 17 01:09 18 01:09 19 01:09 20	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, the two going out is for both of us, 21 Grand also uses that. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Listen to me,
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17 01:08 18 01:08 19 01:08 20 01:08 21	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: No, two going out. MR. CARNOVALE: I'm sorry, two going out.	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 14 01:09 15 01:09 17 01:09 18 01:09 19 01:09 20 01:09 21	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, the two going out is for both of us, 21 Grand also uses that. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Listen to me, you're using it for you to go out.
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17 01:08 18 01:08 20 01:08 21 01:08 22	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: No, two going out. MR. CARNOVALE: I'm sorry, two going out. And as Pauly's been asking, how will	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 15 01:09 15 01:09 17 01:09 18 01:09 19 01:09 20 01:09 21 01:10 22	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, the two going out is for both of us, 21 Grand also uses that. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Listen to me, you're using it for you to go out. MR. ALAMPI: And they will use it as
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17 01:08 18 01:08 20 01:08 21 01:08 23	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: No, two going out. And as Pauly's been asking, how will you get in from the man next door's property.	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 12 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 15 01:09 16 01:09 17 01:09 18 01:09 19 01:09 20 01:09 21 01:10 22 01:10 23	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, the two going out is for both of us, 21 Grand also uses that. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Listen to me, you're using it for you to go out. MR. ALAMPI: And they will use it as well.
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17 01:08 18 01:08 19 01:08 20 01:08 21 01:08 23 01:08 24	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: No, two going out. And as Pauly's been asking, how will you get in from the man next door's property. MR. ALAMPI: Of course, that's what	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 14 01:09 15 01:09 16 01:09 17 01:09 18 01:09 19 01:09 20 01:09 21 01:10 23 01:10 24	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, the two going out is for both of us, 21 Grand also uses that. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Listen to me, you're using it for you to go out. MR. ALAMPI: And they will use it as well. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I understand
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17 01:08 18 01:08 20 01:08 21 01:08 23	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: No, two going out. And as Pauly's been asking, how will you get in from the man next door's property. MR. ALAMPI: Of course, that's what we've been using for all these years.	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 12 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 15 01:09 16 01:09 17 01:09 18 01:09 19 01:09 20 01:09 21 01:10 22 01:10 23	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, the two going out is for both of us, 21 Grand also uses that. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Listen to me, you're using it for you to go out. MR. ALAMPI: And they will use it as well. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I understand that, but what I'm trying to say, being you're
01:07 2 01:07 3 01:07 4 01:07 5 01:07 6 01:07 7 01:07 8 01:07 10 01:07 11 01:07 12 01:07 13 01:07 14 01:07 15 01:08 16 01:08 17 01:08 18 01:08 19 01:08 20 01:08 21 01:08 23 01:08 24	So one more thing that Pauly was addressed you or the traffic guy. The existing driveway as you're going down Grand Avenue south, there's one driveway which is used by both people in and out. Is that correct? MR. ALAMPI: That's correct. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. So now you guys want to take your side, we'll get into the width in a minute, and make it split it down the middle, which you're allowed to, of course. I personally think it will be too narrow, but that will be up to Steve to consider. So you want to take your property, split it in half, one lane coming in and one going out? MR. ALAMPI: No. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: No, two going out. And as Pauly's been asking, how will you get in from the man next door's property. MR. ALAMPI: Of course, that's what	01:09 2 01:09 3 01:09 4 01:09 5 01:09 6 01:09 7 01:09 8 01:09 10 01:09 11 01:09 12 01:09 13 01:09 14 01:09 15 01:09 16 01:09 17 01:09 18 01:09 19 01:09 20 01:09 21 01:10 23 01:10 24	sometimes a development goes forward, the board concerns, itself, with the planning and zoning. And then it's turns out that there's a private dispute with the property owners, but we have been in communication with 21 Grand, we've given them proper legal notice several times. They have not come to object to the application or by the way I sent their attorney copies of the plans, so they're fully aware. MR. CARNOVALE: I'll stop on that, but let's get into the traffic. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I want to ask I got to ask one thing. Do they have the right now that you want to change what you've been doing for decades going out and coming in, now you want to make it two going out for you, can they now MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, the two going out is for both of us, 21 Grand also uses that. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Listen to me, you're using it for you to go out. MR. ALAMPI: And they will use it as well. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: I understand

	77		79
01:10 1	changing that, can they change what the agreement	01:12 1	the question as a licensed engineer.
01:10 2	you've had, that common thing, I don't want to do it	01:12 2	But, again, tell us who you're
01:10 3	no more, I'll go through my property?	01:12 3	affiliated with and I'll ask you a little bit about
01:10 4	MR. ALAMPI: We're not restricting.	01:12 4	your education and your license?
01:10 5	In other words, we've made a	01:12 5	A. Sure.
01:10 6	modification that improves the flow. They will be	01:12 6	Again, my name is Dan McGinnis from
01:10 7	benefited by it like we would, but we're not closing	01:12 7	Bowman Consulting Group.
01:10 8	off.	01:12 8	I have a bachelor of science in civil
01:10 9	We're not closing off anything. If	01:12 9	engineering from North Carolina State University.
01:10 10	that were the case, maybe they would take us to	01:12 10	I've been practicing traffic
01:10 11	court. If they were to close it off, we would take	01:12 11	engineering in New Jersey since 2004.
01:10 12	them to court. It's been in operation for decades	01:12 12	I've been licensed as a professional
01:10 13	and decades.	01:12 13	engineer in the New Jersey since 2008.
01:10 14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So listen, I'm sure	01:12 14	I've appeared before various land use
01:10 15	there's just to be clear, you're saying that	01:12 15	boards throughout the State of New Jersey, although
01:10 16	21 Grand, you've been notifying them, there's no	01:12 16	not this one.
01:10 17	MR. ALAMPI: They received notice under	01:12 17	Q. And you specialize your engineering
01:10 18	the application process and we've been in discussions	01:12 18	practice in the field of traffic engineering?
01:10 19	with them and their attorney and I sent them the	01:12 19	A. I do.
01:10 20	plans and I reviewed everything with them.	01:12 20	Q. Do you have any certifications and
01:10 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Off the top	01:12 21	affiliations in the field of traffic engineering?
01:11 22	of your head you don't know who that attorney is,	01:12 22	A. I do.
01:11 23	would you?	01:12 23	I'm a Certified Professional Traffic
01:11 24	MR. ALAMPI: I do, there's two	01:12 24	Operations Engineer.
01:11 25	attorneys.	01:12 25	Q. What does that mean?
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	78		80
	. •		00
01:11 1	One is out in Philadelphia.	01:12 1	A. It means I took a test administered by
01:11 1 01:11 2		01:12 1 01:12 2	
01:11 2 01:11 3	One is out in Philadelphia.	_	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia,	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering.
01:11 2 01:11 3	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well?
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph.	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes.
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9 01:13 10	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put	01:12	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI:
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know,	01:12	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11 01:11 12 01:11 13	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more.	01:12	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right?
01:11	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you.	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9 01:13 10 01:13 11 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 14	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other?
01:11	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	01:12	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No.
01:11	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that	01:12	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not?
01:11	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time.	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9 01:13 10 01:13 11 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 15 01:13 16 01:13 17	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff?
01:11	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I think you	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 10 01:13 11 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 14 01:13 15 01:13 16 01:13 17 01:13 18	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff? With that, Dan, you were working with
01:11	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I think you will.	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 10 01:13 11 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 14 01:13 15 01:13 16 01:13 17 01:13 18 01:13 19	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff? With that, Dan, you were working with your colleague Eric Keller from Bowman, correct?
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11 01:11 12 01:11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I think you will. (Laughter.)	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9 01:13 10 01:13 11 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 15 01:13 16 01:13 17 01:13 18 01:13 19 01:13 20	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff? With that, Dan, you were working with your colleague Eric Keller from Bowman, correct? A. That's correct.
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11 01:11 12 01:11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I think you will. (Laughter.) VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9 01:13 10 01:13 11 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 15 01:13 15 01:13 17 01:13 18 01:13 19 01:13 20 01:13 21	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff? With that, Dan, you were working with your colleague Eric Keller from Bowman, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And he conducted some studies, you
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11 01:11 12 01:11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 01:11 22	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I think you will. (Laughter.) VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI:	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 10 01:13 11 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 14 01:13 15 01:13 16 01:13 17 01:13 18 01:13 19 01:13 20 01:13 21 01:13 22	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff? With that, Dan, you were working with your colleague Eric Keller from Bowman, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And he conducted some studies, you collaborated with him and reviewed his report and his
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11 01:11 12 01:11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 01:11 22 01:11 23	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I think you will. (Laughter.) VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Dan, we didn't really give you much	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9 01:13 10 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 14 01:13 15 01:13 15 01:13 18 01:13 17 01:13 18 01:13 19 01:13 20 01:13 21 01:13 22 01:13 23	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff? With that, Dan, you were working with your colleague Eric Keller from Bowman, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And he conducted some studies, you collaborated with him and reviewed his report and his study.
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11 01:11 12 01:11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 01:11 22 01:11 23 01:11 24	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I think you will. (Laughter.) VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Dan, we didn't really give you much A. Yeah.	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9 01:13 10 01:13 11 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 15 01:13 16 01:13 17 01:13 18 01:13 19 01:13 20 01:13 21 01:13 23 01:13 24	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff? With that, Dan, you were working with your colleague Eric Keller from Bowman, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And he conducted some studies, you collaborated with him and reviewed his report and his study. Is that correct?
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11 01:11 12 01:11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 01:11 22 01:11 23	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I think you will. (Laughter.) VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Dan, we didn't really give you much A. Yeah. Q. We qualified you only so you can answer	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9 01:13 10 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 14 01:13 15 01:13 15 01:13 18 01:13 17 01:13 18 01:13 19 01:13 20 01:13 21 01:13 22 01:13 23	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff? With that, Dan, you were working with your colleague Eric Keller from Bowman, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And he conducted some studies, you collaborated with him and reviewed his report and his study. Is that correct? A. Yes, I have.
01:11 2 01:11 3 01:11 4 01:11 5 01:11 6 01:11 7 01:11 8 01:11 9 01:11 10 01:11 11 01:11 12 01:11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 01:11 22 01:11 23 01:11 24	One is out in Philadelphia. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: But you don't want to give them? MR. ALAMPI: No, one's in Philadelphia, one's here in New York and I'll think of his name in a minute, but, you know, I used to be able to remember everybody's name and face, but I know this attorney because he and I have had cases together and I just can't think of his first name is Joseph. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So what we're going to do now is put the expert on. You have approximately, you know, maybe an hour-and-a-half, a little bit more. MR. ALAMPI: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I don't think I'll require all that time. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Oh, I think you will. (Laughter.) VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. Dan, we didn't really give you much A. Yeah.	01:12 2 01:12 3 01:13 4 01:13 5 01:13 6 01:13 7 01:13 8 01:13 9 01:13 10 01:13 11 01:13 12 01:13 13 01:13 15 01:13 16 01:13 17 01:13 18 01:13 19 01:13 20 01:13 21 01:13 23 01:13 24	A. It means I took a test administered by The Institute of Transportation Engineers and it I also have ongoing continuing education requirements to satisfy that certification in traffic engineering. Q. And you consult various treatises, like the Institute of Traffic Engineers Manual, the parking demand for traffic studies nationwide and you consult with different treatises as well? A. Yes. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. And similarly, Mr. Simoff, he's a colleague of yours, right? Do you know each other? A. No. Q. Why not? Everybody knows Hal Simoff? With that, Dan, you were working with your colleague Eric Keller from Bowman, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And he conducted some studies, you collaborated with him and reviewed his report and his study. Is that correct?

		1	
04.40	MD. ALAMDI. Mr. Chairman	04:40	83
01:13 1	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Chairman	01:16 1	I always was afraid when you're told to
01:13 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to	01:16 2	bring them upstairs and they don't get down there.
01:13 3	accept him as an expert.	01:16 3	The one box gets down there, the other box stays up
01:13 4	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you.	01:16 4	here. But that's what happened. We have a June 16th
01:13 5	I don't know if we marked in the	01:16 5	Response Letter.
01:13 6	traffic report from December 27, 2021.	01:16 6	Diane, do you have a copy of that?
01:14 7	This was circulated, I think, back in	01:16 7	MS. TESTA: Yes, I do.
01:14 8	March. I have an e-mail, March 18th when we did our	01:16 8	MR. ALAMPI: So we're going to ask our
01:14 9	before we had our first hearing, but this was	01:16 9	witness to go through the report, his analysis of the
01:14 10	done, you know, last year.	01:16 10	traffic pattern and volume and then his response to
01:14 11	So we're going to mark this as A-25.	01:16 11	Mr. Simoff and that will give Mr. Simoff more time to
01:14 12	(Whereupon, Traffic Report, dated	01:16 12	dig into this.
01:14 13	December 27, 2021 is received and marked as	01:16 13	BY MR. ALAMPI:
01:14 14	Exhibit A-25 for identification.)	01:16 14	Q. So with that, Dan, why don't you take
01:14 15	BY MR. ALAMPI:	01:16 15	us through the original Bowman report of
01:14 16	Q. You have a copy of this report?	01:16 16	December 27th, your findings, your evaluation and
01:14 17	A. I do.	01:17 17	then ultimately you can use whatever exhibits you
01:14 18	MR. ALAMPI: I think it's A-25, right,	01:17 18	want and I won't interrupt you too much.
01:14 19	Diane.	01:17 19	A. Great.
01:14 20	MS. TESTA: Yes.	01:17 20	Q. Great that I won't interrupt you that
01:14 21	MR. ALAMPI: And with that report,	01:17 21	much?
01:14 22	there are a lot of studies and statistics attached to	01:17 22	A. Thank you for the introduction.
01:14 23	it.	01:17 23	I'll just refer to the overall site
01:14 24	We also have noted that Mr. Simoff had	01:17 24	plan rendering, which, again, I believe was
01:14 25	prepared a memo on March 18th to review this for the	01:17 25	previously used. It is marked A-2.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
04:44 4	82	04:47 4	84
01:14 1	board, which we received at the March meeting, and	01:17 1	So, yeah, I'll just summarize the
01:14 2	then we transmitted to your board's secretary on	01:17 2	traffic study we prepared.
01:15 3	June 16th the letter responding to Mr. Simoff's	01:17 3	So, you know, like any traffic study
01:15 4 01:15 5	concerns and with more report. So we're going to mark the original	01:17 4	the purpose of a study is to analyze the existing
01:15 6		01:17 5 01:17 6	traffic and then determine what impacts, if any, the
01:15 7	report, as I said, is A-25 and, Diane, with your	01:17 6 01:17 7	site is going to have on that traffic.
01:15 7	permission, June 16th responsive letter to Mr. Simoff as A-26 with today's date for the record.	01:17 7	We've talked at length about access and I'll come back to it, but I'll focus on the traffic
01:15 9	(Whereupon, June 16th Response Letter	01:17 9	impact study, the overall traffic counts.
01:15 3	to Mr. Simoff is received and marked as	01:17 9	The traffic counts were originally
01:15 11	Exhibit A-26 for identification.)	01:17 10	obtained in September of 2021 during the morning peak
01:15 11	MR. ALAMPI: This was just handed to	01:17 11	period and the afternoon peak period at four
01:15 12	you tonight, Hal.	01:17 12	different locations.
01:15 14	MR. SIMOFF: Yes.	01:18 14	The eastbound off-ramp of Route 46 and
01:15 15	MR. ALAMPI: But I know that we mailed	01:18 15	Maple Avenue, that signalized intersection, the
01:15 16	it in June 16th to the board, but I neglected to	01:18 16	westbound I'm sorry, the signalized westbound
01:15 17	personally hand-deliver these to your office.	01:18 17	on-ramp to Route 46, Columbia Avenue, which is stop
01:15 17	Unfortunately in Palisades Park they	01:18 18	control and, sort of, functions as an off-ramp, as
01:15 19	want the plans to go to the clerk's office and then	01:18 19	you're all probably aware and it opposes the the
1	walle the bialls to do to the cierk's bilice and then	J	,
01:15 20		01:18 20	northerly site access that we were just discussing
01:15 20	they redistribute it to the appropriate people, which	01:18 20	northerly site access that we were just discussing and then the fourth intersection analyzed was Ruby
01:16 21	they redistribute it to the appropriate people, which sometimes they put them in a box on the floor and	01:18 21	and then the fourth intersection analyzed was Ruby
01:16 21 01:16 22	they redistribute it to the appropriate people, which sometimes they put them in a box on the floor and whatever.	01:18 21 01:18 22	and then the fourth intersection analyzed was Ruby and Grand. The four intersections along Grand
01:16 21 01:16 22 01:16 23	they redistribute it to the appropriate people, which sometimes they put them in a box on the floor and whatever. And I would personally send a messenger	01:18 21 01:18 22 01:18 23	and then the fourth intersection analyzed was Ruby and Grand. The four intersections along Grand adjacent to the site.
01:16 21 01:16 22 01:16 23 01:16 24	they redistribute it to the appropriate people, which sometimes they put them in a box on the floor and whatever. And I would personally send a messenger here and I would bring them directly to the building	01:18 21 01:18 22 01:18 23 01:18 24	and then the fourth intersection analyzed was Ruby and Grand. The four intersections along Grand adjacent to the site. And just to address one comment of
01:16 21 01:16 22 01:16 23	they redistribute it to the appropriate people, which sometimes they put them in a box on the floor and whatever. And I would personally send a messenger here and I would bring them directly to the building department to the secretary based on her schedule.	01:18 21 01:18 22 01:18 23	and then the fourth intersection analyzed was Ruby and Grand. The four intersections along Grand adjacent to the site. And just to address one comment of Mr. Simoff, he did point out that he did express
01:16 21 01:16 22 01:16 23 01:16 24	they redistribute it to the appropriate people, which sometimes they put them in a box on the floor and whatever. And I would personally send a messenger here and I would bring them directly to the building	01:18 21 01:18 22 01:18 23 01:18 24	and then the fourth intersection analyzed was Ruby and Grand. The four intersections along Grand adjacent to the site. And just to address one comment of

		1	
	85		87
01:18 1	concerns that those counts obtained in September 2021	01:21 1	Ruby, I think, one of the approaches
01:18 2	might be lower than normal because of the ongoing	01:21 2	goes from E to F. It's similar to the way it
01:18 3	pandemic.	01:21 3	operates today.
01:18 4	However, we obtained additional traffic	01:21 4	Then we took then we analyzed the
01:19 5	counts during June and found that the counts were, in	01:21 5	site for traffic to be generated by the proposed
01:19 6	fact, lower in June.	01:21 6	site.
01:19 7	So, therefore, we think the counts that	01:21 7	We based those projections on the data
01:19 8	we based our study on are adequate and the	01:21 8	published by the Institute of Transportation
01:19 9	conclusions remain valid.	01:21 9	Engineers for the various land uses. We analyzed
01:19 10	But based on these counts we determined	01:21 10	both the proposed uses for the residential and then
01:19 11	that the morning peak hour is from 8 a.m. to 9, 8 and	01:21 11	the existing uses that are going away with the
01:19 12	9 a.m.	01:21 12	demolition of the existing structure and these are
01:19 13	The afternoon peak hour varies between	01:21 13	standard uses from the data comes from urban and
01:19 14	5 and 6:30 depending on which intersection you're	01:21 14	suburban locations throughout the country.
01:19 15	looking at, but to provide a conservative analysis we	01:22 15	So these are conservative traffic
01:19 16	used the peak at each intersection assuming they	01:22 16	projections, trip generation data, because, you know,
01:19 17	happen all at the same time for the purpose of this	01:22 17	in suburban areas there's a lot more vehicle, a lot
01:19 18	analysis and then just to summarize the results based	01:22 18	more motor vehicle traffic than there is in an area
01:19 19	on the level of service, which I'm sure you know the	01:22 19	like Palisades Park where you'd expect more, some of
01:19 20	letter grade that's assigned based on delay at these	01:22 20	that pedestrian traffic and some of the transit
01:19 21	intersections.	01:22 21	trips.
01:19 22	In general, the intersections operate,	01:22 22	Nevertheless, just to summarize the
01:19 23	each movement at these intersections operate at Level	01:22 23	traffic expected from the 122 units of multifamily
01:19 24	of Service D or better, which is pretty typical in an	01:22 24	residential, we expect that to generate 44 trips in
01:19 25	urban environment, which is considered acceptable	01:22 25	the morning peak hour or 54 trips in the afternoon
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	86		88
01:19 1	86 operating levels of service.	01:22 1	88 peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does
01:19 1 01:20 2		01:22 1 01:22 2	
_	operating levels of service.		peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does
01:20 2	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections	01:22 2	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily
01:20 2 01:20 3	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E	01:22 2 01:22 3	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential.
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue.	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant,
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site.	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 9	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out.
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 9 01:23 10	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 9 01:23 10 01:23 11	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed,
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 9 01:23 10 01:23 11 01:23 12	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 9 01:23 10 01:23 11 01:23 12 01:23 13	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone.
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 9 01:23 10 01:23 11 01:23 12 01:23 13 01:23 14	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 10 01:23 11 01:23 12 01:23 13 01:23 14 01:23 15	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic.
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 10 01:23 11 01:23 12 01:23 13 01:23 14 01:23 15 01:23 16	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16 01:20 17	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of Route 46, something just under 400,000 square feet, I	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 9 01:23 10 01:23 11 01:23 12 01:23 13 01:23 14 01:23 15 01:23 16 01:23 17	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the existing traffic generated when we did our proposed
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16 01:20 17 01:20 18	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of Route 46, something just under 400,000 square feet, I believe, and projected that traffic and included that	01:22	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the existing traffic generated when we did our proposed analysis, again, just to provide a conservative
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16 01:20 17 01:20 18 01:21 19	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of Route 46, something just under 400,000 square feet, I believe, and projected that traffic and included that in the along with background traffic growth to	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 10 01:23 11 01:23 12 01:23 13 01:23 14 01:23 15 01:23 16 01:23 18 01:23 19	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the existing traffic generated when we did our proposed analysis, again, just to provide a conservative analysis.
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16 01:20 17 01:20 18 01:21 19 01:21 20 01:21 21	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of Route 46, something just under 400,000 square feet, I believe, and projected that traffic and included that in the along with background traffic growth to generate to produce the no-build traffic volumes, the	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 10 01:23 11 01:23 12 01:23 13 01:23 14 01:23 15 01:23 16 01:23 17 01:23 18 01:23 19 01:23 20	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the existing traffic generated when we did our proposed analysis, again, just to provide a conservative analysis. We also assumed that all the traffic
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16 01:20 17 01:20 18 01:21 19 01:21 20	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of Route 46, something just under 400,000 square feet, I believe, and projected that traffic and included that in the along with background traffic growth to generate to produce the no-build traffic volumes, the future traffic volumes before the site is developed.	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 10 01:23 11 01:23 12 01:23 13 01:23 15 01:23 15 01:23 17 01:23 18 01:23 19 01:23 20 01:23 21	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the existing traffic generated when we did our proposed analysis, again, just to provide a conservative analysis. We also assumed that all the traffic would exit by the northern side driveway we were just
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16 01:20 17 01:20 18 01:21 19 01:21 20 01:21 21 01:21 22	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of Route 46, something just under 400,000 square feet, I believe, and projected that traffic and included that in the along with background traffic growth to generate to produce the no-build traffic volumes, the future traffic volumes before the site is developed. And they're similar to the existing traffic volumes, you know, some of the intersections	01:22	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the existing traffic generated when we did our proposed analysis, again, just to provide a conservative analysis. We also assumed that all the traffic would exit by the northern side driveway we were just discussing. Again, just for the purposes of
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16 01:20 17 01:20 18 01:21 19 01:21 20 01:21 21	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of Route 46, something just under 400,000 square feet, I believe, and projected that traffic and included that in the along with background traffic growth to generate to produce the no-build traffic volumes, the future traffic volumes before the site is developed. And they're similar to the existing traffic volumes, you know, some of the intersections around the cusp of Level of Service D, like eastbound	01:22 2 01:22 3 01:22 4 01:22 5 01:22 6 01:22 7 01:23 8 01:23 10 01:23 12 01:23 12 01:23 15 01:23 15 01:23 16 01:23 17 01:23 18 01:23 19 01:23 20 01:23 21 01:23 22 01:23 23	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the existing traffic generated when we did our proposed analysis, again, just to provide a conservative analysis. We also assumed that all the traffic would exit by the northern side driveway we were just discussing. Again, just for the purposes of analysis, conservatively project how that access will
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16 01:20 17 01:20 18 01:21 19 01:21 20 01:21 21 01:21 22 01:21 23 01:21 24	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of Route 46, something just under 400,000 square feet, I believe, and projected that traffic and included that in the along with background traffic growth to generate to produce the no-build traffic volumes, the future traffic volumes before the site is developed. And they're similar to the existing traffic volumes, you know, some of the intersections around the cusp of Level of Service D, like eastbound Columbia Avenue approach go Level of Service E.	01:22	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the existing traffic generated when we did our proposed analysis, again, just to provide a conservative analysis. We also assumed that all the traffic would exit by the northern side driveway we were just discussing. Again, just for the purposes of analysis, conservatively project how that access will operate and how the opposing Columbia access will
01:20 2 01:20 3 01:20 4 01:20 5 01:20 6 01:20 7 01:20 8 01:20 9 01:20 10 01:20 11 01:20 12 01:20 13 01:20 14 01:20 15 01:20 16 01:20 17 01:20 18 01:21 19 01:21 20 01:21 21 01:21 22 01:21 23 01:21 24	operating levels of service. Some of the side street intersections at Columbia and Ruby do operate at Level of Service E today, which is it's not surprising for an unsignalized approach especially when there's a lot of traffic as there is along Grand Avenue. So we took those counts and then projected the traffic out to the future as a baseline to evaluate the impacts of the site. For the purpose of the study, we're projecting the site will be open in 2024, so we projected traffic using traffic growth rates that are published by the New Jersey Department of Transportation just to reflect background growth throughout the region and the area and we also included traffic from a proposed warehouse south of Route 46, something just under 400,000 square feet, I believe, and projected that traffic and included that in the along with background traffic growth to generate to produce the no-build traffic volumes, the future traffic volumes before the site is developed. And they're similar to the existing traffic volumes, you know, some of the intersections around the cusp of Level of Service D, like eastbound	01:22	peak hour, so less than one vehicle per minute, does reach the total of in and out for the multifamily residential. So these are relatively low levels of traffic and particularly when you consider the uses that are being eliminated, including the restaurant, the bar, if you just look at the afternoon peak hour for the restaurant/bar alone, we would project 223 peak hour trips in and out. So just in the afternoon peak hour, the with the development of this site that's proposed, 169 trips, 169 fewer trips will be generated in the afternoon peak hour alone. So in general, with the development of this site there will be a reduction in site traffic. And we didn't deduct any of the existing traffic generated when we did our proposed analysis, again, just to provide a conservative analysis. We also assumed that all the traffic would exit by the northern side driveway we were just discussing. Again, just for the purposes of analysis, conservatively project how that access will

	89		91
01:24 1	operate.	01:26 1	diagonal parking spaces along the north side of the
01:24 2	So just to summarize then, with the	01:26 2	building.
01:24 3	additional site traffic, you know, there will be some	01:26 3	These are convenient spaces for liquor
01:24 4	additional delay on some of the side street	01:26 4	store patrons who would naturally want to park
01:24 5	approaches, but similar levels of service as there	01:26 5	immediately adjacent to the building. You know, they
01:24 6	was under the no-build scenario.	01:27 6	don't want to park out back in the in the
01:24 7	I think the westbound Columbia Avenue	01:27 7	structure.
01:24 8	approach would go from an E to an F, about nine	01:27 8	We acknowledge that the and the
01:24 9	seconds per vehicle more of delay on average, but	01:27 9	board's traffic consult pointed out that the
01:24 10	again, it's a very conservative analysis. You know,	01:27 10	perpendicular parking in front of the building is
01:24 11	you can consider the worst case scenario.	01:27 11	is not desirable.
01:24 12	I mean, this is really, as I mentioned,	01:27 12	So we revised the plans to remove those
01:24 13	a reduction in the overall site traffic.	01:27 13	parking spots, because naturally, you have to back
01:24 14	So then I summarized the traffic impact	01:27 14	out onto Grand Avenue to use those.
01:24 15	study. I would like to go back and discuss access a	01:27 15	We do we are preserving the diagonal
01:24 16	little will bit more. So I'll go back to the site	01:27 16	parking on the north side of the building. It
01:24 17	plan rendering.	01:27 17	currently operates the way motorists use this now,
01:24 17	We discussed the northern access at	01:27 17	they do take K-turns to get out, but they're not
01:25 10	length. I don't want to beat a dead horse. I just	01:27 10	backing out onto Grand Avenue. That's what I
01:25 20	did want to point out that the egress, which again,	01:27 20	observed. I did it myself, the maneuver works.
01:25 21	the egress side of this access entirely within the	01:27 20	We are widening this to better
01:25 22	subject property is 20-feet wide and is being	01:27 22	accommodate that maneuver and to make it so that
01:25 23	provided in two 10-foot wide travel lanes.	01:27 23	drivers don't have to back out onto Grand Avenue and
01:25 24	So, again, the egress width is not	01:27 24	we are proposing some additional parking along the
01:25 25	changing. It's just being cut in half, just to	01:27 25	south side of the building that will be used by the
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	90		92
01:25 1	improve the way it operates now, which already is	01:27 1	liquor store operation as well, but we do need to
01:25 2	kind of the de facto, you know, the left turn	01:28 2	maintain that existing parking lot just to serve the
01:25 3	people do sort of separate themselves, but this will	01:28 3	liquor store.
01:25 4	help make that movement more efficient.	01:28 4	And then of course the just to sort
01:25 5	Again, for both the users, both both	01:28 5	of summarize access and circulation a little further,
01:25 6	property owners to share this access.	01:28 6	this access along the southern side of the building
01:25 7	The southern side driveway, this is	01:28 7	will be turned into a two-way access. This enters
01:25 8	ingress only today. It's proposed to make it two way	01:28 8	the parking structure on the second floor and
01:25 9	now, so ingress and egress.	01:28 9	circulation is provided within the structure with the
01:25 10	So ingress left turns are currently	01:28 10	ramp down to the other access on the northern side of
01:25 11	permitted. They will remain permitted.	01:28 11	the building.
01:26 12	Right-turns in are permitted. They'll	01:28 12	So for instance, if somebody wanted to
01:26 13	remain permitted.	01:28 13	make a left out, but they couldn't because of the
01:26 14	Right-turns out will be permitted.	01:28 14	proposed prohibition of left turns out of the
01:26 15	Right I'm sorry, left turns out will be prohibited	01:28 15	southern driveway, they would still have access
01:26 16	and we acknowledge that traffic queues along the	01:28 16	within the building to leave the northern side
01:26 17	approach to the Route 46 signals do often extend past	01:28 17	driveway.
01:26 18	that driveway, but we expect with courtesy gaps, that	01:28 18	Q. So in the front, Dan, in the front of
01:26 19	this driveway will operate acceptably much much	01:29 19	the building, there are parking spaces that actually
01:26 20	the way the northern driveway operates today, this is	01:29 20	hang into the right-of-way, correct?
01:26 21	the way it operates now.	01:29 21	A. I don't know whether they're
01:26 22	And access to the liquor store we	01:29 22	entirely
01:26 23	should also discuss as well.	01:29 23	Q. Not entirely, but the ones that are
01:26 24	So there are currently perpendicular	01:29 24	parking now, it's very common practice the spaces are
01:26 25	parking spaces along the front of the building and	01:29 25	actually encroaching into the right-of-way slightly?
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	1	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
1			
23 of 57 she	201-641-1812	92 of 168	201-641-1812 07/06/2022 07:39:41 PM

00	٥٢
93	95
01:29 1 A. Along the front side of the building? 01:30 1	Q. And on the north side of that building,
	in the parking not in the driveway, but
_	inst the building there were five or six slanted king spaces?
	A. Right.
	Q. We've made some adjustment to that by
	airing the retaining wall and making an adjustment
	making it more user friendly?
01:29 9 Q. We still have three spaces there? 01:31 9	A. That's right, widening it to allow more
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	m to back out.
01:29 11 on the rendering. 01:31 11	Q. And we're anticipating that the liquor
	e would wanted the customers to park there,
01:29 13 So this will be three, but there will 01:31 13 right	
01:29 14 be parallel parking? 01:31 14	A. Right.
01:29 15 MR. COLLAZUOL: No. 01:31 15	Q. On the south side of the existing
01:29 16 THE WITNESS: I think they might be 01:31 16 build	ding there's a second driveway existing, correct?
01:29 17 eliminated. 01:31 17	A. That's right.
01:29 18 BY MR. ALAMPI: 01:31 18	Q. But we now plan to create a small
_	king lot area on the on the same grade as Grand
01:29 20 So we were proposing originally three 01:31 20 Aver	nue?
01:29 21 spaces, but now we've eliminated them? 01:31 21	A. That's right.
01:29 22 A. Right. 01:31 22	Q. Which eventually would allow vehicles
01:29 23 Q. So there will be no parking in front of 01:31 23 to go	o into the second level of parking?
01:30 24 the existing building, correct? 01:31 24	A. That's correct.
01:30 25 A. That's correct. 01:31 25	Q. Could you expand on that? I'm not sure
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
201-641-1812	201-641-1812
94	96
	board understood the function of that second
- '	eway, the south driveway, the level at which it
' -	in comparison to Grand Avenue and how a vehicle
	ald go into the second level of the garage.
01:30 5 Q. Now, on the north side of the existing 01:31 5	A. Certainly.
01:30 6 building there is four or five parking spaces that	So, yes, this driveway which currently
	ps down significantly, this area will be filled in
	that it's, you know, close to the Grand Street
_	el elevation and traffic will be able to park in
	surface stalls here to access the liquor store, instance, or travel in the second floor of the
	king structure, access the main lobby there,
	ess the parking stalls or travel all the way
	ough down the ramp and out the northern access
01:30 15 A. Certainly. 01:32 15 here	-
01:30 16 Q. So on Grand Avenue in front of the 01:32 16	Q. All right. But the second level of
	king, it's main entrance is planned to be that
3 3,	el from the south side of the existing building?
01:30 19 A. That's right. 01:32 19	A. That's correct.
01:30 20 Q. We've eliminated those parking spaces 01:32 20	Q. That's easier to facilitate?
01:30 21 in front on Grand Avenue? 01:32 21	A. Indeed.
01:30 22 A. That's right. 01:32 22	Q. There are no ramps or anything, just a
01:30 23 Q. And originally we were proposing there 01:32 23 strai	ight flat parking area and then the entrance to
01:30 24 would be three spaces, but now they're all gone?	garage?
01:30 25 A. That's correct. 01:32 25	A. That's right.
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
201-641-1812	201-641-1812

	A7		
04.00 4	97	04.05	99
01:32 1	And Mr. Simoff has expressed concerns	01:35 1	Q. But you're saying that when these other
01:32 2	about sight distance because of that grade and that	01:35 2	uses are either eliminated completely or reduced in
01:32 3	problem will be eliminated.	01:35 3	scale significantly, that eliminates that parking.
01:33 4 01:33 5	Q. Right, we'll be eliminating the sight	01:35 4 01:35 5	You're replacing it, however, with the new building
	distance problem.	_	and you're saying the net effect is a reduction?
_	Right. Q. We'll be making it more functional and	_	A. That's correct. Q. And just tell us again on the record
	Q. We'll be making it more functional and they'll have easier access to the second	_	
01:33 8 01:33 9	A. Absolutely.	01:35 8 01:35 9	because we're keeping a transcript, how many movements or how many spaces are associated, let's
01:33 10	Q. Of course the residents can also go	01:35 10	say, with the restaurant, the restaurant was
01:33 11	down the ramp internally to the lower level if they	01:35 10	operating outdoor during the weather permitting,
01:33 11	wish?	01:35 11	karaoke bar, nightclub type of operation, disco and
01:33 13	A. That's right.	01:35 12	the restaurant, correct?
01:33 14	So I believe that covers the testimony	01:35 14	A. That's correct, yes.
01:33 15	that I had planned to give. I would just like to	01:35 15	Q. And how much parking and traffic did
01:33 16	reiterate that this application does represent a	01:35 16	you associate with that particular use, if you know?
01:33 17	reduction in traffic from the existing site.	01:35 17	You may not have broken it down.
01:33 18	Q. So the board finds that hard to	01:35 17	A. Well, I did mention the traffic
01:33 19	believe.	01:35 19	associated with the bar and restaurant in the
01:33 20	A. I understand that.	01:35 20	afternoon peak hour.
01:33 21	Q. So explain why it's a reduction.	01:35 21	Q. Right.
01:33 22	A. It's this is just a reduction	01:36 22	A. So, again, the afternoon peak hour, we
01:33 23	comparing in our analysis this is a reduction	01:36 23	would project 223 trips.
01:33 24	comparing the bar and restaurant use that's going	01:36 24	Q. The afternoon peak hour is what hour
01:33 25	away with the multifamily that's replacing it and	01:36 25	exactly?
01.00 20	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	000 _0	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	98		100
01:33 1	98 that represents, itself, a significant reduction just	01:36 1	100 A. It's 5 to 6.
01:33 1 01:34 2		01:36 1 01:36 2	
_	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just	_	A. It's 5 to 6.
01:34 2	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the	01:36 2	A. It's 5 to 6.Q. And so if they were to have a happy
01:34 2 01:34 3	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour.	01:36 2 01:36 3	A. It's 5 to 6.Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would
01:34 2 01:34 3 01:34 4	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage	01:36 2 01:36 3 01:36 4	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well?
01:34 2 01:34 3 01:34 4 01:34 5	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which	01:36 2 01:36 3 01:36 4 01:36 5	 A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right.
01:34 2 01:34 3 01:34 4 01:34 5 01:34 6	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction.	01:36 2 01:36 3 01:36 4 01:36 5 01:36 6	 A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity
01:34 2 01:34 3 01:34 4 01:34 5 01:34 6 01:34 7 01:34 8 01:34 9	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property	01:36 2 01:36 3 01:36 4 01:36 5 01:36 6 01:36 7 01:36 8 01:36 9	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is
01:34 2 01:34 3 01:34 4 01:34 5 01:34 6 01:34 7 01:34 8	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company,	01:36 2 01:36 3 01:36 4 01:36 5 01:36 6 01:36 7 01:36 8	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic?
01:34 2 01:34 3 01:34 4 01:34 5 01:34 6 01:34 7 01:34 8 01:34 9 01:34 10 01:34 11	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor
01:34 2 01:34 3 01:34 4 01:34 5 01:34 6 01:34 7 01:34 8 01:34 9 01:34 10 01:34 11	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right.	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain?
01:34 2 01:34 3 01:34 4 01:34 5 01:34 6 01:34 7 01:34 8 01:34 9 01:34 10 01:34 11 01:34 12 01:34 13	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease?	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right.
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away?	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct.	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition?
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct.
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all of that will be gone?	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the function of that shared driveway. Let's go over that
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all of that will be gone? A. That's right.	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the function of that shared driveway. Let's go over that one more time.
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all of that will be gone? A. That's right. Q. With the restaurant there is a	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the function of that shared driveway. Let's go over that one more time. The existing situation is that there's
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all of that will be gone? A. That's right. Q. With the restaurant there is a possibility that a more conventional restaurant will	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the function of that shared driveway. Let's go over that one more time. The existing situation is that there's one lane in the north driveway, north side of the
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all of that will be gone? A. That's right. Q. With the restaurant there is a possibility that a more conventional restaurant will remain as opposed to the nightclub and the outdoor	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the function of that shared driveway. Let's go over that one more time. The existing situation is that there's one lane in the north driveway, north side of the existing building that goes into the site and there
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all of that will be gone? A. That's right. Q. With the restaurant there is a possibility that a more conventional restaurant will remain as opposed to the nightclub and the outdoor dining. So there will be at least a significant	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the function of that shared driveway. Let's go over that one more time. The existing situation is that there's one lane in the north driveway, north side of the existing building that goes into the site and there was one lane coming out. It's used by both 15 Grand
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all of that will be gone? A. That's right. Q. With the restaurant there is a possibility that a more conventional restaurant will remain as opposed to the nightclub and the outdoor dining. So there will be at least a significant lessening of the traffic, correct?	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the function of that shared driveway. Let's go over that one more time. The existing situation is that there's one lane in the north driveway, north side of the existing building that goes into the site and there was one lane coming out. It's used by both 15 Grand and 21 Grand?
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all of that will be gone? A. That's right. Q. With the restaurant there is a possibility that a more conventional restaurant will remain as opposed to the nightclub and the outdoor dining. So there will be at least a significant lessening of the traffic, correct? A. That's correct, yes.	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the function of that shared driveway. Let's go over that one more time. The existing situation is that there's one lane in the north driveway, north side of the existing building that goes into the site and there was one lane coming out. It's used by both 15 Grand and 21 Grand? A. That's right.
01:34	that represents, itself, a significant reduction just from the bar and restaurant, particularly in the afternoon peak hour. We didn't account for the salvage operation going away either in those numbers, which would be a further reduction. Q. So, Dan, in the rear of the property there is an outdoor storage and landscaping company, salvage such with heavy trucks and materials and landscaping going in and out, that operation will cease? A. That's right. Q. And the traffic associated with that would go away? A. That's correct. Q. Truck traffic crossing over passenger vehicles as they do presently, heavy equipment, all of that will be gone? A. That's right. Q. With the restaurant there is a possibility that a more conventional restaurant will remain as opposed to the nightclub and the outdoor dining. So there will be at least a significant lessening of the traffic, correct?	01:36	A. It's 5 to 6. Q. And so if they were to have a happy hour or started to entertain the crowd, that would conflict with the commuter peak hour as well? A. That's right. Q. So by eliminating that type of activity or reducing it, it would help to offset the overall parking and the overall traffic? A. That's right, the multifamily use is less intense. Q. Okay. The health club and the liquor store would remain? A. That's right. Q. You don't see any change in the composition? A. That's correct. Q. And the board wants to focus on the function of that shared driveway. Let's go over that one more time. The existing situation is that there's one lane in the north driveway, north side of the existing building that goes into the site and there was one lane coming out. It's used by both 15 Grand and 21 Grand?

	101		103
01:37 1	Q. And has been shared for decades. What	01:39 1	MR. ALAMPI: So far there's one report
01:37 2	will change? Will you widen the road, the pavement	01:39 2	from your planner, I anticipate a second.
01:37 3	any?	01:39 3	One report from your traffic, I
01:37 4	A. No.	01:39 4	anticipate a second.
01:37 5	Q. And so using the existing width, you're	01:39 5	And two reports from Mr. Collazuol.
01:37 6	able to create a two-lane egress and continue the	01:39 6	MS. TESTA: So we'll mark those
01:37 7	one-lane ingress?	01:39 7	accordingly. We'll start with Collazuol's report.
01:37 8	A. That's right.	01:39 8	MR. ALAMPI: I think we're going to
01:37 9	The ingress on the 21 Grand property	01:40 9	do you want to mark them PB?
01:37 10	will not be modified at all. The 20-foot egress on	01:40 10	MS. TESTA: Correct.
01:37 11	the 15 Grand property will be striped to provide	01:40 11	MR. ALAMPI: Okay. So let's use
01:37 12	dedicated left and right turns.	01:40 12	Simoff's March 18th is PB-1.
01:37 13	Q. That egress exiting the property is for	01:40 13	MS. TESTA: Did you say PB?
01:37 14	the occupants of the commercial building in 21 Grand,	01:40 14	MR. ALAMPI: PB, planning board.
01:38 15	as well as 15 to go out to Grand Avenue directly?	01:40 15	MS. TESTA: But we're the board of
01:38 16	A. Yes.	01:40 16	adjustment.
01:38 17	Q. The other users on 21 Grand, because	01:40 17	MR. ALAMPI: Didn't I say board of
01:38 18	there are two or three buildings, also can go out the	01:40 18	adjustment?
01:38 19	side to Ruby?	01:40 19	(Laughter.)
01:38 20	A. To Ruby, that's correct.	01:40 20	MR. ALAMPI: I'm sorry.
01:38 21	Q. But we cannot traverse Ruby from our	01:40 21	MS. TESTA: No problem.
01:38 22	property. We don't have an easement going in that	01:40 22	MR. ALAMPI: That's tomorrow night's
01:38 23	direction?	01:40 23	meeting I have to go to the planning board in North
01:38 24	A. That's my understanding.	01:40 24	Bergen, it's on my mind.
01:38 25	Q. So we're completely utilizing the north	01:40 25	So ZBA-1 one and I think while we're at
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	102		104
01:38 1	driveway and the south driveway, which divides the	01:40 1	it, we want to mark all your professionals
01:38 2	two levels of the parking garage, correct?	01:40 2	MS. TESTA: Yes.
01:38 3	A. That's right.	01:40 3	MR. ALAMPI: With Kauker there's a
01:38 4	Q. Did you talk about the you have	01:40 4	March 18th also ZBA-2 and with Mr. Collazuol, there's
01:38 5	attached to your report a significant number of	01:41 5	actually three. The first one was just a
01:38 6	diagrams and appendixes and traffic counts and things	01:41 6	completeness review back in January 18th, so we'll
01:38 7	of that nature.	01:41 7	mark that ZBA-3, his March 15th will be ZBA-4 and his
01:38 8	Did your office get a chance to review	01:41 8	most current one that we did not respond to, I think
01:38 9	these with Mr. Simoff? I know he reviewed it. I	01:41 9	I got it last week.
01:38 10	know he has all the plans and the exhibits, but did	01:41 10	Do you have it, Jacob, do you have the
01:39 11	anyone, Eric Keller, specifically, along with you get	01:41 11	report? Let's mark that as ZBA-5.
01:39 12	a chance to speak with Mr. Simoff?	01:41 12	MS. TESTA: June 17th.
01:39 13	MR. SIMOFF: Yeah, I raised some of the	01:41 13	MR. COLLAZUOL: I believe if I can
01:39 14	comments with Eric.	01:41 14	interject, I think there was a revision to that date,
01:39 15	MR. ALAMPI: Right.	01:41 15	the 22nd.
01:39 16	So now Mr. Simoff issued a report on	01:41 16	MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, I saw it come in the
01:39 17 01:39 18	March 18th. Diane, I don't think I've been marking	01:41 17 01:41 18	two dates. MR. COLLAZUOL: There was a correction
01:39 10	the board's reports, have we?	01:41 18	on the 17th to the 22nd, it was one comment.
01:39 19	MS. TESTA: No, we have not. I think	01:41 19	MR. ALAMPI: The one I have says
01:39 20	this is the first.	01:41 20	June 17th but I saw it on my phone. Is there a
01:39 21	MR. ALAMPI: Well, we have two from	01:41 21	correction?
01:39 22	Steve Collazuol, one from Kauker and I think one	01:41 22	MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes.
01:39 23	report from you, Hal or two?	01:41 24	MR. ALAMPI: No, I'm sorry, June 17th I
01:39 25	MR. SIMOFF: Just one.	01:42 25	printed out, but I did not I think it was
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812

	105		107
01:42 1	superceded by the June 22nd. I'm going to Steve,	01:43 1	it. I'm sure you two will have another follow-up.
01:42 2	can you send that to me again?	01:43 2	Tell us what you did.
01:42 3	MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes.	01:43 3	A. Sure.
01:42 4	MR. ALAMPI: Because I probably lost	01:43 4	We talked about the ownership of the
01:42 5	it.	01:43 5	northern driveway in detail, he questioned that and
01:42 6	So there's a June 22nd and now that	01:43 6	we had responded to it.
01:42 7	reminds me why I didn't circulate it, because I was	01:43 7	Of course he questioned the spaces, the
01:42 8	reviewing it and I got confused as to which one, so	01:43 8	perpendicular spaces along Grand, we proposed
01:42 9	that's it.	01:43 9	eliminating them. Requested turning templates for
01:42 10	So that's going to be ZBA-5. And so I	01:43 10	deliveries and emergency equipment, which we
01:42 11	expect you will have two more comment reports from	01:44 11	provided.
01:42 12	your consultants.	01:44 12	Q. Explain that, turning templates are
13	(Whereupon, Report of Mr. Simoff, dated	01:44 13	what?
14	March 18th is received and marked as Exhibit	01:44 14	A. These are exhibits showing the expected
15	ZBA-1 for identification.)	01:44 15	path of trucks through the site.
16	(Whereupon, Report of Mr. Kauker, dated	01:44 16	Q. And did we show those exhibits?
17	March 18th is received and marked as Exhibit	01:44 17	A. We did.
18	ZBA-2 for identification.)	01:44 18	We don't have them here with us this
19	(Whereupon, Completeness Review, dated	01:44 19	evening, but we did provide those.
20	January 18th is received and marked as Exhibit	01:44 20	Q. You did or did not?
21	ZBA-3 for identification.)	01:44 21	A. We did, yes.
22	(Whereupon, Report of Mr. Collazuol,	01:44 22	Q. Okay. You provided them to Mr. Simoff?
23	dated March 15 is received and marked as	01:44 23	A. We did.
24	Exhibit ZBA-4 for identification.)	01:44 24	Q. I don't know if he got it.
25	(Whereupon, Report of Mr. Collazuol,	01:44 25	MR. SIMOFF: I got it.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	106		108
1	dated June 22 is received and marked as	01:44 1	MR. ALAMPI: Oh, you got the turning
2	Exhibit ZBA-5 for identification.)	01:44 2	template?
3		01:44 3	MR. SIMOFF: Yes.
4	BY MR. ALAMPI:	01:44 4	Talaa aalaad fan amaanaan ar aan immaant
01:42 5		-	I also asked for emergency equipment
	Q. With that, Dan, this is the comment	01:44 5	circulation, which I think because of the size of
01:42 6	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a	01:44 5 01:44 6	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency
01:42 7	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26.	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are
01:42 7 01:42 8	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter?	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes.	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in.
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoffhe's here, he's going to speak for himself.	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi?
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct.
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 13	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoffhe's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting.
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 13 01:43 14	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 13 01:43 14 01:43 15	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 13 01:43 14 01:43 15 01:43 16	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on this project.
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 13 01:43 14 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off.	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 13 01:43 14 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17 01:43 18	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off. So just tell us how you respond to	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17 01:45 18	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on this project. THE WITNESS: We can provide that certainly.
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 14 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17 01:43 18 01:43 19	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off. So just tell us how you respond to Mr. Simoff and I think he's just looking at it	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17 01:45 18 01:45 19	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on this project. THE WITNESS: We can provide that certainly. MR. SIMOFF: Because the turning
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 13 01:43 14 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17 01:43 18 01:43 19 01:43 20	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off. So just tell us how you respond to Mr. Simoff and I think he's just looking at it tonight?	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17 01:45 18 01:45 19 01:45 20	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 13 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17 01:43 18 01:43 19 01:43 20 01:43 21	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off. So just tell us how you respond to Mr. Simoff and I think he's just looking at it tonight? MR. ALAMPI: Right, Hal, for the first	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17 01:45 18 01:45 19 01:45 20 01:45 21	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on this project. THE WITNESS: We can provide that certainly. MR. SIMOFF: Because the turning templates that you have show a large truck at the northern driveway and a smaller truck at the southern
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 14 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17 01:43 18 01:43 19 01:43 20 01:43 21 01:43 22	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off. So just tell us how you respond to Mr. Simoff and I think he's just looking at it tonight? MR. ALAMPI: Right, Hal, for the first time?	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17 01:45 18 01:45 19 01:45 20 01:45 21 01:45 22	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on this project. THE WITNESS: We can provide that certainly. MR. SIMOFF: Because the turning templates that you have show a large truck at the northern driveway and a smaller truck at the southern driveway.
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 14 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17 01:43 18 01:43 19 01:43 20 01:43 21 01:43 22 01:43 23	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off. So just tell us how you respond to Mr. Simoff and I think he's just looking at it tonight? MR. ALAMPI: Right, Hal, for the first time? MR. SIMOFF: Yes.	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17 01:45 18 01:45 19 01:45 20 01:45 21 01:45 22 01:45 23	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on this project. THE WITNESS: We can provide that certainly. MR. SIMOFF: Because the turning templates that you have show a large truck at the northern driveway and a smaller truck at the southern driveway. THE WITNESS: Right.
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 13 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17 01:43 18 01:43 19 01:43 20 01:43 21 01:43 22 01:43 23 01:43 24	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off. So just tell us how you respond to Mr. Simoff and I think he's just looking at it tonight? MR. ALAMPI: Right, Hal, for the first time? MR. SIMOFF: Yes. BY MR. ALAMPI:	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17 01:45 18 01:45 19 01:45 20 01:45 21 01:45 22 01:45 23 01:45 24	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on this project. THE WITNESS: We can provide that certainly. MR. SIMOFF: Because the turning templates that you have show a large truck at the northern driveway and a smaller truck at the southern driveway. THE WITNESS: Right. The intent was to show parcel delivery
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 14 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17 01:43 18 01:43 19 01:43 20 01:43 21 01:43 22 01:43 23	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off. So just tell us how you respond to Mr. Simoff and I think he's just looking at it tonight? MR. ALAMPI: Right, Hal, for the first time? MR. SIMOFF: Yes. BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. So he's not prepared today to go into	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17 01:45 18 01:45 19 01:45 20 01:45 21 01:45 22 01:45 23	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on this project. THE WITNESS: We can provide that certainly. MR. SIMOFF: Because the turning templates that you have show a large truck at the northern driveway and a smaller truck at the southern driveway. THE WITNESS: Right. The intent was to show parcel delivery using the southern driveway and larger deliveries
01:42 7 01:42 8 01:42 9 01:42 10 01:43 11 01:43 12 01:43 13 01:43 15 01:43 16 01:43 17 01:43 18 01:43 19 01:43 20 01:43 21 01:43 22 01:43 23 01:43 24	letter of Mr. Simoff and I believe there was a response that we just marked as A-26. A. The June 16th letter? Q. Yes. Could you just comment on Mr. Simoff he's here, he's going to speak for himself. But, briefly, just tell us how you respond to his letter and if you did any other follow up, like you mentioned you did a traffic another traffic count in June because the one that you did in 2021, there was some concern with the pandemic it might be off. So just tell us how you respond to Mr. Simoff and I think he's just looking at it tonight? MR. ALAMPI: Right, Hal, for the first time? MR. SIMOFF: Yes. BY MR. ALAMPI:	01:44 5 01:44 6 01:44 7 01:44 8 01:44 9 01:44 10 01:45 11 01:45 12 01:45 13 01:45 14 01:45 15 01:45 16 01:45 17 01:45 18 01:45 19 01:45 20 01:45 21 01:45 22 01:45 23 01:45 24	circulation, which I think because of the size of this project it should be forwarded to the emergency services, ambulance and the fire so that we are comfortable that first CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're going to bring the fire department in. MR. ALAMPI: Is that Mr. Chiurazzi? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Chiurazzi, correct. You had a comment last meeting. MR. ALAMPI: I tried to communicate with him, but I couldn't. I wanted his comments on this project. THE WITNESS: We can provide that certainly. MR. SIMOFF: Because the turning templates that you have show a large truck at the northern driveway and a smaller truck at the southern driveway. THE WITNESS: Right. The intent was to show parcel delivery

	109		111
01:45 1	using the loading zone on the north side of the	01:47 1	page three of his report.
01:45 2	building.	01:47 2	A. Mr. Simoff is comparing
01:45 3	MR. SIMOFF: Okay.	01:47 3	Q. Just tell us what it means. I don't
01:45 4	I'll have more comments after I've	01:48 4	understand it.
01:45 5	reviewed them. Okay.	01:48 5	MR. SIMOFF: If I may?
01:45 6	BY MR. ALAMPI:	01:48 6	THE WITNESS: Please.
01:45 7	Q. With regard to, I think, the southern	01:48 7	MR. ALAMPI: Nobody understands it.
01:45 8	driveway, you responded to the which you just did	01:48 8	MR. SIMOFF: Attached to my review of
01:45 9	in your testimony as well, right?	01:48 9	March 18th, ZBA-1, there's a copy of a New Jersey
01:45 10	A. Correct.	01:48 10	Department of Transportation output for a traffic
01:45 11	Q. Okay.	01:48 11	count that they conducted in November of 2018.
01:45 12	With regard to the interior layout of	01:48 12	MR. ALAMPI: Right.
01:46 13	the garage, Hal raises some concern. I see you	01:48 13	MR. SIMOFF: And it shows higher
01:46 14	responded to that.	01:48 14	volumes in 2018 compared to the volumes of September
01:46 15	Could you just put that on the record	01:48 15	2021, so I brought that up.
01:46 16	how you responded?	01:48 16	It's the same location. It's the off
01:46 17	A. Sure.	01:48 17	ramp for the eastbound Grand Avenue exit.
01:46 18 01:46 19	Q. I guess the question was, there's some	01:48 18 01:48 19	MR. ALAMPI: It only took me 20 minutes to get up that ramp Sunday night and there was no
01:46 19	multiple dead-end parking aisles and how did you respond?	01:48 19	ball game.
01:46 20	A. Sure.	01:48 21	You're talking about eastbound coming
01:46 22	Well, Mr. Simoff pointed out that there	01:48 22	down the old Jingle Inn, right?
01:46 23	are multiple dead-end parking aisles, which he	01:48 23	MR. SIMOFF: Right.
01:46 24	expressed some concern about how they may operate.	01:49 24	So that was one of my review comments
01:46 25	We pointed out that the length of these	01:49 25	was the report in their report it says Level of
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.	00 _0	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	110		112
	110		112
01:46 1	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A	01:49 1	Service D and I question how can it be Level of
01:46 1 01:46 2	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to	01:49 1 01:49 2	
01:46 2 01:46 3	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or	01:49 2 01:49 3	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound.
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not,	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn?
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle.	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate.
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7 01:46 8	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS,	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right.
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7 01:46 8 01:46 9	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming?	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7 01:46 8 01:46 9 01:46 10	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right.	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7 01:46 8 01:46 9 01:46 10 01:47 11	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS?	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7 01:46 8 01:46 9 01:46 10 01:47 11 01:47 12	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right,
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7 01:46 8 01:46 9 01:46 10 01:47 11 01:47 12 01:47 13	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards,	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile.
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7 01:46 8 01:46 9 01:46 10 01:47 11 01:47 12	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right,
01:46 2 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7 01:46 8 01:46 9 01:46 10 01:47 11 01:47 12 01:47 13 01:47 14	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end.	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that
01:46	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took
01:46	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction for municipal dwellings?	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14 01:50 15	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took the supplemental counts and found that they were
01:46	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction for municipal dwellings? A. Residential construction?	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14 01:50 16 01:50 17	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took the supplemental counts and found that they were substantially similar.
01:46	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction for municipal dwellings? A. Residential construction? Q. Yes.	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14 01:50 15 01:50 16 01:50 18	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took the supplemental counts and found that they were substantially similar. MR. SIMOFF: But it could be that it's
01:46	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction for municipal dwellings? A. Residential construction? Q. Yes. A. So it's my opinion they would, yeah.	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14 01:50 15 01:50 16 01:50 17 01:50 18 01:50 19	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took the supplemental counts and found that they were substantially similar. MR. SIMOFF: But it could be that it's at the maximum and that's why it backs up onto
01:46	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction for municipal dwellings? A. Residential construction? Q. Yes. A. So it's my opinion they would, yeah. Q. I think one critique was that the	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14 01:50 15 01:50 16 01:50 17 01:50 18 01:50 20	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took the supplemental counts and found that they were substantially similar. MR. SIMOFF: But it could be that it's at the maximum and that's why it backs up onto Route 46.
01:46	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction for municipal dwellings? A. Residential construction? Q. Yes. A. So it's my opinion they would, yeah. Q. I think one critique was that the traffic evaluation were compared to the NJDOT	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14 01:50 15 01:50 16 01:50 17 01:50 18 01:50 20 01:50 21	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took the supplemental counts and found that they were substantially similar. MR. SIMOFF: But it could be that it's at the maximum and that's why it backs up onto Route 46. The gridlock just doesn't allow more
01:46	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction for municipal dwellings? A. Residential construction? Q. Yes. A. So it's my opinion they would, yeah. Q. I think one critique was that the traffic evaluation were compared to the NJDOT counting station, but they have the DOT has not	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14 01:50 15 01:50 16 01:50 17 01:50 18 01:50 20 01:50 21 01:50 23 01:50 24	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took the supplemental counts and found that they were substantially similar. MR. SIMOFF: But it could be that it's at the maximum and that's why it backs up onto Route 46. The gridlock just doesn't allow more cars to go through the intersection. That could be
01:46 2 01:46 3 01:46 4 01:46 5 01:46 6 01:46 7 01:46 8 01:46 10 01:47 11 01:47 12 01:47 13 01:47 15 01:47 16 01:47 17 01:47 18 01:47 19 01:47 20 01:47 21 01:47 22 01:47 23	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction for municipal dwellings? A. Residential construction? Q. Yes. A. So it's my opinion they would, yeah. Q. I think one critique was that the traffic evaluation were compared to the NJDOT counting station, but they have the DOT has not published the count conducted November 18th. I'm not understanding that comment. Could you explain it to us? It's at the bottom of	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14 01:50 15 01:50 16 01:50 17 01:50 18 01:50 20 01:50 21 01:50 23	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took the supplemental counts and found that they were substantially similar. MR. SIMOFF: But it could be that it's at the maximum and that's why it backs up onto Route 46. The gridlock just doesn't allow more cars to go through the intersection. That could be one of the reasons why the counts are what they are. THE WITNESS: That is a fair point. Certainly, we acknowledge this, that this movement is
01:46	aisles is no greater than seven spaces deep. A driver should be able to see well enough within it to determine that there's a parking spot available or not and even if not and they go down and there's not, it is not a long distance if they have to back out of the access aisle. Q. And your response was that because of the length for multifamily access courts under RSIS, these would be conforming? A. Right. Q. They would not be in violation of RSIS? A. If they were multifamily access courts under the Residential Site Improvement Standards, they would not require a turnaround at the end. Q. Do the RSIS control new construction for municipal dwellings? A. Residential construction? Q. Yes. A. So it's my opinion they would, yeah. Q. I think one critique was that the traffic evaluation were compared to the NJDOT counting station, but they have the DOT has not published the count conducted November 18th. I'm not understanding that comment.	01:49 2 01:49 3 01:49 4 01:49 5 01:49 6 01:49 7 01:49 8 01:49 9 01:49 10 01:49 11 01:49 12 01:49 13 01:50 14 01:50 15 01:50 16 01:50 17 01:50 18 01:50 20 01:50 21 01:50 23 01:50 24	Service D and I question how can it be Level of Service D when every day you go by and you see it all backed up onto Route 46 eastbound. MR. ALAMPI: Did you see that ship that's in the parking lot at the Hampton Inn? MR. SIMOFF: So I questioned what you see and what you calculate. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So their response was that there was a traffic count in June and their table. I think you're asking us to take another look, because it just doesn't seem what you see every day, right, it doesn't seem to reconcile. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand that and like I said, in response to this comment, we took the supplemental counts and found that they were substantially similar. MR. SIMOFF: But it could be that it's at the maximum and that's why it backs up onto Route 46. The gridlock just doesn't allow more cars to go through the intersection. That could be one of the reasons why the counts are what they are. THE WITNESS: That is a fair point.

		113			115
01:50	1	a problem today.	02:01	1	for you.
01:50	_	There's a there is a force off loop	02:01	2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right. You
01:50	3	for that ramp that's provided. If the traffic backs	02:01	3	have anything else, Counsel?
01:50	4	up along that ramp, it's supposed to actually a	02:01	4	MR. ALAMPI: I completed the direct
01:50	5	loop that that could be a goal to then provide	02:01	5	testimony for the traffic engineer, so it's open for
01:50	6	more time to push traffic off the ramp on Grand.	02:01	6	questions.
01:50	7	Grand Avenue is already, you know, is	02:01	7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So we'll open it
01:50	8	gridlock, so we acknowledge that the traffic is	02:01	8	for guestions now?
01:50	9	there are existing traffic problems out there.	02:01	9	MR. ALAMPI: Yes.
01:50	10	MR. SIMOFF: But when you have a force	02:01	10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, do you want to
01:51	11	off loop, it stops the traffic on Grand Avenue.	02:01	11	reserve your comments to
01:51	12	If the DOT doesn't want the traffic to	02:01	12	MR. SIMOFF: On the break I brought up
01:51	13	back up on Route 46, which it obviously does, so they	02:01	13	some questions, he has to correct the sight distance
01:51	14	put in what's called a four soft loop, so when it	02:01	14	lines, because they were they have to be cleared
01:51	15	gets to a certain point at the top of the ramp, it	02:01	15	up.
01:51	16	turns the traffic light going through the ramp to	02:01	16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Are you
01:51	17	green and stops the north and southbound on Grand	02:01	17	going to furnish an answer to his questions?
01:51	18	Avenue and then what happens is that kicks off more	02:01	18	MR. SIMOFF: Yes.
01:51	19	higher backup on Grand Avenue going north and south.	02:01	19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You are? That will
01:51	20	So it's it's a problem. The board should be aware	02:01	20	be next meeting?
01:51	21	of those issues in their deliberations.	02:01	21	MR. SIMOFF: Correct.
01:51	22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. If it's a	02:01	22	MR. ALAMPI: And we'll respond to him
01:51	23	good time, I'd like to take a five-minute break for	02:01	23	as well. We'll get the transcript so that we don't
01:51	24	our reporter, because somewhere you know, 9:20,	02:02	24	miss any points, but Mr. Simoff brought up three or
01:51	25	then we'll go another 40 minutes or so and then we're	02:02	25	four items that we can in the next week, we can
		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.			LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
		201-641-1812			201-641-1812
		114			116
01:52					
	1	going to call it a night. So we'll take a	02:02	1	respond to those questions that he raised.
01:52	2	five-minute break now.	02:02 02:02	1 2	respond to those questions that he raised. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond
01:52 01:52	2	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.)		2 3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between
01:52 01:52	2 3 4	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll	02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do
01:52 01:52 02:00	2 3 4 5	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that.
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00	2 3 4 5	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00	2 3 4 5 6 7	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.)	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful.
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here.	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments?
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here.	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here.	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first?
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here.	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here.	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you.
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you. MR. SIMOFF: All right.
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here.	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you.
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Can I get a	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you. MR. SIMOFF: All right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right, good.
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here.	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you. MR. SIMOFF: All right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right, good. So you have no more comments tonight, Hal, correct?
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Can I get a roll call for attendance, please?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you. MR. SIMOFF: All right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right, good. So you have no more comments tonight, Hal, correct? MR. SIMOFF: Correct.
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 02:01 02:01 02:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Can I get a roll call for attendance, please? MS. LAMBRINIDES: We did.	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you. MR. SIMOFF: All right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right, good. So you have no more comments tonight, Hal, correct? MR. SIMOFF: Correct. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, if you want to
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 02:01 02:01 02:01 02:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Can I get a roll call for attendance, please? MS. LAMBRINIDES: We did. MR. CARNOVALE: We did.	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you. MR. SIMOFF: All right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right, good. So you have no more comments tonight, Hal, correct? MR. SIMOFF: Correct. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, if you want to hit the road, I know you got a trip. If you want to
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 02:01 02:01 02:01 02:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Can I get a roll call for attendance, please? MS. LAMBRINIDES: We did. MR. CARNOVALE: We did. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I voted?	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you. MR. SIMOFF: All right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right, good. So you have no more comments tonight, Hal, correct? MR. SIMOFF: Correct. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, if you want to hit the road, I know you got a trip. If you want to stay, it's fine.
01:52 01:52 02:00 02:00 02:00 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 00:-14 02:01 02:01 02:01 02:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	five-minute break now. (Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Can I get a roll call for attendance? MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson? (No response.) MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Albanese? VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Terranova? MR. TERRANOVA: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Ms. Yoon? MS. YOON: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Elefteriou? MR. ELEFTERIOU: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Carnovale? MR. CARNOVALE: Here. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Brogna? MR. BROGNA: Here. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Can I get a roll call for attendance, please? MS. LAMBRINIDES: We did. MR. CARNOVALE: We did. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I voted? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You did, I said yes	02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02 02:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: If you can respond between MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we will, we'll do that. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Save some time at the meeting, that would be helpful. MR. SIMOFF: Let's put a date so that I don't send mine in before they send theirs. When do you expect to have your additional comments? MR. ALAMPI: Well, we don't have your comments in writing. So you have this MR. SIMOFF: I'm first? MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, you have this letter. I think maybe you want to review it and when you send us a follow-up comment, we'll respond to you. MR. SIMOFF: All right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right, good. So you have no more comments tonight, Hal, correct? MR. SIMOFF: Correct. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Hal, if you want to hit the road, I know you got a trip. If you want to stay, it's fine. MR. SIMOFF: I'll stay a little while

	117		119
02:03 1	longer.	02:05 1	I think you've talked about that, but
02:03 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. So we're	02:05 2	my big thing is I think your numbers where you're
02:03 3	going to open it up to the board members and to the	02:05 3	eliminating a lot of that traffic is false and like I
02:03 4	public.	02:05 4	said, I don't have my own traffic department to back
02:03 5	MR. CARNOVALE: I have some questions,	02:05 5	it up. I don't know if our traffic expert can come
02:03 6	Mr. Chairman.	02:05 6	up with something like that and the traffic count,
02:03 7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away	02:05 7	the debate on the traffic count, unfortunately, a lot
02:03 8	there, Vinny.	02:05 8	of people are still working from their house.
02:03 9	MR. CARNOVALE: I don't know the guys	02:05 9	So the traffic count that you guys took
02:03 10	name, but Mr. Traffic Expert, being a 60-year	02:05 10	is probably it's probably accurate, but when
02:03 11	resident of Palisades Park, you talk about courtesy,	02:05 11	things get rolling again, you're probably going to
02:03 12	nobody's going to give a courtesy to pull in and out	02:05 12	see a lot more traffic and I've been on Grand Avenue
02:03 13	of that project as it is and what did you say that	02:05 13	at 6, 7, 8 in the morning.
02:03 14	you're going to generate 44 44 traffic whatever	02:05 14	Most of the problem is going
02:03 15	out of the 122 units? Was I correct, was it 44 or	02:05 15	southbound, which affects this project here.
02:03 16	54?	02:05 16	So my one thing to you, do you have any
02:03 17	THE WITNESS: Yes, 44 morning peak hour	02:06 17	analytical data, how do you come up with 44 traffic
02:03 18	trips.	02:06 18	things out of 122 units.
02:03 19	MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. And you say your	02:06 19	THE WITNESS: It's based on similar
02:03 20	morning peak you're picking 8 to 9?	02:06 20	multifamily developments.
02:03 21	THE WITNESS: That's right.	02:06 21	MR. CARNOVALE: But when you say
02:03 22	MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. I don't have my	02:06 22	"multifamily," multifamily, I don't consider 122
02:03 23	own traffic analysis group like in my basement, but I	02:06 23	units multifamily, maybe in a legal legalese you
02:03 24	can tell you from personal experience, the traffic	02:06 24	do, but it's not practical.
02:03 25	pattern probably starts, I would say, easily at 7:00	02:06 25	THE WITNESS: Based on other mid-rise
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	440		
02:02 1	118	00:00 1	120
02:03 1	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is	02:06 1	apartment buildings.
02:04 2	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very	02:06 2	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but
02:04 2 02:04 3	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down	02:06 2 02:06 3	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City.	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you,
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light.	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong.
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 11	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry.	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 11 02:04 12	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 11 02:04 12 02:04 13	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips.	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide.
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 11 02:04 12 02:04 13 02:04 14	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay.	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 14	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve?
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 11 02:04 12 02:04 13 02:04 14 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 14 02:07 15	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 11 02:04 12 02:04 13 02:04 14 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17 02:04 18	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you couldn't get in and out of that place in 223 trips. Most of that stuff is going to start at 7:30 to 1 or 2 in the morning, which probably wouldn't you	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 14 02:07 15 02:07 16 02:07 17 02:07 18	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is two-way traffic is 24-feet wide. MR. CARNOVALE: Right, which would mean that it's 12. So you said this driveway is going to
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 11 02:04 12 02:04 13 02:04 14 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17 02:04 18 02:04 19	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you couldn't get in and out of that place in 223 trips. Most of that stuff is going to start at 7:30 to 1 or 2 in the morning, which probably wouldn't you know, the fact that you say you're taking away all of	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 14 02:07 15 02:07 16 02:07 17 02:07 18 02:07 19	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is two-way traffic is 24-feet wide. MR. CARNOVALE: Right, which would mean that it's 12. So you said this driveway is going to be 10 and 10, right?
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 11 02:04 12 02:04 13 02:04 14 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17 02:04 18 02:04 19 02:04 20	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you couldn't get in and out of that place in 223 trips. Most of that stuff is going to start at 7:30 to 1 or 2 in the morning, which probably wouldn't you know, the fact that you say you're taking away all of those traffic ins and outs, I don't want to call you	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 14 02:07 15 02:07 16 02:07 17 02:07 18 02:07 19 02:07 20	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is two-way traffic is 24-feet wide. MR. CARNOVALE: Right, which would mean that it's 12. So you said this driveway is going to be 10 and 10, right? THE WITNESS: That's right.
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 4 02:04 5 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 11 02:04 12 02:04 13 02:04 14 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17 02:04 18 02:04 19 02:04 20 02:04 21	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you couldn't get in and out of that place in 223 trips. Most of that stuff is going to start at 7:30 to 1 or 2 in the morning, which probably wouldn't you know, the fact that you say you're taking away all of those traffic ins and outs, I don't want to call you a liar, I don't want to call you a liar, but I think	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 15 02:07 16 02:07 17 02:07 18 02:07 19 02:07 20 02:07 21	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is two-way traffic is 24-feet wide. MR. CARNOVALE: Right, which would mean that it's 12. So you said this driveway is going to be 10 and 10, right? THE WITNESS: That's right. MR. CARNOVALE: Is a variance required
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 6 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 10 02:04 11 02:04 12 02:04 13 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17 02:04 18 02:04 20 02:04 21 02:05 22	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you couldn't get in and out of that place in 223 trips. Most of that stuff is going to start at 7:30 to 1 or 2 in the morning, which probably wouldn't you know, the fact that you say you're taking away all of those traffic ins and outs, I don't want to call you a liar, I don't want to call you a liar, but I think you're grossly wrong on that and the fact that you're	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 15 02:07 16 02:07 17 02:07 18 02:07 19 02:07 20 02:07 21	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is two-way traffic is 24-feet wide. MR. CARNOVALE: Right, which would mean that it's 12. So you said this driveway is going to be 10 and 10, right? THE WITNESS: That's right. MR. CARNOVALE: Is a variance required for that?
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 12 02:04 12 02:04 14 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17 02:04 18 02:04 20 02:04 21 02:05 22 02:05 23	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you couldn't get in and out of that place in 223 trips. Most of that stuff is going to start at 7:30 to 1 or 2 in the morning, which probably wouldn't you know, the fact that you say you're taking away all of those traffic ins and outs, I don't want to call you a liar, I don't want to call you a liar, but I think you're grossly wrong on that and the fact that you're going to the attorney there said that they're	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 15 02:07 16 02:07 17 02:07 18 02:07 19 02:07 20 02:07 21 02:07 22	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is two-way traffic is 24-feet wide. MR. CARNOVALE: Right, which would mean that it's 12. So you said this driveway is going to be 10 and 10, right? THE WITNESS: That's right. MR. CARNOVALE: Is a variance required for that? THE WITNESS: A variance, I'm not sure
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 11 02:04 12 02:04 13 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17 02:04 18 02:04 20 02:04 21 02:05 23 02:05 24	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you couldn't get in and out of that place in 223 trips. Most of that stuff is going to start at 7:30 to 1 or 2 in the morning, which probably wouldn't you know, the fact that you say you're taking away all of those traffic ins and outs, I don't want to call you a liar, I don't want to call you a liar, but I think you're grossly wrong on that and the fact that you're going to the attorney there said that they're debating whether they're going to stick a restaurant	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 15 02:07 16 02:07 17 02:07 18 02:07 19 02:07 20 02:07 21 02:07 23 02:07 24	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is two-way traffic is 24-feet wide. MR. CARNOVALE: Right, which would mean that it's 12. So you said this driveway is going to be 10 and 10, right? THE WITNESS: That's right. MR. CARNOVALE: Is a variance required for that? THE WITNESS: A variance, I'm not sure if relief is required.
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 12 02:04 12 02:04 14 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17 02:04 18 02:04 20 02:04 21 02:05 22 02:05 23	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you couldn't get in and out of that place in 223 trips. Most of that stuff is going to start at 7:30 to 1 or 2 in the morning, which probably wouldn't you know, the fact that you say you're taking away all of those traffic ins and outs, I don't want to call you a liar, I don't want to call you a liar, but I think you're grossly wrong on that and the fact that you're going to the attorney there said that they're debating whether they're going to stick a restaurant back in there that will add to some of that.	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 15 02:07 16 02:07 17 02:07 18 02:07 19 02:07 20 02:07 21 02:07 22	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is two-way traffic is 24-feet wide. MR. CARNOVALE: Right, which would mean that it's 12. So you said this driveway is going to be 10 and 10, right? THE WITNESS: That's right. MR. CARNOVALE: Is a variance required for that? THE WITNESS: A variance, I'm not sure if relief is required. MR. CARNOVALE: I don't know, I just
02:04 2 02:04 3 02:04 6 02:04 7 02:04 8 02:04 9 02:04 10 02:04 12 02:04 13 02:04 15 02:04 16 02:04 17 02:04 18 02:04 20 02:04 21 02:05 22 02:05 24	and as you know the southbound Grand Avenue, which is more of the mess than the northbound, it's very industrial and that will take you all the way down past Jersey City. So the traffic pattern there probably starts a little earlier and I have no analytical data to debate your 44 traffic coming out of 122 units. I think that's very, very light. Also, you eliminated how many traffic things because of the restaurant, 269, you said? I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I mentioned 223 afternoon peak hour trips. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. That bar/restaurant, whatever, you couldn't get in and out of that place in 223 trips. Most of that stuff is going to start at 7:30 to 1 or 2 in the morning, which probably wouldn't you know, the fact that you say you're taking away all of those traffic ins and outs, I don't want to call you a liar, I don't want to call you a liar, but I think you're grossly wrong on that and the fact that you're going to the attorney there said that they're debating whether they're going to stick a restaurant	02:06 2 02:06 3 02:06 4 02:06 5 02:06 6 02:06 7 02:06 8 02:06 9 02:06 10 02:07 11 02:07 12 02:07 13 02:07 15 02:07 16 02:07 17 02:07 18 02:07 19 02:07 20 02:07 21 02:07 23 02:07 24	apartment buildings. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Mid-rise, but not exactly in that location, which you can't do because the building is not there and you can't count things that are not there, but my stipulation to you, like I said, I don't mean to disrespect, but I think wherever you got your data from, it's overexaggerating how much traffic you're taking away and you're saying that you're only the end result is whatever, I think those numbers are grossly wrong. And one thing for Mr. Collazuol, the width of the driveway, I thought that we would have to have a driveway that was 24-foot wide. Is that right, Steve? MR. COLLAZUOL: Typical driveway is two-way traffic is 24-feet wide. MR. CARNOVALE: Right, which would mean that it's 12. So you said this driveway is going to be 10 and 10, right? THE WITNESS: That's right. MR. CARNOVALE: Is a variance required for that? THE WITNESS: A variance, I'm not sure if relief is required.

	121		123
02:07 1	sit on the board.	02:10 1	that, right?
02:07 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, he asked you	02:10 1	MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah.
02:07 2	a question. The question is: What's the width of	02:10 2	MR. ALAMPI: So we already have that
02:07 4	your side of the thing, is it is 20 feet, is it	02:10 4	information that we needed to review internally with
02:07 5	22 feet?	02:10 5	our architects and engineers and it was not an
02:07 6	MR. CARNOVALE: It's 10 and 10.	02:10 6	exhibit for here. I think I can dig it out with the
02:07 7	It's 20 feet, so right there it's	02:10 7	doctor's help and review it.
02:07 8	under.	02:10 7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, just so we
02:07 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So you have 20 feet	02:10 9	know what we're talking about
02:07 10	and you need 24.	02:10 10	MR. ALAMPI: I think it might be
02:07 11	MR. CARNOVALE: Steve, we need 24?	02:10 11	helpful to document it.
02:07 12	MR. COLLAZUOL: I think this would fall	02:10 12	So we went through that, we went
02:07 13	under the RSIS standards and Hal is going to check	02:10 13	through that work and expense. I'm trying to think
02:08 14	that. It's a one way, so it may not have to be, but	02:10 14	for what reason. It might have been for financing
02:08 15	we can check that.	02:10 15	with the property, et cetera, but we do have that
02:08 16	MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. And the other	02:10 16	study and I know specifically it's not part of our
02:08 17	thing is, I know we're going to get the fire guy in	02:10 17	package for the planning board, but you're requesting
02:08 18	here. With the traffic templates, turning templates,	02:10 18	it, we can do that for you.
02:08 19	there's probably a higher probability putting 122	02:10 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
02:08 20	units in there that God forbid there will be some	02:10 20	Now, the other thing, maybe I was
02:08 21	sort of fire or emergency that maybe our hook and	02:10 21	taking a nap up here, but did I hear any testimony
02:08 22	ladder is going to have to get in there and with the	02:11 22	about the number of parking that your building is
02:08 23	fact that the traffic from a practical standpoint	02:11 23	going to provide, how many you need, what you're
02:08 24	I've been there backs up in the southbound lane, it's	02:11 24	going to provide.
02:08 25	going to be pretty hard to get a fire truck in there,	02:11 25	THE WITNESS: I have a summary.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	122		124
			.21
02:08 1	possibly an ambulance, a police car could probably	02:11 1	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well
02:08 1 02:08 2	possibly an ambulance, a police car could probably get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about	02:11 1 02:11 2	
_		_	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well
02:08 2	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about	02:11 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in
02:08 2 02:08 3	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department.	02:11 2 02:11 3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report.
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now.
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces.
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got.	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance.
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks.	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me.	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14 02:11 15 02:11 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16 02:09 17	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14 02:11 15 02:11 16 02:11 17	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16 02:09 18	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the breakdown of the old building, how many people, you	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14 02:11 15 02:11 16 02:11 17 02:11 18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative and these developments actually generate a parking
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16 02:09 17 02:09 18 02:09 19	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the breakdown of the old building, how many people, you know, in the old building and, you know, this way we	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14 02:11 15 02:11 16 02:11 17 02:11 18 02:11 19	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative and these developments actually generate a parking demand of more like one-and-a-half to 1.7 spaces per
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 17 02:09 18 02:09 19 02:09 20	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the breakdown of the old building, how many people, you know, in the old building and, you know, this way we have a breakdown of, you know, your parking and	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14 02:11 15 02:11 16 02:11 17 02:11 18 02:11 19 02:11 20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative and these developments actually generate a parking demand of more like one-and-a-half to 1.7 spaces per unit, rather than the higher RSIS requirements.
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16 02:09 17 02:09 18 02:09 19 02:09 20 02:09 21	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the breakdown of the old building, how many people, you know, in the old building and, you know, this way we have a breakdown of, you know, your parking and MR. ALAMPI: I think we did, Chairman.	02:11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative and these developments actually generate a parking demand of more like one-and-a-half to 1.7 spaces per unit, rather than the higher RSIS requirements. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: There's a formula,
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16 02:09 17 02:09 18 02:09 19 02:09 20 02:09 21	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the breakdown of the old building, how many people, you know, in the old building and, you know, this way we have a breakdown of, you know, your parking and MR. ALAMPI: I think we did, Chairman. I think we did work on not only the square footage,	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14 02:11 15 02:11 16 02:11 17 02:11 18 02:11 19 02:11 20 02:12 21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative and these developments actually generate a parking demand of more like one-and-a-half to 1.7 spaces per unit, rather than the higher RSIS requirements. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: There's a formula, correct, a requirement if it's a two-family, a
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16 02:09 17 02:09 18 02:09 19 02:09 20 02:09 21 02:09 22	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the breakdown of the old building, how many people, you know, in the old building and, you know, this way we have a breakdown of, you know, your parking and MR. ALAMPI: I think we did, Chairman. I think we did work on not only the square footage, but the occupancy and my client commissioned a study	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14 02:11 15 02:11 16 02:11 17 02:11 18 02:11 19 02:11 20 02:12 21 02:12 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative and these developments actually generate a parking demand of more like one-and-a-half to 1.7 spaces per unit, rather than the higher RSIS requirements. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: There's a formula, correct, a requirement if it's a two-family, a two-bedroom or
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16 02:09 17 02:09 18 02:09 19 02:09 20 02:09 21 02:09 23 02:09 24	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the breakdown of the old building, how many people, you know, in the old building and, you know, this way we have a breakdown of, you know, your parking and MR. ALAMPI: I think we did, Chairman. I think we did work on not only the square footage, but the occupancy and my client commissioned a study of the existing building. I'm not sure if that was	02:11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative and these developments actually generate a parking demand of more like one-and-a-half to 1.7 spaces per unit, rather than the higher RSIS requirements. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: There's a formula, correct, a requirement if it's a two-family, a two-bedroom or MR. ALAMPI: Right.
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16 02:09 17 02:09 18 02:09 19 02:09 20 02:09 21 02:09 22	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the breakdown of the old building, how many people, you know, in the old building and, you know, this way we have a breakdown of, you know, your parking and MR. ALAMPI: I think we did, Chairman. I think we did work on not only the square footage, but the occupancy and my client commissioned a study of the existing building. I'm not sure if that was part of our public application but we did do all of	02:11 2 02:11 3 02:11 4 02:11 5 02:11 6 02:11 7 02:11 8 02:11 9 02:11 10 02:11 11 02:11 12 02:11 13 02:11 14 02:11 15 02:11 16 02:11 17 02:11 18 02:11 19 02:11 20 02:12 21 02:12 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative and these developments actually generate a parking demand of more like one-and-a-half to 1.7 spaces per unit, rather than the higher RSIS requirements. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: There's a formula, correct, a requirement if it's a two-family, a two-bedroom or MR. ALAMPI: Right. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right? So you have
02:08 2 02:08 3 02:08 4 02:08 5 02:08 6 02:08 7 02:08 8 02:08 9 02:09 10 02:09 11 02:09 12 02:09 13 02:09 14 02:09 15 02:09 16 02:09 17 02:09 18 02:09 19 02:09 20 02:09 21 02:09 23 02:09 24	get in riding on the sidewalk. I'm concerned about the fire the fire department. MR. COLLAZUOL: Well, I would refer back to architect also, Vinny, because the building might be sprinklered. I'm uncertain if that was discussed before. MR. CARNOVALE: Okay. Well, my big thing is the increased traffic and like I said, no disrespect, sir, taking away those 200-and-some-odd between 5 and 6, it's impossible to get into a restaurant and out in an hour and you're going to eliminate 220 trips, that's all I got. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Thanks. So just a couple of things from me. Maybe it would be helpful if you can give us the breakdown of the old building, how many people, you know, in the old building and, you know, this way we have a breakdown of, you know, your parking and MR. ALAMPI: I think we did, Chairman. I think we did work on not only the square footage, but the occupancy and my client commissioned a study of the existing building. I'm not sure if that was	02:11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. Well MR. ALAMPI: But I think that was in the report. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I understand, but I'd like to hear it now. MR. ALAMPI: Okay. THE WITNESS: Certainly. So the proposed development provided 306 parking spaces total, 262 in the structure and 44 surface spaces. So it's 306 proposed, 296 are required based on the mix of uses and the credit for electric vehicle charging stations. So the site complies with the parking ordinance. And for the board's edification, it's our experience based on previous developments of this type that RSIS requirements are somewhat conservative and these developments actually generate a parking demand of more like one-and-a-half to 1.7 spaces per unit, rather than the higher RSIS requirements. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: There's a formula, correct, a requirement if it's a two-family, a two-bedroom or MR. ALAMPI: Right.

	125		127
02:12 1	that data.	02:14 1	make-ready spaces.
02:12 2	THE WITNESS: We calculated our the	02:14 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So there's 32
02:12 3	requirement.	02:14 3	parking spaces that you're getting credit for, but
02:12 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Now, what's this	02:14 4	you really only have 16?
02:12 5	about 15 percent because of charging	02:14 5	THE WITNESS: The requirement is
02:12 6	THE WITNESS: There is a credit for	02:14 6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, no, I
02:12 7	electric vehicle parking spaces.	02:14 7	understand, but again, I'm saying, in other words,
02:12 8	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, and who gave	02:14 8	you got you got 16, right, that you can count, but
02:12 9	you the credit and so they're giving you a credit	02:14 9	you're getting credit for 32, but it's not your fault
02:12 10	because you're putting charging	02:14 10	because the State of New Jersey
02:12 11	MR. ALAMPI: The State of New Jersey	02:14 11	MR. ALAMPI: It's mandated.
02:12 12	passed a statute that supercedes municipal	02:14 12	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: It's mandated.
02:12 13	ordinances.	02:15 13	MR. ALAMPI: If we didn't want to do
02:12 14	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	02:15 14	it, we have to do it, that's the promoting electric
02:12 15	MR. ALAMPI: It mandates, we don't even	02:15 15	vehicles and battery vehicles and
02:12 16	have a choice. We're obligated to put in electrical	02:15 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. No, I get
02:12 17	charging stations and there's a formula up to	02:15 17	it.
02:12 18	15 percent of the required parking have to be what	02:15 18	Now, the other thing is, going in into
02:12 19	they call EV, electric vehicle stations.	02:15 19	the southern driveway, it goes up to the second
02:12 20	That doesn't mean that an electrical	02:15 20	level. I think I asked this question. What's the
02:12 21	vehicle parks there all the time. It's just that the	02:15 21	height of that opening? In other words, a car could
02:13 22	charging station is in that stall and it could be	02:15 22	make it obviously. Can a fire truck make it in there
02:13 23	used a couple hours a day, it could be three hours,	02:15 23	or they can't?
02:13 24	five hours, whatever, on and off, but when you do	02:15 24	THE WITNESS: Unfortunately I don't
02:13 25	bring in the electrical stations for these parking	02:15 25	know the clearance.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
00.40	126		128 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: You know what I'm
02:13 1	spaces and they require you to do it, it's not your	02:15 1	
00:40	chaine and very set and it of the modifies and one for		
02:13 2	choice and you get credit of two parking spaces for	02:15 2	talking about?
02:13 3	every one.	02:15 2 02:15 3	talking about? THE WITNESS: The vertical.
02:13 3 02:13 4	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4	talking about? THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical.
02:13 3 02:13 4 02:13 5	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5	talking about? THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that
02:13 3 02:13 4 02:13 5 02:13 6	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6	talking about? THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.
02:13 3 02:13 4 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 7	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7	talking about? THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend
02:13 3 02:13 4 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 7 02:13 8	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8	talking about? THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.
02:13 3 02:13 4 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 7 02:13 8 02:13 9	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow.	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9	talking about? THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get
02:13 3 02:13 4 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 7 02:13 8	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping
02:13 3 02:13 4 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 7 02:13 8 02:13 9 02:13 10	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went
02:13 3 02:13 4 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 7 02:13 8 02:13 9 02:13 10 02:13 11	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the
02:13	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just
02:13	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question
02:13	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13 02:15 14	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
02:13	every one. So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13 02:15 14 02:16 15	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up.
02:13	So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been calculated. When we say we have 306 spaces, that's	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13 02:15 14 02:16 15 02:16 16	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, because the
02:13	So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been calculated. When we say we have 306 spaces, that's including the utilization of that statute for the EV	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13 02:15 14 02:16 15 02:16 16 02:16 17	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, because the transcripts are big.
02:13 3 02:13 4 02:13 5 02:13 7 02:13 8 02:13 10 02:13 11 02:13 12 02:13 13 02:14 14 02:14 15 02:14 16 02:14 17 02:14 18	So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been calculated. When we say we have 306 spaces, that's including the utilization of that statute for the EV stations, right, Dan?	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13 02:15 14 02:16 15 02:16 16 02:16 17 02:16 18	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, because the transcripts are big. MR. ALAMPI: Well, yeah, we know, for
02:13 3 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 7 02:13 9 02:13 10 02:13 12 02:13 12 02:14 14 02:14 15 02:14 16 02:14 17 02:14 18 02:14 19	So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been calculated. When we say we have 306 spaces, that's including the utilization of that statute for the EV stations, right, Dan? A. That's right. We have 306 are proposed	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13 02:15 14 02:16 15 02:16 16 02:16 17 02:16 18 02:16 19	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, because the transcripts are big. MR. ALAMPI: Well, yeah, we know, for example, that you have to have a certain clearance on
02:13	So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been calculated. When we say we have 306 spaces, that's including the utilization of that statute for the EV stations, right, Dan? A. That's right. We have 306 are proposed and those include the electric vehicle spaces. BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. How many electric vehicle spaces do we	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13 02:15 14 02:16 15 02:16 16 02:16 17 02:16 18 02:16 19 02:16 20 02:16 21	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, because the transcripts are big. MR. ALAMPI: Well, yeah, we know, for example, that you have to have a certain clearance on each level and on the ramps and they have to abide by
02:13 3 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 7 02:13 9 02:13 10 02:13 12 02:13 13 02:14 14 02:14 15 02:14 16 02:14 17 02:14 19 02:14 21 02:14 21 02:14 22 02:14 23	So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been calculated. When we say we have 306 spaces, that's including the utilization of that statute for the EV stations, right, Dan? A. That's right. We have 306 are proposed and those include the electric vehicle spaces. BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. How many electric vehicle spaces do we have, if you know?	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13 02:15 14 02:16 15 02:16 16 02:16 17 02:16 18 02:16 20 02:16 21 02:16 22 02:16 23	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, because the transcripts are big. MR. ALAMPI: Well, yeah, we know, for example, that you have to have a certain clearance on each level and on the ramps and they have to abide by handicap vehicles where somebody who's in a
02:13 3 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 8 02:13 9 02:13 10 02:13 12 02:13 13 02:14 14 02:14 15 02:14 16 02:14 17 02:14 18 02:14 19 02:14 20 02:14 21 02:14 23 02:14 24	So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been calculated. When we say we have 306 spaces, that's including the utilization of that statute for the EV stations, right, Dan? A. That's right. We have 306 are proposed and those include the electric vehicle spaces. BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. How many electric vehicle spaces do we have, if you know? A. Sixteen, you know, fully-activated	02:15	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, because the transcripts are big. MR. ALAMPI: Well, yeah, we know, for example, that you have to have a certain clearance on each level and on the ramps and they have to abide by handicap vehicles where somebody who's in a wheelchair is driving their own vehicle, they have the mechanism on their car roof that flips down so the door opens and they can roll out.
02:13 3 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 7 02:13 9 02:13 10 02:13 12 02:13 13 02:14 14 02:14 15 02:14 16 02:14 17 02:14 19 02:14 21 02:14 21 02:14 22 02:14 23	So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been calculated. When we say we have 306 spaces, that's including the utilization of that statute for the EV stations, right, Dan? A. That's right. We have 306 are proposed and those include the electric vehicle spaces. BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. How many electric vehicle spaces do we have, if you know? A. Sixteen, you know, fully-activated electric vehicle spaces with an additional 32	02:15 2 02:15 3 02:15 4 02:15 5 02:15 6 02:15 7 02:15 8 02:15 9 02:15 10 02:15 11 02:15 12 02:15 13 02:15 14 02:16 15 02:16 16 02:16 17 02:16 18 02:16 20 02:16 21 02:16 22 02:16 23	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, because the transcripts are big. MR. ALAMPI: Well, yeah, we know, for example, that you have to have a certain clearance on each level and on the ramps and they have to abide by handicap vehicles where somebody who's in a wheelchair is driving their own vehicle, they have the mechanism on their car roof that flips down so the door opens and they can roll out. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
02:13 3 02:13 5 02:13 6 02:13 8 02:13 9 02:13 10 02:13 12 02:13 13 02:14 14 02:14 15 02:14 16 02:14 17 02:14 18 02:14 19 02:14 20 02:14 21 02:14 23 02:14 24	So, for example, if we have to put in 32 electric vehicle parking spaces, we get credit for 64, even though there's not 64 for real. So this is the brilliance of the green initiative and by the State of New Jersey, the legislature and it's going to grow. In a few years the requirement is going to be, say, 25 percent. It's going to continue, but it's mandated for all new construction that the developer create these EV stations and whether they're used fully or partially and you get a two for one credit on those parking spaces. So that's been calculated. When we say we have 306 spaces, that's including the utilization of that statute for the EV stations, right, Dan? A. That's right. We have 306 are proposed and those include the electric vehicle spaces. BY MR. ALAMPI: Q. How many electric vehicle spaces do we have, if you know? A. Sixteen, you know, fully-activated	02:15	THE WITNESS: The vertical. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, the vertical. THE WITNESS: I don't have that information. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I would recommend that you should get MR. ALAMPI: I think the architect went through all of that with the levels and the ramping and the heights, but we'll see if we find it in the transcript and it might be easier for us to just answer your question CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MR. ALAMPI: by way of a follow-up. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, because the transcripts are big. MR. ALAMPI: Well, yeah, we know, for example, that you have to have a certain clearance on each level and on the ramps and they have to abide by handicap vehicles where somebody who's in a wheelchair is driving their own vehicle, they have the mechanism on their car roof that flips down so the door opens and they can roll out.

	129		131
02:16 1	MR. ALAMPI: So it has to have that	02:18 1	MR. ALAMPI: Presently.
02:16 2	clearance. You have to have for ambulances, because	02:18 2	MR. COLLAZUOL: Now, if I'm not
02:16 3		02:18 3	mistaken, the DPW is having a problem down here
02:16 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, I understand,	02:18 4	during heavy storms. I don't know if there was a
02:16 5	but I understand that's between the levels. I'm	5	combination of storms, but one of these manholes
02:16 6	talking about the actual opening. I mean, obviously	6	seems to blow over during a storm.
02:16 7		7	MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
02:16 8	MR. ALAMPI: The width of the ramp?	8	MR. COLLAZUOL: So the original plans
02:16 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: No, the height of	9	show that the sewer is going to connect to that
02:16 10	it.	02:18 10	manhole that's shown filled with water. Now the plan
02:16 11	MR. CARNOVALE: Floor-to-ceiling, sir,	02:18 11	shows that the sewer is going to connect a little
02:16 12	floor-to-ceiling.	02:18 12	further north, about 75 feet north to that same
02:16 13	MR. ALAMPI: I'm looking at the	02:18 13	sewer. So I would ask your engineer to contact the
02:16 14	clearance from every aspect.	02:18 14	Borough's DPW or check themselves that that sewer is
02:16 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, no, I	02:18 15	not full of water and inoperable so that this project
02:16 16	understand.	02:18 16	is feasible, that's number one.
02:16 17	MR. ALAMPI: Because it's no good if	02:19 17	MR. ALAMPI: Jacob is here. I hope
02:17 18	you have an 8-foot clearance in one spot, because	02:19 18	he's understanding you.
02:17 19	that can jam up anybody wherever they go.	02:19 19	MR. SCHULMAN: Yes.
02:17 20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So, and you're	02:19 20	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Jacob doesn't have
02:17 21	going to talk to Chiurazzi. I'm going to call	02:19 21	to do it today. Jacob can do it the next meeting.
02:17 22	Chiurazzi too and advise him to get because he's	02:19 22	MR. ALAMPI: No, I just want to make
02:17 23	the fire expert.	02:19 23	sure he understands.
02:17 24	MR. ALAMPI: You call him to expect a	02:19 24	MR. SCHULMAN: I understand.
02:17 25	call from me, because we're going to ask him I	02:19 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: He got it.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	130		132
02:17 1	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back	02:19 1	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we
02:17 2	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I	02:19 2	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the
02:17 2 02:17 3	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these	02:19 2 02:19 3	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi.	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay.	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman?	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 9	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building?
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 9 02:17 10	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away.	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building.
02:17	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right?	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 9 02:17 10 02:17 11	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure.	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 9 02:17 10 02:17 11 02:17 12 02:17 13	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 9 02:17 10 02:17 11 02:17 12 02:17 13 02:17 14	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 14	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 9 02:17 10 02:17 11 02:17 12 02:17 13 02:17 14 02:17 15	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 11 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 14 02:19 15	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 9 02:17 10 02:17 11 02:17 12 02:17 13 02:17 14 02:17 15 02:17 16	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the force main was full of water. The new plans indicate	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 15 02:19 15	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to replace that pipe? So it's a serious question on
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 9 02:17 10 02:17 11 02:17 12 02:17 13 02:17 14 02:17 15 02:17 16 02:17 17	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the force main was full of water. The new plans indicate that a gravity sewer is going to be connected. I'd	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 14 02:19 15 02:19 16 02:20 17	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to replace that pipe? So it's a serious question on access with the location of the proposed building.
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 10 02:17 11 02:17 12 02:17 13 02:17 14 02:17 15 02:17 16 02:17 17 02:18 18 02:18 19 02:18 20	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the force main was full of water. The new plans indicate that a gravity sewer is going to be connected. I'd like to ask that that sewer be checked so that it's	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 14 02:19 15 02:20 17 02:20 18	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to replace that pipe? So it's a serious question on access with the location of the proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Steve, there's a pipe that
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 10 02:17 11 02:17 12 02:17 13 02:17 14 02:17 15 02:17 16 02:17 17 02:18 18 02:18 19	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the force main was full of water. The new plans indicate that a gravity sewer is going to be connected. I'd like to ask that that sewer be checked so that it's feasible that the sewer can be connected to that	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 15 02:19 16 02:20 17 02:20 18	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to replace that pipe? So it's a serious question on access with the location of the proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Steve, there's a pipe that I can see from the headwall. It goes underneath Grand Avenue and looks like it goes under part of the ramp on 46.
02:17 2 02:17 3 02:17 4 02:17 5 02:17 6 02:17 7 02:17 8 02:17 10 02:17 11 02:17 12 02:17 13 02:17 14 02:17 15 02:17 16 02:17 17 02:18 18 02:18 19 02:18 20	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the force main was full of water. The new plans indicate that a gravity sewer is going to be connected. I'd like to ask that that sewer be checked so that it's feasible that the sewer can be connected to that sewer and that sewer is capable of accepting the	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 14 02:19 15 02:20 17 02:20 18 02:20 19	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to replace that pipe? So it's a serious question on access with the location of the proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Steve, there's a pipe that I can see from the headwall. It goes underneath Grand Avenue and looks like it goes under part of the
02:17	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the force main was full of water. The new plans indicate that a gravity sewer is going to be connected. I'd like to ask that that sewer be checked so that it's feasible that the sewer can be connected to that sewer and that sewer is capable of accepting the sewage flow that the building will generate. MR. ALAMPI: Explain that again. MR. COLLAZUOL: The manhole shown on	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 15 02:19 16 02:20 17 02:20 18 02:20 19 02:20 21 02:20 22 02:20 23	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to replace that pipe? So it's a serious question on access with the location of the proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Steve, there's a pipe that I can see from the headwall. It goes underneath Grand Avenue and looks like it goes under part of the ramp on 46. MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: And there's an open
02:17	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the force main was full of water. The new plans indicate that a gravity sewer is going to be connected. I'd like to ask that that sewer be checked so that it's feasible that the sewer can be connected to that sewer and that sewer is capable of accepting the sewage flow that the building will generate. MR. ALAMPI: Explain that again. MR. COLLAZUOL: The manhole shown on the survey indicates that the manhole is filled, the	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 14 02:19 15 02:19 16 02:20 17 02:20 18 02:20 20 02:20 21 02:20 23 02:20 24	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to replace that pipe? So it's a serious question on access with the location of the proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Steve, there's a pipe that I can see from the headwall. It goes underneath Grand Avenue and looks like it goes under part of the ramp on 46. MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: And there's an open headwall there that you can see.
02:17	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the force main was full of water. The new plans indicate that a gravity sewer is going to be connected. I'd like to ask that that sewer be checked so that it's feasible that the sewer can be connected to that sewer and that sewer is capable of accepting the sewage flow that the building will generate. MR. ALAMPI: Explain that again. MR. COLLAZUOL: The manhole shown on the survey indicates that the manhole is filled, the sanitary sewer is full with water.	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 9 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 15 02:19 16 02:20 17 02:20 18 02:20 19 02:20 21 02:20 22 02:20 23	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to replace that pipe? So it's a serious question on access with the location of the proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Steve, there's a pipe that I can see from the headwall. It goes underneath Grand Avenue and looks like it goes under part of the ramp on 46. MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: And there's an open headwall there that you can see. MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes.
02:17	want to send him the plans. I asked the clerk back in the previous administrative secretary and I couldn't get to first base. I wanted to get these plans over to Andy Chiurazzi. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes. MR. COLLAZUOL: I have three questions I'd like to CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Sure, fire away. MR. COLLAZUOL: If it's all right? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yeah, sure. MR. COLLAZUOL: Mr. Alampi, the survey that was provided indicated that the sanitary sewer manhole shown for the original connection with the force main was full of water. The new plans indicate that a gravity sewer is going to be connected. I'd like to ask that that sewer be checked so that it's feasible that the sewer can be connected to that sewer and that sewer is capable of accepting the sewage flow that the building will generate. MR. ALAMPI: Explain that again. MR. COLLAZUOL: The manhole shown on the survey indicates that the manhole is filled, the	02:19 2 02:19 3 02:19 4 02:19 5 02:19 6 02:19 7 02:19 8 02:19 10 02:19 11 02:19 12 02:19 13 02:19 14 02:19 15 02:19 16 02:20 17 02:20 18 02:20 20 02:20 21 02:20 23 02:20 24	MR. COLLAZUOL: Question No. 2 is, we had in our first report asked for an easement for the 48-inch storm drain that runs from the ramp to the headwall where it becomes an open channel. The easement was shown on the set of plans, but what's come up since that is that in reviewing the plans a little further, the area behind the building is close to that 48-inch storm drain and the question is MR. ALAMPI: The proposed building? MR. COLLAZUOL: The proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Between Route 46 and MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes, between the ramp and the proposed building. And the question is: If the Borough were to require to replace that pipe, how would they get access from through the site to replace that pipe? So it's a serious question on access with the location of the proposed building. MR. ALAMPI: Steve, there's a pipe that I can see from the headwall. It goes underneath Grand Avenue and looks like it goes under part of the ramp on 46. MR. COLLAZUOL: Yes. MR. ALAMPI: And there's an open headwall there that you can see.

	133		135
02:20 1	MR. ALAMPI: Is that what you're	02:21 1	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Okay. That's
02:20 2	talking about?	02:21 2	all I'm asking.
02:20 3	MR. COLLAZUOL: That's the pipe I'm	02:21 3	MR. SIMOFF: I have a couple more.
02:20 4	talking about. If the Borough in the future would	02:21 4	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: He might say
02:20 5	have to replace that pipe, how would they access that	02:21 5	14 feet.
02:20 6	and do it?	02:21 6	(Laughter.)
02:20 7	And then the last question I have,	02:21 7	MR. CARNOVALE: No, he said they're
02:20 8	which I think the board or the engineering department	02:22 8	going to ask for relief, Pauly, meaning they can't
02:20 9	as part of this application is, the existing building	02:22 9	physically do it, they got to ask for, I'm going to
02:20 10	is well known to be very, very old. The sanitary	02:22 10	use word "variance relief."
02:20 11	connection for that building and the storm drainage	02:22 11	Is that true, Mr. Alampi?
02:20 12	connections for that building should be investigated	02:22 12	MR. ALAMPI: Yes, it might be, what
02:20 13	a little further as part of this application to be	02:22 13	they call, a site plan waiver as opposed to a
02:20 14	sure that there's not elicit connections.	02:22 14	variance and it might be that we can make a
02:20 15	MR. ALAMPI: We didn't show that?	02:22 15	modification.
02:20 16	MR. COLLAZUOL: No, none of that's been	02:22 16	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Carmine, the
02:20 17	shown.	02:22 17	reason why I'm saying that, you got the one-way
02:20 18	MR. ALAMPI: For the existing building?	02:22 18	coming in. I mean, it's only one lane.
02:20 19	MR. COLLAZUOL: For the existing	02:22 19	MR. ALAMPI: That's right.
02:21 20	building to remain. The section of the existing	02:22 20	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: So if you made
02:21 21	building to remain. So if you can look into that, I	02:22 21	it a little wider, what's the difference if the other
02:21 22	think that would be prudent on your part.	02:22 22	side is 16 feet, that's more than enough.
02:21 23	MR. ALAMPI: Is that in your comment	02:22 23	MR. ALAMPI: We'll figure it out.
02:21 24	letter.	02:22 24	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You know what
02:21 25	MR. COLLAZUOL: It's not, that's why	02:22 25	I'm saying? If you made it 12 and 12 is 24, you got
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	134		136
02:21 1	I'm bringing it up this evening to make sure it's out	02:22 1	40 feet, 16 feet just one.
02:21 2	there.	02:22 2	MR. ALAMPI: I understand it, but I'm
02:21 3	MR. ALAMPI: Jacob, did you get those	02:22 3	not an engineer. I'll look at the plan and they'll
02:21 4	three issues.	02:22 4 02:22 5	tell me what the dimensions are, but I understand.
02:21 5 02:21 6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: He got it.	_	MR. SIMOFF: One more quick comment.
02:21 7	Paul, you got something?	02:22 6 02:22 7	The if I'm reading it correctly, the architectural
02:21 8	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: You got	02:22 8	has gates to section off the residential from the commercial.
02:21 9	10 feet going up on each side, he recommends that it should be 12 feet.	02:23 9	MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's correct.
02:21 10	Are you going to change that?	02:23 10	MR. ALAMPI: Yes.
02:21 11	MR. CARNOVALE: Well, he's going to	02:23 11	MR. SIMOFF: So what I read is in the
02:21 12	look	02:23 11	lower level there's 13 spaces for residential and on
02:21 12	MR. ALAMPI: No, no, no, he's going to	02:23 13	the upper level there's 128, which gives 131 within
02:21 14	research that. He has to research it, because it's	02:23 14	the gated area and the requirement is 236 for the
02:21 15	in one direction. When you have 12 feet, if you have	02:23 15	residential. Just how does that function?
02:21 16	two directions, you need 24 feet. If you're going in	02:23 16	MR. ALAMPI: I can't answer you like
02:21 17	one direction, the RSIS might allow 10 foot	02:23 17	that. We have so many parking spaces and then we
02:21 18	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Is that what	02:23 18	have an allocation and then we have surface parking
02:21 19	he said? Okay.	02:23 19	as well outside of the garage. So the residential
02:21 20	MR. ALAMPI: He's going to research it	02:23 20	will be in the garage obviously. Commercial will be
02:21 21	and otherwise, we would have to request relief for	02:23 21	out in the
02:21 22	that.	02:23 22	MR. SIMOFF: Right, but
02:21 23	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Well, whatever	02:23 23	MR. ALAMPI: But there will be some
02:21 24	he recommends are you going to do that?	02:23 24	commercial in the garage as well.
02:21 25	MR. ALAMPI: Yes.	02:23 25	MR. SIMOFF: I think it's the other way
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812

	137		139
02:23 1	around, it will be residential outside.	02:26 1	MS. BRAUER: Because it's 1.8 for a
02:23 2	MR. ALAMPI: I have to ask the	02:26 2	one-bedroom and two spaces for a two-bedroom.
02:23 3	engineers.	02:26 3	MR. ALAMPI: We have the full amount of
02:23 4	MR. SIMOFF: But I'm just saying but	02:26 4	parking, plus we have
02:23 5	I'm just bringing it up as a point.	02:26 5	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, "we
02:24 6	MR. ALAMPI: So part of the residential	02:26 6	have the full amount of parking"?
02:24 7	that's outside is for visitor parking and the second	02:26 7	MR. ALAMPI: We have the full amount of
02:24 8	car, but I'll break it down for you.	02:26 8	parking, plus, I believe, 10 in overage.
02:24 9	MR. SIMOFF: Right, that's what I'm	02:26 9	MS. BRAUER: Is that I'm sorry, is
02:24 10	asking.	02:26 10	that by our ordinance from our Master Plan that
02:24 11	MR. ALAMPI: Yeah, we'll do a	02:26 11	you've been talking about, the overlap that I have
02:24 12	breakdown, but the residential is not the primary	02:26 12	right here?
02:24 13	parking space of the resident. It's the visitor	02:26 13	MR. ALAMPI: It's the RSIS requirement.
02:24 14	space and if they have a second vehicle.	02:26 14	MS. BRAUER: So
02:24 15	MR. SIMOFF: Right.	02:26 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Which has
02:24 16	MR. ALAMPI: We want to make sure every	02:26 16	precedence over the town ordinance.
02:24 17	unit owner, every unit resident has at least one	02:26 17	MR. ALAMPI: Yes, state regulations.
02:24 18	space inside.	02:26 18	MS. BRAUER: So why does a town bother
02:24 19	MR. SIMOFF: Correct, and those spaces	02:26 19	to do an ordinance that overlaps into the Master Plan
02:24 20	are gated off?	02:27 20	that your people have done everything by and you
02:24 21	MR. ALAMPI: Yes.	02:27 21	don't use it?
02:24 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. You got any	02:27 22	MR. ALAMPI: Some towns recognize and
02:24 23	more, Hal?	02:27 23	understand that the RSIS is preemptive, it
02:24 24	MR. SIMOFF: No, that was it.	02:27 24	supercedes. Other towns still try to cling to their
02:24 25	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Okay. We'll go to	02:27 25	ordinance. We try to tell them that the RSIS is
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	138		140
02:24 1	the audience.	02:27 1	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the
02:24 1 02:24 2		02:27 1 02:27 2	1.7
_	the audience.	_	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the
02:24 2	the audience. Name and address.	02:27 2	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question.	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard.
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you.	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law.
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised?
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces.	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard.
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage.	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS.
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS?
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know,
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards?
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16	the audience. Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident.	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule,
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17 02:25 18	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a two-bedroom unit, our ordinance says he has to have	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17 02:28 18	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going to divide the outside parking.
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17 02:25 18 02:25 19	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a two-bedroom unit, our ordinance says he has to have two spaces. So he has one space or she has one	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17 02:28 18 02:28 19	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going to divide the outside parking. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you,
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17 02:25 18 02:25 19 02:25 19	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a two-bedroom unit, our ordinance says he has to have two spaces. So he has one space or she has one space?	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17 02:28 18 02:28 19 02:28 20	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going to divide the outside parking. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you,
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17 02:25 18 02:25 18 02:25 20 02:25 21	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a two-bedroom unit, our ordinance says he has to have two spaces. So he has one space or she has one space? MR. ALAMPI: The RSIS standard is the	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17 02:28 18 02:28 19 02:28 20 02:28 21	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going to divide the outside parking. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you, Hal. MR. SIMOFF: How they the question
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 15 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17 02:25 18 02:25 19 02:25 20 02:25 21	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a two-bedroom unit, our ordinance says he has to have two spaces. So he has one space or she has one space? MR. ALAMPI: The RSIS standard is the controlling	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17 02:28 18 02:28 19 02:28 20 02:28 21	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going to divide the outside parking. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you, Hal. MR. SIMOFF: How they the question is, how they divide or designate the outside parking.
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17 02:25 17 02:25 18 02:25 19 02:25 20 02:25 21	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a two-bedroom unit, our ordinance says he has to have two spaces. So he has one space or she has one space? MR. ALAMPI: The RSIS standard is the controlling MR. SIMOFF: But that's two, also.	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17 02:28 18 02:28 19 02:28 20 02:28 21 02:28 23	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going to divide the outside parking. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you, Hal. MR. SIMOFF: How they the question is, how they divide or designate the outside parking. MR. ALAMPI: He wants to know how we
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17 02:25 18 02:25 19 02:25 20 02:25 21 02:25 21 02:25 23 02:25 24	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a two-bedroom unit, our ordinance says he has to have two spaces. So he has one space or she has one space? MR. ALAMPI: The RSIS standard is the controlling MR. SIMOFF: But that's two, also. MR. ALAMPI: Well, whatever it is,	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17 02:28 18 02:28 19 02:28 20 02:28 21 02:28 23 02:28 24	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going to divide the outside parking. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you, Hal. MR. SIMOFF: How they the question is, how they divide or designate the outside parking. MR. ALAMPI: He wants to know how we allocate it.
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 9 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17 02:25 18 02:25 19 02:25 20 02:25 21	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a two-bedroom unit, our ordinance says he has to have two spaces. So he has one space or she has one space? MR. ALAMPI: The RSIS standard is the controlling MR. SIMOFF: But that's two, also. MR. ALAMPI: Well, whatever it is, we'll comply.	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 6 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17 02:28 18 02:28 19 02:28 20 02:28 21 02:28 23	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going to divide the outside parking. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you, Hal. MR. SIMOFF: How they the question is, how they divide or designate the outside parking. MR. ALAMPI: He wants to know how we allocate it. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.
02:24 2 02:25 3 02:25 4 02:25 5 02:25 6 02:25 7 02:25 8 02:25 10 02:25 11 02:25 12 02:25 13 02:25 14 02:25 15 02:25 16 02:25 17 02:25 18 02:25 19 02:25 20 02:25 21 02:25 21 02:25 23 02:25 24	Name and address. MS. BRAUER: Just a quick question. MS. TESTA: Your name first just for the record. MS. BRAUER: Susan Brauer. MS. TESTA: Thank you. MS. BRAUER: He just said he wanted to make sure that every apartment has at least one space, but there's some that might have two spaces. MR. ALAMPI: No, we have it for each resident there will be one space in the garage. There is residential parking that's out in the parking lot that Mr. Simoff brought up that is primarily visitor parking and for a second vehicle for a resident. MS. BRAUER: So if a resident has a two-bedroom unit, our ordinance says he has to have two spaces. So he has one space or she has one space? MR. ALAMPI: The RSIS standard is the controlling MR. SIMOFF: But that's two, also. MR. ALAMPI: Well, whatever it is,	02:27 2 02:27 3 02:27 4 02:27 5 02:27 7 02:27 8 02:27 9 02:27 10 02:27 11 02:27 12 02:27 13 02:28 14 02:28 15 02:28 16 02:28 17 02:28 18 02:28 19 02:28 20 02:28 21 02:28 23 02:28 24	controlling and if we can, we try to comply with the ordinance, if we can, but we we are required to go to the RSIS standard. MS. BRAUER: You said some towns do and some towns don't. How do those towns MR. ALAMPI: It depends on how they're being advised and how they understand the law. MS. BRAUER: Mr. Ferguson, how are you being advised? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We always went by the standard, the RSIS standard. MR. ALAMPI: RSIS. MS. BRAUER: RSIS? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So I don't know, Hal, do they meet the standards? MR. SIMOFF: According to the schedule, they do. I was just questioning how they were going to divide the outside parking. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you, Hal. MR. SIMOFF: How they the question is, how they divide or designate the outside parking. MR. ALAMPI: He wants to know how we allocate it.

	141		143
02:28 1	MR. SIMOFF: If they conform to RSIS	02:30 1	basically said we're comparing what was there before.
02:28 2	and they conform to the ordinance for the commercial,	02:30 2	MR. ALAMPI: We did a census report of
02:28 3	which they suggest they do, then how is it allocated,	02:30 3	the number of occupants and square footage. We'll
02:28 4	because there's only 131 spaces in the garage. I was	02:30 4	dig it up for you.
02:28 5	just asking how they allocate the outside parking.	02:30 5	MR. TERRANOVA: No, I understand that.
02:28 6	MS. TESTA: So there's sufficient	02:30 6	In that report or maybe you have to do a second
7	space, it's just some are in the garage and some will	02:30 7	report, could you add the amount of parking spaces
8	be outside?	02:30 8	that are currently now?
9	MR. SIMOFF: Correct.	02:30 9	MR. ALAMPI: That's why we did that
10	MR. ALAMPI: And he just wants to know	02:30 10	report.
11	how we	02:30 11	MR. TERRANOVA: Right, I understand.
12	MS. TESTA: Right.	02:30 12	So I just want to see on the report what
02:28 13	MR. ALAMPI: allocate them, which we	02:30 13	MR. ALAMPI: I think it's in there, how
02:28 14	will provide him with that.	02:30 14	we calculated the parking.
02:29 15	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anything else?	02:30 15	MR. TERRANOVA: Well, not how we
02:29 16	MS. BRAUER: So Diane, I'm sorry.	02:30 16	calculated, but I want to know how many parking
02:29 17	MS. TESTA: Yeah?	02:30 17	spaces are there now and how many parking I mean,
02:29 18	MS. BRAUER: So because we have the	02:30 18	I realize, I know how many parking spaces you're
02:29 19	ordinance that was established six years ago that	02:30 19	providing. I want to know how many are on the site
02:29 20	opened up this whole this ridiculous project can	02:30 20	right now.
02:29 21	come here, we just throw this to the wind?	02:30 21	MR. ALAMPI: Presently?
02:29 22	MS. TESTA: No, it's not that they	02:30 22	MR. TERRANOVA: Yeah, presently.
02:29 23	don't have sufficient parking. It's just some are in	02:30 23	MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
02:29 24	the parking garage and some will be outside on the	02:30 24	MR. TERRANOVA: Right. That's all.
02:29 25	lot, but it's still sufficient parking. They're not	02:30 25	MR. ALAMPI: We'll send somebody out.
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	142		144
02:29 1	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my	02:30 1	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount
02:29 1 02:29 2		02:30 1 02:30 2	
	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my		We have it on the survey, but we'll recount
02:29 2	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just	02:30 2	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything.
02:29 2 02:29 3	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside,	02:30 2 02:30 3	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what
02:29 2 02:29 3 02:29 4	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the	02:30 2 02:30 3 02:30 4	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me
02:29 2 02:29 3 02:29 4 02:29 5	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS.	02:30 2 02:30 3 02:30 4 02:31 5 02:31 6 02:31 7	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now.
02:29 2 02:29 3 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for	02:30 2 02:30 3 02:30 4 02:31 5 02:31 6 02:31 7 02:31 8	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me
02:29 2 02:29 3 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 9	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential.	02:30 2 02:30 3 02:30 4 02:31 5 02:31 6 02:31 7 02:31 8 02:31 9	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you?
02:29 2 02:29 3 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 9 02:29 10	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay.	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this
02:29 2 02:29 3 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 9 02:29 10 02:29 11	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot.	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in
02:29 2 02:29 3 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 9 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July?
02:29 2 02:29 3 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 9 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property.	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you.
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 9 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 9 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym.	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July?
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 8 02:29 9 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 17	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting?
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 17 02:29 18	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it stays.	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting? MR. ALAMPI: Well, it seems that I've
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 5 02:29 6 02:29 8 02:29 9 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 18 02:29 19	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it stays. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else?	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting? MR. ALAMPI: Well, it seems that I've got to review my notes on the open items. So what we
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 17 02:29 18 02:29 19 02:29 20	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it stays. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? (No response.)	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting? MR. ALAMPI: Well, it seems that I've got to review my notes on the open items. So what we have left is we concluded our primary witnesses, but
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 17 02:29 18 02:29 19 02:29 20 02:30 21	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it stays. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? (No response.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, if we have	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting? MR. ALAMPI: Well, it seems that I've got to review my notes on the open items. So what we have left is we concluded our primary witnesses, but there are some questions, for example, you want to
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 9 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 17 02:29 18 02:29 19 02:29 20 02:30 21 02:30 22	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it stays. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? (No response.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, if we have anything, we can do it next month.	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting? MR. ALAMPI: Well, it seems that I've got to review my notes on the open items. So what we have left is we concluded our primary witnesses, but there are some questions, for example, you want to defer to Mr. Kauker to give him the opportunity to
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 17 02:29 18 02:29 19 02:29 20 02:30 21 02:30 23	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it stays. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? (No response.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, if we have anything, we can do it next month. I'm sorry, go.	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting? MR. ALAMPI: Well, it seems that I've got to review my notes on the open items. So what we have left is we concluded our primary witnesses, but there are some questions, for example, you want to defer to Mr. Kauker to give him the opportunity to review the testimony for his comments.
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 17 02:29 18 02:29 19 02:29 20 02:30 21 02:30 22 02:30 23 02:30 24	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it stays. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? (No response.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, if we have anything, we can do it next month. I'm sorry, go. MR. TERRANOVA: On the report, Joe had	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting? MR. ALAMPI: Well, it seems that I've got to review my notes on the open items. So what we have left is we concluded our primary witnesses, but there are some questions, for example, you want to defer to Mr. Kauker to give him the opportunity to review the testimony for his comments. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Absolutely.
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 17 02:29 18 02:29 19 02:29 20 02:30 21 02:30 23	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it stays. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? (No response.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, if we have anything, we can do it next month. I'm sorry, go. MR. TERRANOVA: On the report, Joe had asked for a report that you're going to dig up that	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting? MR. ALAMPI: Well, it seems that I've got to review my notes on the open items. So what we have left is we concluded our primary witnesses, but there are some questions, for example, you want to defer to Mr. Kauker to give him the opportunity to review the testimony for his comments. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Absolutely. MR. ALAMPI: We need Hal to send us an
02:29 2 02:29 4 02:29 6 02:29 7 02:29 8 02:29 10 02:29 11 02:29 12 02:29 13 02:29 14 02:29 15 02:29 16 02:29 17 02:29 18 02:29 19 02:29 20 02:30 21 02:30 23 02:30 24	decreasing the amount of parking spaces, that's my understanding. So the number is correct, it's just that some are inside the garage and some are outside, but if you add up the parking spaces, it meets the my understanding, it, meets the ordinance and RSIS. MR. ALAMPI: It meets the ordinance for commercial and RSIS for residential. MS. TESTA: Okay. MS. BRAUER: No, it's not commercial. MS. TESTA: Well, there's some commercial on the lot. MR. ALAMPI: There's commercial on the property. MS. TESTA: You have the liquor store and the gym. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right. MS. TESTA: And the restaurant if it stays. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Anybody else? (No response.) CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Well, if we have anything, we can do it next month. I'm sorry, go. MR. TERRANOVA: On the report, Joe had	02:30	We have it on the survey, but we'll recount everything. MR. TERRANOVA: That's all, that's what I'm saying, you put it on a report and just tell me how many are there now. VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Do you want me to go count for you? MR. ALAMPI: We'll send Mr. Albanese there tonight with a flashlight. MS. LAMBRINIDES: Mr. Ferguson, this will be continued to next month, the next meeting in July? CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: I can't hear you. MS. LAMBRINIDES: They're going to continue to July? MS. TESTA: Are you coming back for the July meeting or August meeting? MR. ALAMPI: Well, it seems that I've got to review my notes on the open items. So what we have left is we concluded our primary witnesses, but there are some questions, for example, you want to defer to Mr. Kauker to give him the opportunity to review the testimony for his comments. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Absolutely.

	145		147
02:31 1	updated comment letter so we can respond to that.	02:33 1	(Laughter.)
02:31 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	02:33 2	
02:31 3	MR. ALAMPI: My man Jacob is going to	02:33 3	<i>5</i> ,
02:31 4	follow up on five or six engineering questions that	02:33 4	
02:31 5	Mr. Collazuol brought up and then we want to get a	02:33 5	
02:31 6	transcript and we want to do that for the meeting.	02:34 6	, 3 3
02:32 7	Now, of course we have the 4th of July	02:34 7	
02:32 8	holiday.	02:34 8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
02:32 9	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right.	02:34 9	MR. ALAMPI: Because I haven't seen any
02:32 10	MR. ALAMPI: So today is the 27th.	02:34 10	•
02:32 11	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Yes.	02:34 11	MS. LAMBRINIDES: No, they come to
02:32 12	MR. ALAMPI: The 18th would be a push.	02:34 12	
02:32 13	It could be done, but it would be a push. If we	02:34 13	MS. TESTA: Yes.
02:32 14	don't make the 18th, we have to go onto the August	02:34 14	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Chairman, I'm
02:32 15	meeting.	02:34 15	delivering the supplemental escrow money and we'll
02:32 16	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: So then what would	02:34 16	expedite the transcript and we intend to answer all
02:32 17	you prefer, because we could	02:34 17	the questions. We're on for the 18th.
02:32 18	MR. ALAMPI: We prefer the 18th, but I	02:34 18	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: We're on for the
02:32 19	know that you're not going to want us here if we	02:34 19	18th.
02:32 20	don't get all the information that you're asking for	02:34 20	MS. LAMBRINIDES: Okay.
02:32 21	in time.	21	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: All right.
02:32 22	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: Right, because I	22	Motion to adjourn.
02:32 23	think and maybe Eleni can help me, there seems to be	23	VICE CHAIRMAN ALBANESE: Second and
02:32 24	something in the contract with the	24	third.
02:32 25	MS. LAMBRINIDES: The court reporter.	25	MS. TESTA: Carmine, your client waives
	LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.		LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
	201-641-1812		201-641-1812
	146		148
02:32 1	146 CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court	1	all time constraints?
02:32 2	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two	02:34 2	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for
02:32 2 3	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks?	02:34 2 02:34 3	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I
02:32 2 3 4	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an	02:34 2 02:34 3 02:34 4	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around,
02:32 2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice?	02:34 2 02:34 3 02:34 4 02:34 5	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th.
02:32 2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.	02:34 2 02:34 3 02:34 4 02:34 5	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned.
02:32 2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the	02:34 2 02:34 3 02:34 4 02:34 5 6 7	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee?	02:34 2 02:34 3 02:34 4 02:34 5 6 7	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you	02:34 2 02:34 3 02:34 4 02:34 5 6 7 8 9	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura.	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript?	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that.	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they normally do, like they do in court, if we need it to	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they normally do, like they do in court, if we need it to be expedited, there's an enhanced fee, there's an	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they normally do, like they do in court, if we need it to be expedited, there's an enhanced fee, there's an increased cost to do that, because people have to	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they normally do, like they do in court, if we need it to be expedited, there's an enhanced fee, there's an increased cost to do that, because people have to work overtime. So we'll expedite the transcript and	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they normally do, like they do in court, if we need it to be expedited, there's an enhanced fee, there's an increased cost to do that, because people have to work overtime. So we'll expedite the transcript and we'll pay for the extra cost.	02:34	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they normally do, like they do in court, if we need it to be expedited, there's an enhanced fee, there's an increased cost to do that, because people have to work overtime. So we'll expedite the transcript and we'll pay for the extra cost. We're used to either working within the	02:34	MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 02:33 12 02:33 13 02:33 14 02:33 15 02:33 16 02:33 17 02:33 18 02:33 19 02:33 20 02:33 21 02:33 22 02:33 23	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they normally do, like they do in court, if we need it to be expedited, there's an enhanced fee, there's an increased cost to do that, because people have to work overtime. So we'll expedite the transcript and we'll pay for the extra cost. We're used to either working within the two-week cycle, but if it's important for us to get	02:34 2 02:34 3 02:34 4 02:34 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)
02:32	CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: the court reporter, it's two what do they require, two weeks? MS. LAMBRINIDES: They can do an expedited service for an extra fee, right, Candice? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN FERGUSON: How much is the extra fee? THE COURT REPORTER: I don't know, you have to ask Laura. MR. ALAMPI: So what is it, that you take two weeks to do the transcript? MS. LAMBRINIDES: You can ask for it on an expedited basis, but there's an additional charge and she's not aware of it, Laura would know that. MR. ALAMPI: Right. So what they normally do, like they do in court, if we need it to be expedited, there's an enhanced fee, there's an increased cost to do that, because people have to work overtime. So we'll expedite the transcript and we'll pay for the extra cost. We're used to either working within the two-week cycle, but if it's important for us to get it done faster, we're willing to pay. I can easily	02:34 2 02:34 3 02:34 4 02:34 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	all time constraints? MR. ALAMPI: We'll extend the time for review through August 30th. You know what, if I don't get up here on the 18th and you're not around, August 30th. (Whereupon, the meeting is adjourned. Time noted: 9:52 p.m.)

201-641-1812

#	142 [1] - 3:17	2018 [2] - 111:11,	306 [4] - 124:9,	6/22/22 [1] - 4:18
	15 [27] - 1:8, 3:4, 4:5,	111:14	124:11, 126:16,	6/27/22 [1] - 34:21
	10:3, 31:8, 36:5,	2021 [7] - 16:9, 81:6,	126:19	60 [1] - 25:4
#50094914 [1] -	38:20, 49:21, 59:9,	81:13, 84:11, 85:1,	30th [2] - 148:3, 148:5	60-foot [1] - 35:8
149:15	59:12, 62:8, 62:20,	106:16, 111:15	32 [4] - 126:5, 126:25,	60-year [1] - 117:10
#XI02050 [1] - 149:15	62:22, 62:23, 65:20,	2022 [3] - 1:2, 14:14,	127:2, 127:9	61 [1] - 3:8
	65:23, 66:1, 66:6,	16:21	34 [1] - 4:8	6100 [1] - 25:4
\$	66:21, 67:25, 69:1,	2023 [1] - 149:16	343-4600 [1] - 2:9	612 [1] - 1:7
	100:23, 101:11,	2024 [1] - 86:11		64 [4] - 3:12, 3:13,
\$1125.00 [1] - 7:6	101:15, 105:23,	21 [26] - 3:5, 3:6,	4	126:6
\$1500.00 [1] - 7:8	125:5, 125:18	41:21, 41:22, 59:9,		65 [1] - 3:14
\$2625.00 [1] - 6:23	15th [2] - 14:13, 104:7	59:11, 62:11, 62:19,	4 to 1:0 2:4 4:5	6:30 [1] - 85:14
\$455.00 [2] - 7:3, 7:11	16 [5] - 16:21, 127:4,	62:22, 62:23, 65:21,	4 [3] - 1:9, 3:4, 4:5 40 [2] - 113:25, 136:1	6A [1] - 64:10
¥ 100100 [2] 110; 1111	127:8, 135:22, 136:1	65:22, 66:9, 66:22,	400,000 [1] - 86:17	
0	169 [2] - 88:12	67:3, 68:25, 70:12,		7
	16th [10] - 4:10, 17:12,	70:14, 71:15, 76:5,	404 [1] - 2:8	
	17:13, 17:25, 82:3,	76:19, 77:16,	41 [1] - 25:9	7 440.40
07601 [1] - 2:9	82:7, 82:9, 82:16,	100:24, 101:9,	44 [8] - 87:24, 117:14,	7 [1] - 119:13
07663 [1] - 1:24	83:4, 106:8	101:14, 101:17	117:15, 117:17,	700-square-foot [1] -
07927 [1] - 21:6	17 [1] - 14:14	21's [1] - 66:13	118:7, 119:17, 124:9	25:2
08016 [1] - 64:11	17th [7] - 15:4, 15:21,	21-31 [4] - 1:7, 3:3,	448 [3] - 1:4, 1:4, 8:7 45 [1] - 32:16	71 [1] - 3:14
	104:12, 104:19,	4:4, 10:2	46 [16] - 38:15, 38:19,	75 [1] - 131:12
1	104:21, 104:24	21-and-a-half [1] -	38:22, 44:19, 49:3,	76 [1] - 3:14
	18 [1] - 32:5	67:25	49:21, 56:25, 84:14,	77 [1] - 3:15
4 ros 1.10 110.17	18,500 [1] - 25:1	22 [3] - 3:6, 106:1,	84:17, 86:17, 90:17,	78 [1] - 3:12
1 [2] - 1:18, 118:17	18th [19] - 51:19, 81:8,	121:5	112:3, 112:20,	7:00 [2] - 1:2, 117:25
1.7 [1] - 124:19 1.8 [1] - 139:1	81:25, 102:17,	22-01 [2] - 1:3, 8:7	113:13, 132:11,	7:30 [1] - 118:17
• •	103:12, 104:4,	22-02 [4] - 1:5, 2:3,	132:21	
1/18/22 [1] - 4:15	104:6, 105:14,	2:5, 9:4	460 [1] - 64:10	8
10 [10] - 3:4, 29:3,	105:17, 105:20,	220 [1] - 122:13	48-inch [2] - 132:3,	
57:23, 120:19,	110:23, 111:9,	223 [4] - 88:8, 99:23,	132:8	8 [5] - 1:7, 85:11,
121:6, 134:8,	145:12, 145:14,	118:12, 118:16	4th [1] - 145:7	117:20, 119:13
134:17, 139:8 10-foot [1] - 89:23	145:18, 147:5,	22nd [4] - 104:15,	401 [1] 140.7	8-foot [1] - 129:18
10-noot [1] - 69.23 10-minute [1] - 57:21	147:17, 147:19, 148:4	104:19, 105:1, 105:6	5	80 [1] - 3:13
100 [1] - 21:5	19 [1] - 4:7	23 [1] - 17:4	3	81 [3] - 4:9, 25:10,
104 [1] - 3:15		236 [1] - 136:14		56:13
	1953 [1] - 38:2 1954 [1] - 27:14	24 [5] - 32:17, 121:10,	5 [5] - 31:15, 44:24,	82 [6] - 4:11, 31:9,
105 [5] - 4:12, 4:14,		121:11, 134:16,	85:14, 100:1, 122:11	31:13, 48:12, 58:22
4:15, 4:17, 4:18 11 [1] - 1:5	1985 [1] - 22:4	135:25	50 [8] - 3:10, 3:11,	58:23
	1st [3] - 1:4, 1:4, 8:7	24-feet [1] - 120:16	3:18, 31:9, 31:23,	83 [1] - 58:22
113 [2] - 1:6, 9:4 117 [1] - 3:14	2	24-foot [1] - 120:13	45:17, 46:8, 72:3	87 [2] - 31:13, 48:11
• •	2	25 _[1] - 126:11	50-foot [1] - 44:12	
12 [5] - 120:18, 134:9, 134:15, 135:25		262 [1] - 124:9	505 [4] - 1:9, 1:24, 3:4,	9
12/27/21 [1] - 4:9	2 [7] - 1:19, 28:21,	269 [1] - 118:10	4:5	
120 [1] - 3:15	44:21, 45:12, 45:13,	26th [1] - 34:18	54 [5] - 3:9, 21:5,	0 05:44 05 40
120 [1] - 3. 15 122 [9] - 24:21, 25:9,	118:18, 132:1	27 [3] - 1:2, 81:6,	44:11, 87:25, 117:16	9 _[3] - 85:11, 85:12,
61:12, 87:23,	20 [7] - 32:5, 54:14,	81:13	54-and-a-half [1] -	117:20
117:15, 118:7,	54:18, 111:18,	27th [4] - 34:19, 34:20,	31:24	9.4 _[1] - 31:8
119:18, 119:22,	121:4, 121:7, 121:9	83:16, 145:10	55 [3] - 3:10, 32:21,	9:20 [1] - 113:24
121:19	20-feet [1] - 89:22	296 [1] - 124:11	35:8	9:52 [1] - 148:7
127 [1] - 3:15	20-foot [1] - 101:10	2	58 [1] - 3:7	A
127 [1] - 3.13 128 [1] - 136:13	200-and-some-odd	3	59 [1] - 3:8	A
13 [1] - 136:12	[1] - 122:11		_	
130 [1] - 3:16	2004 [1] - 79:11	3 [6] - 1:9, 1:20, 3:4,	6	A-2 [1] - 83:25
130 [1] - 3. 16 131 [2] - 136:13, 141:4	2008 [1] - 79:13	4:5, 28:21, 149:16		A-20 [1] - 13:19
	201 [1] - 2:9	3/15/22 [1] - 4:17	6 rol = 100·1 110·12	A-22 [1] - 13:19
134 [1] - 3:16 138 [1] - 3:18	201-641-1812 [1] -	3/18/22 [2] - 4:12, 4:14	6 [3] - 100:1, 119:13, 122:11	a-23 [1] - 18:21
136 [1] - 3. 16 13:43-5.9 [1] - 149:10	1:25	30 [2] - 38:21, 49:21	6/15/22 [3] - 4:8,	A-23 [4] - 4:6, 18:18,
13:43-5.9 [1] - 149.10 14 [1] - 135:5	2016 [1] - 55:20	305 [1] - 1:5	34:16, 34:22	19:5, 19:6
I# III - 1.3010	1			

A 24 : 4:0 24:0
A-24 [5] - 4:8, 34:9,
34:10, 34:12, 34:17
A-25 [5] - 4:9, 81:11,
81:14, 81:18, 82:6
A-26 [4] - 4:10, 82:8,
82:11, 106:7
a.m [2] - 85:11, 85:12
A.O.C [2] - 1:6, 9:4
Abbott [2] - 1:6, 9:4
abide [1] - 128:20
able [4] - 78:6, 96:9,
101:6, 110:2
ABSENT [4] - 1:16,
1:19, 1:20, 2:16
absolutely [5] - 50:18,
51:14, 53:2, 97:9, 144:24
absorb [1] - 46:19
abstain [2] - 9:2, 9:24
accept [3] - 6:15,
22:11, 81:3
acceptable [1] - 85:25
acceptably [1] - 90:19
accepted [2] - 23:9,
28:6
accepting [1] - 130:20
access [27] - 38:14,
39:11, 84:7, 84:20,
88:24, 88:25, 89:15,
89:18, 89:21, 90:6,
90:22, 92:5, 92:6,
92:7, 92:10, 92:15,
96:10, 96:12, 96:13,
96:14, 97:8, 110:6,
110:8, 110:12,
132:15, 132:17,
133:5
accommodate [3] -
39:6, 39:16, 91:22
accomplished [1] - 67:20
accordance [1] - 5:7
according [1] - 140:16
accordingly [1] -
103:7
account [1] - 98:4
accurate [1] - 119:10
acknowledge [4] -
90:16, 91:8, 112:25,
113:8
acre [1] - 25:20
acres [1] - 25:14
Act [1] - 5:7
action [2] - 149:8,
149:9
activated [1] - 126:24
activity [3] - 48:17,
48:24, 100:6
actual [1] - 129:6

```
118:25, 142:4, 143:7
adding [3] - 63:12,
 65:24, 68:8
                           a
addition [1] - 24:23
additional [7] - 85:4,
                           a
 89:3, 89:4, 91:24,
                           al
 116:10, 126:25,
                           Α
 146:14
                           ai
address [5] - 52:8,
                           ai
 54:5, 55:16, 84:24,
                           Α
addressed [2] - 55:7,
adequate [2] - 73:6,
                           Α
adjacent [2] - 84:23,
adjourn [1] - 147:22
adjourned [1] - 148:6
ADJUSTMENT [1] -
Adjustment [2] - 2:3,
adjustment [4] - 95:6,
 95:7, 103:16, 103:18
adjustments [1] -
administered [1] -
administrative [1] -
Administrative [1] -
 149:10
admit [1] - 22:4
adopted [3] - 54:19,
 55:12, 55:19
advanced [3] - 43:25,
 44:3, 50:12
advise [1] - 129:22
advised [2] - 140:7,
aerial [1] - 27:12
affects [1] - 119:15
affiliated [1] - 79:3
affiliations [1] - 79:21
afraid [1] - 83:1
afternoon [11] - 84:12,
 85:13, 87:25, 88:7,
 88:10, 88:13, 98:3,
 99:20, 99:22, 99:24,
 118:12
agenda [2] - 6:9, 10:1
agent [1] - 149:8
ago [7] - 10:6, 12:2,
 40:13, 55:13, 73:12,
 141:19
agreed [1] - 50:8
```

138.2

74:2

85:8

91:5

1:1

2:5

94:10

80:1

130:2

140:9

agreement [11] - 59:8,

60:23, 61:14, 61:17,

61:19, 66:12, 70:6,

71:16, 71:24, 71:25,	1
77:1	1
greements [1] -	1
61:10	1
grees [1] - 40:24	1
head [1] - 65:12	1
ICP [2] - 3:5, 21:4 isle [1] - 110:6	1
isles [3] - 10.0	1
109:23, 110:1	1
lampi [7] - 3:6, 3:6,	1
3:12, 3:13, 10:5,	1
130:13, 135:11	1
LAMPI [231] - 2:7,	1
2:7, 10:4, 10:16,	1
10:18, 11:2, 11:4, 11:16, 11:17, 11:22,	1
12:1, 13:6, 13:21,	1
14:2, 14:4, 14:6,	1
14:9, 14:19, 14:22,	1
15:10, 15:17, 15:20,	1
15:25, 16:8, 16:17,	1
17:1, 17:5, 17:13,	1
17:18, 17:23, 18:10,	1
18:15, 18:19, 19:2,	1
19:6, 19:11, 19:13, 19:19, 19:24, 20:7,	1
20:11, 20:15, 21:13,	1
22:14, 23:8, 23:11,	1
53:12, 55:10, 56:3,	1
56:6, 56:9, 56:16,	1
57:5, 57:14, 59:3,	1
59:9, 59:12, 59:19,	1
59:24, 60:4, 60:9,	1
60:12, 60:19, 61:16,	1
61:20, 62:13, 62:18, 63:3, 63:7, 63:14,	1
63:20, 64:18, 65:3,	1
65:11, 67:4, 68:21,	1
68:23, 69:12, 69:18,	1
70:1, 70:19, 70:25,	1
71:5, 71:19, 71:25,	1
72:2, 72:6, 72:11,	1
72:16, 72:19, 72:23,	1
73:17, 74:7, 74:17,	1
74:24, 75:8, 75:18, 75:25, 76:18, 76:22,	1
77:4, 77:17, 77:24,	1
78:4, 78:14, 78:22,	1
80:11, 81:1, 81:4,	AL
81:15, 81:18, 81:21,	1
82:12, 82:15, 83:8,	7
83:13, 93:18,	5
102:15, 102:22,	6
103:1, 103:8, 103:11, 103:14,	6
103:17, 103:14,	6
103:22, 104:3,	6
104:16, 104:20,	6
104:24, 105:4,	6
	7

```
06:4, 106:21,
106:24, 108:1,
08:11, 108:14,
09:6, 111:7,
11:12, 111:18,
12:4, 112:8, 115:4,
15:9, 115:22,
16:4, 116:11,
16:14, 122:21,
23:3, 123:10,
24:2, 124:6,
24:24, 125:11,
25:15, 126:21,
27:11, 127:13,
128:9, 128:15,
128:18, 129:1,
129:8, 129:13,
129:17, 129:24,
30:22, 131:1,
131:7, 131:17,
31:22, 132:9,
32:11, 132:18,
32:23, 133:1,
33:15, 133:18,
133:23, 134:3,
34:13, 134:20,
34:25, 135:12,
35:19, 135:23,
36:2, 136:10,
36:16, 136:23,
37:2, 137:6,
37:11, 137:16,
137:21, 138:11,
38:21, 138:24,
39:3, 139:7,
39:13, 139:17,
39:22, 140:6,
40:12, 140:23,
141:10, 141:13,
142:6, 142:12,
143:2, 143:9,
143:13, 143:21,
143:23, 143:25,
44:8, 144:18,
44:25, 145:3,
45:10, 145:12,
145:18, 146:11,
46:16, 147:5,
147:9, 147:14, 148:2
LBANESE [49] -
1:12, 5:16, 6:14, 7:1,
7:14, 7:19, 8:10,
3:17, 9:6, 9:14, 58:7,
59:22, 61:24, 62:16,
62:25, 63:5, 63:10,
66:2, 66:5, 66:8,
66:11, 66:20, 66:25,
67:6, 67:14, 67:24,
38:12, 69:10, 69:15,
39:21, 70:17, 70:20,
71:1, 71:8, 74:18,
```

76:12, 76:20, 76:24, 114:9, 134:7, 134:18, 134:23, 135:1, 135:4, 135:16, 135:20, 135:24, 144:6, 147:23 Albanese [11] - 3:8, 3:14, 3:16, 5:15, 7:18, 8:16, 9:13, 58:6, 62:13, 114:8, 144:8 Allegiance [1] - 5:4 allocate [3] - 140:24, 141:5, 141:13 allocated [1] - 141:3 allocation [1] - 136:18 allow [4] - 95:9, 95:22, 112:21, 134:17 allowed [4] - 32:12, 32:19, 48:22, 74:11 allows [1] - 46:25 almost [2] - 28:20, 45:23 alone [2] - 88:8, 88:13 alteration [1] - 30:16 ALTERNATE [3] -1:18, 1:19, 1:20 ambulance [2] -108:7, 122:1 ambulances [1] -129:2 amenities [1] - 13:14 Amenity [2] - 4:7, 19:4 amenity [1] - 47:5 American [1] - 22:1 amount [5] - 139:3, 139:6, 139:7, 142:1, 143:7 analysis [10] - 12:19, 83:9, 85:15, 85:18, 88:18, 88:19, 88:24, 89:10, 97:23, 117:23 analytical [2] - 118:6, 119:17 analyze [1] - 84:4 analyzed [3] - 84:21, 87:4, 87:9 Andy [1] - 130:4 angle [1] - 94:7 answer [9] - 49:8, 60:14, 63:17, 63:21, 78:25, 115:17, 128:13, 136:16, 147:16 answering [1] - 14:1 anticipate [2] - 103:2, 103:4 anticipating [1] -95:11

add [4] - 67:18,

apart [1] - 56:15 apartment [2] - 120:1, 138:9 apartments [2] -56:13, 56:14 appeared [1] - 79:14 appendixes [1] -102:6 applicant [1] - 37:6 Applicant [1] - 2:10 application [32] -21:1, 23:19, 23:24, 24:19, 27:1, 27:9, 27:22, 29:11, 30:20, 32:11, 37:11, 37:18, 39:1, 44:1, 44:3, 51:9, 51:15, 55:7, 64:6, 64:25, 65:21, 66:17, 67:23, 70:15, 73:24, 75:11, 76:7, 77:18, 97:16, 122:25, 133:9, 133:13 applies [1] - 24:9 approach [6] - 46:12, 47:9, 86:5, 86:25, 89:8, 90:17 approaches [2] - 87:1, 89:5 appropriate [10] -34:7, 35:23, 36:10, 38:23, 38:24, 40:25, 48:25, 49:24, 53:11, 82:20 approval [1] - 6:9 approved [1] - 75:7 architect [7] - 10:13, 13:8, 22:3, 25:11, 39:8, 122:5, 128:9 architects [1] - 123:5 architectural [3] -10:22, 12:8, 136:6 area [22] - 13:10, 35:9, 36:2, 38:6, 39:3, 40:22, 42:2, 42:10, 42:18, 43:5, 43:9, 43:13, 45:17, 52:25, 68:10, 86:15, 87:18, 95:19, 96:7, 96:23, 132:7, 136:14 areas [6] - 26:5, 29:13, 32:8, 42:20, 87:17 argue [1] - 27:23 articulate [1] - 29:20 articulated [1] - 43:16 aspect [1] - 129:14 assigned [1] - 85:20 assist [1] - 17:19 associate [2] - 33:6,

99:16

associated [8] - 25:8, 31:5, 39:16, 48:24, 49:7, 98:13, 99:9, 99:19 Associates [6] - 1:8, 2:10, 3:3, 4:4, 7:8, 10:2 assumed [1] - 88:20 assuming [1] - 85:16 AstroTurf [2] - 46:17, 46:18 attached [3] - 81:22, 102:5, 111:8 attempt [1] - 72:11 attendance [4] - 33:2, 58:3, 114:5, 114:21 attorney [10] - 6:23, 12:2, 12:4, 51:3, 51:4, 76:8, 77:19, 77:22, 78:8, 118:23 attorneys [2] - 51:4, 77:25 AUDIENCE [2] -123:2, 136:9 audience [1] - 138:1 August [5] - 55:19, 144:17, 145:14, 148:3, 148:5 auto [1] - 38:5 available [1] - 110:3 Ave [1] - 1:6 avenue [1] - 43:4 **Avenue** [45] - 1:8, 3:4, 3:10, 3:11, 3:18, 4:5, 9:4, 10:3, 26:23, 35:24, 36:5, 38:20, 38:21, 42:12, 44:19, 48:3, 49:2, 49:20, 52:12, 54:6, 55:18, 56:4, 73:15, 74:3, 84:15, 84:17, 86:6, 86:25, 89:7, 91:14, 91:19, 91:23, 94:16, 94:21, 95:20, 96:3, 101:15, 111:17, 113:7, 113:11, 113:18, 113:19, 118:1, 119:12, 132:20 average [1] - 89:9 aware [4] - 76:9, 84:19, 113:20,

В

bachelor [1] - 79:8 backed [2] - 24:1, 112:3

146:15

background [3] -21:17, 86:14, 86:19 backing [1] - 91:19 backs [3] - 112:19, 113:3, 121:24 **backup** [1] - 113:19 bad [1] - 12:25 ball [1] - 111:20 bar [5] - 88:7, 97:24, 98:2, 99:12, 99:19 bar/restaurant [1] -118:15 base [1] - 130:3 based [11] - 36:15, 82:25, 85:8, 85:10, 85:18, 85:20, 87:7, 119:19, 119:25, 124:12, 124:16 baseline [1] - 86:8 basement [1] - 117:23 **bases** [1] - 35:6 basis [2] - 23:1, 146:14 battery [1] - 127:15 beat [1] - 89:19 becomes [1] - 132:4 bedroom [7] - 25:9, 25:10, 56:14, 124:23, 138:18, 139:2 beginning [1] - 61:14 behind [3] - 12:5, 51:8, 132:7 belong [1] - 68:25 belongings [1] - 62:11 belongs [6] - 62:4, 62:19, 62:20, 66:6, 66:9, 68:25 below [5] - 11:1, 38:19, 38:21, 48:3 benefit [2] - 21:16, 71:21 benefited [1] - 77:7 Bergen [1] - 103:24 best [1] - 12:7 better [3] - 45:1, 85:24, 91:21 between [11] - 24:14, 61:4, 65:20, 72:7, 73:2, 85:13, 116:3, 122:11, 129:5, 132:11, 132:12 beyond [6] - 38:20, 41:21, 48:8, 72:24, 75:5, 75:8 big [7] - 20:20, 30:18, 55:25, 70:8, 119:2, 122:9, 128:17

Bill [6] - 21:14, 22:15, 25:12, 32:25, 36:20, 51:2 bills [2] - 6:22, 147:10 Birchwale [1] - 7:7 bit [11] - 19:24, 31:10, 36:25, 43:10, 44:12, 49:13, 51:7, 52:22, 78:13, 79:3, 89:16 bite [1] - 27:5 Block [5] - 1:5, 1:7, 1:9, 3:4, 4:5 blow [1] - 131:6 board [36] - 5:9, 13:20, 14:18, 16:2, 16:10, 18:24, 24:12, 24:16, 27:8, 28:19, 50:22, 50:24, 53:14, 53:22, 55:12, 55:23, 65:5, 75:5, 75:8, 76:1, 82:1, 82:16, 94:13, 96:1, 97:18, 100:17, 103:14, 103:15, 103:17, 103:23, 113:20, 117:3, 121:1, 123:17, 133:8, 149:6 BOARD [4] - 1:1, 2:15, 2:16, 2:17 Board [3] - 2:3, 2:5, 11:5 board's [5] - 75:13, 82:2, 91:9, 102:19, 124:15 Board/Professional [2] - 3:7, 3:13 boards [2] - 22:5, 79:15 body [2] - 55:11, 149:7 border [1] - 56:4 borough [4] - 5:8, 5:10, 51:1, 53:8 BOROUGH [1] - 1:1 Borough [4] - 5:10, 23:14, 132:14, 133:4 borough's [1] - 24:3 Borough's [1] -131:14 Boswell [1] - 14:2 bother [1] - 139:18 bottom [1] - 110:25 bought [1] - 53:5 Bowman [6] - 20:2, 20:18, 21:21, 79:7, 80:19, 83:15 box [3] - 82:21, 83:3 **BOX** [1] - 1:24 Brauer [4] - 3:10, 3:18, 55:17, 138:6

BRAUER [23] - 55:17,

56:5, 56:7, 56:10, 56:18, 56:21, 57:7, 57:12, 57:16, 138:3, 138:6, 138:8, 138:17, 139:1, 139:9, 139:14, 139:18, 140:4, 140:8, 140:13, 141:16, 141:18, 142:9 break [6] - 57:21, 113:23, 114:2, 115:12, 137:8 breakdown [3] -122:18, 122:20, 137:12 brief [2] - 57:24, 114:3 briefly [2] - 24:18, 106:12 **brilliance** [1] - 126:7 bring [5] - 60:4, 82:24, 83:2, 108:10, 125:25 bringing [3] - 48:12, 134:1, 137:5 Brogna [6] - 6:6, 8:3, 9:1, 9:23, 58:16, 114:18 BROGNA [7] - 1:18, 6:7, 8:4, 9:2, 9:24, 58:17, 114:19 broken [1] - 99:17 **BROOK** [1] - 1:24 brought [5] - 111:15, 115:12, 115:24, 138:14, 145:5 **build** [2] - 86:20, 89:6 building [88] - 11:9, 19:23, 24:14, 24:15, 24:22, 24:24, 25:2, 25:8, 25:20, 26:22, 27:3, 27:10, 27:13, 27:21, 27:25, 28:10, 28:19, 30:12, 30:18, 30:22, 31:6, 31:22, 34:1, 36:7, 37:24, 38:3, 38:4, 38:18, 39:20, 39:22, 40:16, 41:5, 42:2, 45:22, 46:2, 46:3, 46:5, 46:6, 48:3, 48:8, 49:1, 49:15, 49:25, 52:17, 61:12, 70:12, 82:24, 90:25, 91:2, 91:5, 91:10, 91:16, 91:25, 92:6, 92:11, 92:16, 92:19, 93:1, 93:24, 94:6, 94:17, 95:1, 95:3, 95:16, 96:18, 99:4, 100:22, 101:14, 109:2,

bill [3] - 6:24, 23:12,

41:17

120:4, 122:5, 122:18, 122:19, 122:24, 123:22, 130:21, 132:7, 132:9, 132:10, 132:13, 132:17, 133:9, 133:11, 133:12, 133:18, 133:20, 133:21 buildings [10] - 30:8, 37:7, 41:16, 41:23, 42:2, 48:8, 52:25, 55:24, 101:18, 120:1 builds [1] - 52:17 **built** [3] - 53:6, 56:6, 56:7 bullet [1] - 27:5 **bulletin** [1] - 5:9 Burlington [1] - 64:10 **business** [1] - 26:19 businesses [1] -37:24 button [1] - 11:1 **buzz** [1] - 11:13 BY [14] - 21:13, 22:14, 23:11, 64:18, 65:11, 78:22, 80:11, 81:15, 83:13, 93:18, 106:4, 106:24, 109:6, 126:21 bygone [1] - 48:20

C

C-2 [1] - 43:23 C.C.R [2] - 149:3, 149:14 **C.S.R** [1] - 1:23 calculate [1] - 112:7 calculated [4] - 125:2, 126:16, 143:14, 143:16 Caldwell [1] - 23:2 caliber [1] - 42:22 **Candice** [1] - 146:5 cannot [2] - 59:21, 101:21 capable [1] - 130:20 **capitalize** [1] - 73:4 car [4] - 122:1, 127:21, 128:23, 137:8 care [2] - 70:17, 70:18 career [1] - 22:7 **CARMINE** [1] - 2:7 **Carmine** [3] - 10:5, 135:16, 147:25 CARNOVALE [49] -1:14, 6:3, 6:16, 6:25,

7:13, 8:2, 8:12, 8:25,

9:8, 9:22, 58:15, 61:22, 71:7, 71:13, 71:22, 72:1, 72:4, 72:9, 72:13, 72:18, 72:21, 73:11, 73:25, 74:8, 74:20, 75:1, 75:12, 75:16, 75:23, 76:10, 114:17, 114:23, 117:5, 117:9, 117:19, 117:22, 118:14, 119:21, 120:2, 120:17, 120:21, 120:25, 121:6, 121:11, 121:16, 122:8, 129:11, 134:11, 135:7 Carnovale [9] - 3:8, 3:14, 5:5, 6:2, 8:1, 8:24, 9:21, 58:14, 114:16 Carolina [1] - 79:9 carry [1] - 147:3 cars [1] - 112:22 CARUCCI [3] - 1:23, 149:3, 149:14 case [12] - 10:1, 37:14, 37:17, 39:17, 47:2, 51:5, 51:13, 51:16, 52:15, 52:17, 77:10, Case [10] - 1:3, 1:5, 1:7. 2:3. 2:5. 3:3. 4:4, 8:7, 9:4, 10:2 cases [1] - 78:8 catch [1] - 57:13 categories [1] - 37:9 cease [1] - 98:11 Cedar [1] - 21:5 ceiling [2] - 129:11, 129:12 census [1] - 143:2 certain [4] - 29:13, 32:6, 113:15, 128:19 certainly [14] - 39:4, 43:8, 48:6, 48:22, 48:23, 49:23, 50:11, 53:10, 54:25, 94:15, 96:5, 108:18, 112:25. 124:7 certification [1] - 80:4 certifications [1] -79:20 Certified [3] - 22:2,

79:23, 149:4

certify [1] - 149:5

cetera [1] - 123:15

CHAIRMAN [194] -

1:11, 1:12, 5:1, 5:6,

CERTIFIED [1] - 1:23

5:14, 5:16, 6:8, 6:14, 6:17, 6:21, 7:1, 7:2, 7:14, 7:15, 7:17, 7:19, 8:5, 8:10, 8:13, 8:15, 8:17, 9:3, 9:6, 9:9, 9:12, 9:14, 9:25, 10:14, 10:17, 10:25, 11:3, 11:17, 11:24, 13:5, 13:23, 14:3, 14:5, 14:17, 14:20, 15:15, 15:19, 15:22, 16:7, 16:14, 16:23, 17:16, 17:22, 18:8, 18:12, 19:10, 19:12, 19:18, 19:21, 20:9, 20:13, 22:11, 23:10, 53:15, 53:25, 54:3, 55:15, 56:20, 57:17, 57:20, 57:25, 58:5, 58:7, 58:18, 59:6, 59:11, 59:14, 59:22, 60:11, 61:7, 61:18, 61:24, 62:16, 62:25, 63:5, 63:10, 63:19, 63:24, 65:9, 66:2, 66:5, 66:8, 66:11, 66:20, 66:25, 67:6, 67:14, 67:24, 68:12, 69:10, 69:15, 69:21, 70:17, 70:20, 71:1, 71:8, 71:12, 74:18, 76:12, 76:20, 76:24, 77:14, 77:21, 78:2, 78:10, 78:18, 81:2, 108:9, 108:12, 113:22, 114:4, 114:9, 114:20, 114:24, 115:2, 115:7, 115:10, 115:16, 115:19, 116:2, 116:6, 116:19, 116:22, 117:2, 117:7, 121:2, 121:9, 122:15, 123:8, 123:19, 124:1, 124:4, 124:21, 124:25, 125:4, 125:8, 125:14, 127:2, 127:6, 127:12, 127:16, 128:1, 128:4, 128:7, 128:14, 128:16, 128:25, 129:4, 129:9, 129:15, 129:20, 130:5, 130:7. 130:10. 130:12, 131:20, 131:25, 134:5, 134:7, 134:18,

135:4, 135:16, 135:20, 135:24, 137:22, 137:25, 139:15, 140:10, 140:14, 140:19, 140:25, 141:15, 142:16, 142:19, 142:21, 144:6, 144:13, 144:24, 145:2, 145:9, 145:11, 145:16, 145:22, 146:1, 146:7, 147:18, 147:21, 147:23 chairman [3] - 15:2, 20:17, 53:12 Chairman [25] - 3:7, 3:8, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 10:4, 10:5, 10:24, 11:5, 11:12, 12:20, 15:11, 16:5, 18:21, 19:7, 20:4, 20:8, 23:8, 55:11, 60:9, 81:1, 117:6, 122:21, 130:6, 147:14 challenging [1] - 12:4 chance [5] - 18:13, 20:10, 42:14, 102:8, 102:12 change [9] - 30:17, 40:20, 49:17, 49:22, 76:15, 77:1, 100:14, 101:2, 134:10 changed [2] - 27:16, 54:17 changes [4] - 54:20, 54:25, 55:5, 55:9 changing [2] - 77:1, 89:25 channel [1] - 132:4 chapter [1] - 30:3 charge [1] - 146:14 charging [5] - 124:13, 125:5, 125:10, 125:17. 125:22 **CHARLIE** [1] - 1:19 check [5] - 14:11, 19:11, 121:13, 121:15, 131:14 checked [1] - 130:18 checks [1] - 19:16 children [1] - 56:14 Chiurazzi [5] - 108:11, 108:12, 129:21, 129:22, 130:4 choice [2] - 125:16, 126:2 choose [1] - 47:12

circulate [2] - 12:23, 105:7 circulated [2] - 15:18, 81:7 circulation [3] - 92:5, 92:9, 108:5 circumstances [1] -12:17 City [2] - 52:16, 118:4 civil [5] - 10:9, 11:6, 63:21, 65:1, 79:8 classifying [1] - 47:3 clean [1] - 27:22 cleaner [1] - 45:3 clear [3] - 18:1, 61:8, 77:15 clearance [5] - 127:25, 128:19, 129:2, 129:14, 129:18 cleared [1] - 115:14 clerk [1] - 130:1 Clerk's [1] - 5:11 clerk's [2] - 19:19, 82:19 client [2] - 122:23, 147:25 client's [1] - 146:25 cling [1] - 139:24 close [3] - 77:11, 96:8, 132:7 closing [2] - 77:7, 77:9 club [2] - 28:1, 100:11 code [2] - 23:15, 23:20 Code [1] - 149:11 collaborated [2] -36:11, 80:22 collaboration [1] -27:2 COLLAZUOL [29] -2:15, 14:8, 15:24, 54:2, 60:17, 93:15, 104:13, 104:18, 104:23, 105:3, 120:15, 121:12, 122:4, 130:6, 130:8, 130:11, 130:13, 130:23, 131:2, 131:8, 132:1, 132:10, 132:12, 132:22, 132:25, 133:3, 133:16, 133:19, 133:25 Collazuol [17] - 3:15, 4:16, 4:18, 13:25, 14:2, 14:3, 14:4, 14:15, 15:13, 58:22, 102:23, 103:5, Chung [1] - 5:19 104:4, 105:22, **CHUNG** [1] - 1:19 105:25, 120:11,

134:23, 135:1,

145:5 Collazuol's [4] -14:12, 15:3, 18:2, 103:7 colleague [2] - 80:13, 80:19 Columbia [5] - 84:17, 86:3, 86:25, 88:25, 89:7 combination [1] -131:5 comfortable [1] -108:8 coming [13] - 62:6, 66:22, 67:1, 68:15, 69:7, 71:9, 74:15, 76:16. 100:23. 111:21, 118:7, 135:18, 144:16 comment [17] - 14:16, 14:20, 14:23, 18:2, 52:2, 84:24, 104:19, 105:11, 106:5, 106:10, 108:13, 110:24, 112:15, 116:16, 133:23, 136:5, 145:1 comments [13] -12:24, 12:25, 51:25, 53:20, 102:14, 108:15, 109:4, 111:24, 115:11, 116:10, 116:12, 116:20, 144:23 commercial [19] -24:23, 26:1, 26:12, 31:11, 34:24, 36:3, 39:10, 40:22, 41:5, 45:22. 101:14. 136:8, 136:20, 136:24, 141:2, 142:7, 142:9, 142:11, 142:12 commingled [1] - 26:1 commission [1] -149:6 commissioned [1] -122:23 common [5] - 61:2, 61:5, 61:21, 77:2, 92:24 communicate [1] -108:14 communicated [1] -73:7 communication [2] -73:24, 76:5 **community** [1] - 37:25 commuter [1] - 100:4 company [4] - 20:19,

20:20, 48:14, 98:8 confused [2] - 14:25, compared [4] - 28:10, 105:8 48:20, 110:21, connect [2] - 131:9, 111:14 131:11 comparing [4] - 97:23, connected [2] -97:24, 111:2, 143:1 130:17, 130:19 comparison [2] - 35:3, connection [2] -130:15, 133:11 completed [3] - 10:23, connections [2] -13:8, 115:4 133:12, 133:14 completely [2] - 99:2, conservative [9] -101:25 27:19, 46:12, 46:23, Completeness [2] -47:9, 85:15, 87:15, 4:15, 105:19 88:18, 89:10, 124:17 completeness [1] conservatively [1] -104:6 88:24 complies [2] - 124:13, consider [4] - 74:13, 149:10 88:5, 89:11, 119:22 comply [5] - 45:23, considerably [1] -45:24, 46:10, 56:11 138:25, 140:1 considerations [1] component [1] - 25:7 36:17 composition [1] considered [2] -100:15 48:19, 85:25 Computer [2] - 4:6, considering [1] -19:3 50:23 computer [1] - 13:12 consistency [2] -50:15, 51:11 concept [2] - 30:11, 52:21 consistent [7] - 40:22, concepts [1] - 48:21 50:3, 50:5, 50:6, concern [6] - 73:1, 50:9, 50:24, 53:7 consolidate [1] - 38:8 73:2, 75:9, 106:16, 109:13, 109:24 constitutes [1] - 49:11 concerned [3] - 56:12, constraints [1] - 148:1 56:21, 122:2 construction [4] concerns [4] - 76:2, 73:9, 110:15, 82:4, 85:1, 97:1 110:17, 126:12 concert [1] - 55:11 consult [3] - 80:5, conclude [1] - 12:21 80:8, 91:9 consultant [5] - 12:12, concluded [2] - 12:9, 13:4, 20:19, 50:15, 144:20 conclusions [1] - 85:9 52:24 condition [3] - 42:9, consultants [2] -42:15, 42:20 17:21, 105:12 conditions [7] - 11:8, Consulting [2] -11:11, 24:14, 33:20, 21:21, 79:7 consulting [1] - 22:21 33:22, 42:23, 48:7 conducted [3] - 80:21, contact [2] - 73:19, 131:13 110:23, 111:11 contacted [1] - 70:16 conferenced [1] - 24:5 confirm [2] - 15:6, context [2] - 52:16, 52:18 29:8 conflict [2] - 7:8, continue [7] - 10:6, 12:11, 36:20, 49:4, conform [4] - 27:4, 101:6, 126:11, 29:11, 141:1, 141:2 144:15 continued [4] - 10:22, conforming [2] -12:8, 42:7, 144:11 26:23, 110:9

conforms [2] - 40:16,

45:7

contract [1] - 145:24 contracting [1] -48:14 control [3] - 47:1, 84:18, 110:15 controlling [2] -138:22, 140:1 convenient [1] - 91:3 conventional [1] -98:21 conversations [1] -36:16 cooperating [1] -75:10 cooperation [1] - 70:5 cooperative [1] -73:19 copies [2] - 18:23, 76.8 copy [7] - 17:7, 17:11, 51:19, 60:18, 81:16, 83:6, 111:9 corner [2] - 33:13, 34:13 correct [59] - 25:21, 25:22, 26:16, 26:17, 27:7, 28:3, 28:4, 28:8, 28:16, 28:17, 28:22, 30:9, 31:1, 40:10, 40:11, 41:24, 65:1, 65:2, 66:7, 66:10, 74:6, 74:7, 80:19, 80:20, 80:24, 92:20, 93:24, 93:25, 94:4, 94:8, 94:9. 94:18, 94:25, 95:16, 95:24, 96:19, 98:15, 98:24, 98:25, 99:6, 99:13, 99:14, 100:16, 101:20, 102:2, 103:10, 108:12, 109:10, 115:13, 115:21, 116:20, 116:21, 117:15, 124:22, 136:9, 137:19, 141:9, 142:2 correction [3] - 7:4, 104:18, 104:22 corrections [1] - 6:12 correctly [2] - 73:12, 136:6 correspondence [1] -16:1 cost [2] - 146:19, 146:21 Counsel [4] - 2:3, 2:5, 2:10, 115:3 counsel [1] - 13:23 count [11] - 13:22,

106:15, 110:23, 111:11, 112:10, 119:6, 119:7, 119:9, 120:4, 127:8, 144:7 counting [1] - 110:22 country [2] - 21:23, 87:14 counts [11] - 84:9, 84:10, 85:1, 85:5, 85:7, 85:10, 86:7, 102:6, 112:16, 112:23 County [1] - 61:3 couple [10] - 29:9, 31:4, 32:10, 44:16, 48:5, 58:19, 73:12, 122:16, 125:23, 135:3 course [8] - 12:17, 72:7, 74:11, 74:24, 92:4, 97:10, 107:7, 145:7 Court [2] - 52:15, 149:4 court [12] - 10:20, 10:21, 55:25, 71:17, 73:3, 77:11, 77:12, 145:25, 146:1, 146:17, 147:12, 149:6 COURT [5] - 1:23, 55:3, 139:5, 146:6, 146.9 courtesy [3] - 90:18, 117:11, 117:12 courts [3] - 51:13, 110:8, 110:12 coverage [14] - 31:9, 31:11, 31:12, 44:21, 44:24, 45:1, 45:10, 45:12, 45:20, 47:3, 47:14, 47:20, 48:9, 48:12 covered [1] - 7:9 covers [1] - 97:14 create [5] - 25:18, 70:10, 95:18, 101:6, 126:13 created [1] - 149:7 **credentials** [1] - 21:15 credit [9] - 124:12, 125:6, 125:9, 126:2, 126:5, 126:15, 127:3, 127:9 Creek [1] - 39:4 criteria [8] - 40:1, 40:2, 40:6, 40:8, 41:4, 44:15, 48:1, 50.20 critique [2] - 16:22,

continuing [1] - 80:3

Continuing [1] - 4:1

110:20 cross [1] - 25:18 crossing [1] - 98:16 crowd [1] - 100:3 curb [1] - 93:8 curbing [1] - 94:2 current [4] - 27:18, 35:5, 48:23, 104:8 cusp [1] - 86:24 **customers** [1] - 95:12 cut [1] - 89:25 cycle [1] - 146:23

D

D-1 [6] - 29:16, 29:20, 30:5, 30:11, 36:25, 40:8 **D-2** [2] - 30:15, 39:14 **D-6** [4] - 28:15, 30:23, 30:24, 39:14 Dan [8] - 78:23, 79:6, 80:18, 83:14, 92:18, 98:7, 106:5, 126:18 dangerous [1] - 57:2 Daniel [1] - 64:15 **DANIEL** [2] - 3:12, 64:16 data [7] - 87:7, 87:13, 87:16, 118:6, 119:17, 120:7, 125:1 Date [1] - 149:16 date [9] - 17:5, 34:18, 54:8, 54:10, 54:11, 54:12, 82:8, 104:14, 116:8 dated [17] - 4:8, 4:9, 4:12. 4:13. 4:15. 4:17, 4:18, 15:4, 16:21, 34:16, 34:22, 81:12, 105:13, 105:16, 105:19, 105:23, 106:1 Dated [1] - 149:17 dates [1] - 104:17 **DAVID** [1] - 1:15 days [1] - 15:5 de [3] - 44:21, 47:13, 90:2 dead [3] - 89:19, 109:19, 109:23 dead-end [2] - 109:19, 109:23 deal [1] - 11:25 dealing [2] - 10:9, 72:7 deals [1] - 51:5 debate [3] - 55:10,

118:7, 119:7

debated [1] - 72:10 debating [1] - 118:24 decades [8] - 71:6, 71:9, 71:11, 71:20, 76:15, 77:12, 77:13, 101:1 December [5] - 16:9, 81:6, 81:13, 83:16, 149:16 decided [1] - 27:5 decides [1] - 71:15 declare [1] - 27:6 decreasing [1] - 142:1 dedicated [3] - 65:25, 67:18, 101:12 deduct [1] - 88:16 deed [3] - 59:3, 69:9, deep [1] - 110:1 defer [1] - 144:22 definitely [1] - 15:20 delay [3] - 85:20, 89:4, 89:9 deliberating [1] -75:11 deliberations [1] -113:21 delicate [1] - 10:13 deliver [1] - 82:17 delivered [2] - 17:1, 17:3 deliveries [2] - 107:10, 108:25 delivering [1] - 147:15 delivery [1] - 108:24 demand [3] - 47:14, 80:7, 124:19 demolition [1] - 87:12 density [2] - 29:7, 39:6 19:23, 82:25,

DEMARRAIS [1] - 2:7 department [6] -108:10, 119:4, 122:3, 133:8 Department [2] -86:13, 111:10

Description [1] - 4:3 design [1] - 48:6 designate [1] - 140:22 desirable [2] - 44:7, 91:11 detail [3] - 31:16, 43:16, 107:5

detailed [1] - 52:5 details [5] - 10:10, 11:7, 13:9, 43:21, 52:3 deteriorated [1] -

determine [2] - 84:5,

42:19

110:3 determined [2] -25:14, 85:10 detriment [2] - 38:6, 40:3

detrimental [1] - 42:22 developed [1] - 86:21 developer [1] - 126:13 development [10] -11:9, 29:5, 30:21,

42:21, 47:24, 51:1, 76:1, 88:11, 88:14, 124:8

developments [3] -119:20, 124:16, 124:18

deviate [1] - 26:10 deviates [1] - 29:6 deviation [1] - 28:23 deviations [1] - 29:13 diagonal [2] - 91:1, 91:15

diagrams [1] - 102:6 diana [1] - 13:18 **DIANE** [1] - 2:3 Diane [12] - 6:22, 7:6, 7:8, 16:11, 17:9, 18:16, 81:19, 82:6, 83:6, 102:18, 141:16, 147:12

difference [1] - 135:21 different [11] - 20:21, 20:22, 26:19, 31:19, 35:6, 41:23, 53:3, 69:12, 75:15, 80:8,

84:13 dig [4] - 83:12, 123:6, 142:25, 143:4

dimensions [1] -136:4

dining [1] - 98:23 dire [1] - 21:15 **Dire** [2] - 3:6, 3:12

DIRE [3] - 21:12, 64:17, 78:21

direct [3] - 38:14, 53:13, 115:4 **DIRECT** [3] - 22:13, 65:10, 80:10

Direct [2] - 3:6, 3:13 direction [4] - 42:24,

101:23, 134:15, 134:17 directions [1] - 134:16

directly [3] - 17:21, 82:24, 101:15

discipline [1] - 21:22 disco [1] - 99:12 discuss [2] - 89:15,

90:23

discussed [2] - 89:18, 122:7

discussing [2] -84:20, 88:22

discussions [1] -77:18 dispute [1] - 76:4

disrespect [4] - 72:14, 75:4, 120:6, 122:10 distance [4] - 97:2,

97:5, 110:5, 115:13 distinction [1] - 24:13 distributed [1] - 18:24 district [4] - 24:9,

30:2, 31:18, 31:20 disturbance [1] -38:25

divide [3] - 68:9, 140:18, 140:22

divided [1] - 67:22 divides [1] - 102:1

dividing [1] - 67:9 doctor's [1] - 123:7

doctrine [1] - 73:5 document [3] - 54:15,

70:10, 123:11 documents [2] -

23:14, 23:25 dog [4] - 46:11, 46:13, 47:11

done [6] - 22:20, 52:9, 81:10, 139:20, 145:13, 146:24

door [2] - 73:14, 128:24

door's [1] - 74:23 DOT [2] - 110:22, 113:12

double [1] - 67:8

doubting [1] - 17:16 down [32] - 11:1, 25:4,

31:13, 42:22, 46:1, 46:3, 46:4, 46:5, 46:21, 48:3, 48:12,

49:20, 59:15, 60:21, 61:1, 68:8, 73:15,

74:3, 74:10, 83:2, 83:3, 92:10, 96:7,

96:14, 97:11, 99:17, 110:4, 111:22,

118:3, 128:23, 131:3, 137:8

downsize [1] - 56:10 **DPW** [2] - 131:3, 131:14

drag [1] - 42:22 drain [2] - 132:3, 132:8

drainage [3] - 10:10, 11:10, 133:11

Drive [1] - 64:10

drive [1] - 42:18

driver [1] - 110:2 drivers [1] - 91:23

driveway [43] - 34:5, 34:25, 35:2, 36:4,

59:13, 60:22, 61:3, 61:5, 62:14, 65:18, 65:19, 68:24, 69:14,

74:3, 74:4, 88:21, 90:7, 90:18, 90:19,

90:20, 92:15, 92:17, 95:2, 95:16, 96:2, 96:6, 100:18,

100:21, 102:1, 107:5, 108:21,

108:22, 108:25,

109:8, 120:12, 120:13, 120:15,

120:18, 127:19 driving [2] - 41:22, 128:22

drops [2] - 49:20, 96:7 **due** [1] - 59:16

duly [2] - 21:6, 64:11 during [6] - 57:1,

84:11, 85:5, 99:11, 131:4, 131:6 dwell [1] - 43:15

dwelling [3] - 24:10, 40:14, 52:25

dwellings [2] - 52:11, 110:16

Ε

e-mail [2] - 19:8, 81:8 ear[1] - 11:14 earplugs [4] - 11:12,

11:23, 11:24, 12:3 easement [15] - 58:24, 58:25, 59:2, 59:4, 59:17, 59:19, 60:22,

69:9, 70:6, 73:13, 73:21, 101:22, 132:2, 132:5

easements [2] - 25:18, 58:23

easier [3] - 96:20, 97:8, 128:12

easily [2] - 117:25, 146:24

eastbound [5] - 84:14, 86:24, 111:17,

111:21, 112:3 edification [1] -

124:15 **education** [2] - 79:4, 80:3

educational [1] - 21:17
effect [2] - 54:21, 99:5 efficient [2] - 10:21,
90:4 egress [15] - 59:13, 62:21, 63:13, 65:23,
65:24, 67:21, 68:6, 71:20, 89:20, 89:21,
89:24, 90:9, 101:6, 101:10, 101:13 eight [2] - 18:7, 33:3
either [4] - 60:23, 98:5, 99:2, 146:22
electric [8] - 124:12, 125:7, 125:19, 126:5, 126:20,
126:22, 126:25, 127:14
electrical [3] - 125:16, 125:20, 125:25 ELEFTERIOS [1] -
1:13 Elefteriou [6] - 5:23,
7:24, 8:22, 9:19, 58:12, 114:14 ELEFTERIOU [7] -
1:13, 5:24, 7:25, 8:23, 9:20, 58:13,
114:15 ELENI [1] - 2:14 Eleni [3] - 19:15,
145:23, 147:7 elevation [2] - 44:18,
96:9 elicit [1] - 133:14 eliminate [3] - 93:4,
93:19, 122:13 eliminated [7] - 88:6, 93:17, 93:21, 94:20,
97:3, 99:2, 118:9 eliminates [1] - 99:3
eliminating [4] - 97:4, 100:6, 107:9, 119:3 emergency [4] -
107:10, 108:4, 108:6, 121:21
employed [1] - 149:8 encouraging [1] - 40:14
encroaching [1] - 92:25
end [4] - 109:19, 109:23, 110:14, 120:9
enforce [1] - 71:19 Engineer [1] - 79:24
ENGINEER [2] - 2:15,

11:7, 12:12, 13:3, 47:4, 99:25, 120:3 15:6, 39:9, 57:9, 63:17, 63:21, 64:20, 64:25, 65:1, 65:7, 75:17, 79:1, 79:13, 115:5, 131:13, 136:3 Engineering [3] -13:25, 20:2, 20:18 engineering [14] -10:11, 16:5, 20:19, 20:20, 60:1, 65:4, 79:9, 79:11, 79:17, 79:18, 79:21, 80:4, 133:8, 145:4 engineers [2] - 123:5, 137:3 Engineers [3] - 80:2, 80:6, 87:9 enhanced [1] - 146:18 enterprises [1] - 26:19 enters [1] - 92:7 entertain [1] - 100:3 entire [1] - 30:8 entirely [3] - 89:21, 92:22, 92:23 entrance [5] - 34:1, 35:25, 36:1, 96:17, 96:23 enumerated [1] -29:15 environment [2] -44:7, 85:25 environmentally [1] equipment [3] - 98:17, 107:10, 108:4 era [1] - 48:20 Eric [4] - 36:11, 80:19, 102:11, 102:14 escrow [5] - 19:9, 19:15, 147:7, 147:15 especially [1] - 86:5 **ESQUIRE** [3] - 2:3, 2:5, 2:7 established [3] - 30:2, 37:24, 141:19 estoppel [1] - 73:5 et [1] - 123:15 EV [3] - 125:19, 126:13, 126:17 evaluate [2] - 50:2, evaluation [2] - 83:16, 110:21

39:1

86:9

134:1

evening [5] - 22:6,

22:9, 23:5, 107:19,

eventually [1] - 95:22

27:15, 27:17, 46:18,

exactly [7] - 13:13,

Examination [4] - 3:6, 3:6, 3:12, 3:13 **EXAMINATION** [6] -21:12, 22:13, 64:17, 65:10, 78:21, 80:10 example [4] - 53:3, 126:4, 128:19, 144:21 exceeded [1] - 28:14 **exceeds** [1] - 39:22 excellent [1] - 18:15 excess [1] - 30:24 exhibit [11] - 18:16, 32:22, 33:9, 33:17, 33:20, 33:24, 34:8, 65:13, 65:15, 65:17, 123:6 Exhibit [11] - 4:8, 19:5, 34:15, 34:17, 81:14, 82:11, 105:14, 105:17, 105:20, 105:24, 106:2 exhibits [9] - 12:10, 32:25, 33:4, 60:6, 60:10, 83:17, 102:10, 107:14, 107:16 exist [1] - 44:9 existed [1] - 39:23 existence [1] - 28:5 existing [60] - 11:8, 24:14, 24:24, 25:2, 26:11, 27:10, 27:18, 27:24, 30:18, 30:22, 30:25, 31:5, 31:12, 32:17, 32:20, 33:10, 33:20, 34:1, 35:4, 35:11, 35:14, 35:15, 35:19, 37:23, 37:24, 39:20, 39:21, 39:23, 40:21, 45:22, 48:7, 62:14, 65:4, 67:21, 74:2, 84:4, 86:22, 87:11, 87:12, 88:17, 92:2, 93:24, 94:5, 94:17, 95:15, 95:16, 96:18, 97:17, 100:20, 100:22, 101:5, 113:9, 122:24, 133:9, 133:18, 133:19, 133:20 exists [4] - 26:22, 48:9, 67:12, 70:10 exit [2] - 88:21, 111:17 exiting [1] - 101:13 expand [2] - 49:13, 95:25

expanded [1] - 70:12 expanding [1] - 70:13 expansion [2] - 27:6, 30:16 expect [7] - 18:5, 87:19, 87:24, 90:18, 105:11, 116:10, 129:24 expected [2] - 87:23, 107:14 expedite [3] - 146:20, 147:6, 147:16 expedited [3] - 146:5, 146:14, 146:18 expense [1] - 123:13 experience [4] -21:17, 22:19, 117:24, 124:16 expert [7] - 18:9, 22:12, 44:6, 78:12, 81:3, 119:5, 129:23 Expert [1] - 117:10 expertise [1] - 53:18 experts [1] - 53:18 Expiration [1] -149:16 explain [6] - 27:8, 67:15, 97:21, 107:12, 110:25, 130:22 express [2] - 29:17, 84:25 expressed [2] - 97:1, 109:24 extend [2] - 90:17, 148:2 extending [1] - 73:21 extensive [2] - 10:8, 11:5 extra [6] - 17:6, 44:16, 48:5, 146:5, 146:8, 146:21 eyesight [1] - 52:10

F

face [1] - 78:7 facilitate [2] - 36:13, 96:20 facility [1] - 48:13 fact [11] - 28:6, 40:19, 41:5, 43:12, 45:7, 48:2, 50:9, 85:6, 118:19, 118:22, 121:23 facto [1] - 90:2 factor [1] - 50:17 fair [1] - 112:24 fairly [2] - 15:13, 47:22 fall [1] - 121:12 falling [1] - 56:15 false [1] - 119:3 familiar [8] - 24:2, 24:4, 24:16, 42:19, 49:19, 51:5, 52:14, 52:20 family [4] - 53:4, 53:5, 61:4, 124:22 **Fan** [5] - 1:8, 2:10, 3:3, 4:4, 10:2 **far** [4] - 30:24, 75:10, 103:1 faster [1] - 146:24 fault [1] - 127:9 favor [1] - 6:18 feasible [2] - 130:19, 131:16 fee [3] - 146:5, 146:8, 146:18 fees [2] - 19:9, 147:7 feet [28] - 28:21, 31:8, 31:23, 31:24, 31:25, 32:16, 32:17, 32:21, 38:20, 38:21, 44:17, 48:5, 49:21, 86:17, 121:4, 121:5, 121:7, 121:9, 131:12, 134:8, 134:9, 134:15, 134:16, 135:5, 135:22, 136:1 felt [1] - 34:7 fenced [1] - 48:15 FERGUSON [144] -1:11, 5:1, 5:6, 5:14, 6:8, 6:17, 6:21, 7:2, 7:15, 7:17, 8:5, 8:13, 8:15, 9:3, 9:9, 9:12, 9:25, 10:14, 10:17, 10:25, 11:3, 11:24, 13:5, 13:23, 14:3, 14:5, 14:17, 14:20, 15:15, 15:19, 15:22, 16:7, 16:14, 16:23, 17:16, 17:22, 18:8, 18:12, 19:10, 19:12, 19:18, 19:21, 20:9, 20:13, 22:11, 23:10, 53:15, 53:25, 54:3, 55:15, 56:20, 57:17, 57:20, 57:25, 58:5, 58:18, 59:6, 59:11, 59:14, 60:11, 61:7, 61:18, 63:19, 63:24, 65:9, 71:12, 77:14, 77:21, 78:2, 78:10, 78:18. 81:2. 108:9. 108:12, 113:22, 114:4, 114:20, 114:24, 115:2,

2.17

engineer [19] - 10:9,

115.7 115.10
115:7, 115:10,
115:16, 115:19,
116:2, 116:6,
116:19, 116:22,
117:2, 117:7, 121:2,
121:9, 122:15,
123:8, 123:19,
124:1, 124:4,
124:21, 124:25,
125:4, 125:8,
125:14, 127:2,
127:6, 127:12,
127:16, 128:1,
128:4, 128:7,
128:14, 128:16,
128:25, 129:4,
129:9, 129:15,
129:20, 130:5,
130:7, 130:10,
130:12, 131:20,
131:25, 134:5,
137:22, 137:25,
139:15, 140:10,
140:14, 140:19,
140:25, 141:15,
142:16, 142:19,
142:21, 144:13,
144:24, 145:2,
145:9, 145:11,
145:16, 145:22,
146:1, 146:7,
147:18, 147:21
Ferguson [12] - 3:7,
3:15, 5:13, 7:16,
8:14, 9:11, 58:4,
63:20, 114:6, 140:8,
144:10, 147:2
few [6] - 12:2, 15:5,
31:25, 44:6, 49:10,
126:10
fewer [1] - 88:12
field [3] - 21:18, 79:18,
79:21
fields [1] - 20:22
figure [1] - 135:23
file [2] - 17:14, 23:25
filed [1] - 5:10
filing [1] - 30:5
filled [4] - 22:25, 96:7,
130:24, 131:10
finally [2] - 32:10, 50:1
financial [2] - 149:8,
149:9
financing [1] - 123:14
findings [1] - 83:16
fine [3] - 19:22, 75:23,
116:24
fire [11] - 108:7,
108:10, 117:7,
121:17 121:21

121:25, 122:3, 127:22, 129:23, 130:10 firm [1] - 21:22 first [23] - 6:8, 13:24, 20:12, 29:15, 31:7, 32:15, 36:24, 37:12, 37:23, 43:23, 44:4, 63:15, 69:13, 78:9, 81:9, 102:21, 104:5, 106:21, 108:8, 116:13, 130:3, 132:2, 138:4 fit [2] - 53:1, 70:9 five [15] - 18:6, 26:19, 28:12, 31:22, 31:23, 40:13, 53:5, 55:13, 59:15, 94:6, 95:3, 113:23, 114:2, 125:24, 145:4 five-minute [2] -113:23, 114:2 five-story [1] - 31:22 flag [1] - 5:2 flashlight [1] - 144:9 flat [1] - 96:23 flips [1] - 128:23 flood [1] - 39:3 floor [5] - 82:21, 92:8, 96:11, 129:11, 129:12 floor-to-ceiling [2] -129:11, 129:12

flow [4] - 44:5, 46:25, 77:6, 130:21 flowers [1] - 45:20 focus [2] - 84:8, 100:17

focusing [2] - 27:25, 40:7 follow [7] - 14:11, 15:25, 106:13,

107:1, 116:16, 128:15, 145:4 follow-up [4] - 15:25,

107:1, 116:16, 128:15

following [2] - 55:13, 62:14

follows [3] - 21:7, 51:16, 64:12 fooled [1] - 20:18 foot [3] - 31:8, 35:8, 134:17

footage [2] - 122:22, 143:3

footprint [1] - 25:2 forbid [1] - 121:20 force [3] - 113:2,

113:10, 130:16

foregoing [1] - 149:5 foremost [1] - 29:16 forgot [1] - 12:3 formal [1] - 73:21 formalized [1] - 70:6 formalizing [1] - 68:10 formula [2] - 124:21, 125:17 forth [1] - 27:23 forward [3] - 22:10, 53:8, 76:1 forwarded [1] - 108:6 four [5] - 84:12, 84:22, 94:6, 113:14, 115:25 fourth [1] - 84:21 free [1] - 44:5 friend [1] - 64:2 friendly [1] - 95:8 fro [1] - 29:13 front [14] - 30:18, 31:7, 32:7, 45:17, 45:21, 45:25, 90:25, 91:10, 92:18, 93:1, 93:23, 94:16, 94:21 full [7] - 93:7, 130:16, 130:25, 131:15, 139:3, 139:6, 139:7 fully [3] - 76:9, 126:14, 126:24 fully-activated [1] -126:24 100:18, 136:15 functional [1] - 97:7

function [3] - 96:1,

functions [1] - 84:18 furnish [1] - 115:17

future [4] - 36:6, 86:8, 86:21, 133:4

G

game [1] - 111:20 gaps [1] - 90:18 garage [12] - 96:4,

96:24, 102:2, 109:13, 136:19, 136:20, 136:24, 138:12, 141:4, 141:7, 141:24, 142:3

gated [2] - 136:14, 137:20 gates [1] - 136:7

Gelestathis [1] - 1:6

general [6] - 37:18, 44:5, 52:20, 56:17, 85:22, 88:14 generate [5] - 86:20, 87:24, 117:14, 124:18, 130:21

Generated [2] - 4:6, 19:3 generated [4] - 13:12, 87:5, 88:12, 88:17 generation [1] - 87:16 gentleman's [2] -71:24, 71:25 given [3] - 28:11, 73:6,

76:5 gloss [1] - 40:7 goal [1] - 113:5

goals [2] - 50:6, 50:11 God [1] - 121:20 golf [1] - 41:21 governing [1] - 55:11

22:21 grade [5] - 49:17, 49:23, 85:20, 95:19,

government [1] -

97:2

Grala [1] - 5:25 **GRALA** [1] - 1:16 Grand [59] - 1:8, 3:4, 4:5, 10:3, 26:22, 35:24, 36:5, 38:20, 38:21, 41:21, 41:22, 42:12, 44:19, 48:3,

49:2, 49:20, 52:12, 56:4, 59:9, 59:10, 59:11, 62:11, 65:20, 65:21, 66:1, 70:14, 71:15, 74:3, 76:5, 76:19, 77:16, 84:22,

86:6, 91:14, 91:19, 91:23, 94:16, 94:21, 95:19, 96:3, 96:8, 100:23, 100:24, 101:9, 101:11, 101:14, 101:15,

101:17, 107:8, 111:17, 113:6, 113:7, 113:11, 113:17, 113:19, 118:1, 119:12, 132:20

Grand's [2] - 65:22, 65:23 grandfathered [1] -

72:5 granted [1] - 43:24

granting [2] - 37:2, 40:4 grass [3] - 45:20,

46:16, 47:2 Grasso [1] - 52:17 gravity [1] - 130:17 great [3] - 12:9, 83:19, 83:20

greater [1] - 110:1 green [3] - 47:7,

113:17, 126:7 gridlock [2] - 112:21, 113:8

grossly [2] - 118:22, 120:10

Group [1] - 79:7 group [1] - 117:23 grow [1] - 126:9 growth [3] - 86:12,

86:14, 86:19 guess [9] - 19:6, 22:3, 33:10, 33:25, 36:23, 42:11, 46:11, 54:19, 109:18

guidance [1] - 50:25 guy [4] - 68:17, 74:2, 121:17

guys [5] - 71:17, 73:13, 74:8, 117:9, 119:9

gym [3] - 28:1, 28:3, 142:15

gymnasium [1] - 25:6

Н

H-A-M-I-L-T-O-N [1] -21:11 Hackensack [1] - 2:9 Hal [16] - 16:14, 16:23, 20:10, 80:17, 82:13, 102:24, 106:21, 109:13, 115:10, 116:20, 116:22, 121:13, 137:23, 140:15, 140:20, 144:25 HAL [1] - 2:17 half [12] - 25:13, 66:23, 67:25, 68:16, 68:17, 68:18, 74:15, 78:13, 89:25, 124:19 hallucinating [2] -73:16, 73:17 **HAMILTON** [3] - 3:5, 21:3, 21:10 **Hamilton** [5] - 20:17,

20:22, 21:10, 21:20, 23:9

Hampton [1] - 112:5 hand [6] - 17:1, 17:3, 64:1, 64:4, 82:17, 94:14

hand-deliver [1] -82:17

hand-delivered [2] -17:1, 17:3 handed [1] - 82:12 handicap [1] - 128:21

121:17, 121:21,

handout [1] - 18:21 handouts [1] - 13:6 hang [1] - 92:20 happy [3] - 21:19, 49:8, 100:2 hard [3] - 12:3, 97:18, 121:25 harder [1] - 37:1 hardship [1] - 37:15 harm [2] - 40:9, 43:11 harmoniously [1] -70:11 hazard [1] - 39:3 head [1] - 77:22 headache [1] - 75:3 headquarters [1] -21:22 headwall [3] - 132:4, 132:19, 132:24 health [2] - 28:1, 100:11 hear [10] - 10:24, 11:13, 12:5, 39:8, 44:6, 123:21, 124:5, 140:19, 144:13 heard [6] - 24:17, 25:10, 37:3, 39:7, 45:5, 147:4 hearing [3] - 12:1, 12:3, 81:9 heart [1] - 30:4 heavily [1] - 53:17 heavy [3] - 98:9, 98:17, 131:4 height [18] - 26:24, 28:9, 28:14, 28:15, 28:20, 28:23, 29:7, 30:23, 30:24, 31:22, 39:21, 39:22, 44:11, 48:5, 52:17, 93:8, 127:21, 129:9 heights [1] - 128:11 help [8] - 18:25, 33:5, 43:5, 43:7, 90:4, 100:7, 123:7, 145:23 helpful [4] - 15:16, 116:7, 122:17, 123:11 Henry [5] - 3:10, 3:11, 3:18, 54:6, 55:17 hereby [2] - 30:3, 149:5 higher [5] - 41:6, 111:13, 113:19, 121:19, 124:20

Himeji [1] - 52:15

himself [2] - 41:1,

historical [1] - 27:12

hit [2] - 11:1, 116:23

106:11

hold [2] - 57:9, 71:13 holding [1] - 11:20 holiday [1] - 145:8 homes [2] - 53:5, 61:4 hook [1] - 121:21 hope [3] - 10:20, 42:25, 131:17 hopefully [3] - 33:12, 43:9, 52:9 horrendous [3] -56:25, 57:1, 57:2 horse [1] - 89:19 Horsehill [1] - 21:5 hospitals [1] - 37:13 hour [19] - 78:13, 85:11, 85:13, 87:25, 88:1, 88:7, 88:9, 88:10, 88:13, 98:3, 99:20, 99:22, 99:24, 100:3, 100:4, 117:17, 118:13, 122:13 hour-and-a-half [1] -78:13 hours [3] - 125:23, 125:24 house [1] - 119:8 housekeeping [1] -19:25 housing [1] - 41:23 Hudson [1] - 61:3

ı

huge [1] - 56:11

hydrology [1] - 10:9

ID.#50094914 [1] -

149:4 Ident/Evid [1] - 4:3 identification [9] -19:5, 34:17, 81:14, 82:11, 105:15, 105:18, 105:21, 105:24, 106:2 Image [2] - 4:6, 19:3 images [1] - 13:12 immediate [1] - 52:25 immediately [1] - 91:5 impact [6] - 41:4, 41:11, 48:4, 72:22, 84:9, 89:14 impacted [1] - 40:5 impacts [2] - 84:5, 86:9 impaired [1] - 40:5 **impairment** [1] - 50:20 impairs [2] - 40:9, 40:17 impervious [13] -

46:14, 46:23, 47:3, 47:14, 47:19, 48:9, 48:11 impetus [1] - 43:8 implementation [1] important [5] - 50:15, 50:17, 50:21, 58:20, 146:23 impossible [2] -45:21, 122:12 improve [1] - 90:1 improvement [1] -43:13 Improvement [1] -110:13 improvements [5] -38:18, 65:24, 94:1, 94:2, 94:3 improves [1] - 77:6 include [1] - 126:20 included [2] - 86:16, 86:18 including [2] - 88:6, 126:17 increase [1] - 61:13 increased [3] - 59:16, 122:9, 146:19 indeed [1] - 96:21 indicate [2] - 65:8, 130:16 indicated [3] - 38:1, 49:10, 130:14 indicates [2] - 40:20, 130:24 indicating [2] - 11:10, 65:19 indicating) [1] - 11:18 industrial [3] - 42:15, 43:4, 118:3 influenced [1] - 43:2 information [3] -123:4, 128:6, 145:20 ingress [10] - 59:13, 62:23, 65:22, 66:15, 71:20, 90:8, 90:9, 90:10, 101:7, 101:9

44:20, 44:24, 45:1,

45:10, 45:12, 45:20,

96:11 instead [2] - 46:16, 69:7 Institute [4] - 22:2, 80:2, 80:6, 87:8 insulted [1] - 12:6 intend [2] - 13:14, 147:16 intense [1] - 100:10 intent [3] - 40:10, 40:17, 108:24 interest [2] - 149:8, 149:9 interested [1] - 73:21 interfere [2] - 59:20, 59:21 interior [1] - 109:12 interject [1] - 104:14 internally [2] - 97:11, 123:4 interrupt [8] - 57:6, 69:18, 69:22, 70:1, 70:2, 70:22, 83:18, 83:20 interrupted [1] - 70:21 interrupting [2] -69:19, 70:21 intersection [5] -84:15, 84:21, 85:14, 85:16, 112:22 intersections [6] -84:22, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:2, 86:23 introduction [1] -83:22 investigated [1] -133:12 investment [1] - 70:9 involved [2] - 75:14, 149:8 isolated [1] - 53:5 issue [3] - 47:16, 75:15, 75:20 issued [1] - 102:16 issues [3] - 52:8, 113:21, 134:4 items [3] - 55:7, 115:25, 144:19

J

46:13, 75:9, 76:2,

itself [5] - 18:22,

98:1

Jacob [10] - 15:8, 15:21, 33:6, 59:25, 104:10, 131:17, 131:20, 131:21, 134:3, 145:3 jam [1] - 129:19 January [2] - 104:6, 105:20 Jersey [22] - 1:24, 2:9, 21:6, 21:25, 22:6, 22:16, 64:11, 64:20, 79:11, 79:13, 79:15, 86:13, 111:9, 118:4, 125:11, 126:8, 127:10, 149:4, 149:5, 149:7, 149:10, 149:15 Jersey's [2] - 51:5, 51:13 Jingle [1] - 111:22 Joe [1] - 142:24 **JOHN** [1] - 1:16 Joseph [1] - 78:9 JOSEPH [1] - 1:11 judge [4] - 56:1, 72:15, 72:17, 75:4 July [7] - 144:12, 144:15, 144:17, 145:7, 147:3, 147:4, 147:5 June [24] - 4:10, 14:14, 15:4, 15:21, 16:21, 17:12, 17:13, 17:25, 82:3, 82:7, 82:9, 82:16, 83:4, 85:5, 85:6, 104:12, 104:21, 104:24, 105:1, 105:6, 106:1, 106:8, 106:15, 112:10 **JUNE** [1] - 1:2

K

jurisdiction [1] - 32:1

jury [1] - 72:15

K-turns [1] - 91:18 karaoke [1] - 99:12 **KAUKER** [1] - 2:16 Kauker [19] - 4:13, 7:2, 7:10, 12:13, 12:23, 24:3, 24:4, 29:18, 29:24, 40:19, 50:7, 50:13, 52:5, 102:23, 104:3, 105:16, 144:22 **Kauker's** [2] - 51:18, 53:20 keep [4] - 18:1, 19:1, 25:5, 25:14 keeping [3] - 29:18, 35:18, 99:8 **Keller** [3] - 36:11, 80:19, 102:11

ingress/egress [2] -

inherently [1] - 37:12

initial [2] - 16:9, 56:2

Inn [2] - 111:22, 112:5

initiative [1] - 126:7

59:21, 60:25

inoperable [1] -

inside [3] - 49:1,

137:18, 142:3

instance [2] - 92:12,

131:15

kicks [1] - 113:18 kind [2] - 75:6, 90:2 knock [3] - 36:24, 46:1, 46:3 knocking [2] - 46:4, 46:5 Knolls [1] - 21:5 knowledgeable [1] -51:3 known [1] - 133:10 knows [1] - 80:17

L

L.L.C [1] - 1:23 ladder [1] - 121:22 LAMBRINIDES [63] -2:14, 5:13, 5:15, 5:17, 5:19, 5:21, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2, 6:4, 6:6, 7:16, 7:18, 7:20, 7:22, 7:24, 8:1, 8:3, 8:14, 8:16, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 8:24, 9:1, 9:11, 9:13, 9:15, 9:17, 9:19, 9:21, 9:23, 11:19, 17:6, 17:12, 18:25, 19:17, 19:23, 58:4, 58:6, 58:8, 58:10, 58:12, 58:14, 58:16, 114:6, 114:8, 114:10, 114:12, 114:14, 114:16, 114:18, 114:22, 114:25, 144:10, 144:14, 145:25, 146:4, 146:13, 147:2, 147:8, 147:11, 147:20 **Land** [1] - 51:6 **LAND** [1] - 2:14 land [4] - 39:1, 40:22, 79:14, 87:9 landscape [1] - 22:3 landscaping [4] -11:10, 48:14, 98:8, 98:10 lane [15] - 62:24, 63:1, 63:12, 65:25, 69:7, 70:23, 74:15, 100:21, 100:23, 101:6, 101:7, 121:24, 135:18 lanes [6] - 62:24, 67:19, 68:3, 69:6, 69:16, 89:23 large [6] - 48:8, 49:25,

60:10, 60:18, 68:9,

108:20 larger [1] - 108:25 last [14] - 13:7, 14:7, 16:20, 33:1, 33:3, 53:16, 55:22, 58:21, 60:6, 60:10, 81:10, 104:9, 108:13, 133:7 laughter [7] - 11:15, 69:20, 69:25, 78:20, 103:19, 135:6, 147:1 Laura [2] - 146:10, 146:15 **LAURA** [3] - 1:23, 149:3, 149:14 LauraACaruccillc@ gmail.com [1] - 1:25 law [4] - 51:5, 51:13, 51:16, 140:7 LAW [1] - 2:7 lawyer [3] - 61:8, 71:22, 75:13

lawyers [1] - 59:4

layout [2] - 11:8,

leasing [1] - 36:2

least [3] - 98:23,

137:17, 138:9

60:20, 92:16

led [1] - 5:4

Lee [1] - 6:4

LEE [1] - 1:20

leave [4] - 12:19, 60:6,

left [12] - 13:16, 62:6,

65:25, 67:18, 68:10,

90:2, 90:10, 90:15,

92:13, 92:14,

leg [1] - 71:23

legal [4] - 71:18,

101:12, 144:20

left-turn [1] - 65:25

75:13, 76:6, 119:23

legalese [1] - 119:23

legislation [1] - 37:10

legislature [1] - 126:8

legitimize [1] - 27:20

89:19, 109:25, 110:8

leisure [1] - 13:10

length [4] - 84:7,

Leonia [1] - 56:3

100:10

83:5

less [3] - 29:2, 88:1,

lessening [1] - 98:24

Letter [3] - 4:10, 82:9,

letter [19] - 14:16,

16:19, 18:2, 18:4,

19:7, 20:6, 23:24,

legality [1] - 69:13

legalize [1] - 27:21

109:12

lead [1] - 5:2

136:12, 136:13 Level [6] - 85:23, 86:3, 86:24, 86:25, 111:25, 112:1 levels [8] - 40:14, 40:15, 86:1, 88:4, 89:5, 102:2, 128:10, 129:5 liar [2] - 118:21 liberally [1] - 75:21 license [3] - 22:15, 64:22, 79:4 License [1] - 149:15 licensed [5] - 21:24, 22:2, 64:19, 79:1, 79:12 licensing [1] - 21:18 life [1] - 47:16 lift [1] - 44:8 light [2] - 113:16, 118:8 lighting [1] - 11:10 limitation [1] - 28:20 limited [1] - 40:14 line [6] - 57:10, 60:21, 60:25, 67:9, 67:13 lines [2] - 65:5, 115:14 liquor [9] - 25:5, 90:22, 91:3, 92:1, 92:3, 95:11, 96:10, 100:11, 142:14 listed [1] - 16:15 listen [2] - 76:20, 77:14 listing [1] - 36:5 litigation [1] - 72:20 live [1] - 47:12 LLC [8] - 1:4, 1:8, 2:10, 3:3, 4:4, 8:7, 9:4, 10:2 **LLC/loannis** [1] - 1:6 loading [1] - 109:1 **lobby** [1] - 96:12 local [1] - 22:21 located [2] - 33:25, 38:15 location [4] - 36:12, 111:16, 120:3,

29:25, 40:19, 50:8,

82:3, 82:7, 85:20,

106:6, 106:8,

106:13, 116:15,

133:24, 145:1

level [13] - 28:11,

96:18, 97:11,

49:15, 85:19, 95:23,

96:2, 96:4, 96:16,

127:20, 128:20,

84:13, 87:14 longest [1] - 13:2 look [10] - 22:10, 54:8, 59:4, 59:24, 60:19, 88:7, 112:11, 133:21, 134:12, 136:3 looked [1] - 23:22 looking [3] - 85:15, 106:19, 129:13 looks [3] - 6:23, 15:12, 132:20 loop [4] - 113:2, 113:5, 113:11, 113:14 lost [1] - 105:4 low [2] - 44:18, 88:4 lower [5] - 35:1, 85:2, 85:6, 97:11, 136:12

M

M-1 [10] - 23:20, 23:21, 26:5, 26:9, 26:11, 31:8, 31:18, 31:20, 32:12, 40:13 M-C-G-I-N-N-I-S [1] -64:16 Madison [1] - 23:1 mail [4] - 19:8, 19:13, 19:21, 81:8 mailed [1] - 82:15 main [7] - 34:1, 36:1, 48:8, 60:25, 96:12, 96:17, 130:16 mainstream [1] -51:16 maintain [1] - 92:2 major [2] - 51:8, 51:12 make-ready [1] -127:1 MALE [2] - 123:2, 136:9 man [3] - 60:16, 74:23, 145:3 management [1] -45:5 mandated [3] -126:12, 127:11, 127:12 mandates [1] - 125:15 maneuver [2] - 91:20, 91:22 manhole [4] - 130:15, 130:23, 130:24, 131:10 manholes [1] - 131:5 Manual [1] - 80:6

Maple [1] - 84:15

MARC [1] - 2:5 March [14] - 14:13, 51:19, 81:8, 81:25, 82:1, 102:17, 103:12, 104:4, 104:7, 105:14, 105:17, 105:23, 111:9 mark [8] - 34:12, 81:11, 82:5, 103:6, 103:9, 104:1, 104:7, 104:11 marked [14] - 19:5, 32:25, 33:13, 34:16, 81:5, 81:13, 82:10, 83:25, 105:14, 105:17, 105:20, 105:23, 106:1, 106:7 marking [2] - 33:14, 102:18 Marsha [2] - 3:9, 54:6 massive [1] - 70:8 master [2] - 22:19, 55:6 Master [20] - 23:16, 23:22, 40:23, 50:1, 50:4, 50:7, 50:10, 50:12, 50:16, 51:12, 54:7, 54:13, 54:18, 54:21, 55:9, 55:20, 55:21, 56:2, 139:10, 139:19 material [3] - 13:20, 46:14, 47:1 materials [2] - 16:20, maximum [1] - 112:19 McGinnis [8] - 3:12, 20:4, 63:12, 64:8, 64:15, 64:19, 79:6 mean [16] - 47:16, 50:19, 57:5, 61:11, 61:14, 62:18, 71:18, 72:12, 79:25, 89:12, 120:6, 120:17, 125:20, 129:6, 135:18, 143:17 meaning [1] - 135:8 means [3] - 61:20, 80:1, 111:3 measured [1] - 52:18 mechanism [1] -128:23 meet [1] - 140:15 Meeting [1] - 5:7 meeting [23] - 5:8, 6:10, 10:12, 12:16,

13:7, 33:1, 53:16,

57:13, 58:21, 60:7,

60:10, 82:1, 103:23,

locations [3] - 33:24,

132:17

			T	
108:13, 115:20,	57:23, 111:18,	15:10, 15:17, 15:20,	109:6, 111:5, 111:7,	137:21, 137:24,
116:7, 131:21,	113:25	15:24, 15:25, 16:8,	111:8, 111:12,	138:11, 138:21,
144:11, 144:17,	miss [1] - 115:24	16:17, 16:25, 17:1,	111:13, 111:18,	138:23, 138:24,
145:6, 145:15, 148:6	misspoke [1] - 30:13	17:3, 17:5, 17:13,	111:23, 112:4,	139:3, 139:7,
meetings [1] - 33:4	mistake [1] - 7:5	17:18, 17:23, 18:10,	112:6, 112:8,	139:13, 139:17,
meets [3] - 142:4,	mistaken [1] - 131:3	18:15, 18:19, 19:2,	112:18, 113:10,	139:22, 140:6,
142:5, 142:6	mitigated [1] - 48:6	19:6, 19:11, 19:13,	114:11, 114:15,	140:12, 140:16,
member [1] - 22:1	mix [1] - 124:12	19:19, 19:24, 20:4,	114:17, 114:19,	140:21, 140:23,
MEMBER [10] - 1:13,	MLUL [1] - 51:9	20:7, 20:11, 20:15,	114:23, 115:4,	141:1, 141:9,
1:14, 1:15, 1:16,	mobility [1] - 75:20	21:3, 21:10, 21:13,	115:9, 115:12,	141:10, 141:13,
1:17, 1:18, 1:19,	model [1] - 13:14	22:14, 23:8, 23:11,	115:18, 115:21,	142:6, 142:12,
1:20, 123:2, 136:9	modern [1] - 48:20	53:12, 54:2, 55:10,	115:22, 116:4,	142:24, 143:2,
members [2] - 6:19,	modification [3] -	56:3, 56:6, 56:9,	116:8, 116:11,	143:5, 143:9,
117:3	30:16, 77:6, 135:15	56:16, 57:5, 57:14,	116:13, 116:14,	143:11, 143:13,
Members [1] - 11:5	modifications [1] -	58:9, 58:13, 58:15,	116:18, 116:21,	143:15, 143:21,
memo [1] - 81:25	62:15	58:17, 59:3, 59:9,	116:25, 117:5,	143:22, 143:23,
memorialization [2] -	modified [4] - 38:11,	59:12, 59:19, 59:24,	117:9, 117:19,	143:24, 143:25,
8:9, 8:11	39:20, 66:16, 101:10	60:2, 60:4, 60:8,	117:22, 118:14,	144:3, 144:8,
memorializations [1] -	moment [1] - 35:5	60:9, 60:12, 60:17,	119:21, 120:2,	144:18, 144:25,
8:6	MONDAY [1] - 1:2	60:19, 61:16, 61:20,	120:15, 120:17,	145:3, 145:10,
mention [2] - 28:2,	money [2] - 146:25,	61:22, 62:13, 62:18,	120:21, 120:25,	145:12, 145:18,
99:18	147:15	63:3, 63:7, 63:12,	121:6, 121:11,	146:11, 146:16,
mentioned [6] - 26:9,	month [2] - 142:22,	63:14, 63:20, 64:8,	121:12, 121:16,	147:5, 147:9,
26:11, 49:10, 89:12,	144:11	64:15, 64:18, 65:3,	122:4, 122:8,	147:14, 148:2
106:14, 118:12	months [3] - 12:2,	65:11, 67:4, 68:21,	122:21, 123:3,	MS [131] - 5:13, 5:15,
merits [1] - 75:11	33:3, 73:12	68:23, 69:12, 69:18, 70:1, 70:19, 70:25,	123:10, 124:2, 124:6, 124:24,	5:17, 5:19, 5:21, 5:22, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2,
mess [2] - 75:6, 118:2	monument [2] - 35:14,	71:5, 71:7, 71:13,	125:11, 125:15,	6:4, 6:6, 7:16, 7:18,
messenger [2] -	35:25	71:19, 71:22, 71:25,	126:21, 127:11,	7:20, 7:22, 7:23,
17:20, 82:23	morning [8] - 57:1,	72:1, 72:2, 72:4,	127:13, 128:9,	7:24, 8:1, 8:3, 8:14,
mic [1] - 10:15	84:11, 85:11, 87:25,	72:6, 72:9, 72:11,	128:15, 128:18,	8:16, 8:18, 8:20,
MICHAEL [2] - 1:20,	117:17, 117:20,	72:13, 72:16, 72:18,	129:1, 129:11,	8:21, 8:22, 8:24, 9:1,
2:16	118:18, 119:13	72:19, 72:21, 72:23,	129:13, 129:17,	9:11, 9:13, 9:15,
Michael [2] - 12:13,	most [6] - 23:3, 29:11,	73:11, 73:17, 73:25,	129:24, 130:6,	9:17, 9:18, 9:19,
24:2	51:3, 104:8, 118:17,	74:7, 74:8, 74:17,	130:8, 130:11,	9:21, 9:23, 11:19,
mid [3] - 53:6, 119:25,	119:14	74:20, 74:24, 75:1,	130:13, 130:22,	11:21, 13:20, 16:13,
120:2	motion [10] - 6:15,	75:8, 75:12, 75:16,	130:23, 131:1,	17:6, 17:10, 17:12,
mid-rise [3] - 53:6,	6:16, 6:24, 6:25,	75:18, 75:23, 75:25,	131:2, 131:7, 131:8,	18:18, 18:25, 19:17,
119:25, 120:2	7:12, 7:13, 8:11, 9:5,	76:10, 76:18, 76:22,	131:17, 131:19,	19:23, 20:25, 21:8,
middle [5] - 53:7,	9:7, 147:22	77:4, 77:17, 77:24,	131:22, 131:24,	34:19, 54:6, 54:13,
60:22, 61:1, 68:9, 74:10	motor [1] - 87:18	78:4, 78:14, 78:22,	132:1, 132:9,	54:16, 55:8, 55:17,
might [14] - 14:25,	motorists [1] - 91:17	80:11, 81:1, 81:4,	132:10, 132:11,	56:5, 56:7, 56:10,
15:5, 85:2, 93:16,	mount [1] - 33:6	81:15, 81:18, 81:21,	132:12, 132:18,	56:18, 56:21, 57:7,
106:17, 122:6,	move [1] - 18:10	82:12, 82:14, 82:15,	132:22, 132:23,	57:8, 57:12, 57:16,
123:10, 123:14,	movement [3] - 85:23,	83:8, 83:13, 93:15,	132:25, 133:1,	58:4, 58:6, 58:8,
128:12, 134:17,	90:4, 112:25	93:18, 102:13,	133:3, 133:15,	58:10, 58:11, 58:12,
135:4, 135:12,	movements [1] - 99:9	102:15, 102:22,	133:16, 133:18,	58:14, 58:16, 63:22,
135:14, 138:10	moving [2] - 67:13, 67:19	102:25, 103:1,	133:19, 133:23,	64:3, 64:13, 75:15,
mind [1] - 103:24		103:8, 103:11,	133:25, 134:3,	81:20, 83:7, 102:20,
mine [2] - 27:2, 116:9	MR [379] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:3, 6:7, 6:16, 6:25,	103:14, 103:17,	134:11, 134:13,	103:6, 103:10,
minimis [2] - 44:21,	7:13, 7:21, 7:25, 8:2,	103:20, 103:22,	134:20, 134:25,	103:13, 103:15,
47:13	8:4, 8:12, 8:19, 8:23,	104:3, 104:13,	135:3, 135:7,	103:21, 104:2,
minimum [1] - 26:15	8:25, 9:2, 9:8, 9:16,	104:16, 104:18,	135:12, 135:19,	104:12, 114:6,
minor [2] - 32:4, 47:23	9:20, 9:22, 9:24,	104:20, 104:23,	135:23, 136:2, 136:5, 136:10	114:8, 114:10,
minute [5] - 74:10,	10:4, 10:16, 10:18,	104:24, 105:3, 105:4, 106:4,	136:5, 136:10, 136:11, 136:16,	114:12, 114:13, 114:14, 114:16,
78:6, 88:1, 113:23,	11:2, 11:4, 11:16,	106:21, 106:23,	136:22, 136:23,	114:14, 114:16,
114:2	11:22, 12:1, 13:6,	106:21, 106:23,	136:25, 137:2,	114:16, 114:22,
minutes [10] - 6:10,	13:21, 14:2, 14:4,	108:1, 108:3,	137:4, 137:6, 137:9,	138:4, 138:6, 138:7,
6:11, 6:13, 6:15,	14:6, 14:8, 14:9,	108:11, 108:14,	137:11, 137:15,	138:8, 138:17,
18:6, 18:7, 44:7,	14:19, 14:22, 15:9,	108:19, 109:3,	137:16, 137:19,	139:1, 139:9,
			,,	
	1	1	I .	1

139:14, 139:18, 140:4, 140:8, 140:13, 141:6, 141:12, 141:16, 141:17, 141:18, 141:22, 142:8, 142:9, 142:10, 142:14, 142:17, 144:10, 144:14, 144:16, 145:25, 146:4, 146:13, 147:2, 147:8, 147:11, 147:13, 147:20, 147:25 multi [4] - 21:22, 25:20, 28:11, 49:15 multi-acre [1] - 25:20 multi-discipline [1] -21:22 multi-level [2] - 28:11, 49:15 multifamily [10] -87:23, 88:2, 97:25, 100:9, 110:8, 110:12, 119:20, 119:22, 119:23 multiple [10] - 24:10, 40:14, 41:23, 42:1, 42:5, 52:11, 52:25, 109:19, 109:23 Municipal [1] - 51:6 municipal [5] - 22:24, 23:20, 45:8, 110:16, 125:12 municipality [2] -22:20, 40:12 must [1] - 37:6 mutual [1] - 71:21

N

name [13] - 10:5, 21:8, 21:20, 54:5, 55:15, 64:13, 78:5, 78:7, 78:9, 79:6, 117:10, 138:2, 138:4 nap [1] - 123:21 narrow [1] - 74:12 nationwide [1] - 80:7 natural [1] - 47:1 naturally [3] - 45:10, 91:4, 91:13 nature [8] - 10:10, 22:9, 23:6, 26:16, 34:4, 40:21, 50:23, 102:7 need [13] - 33:5, 33:7, 43:5, 43:7, 43:17, 46:24, 92:1, 121:10,

121:11, 123:23, 134:16, 144:25, 146:17 needed [1] - 123:4 needs [2] - 45:17, 45:18 negative [8] - 40:1, 40:6, 40:8, 41:3, 41:10, 44:15, 48:1, 50:19 neglected [1] - 82:16 neighborhood [5] -41:5, 41:8, 41:11, 52:19, 53:1 neighboring [1] -41:20 neighbors [1] - 43:11 **net** [1] - 99:5 never [3] - 14:18, 72:9, 73:23 nevertheless [1] -87:22 New [24] - 1:24, 2:9, 21:5, 21:25, 22:6, 22:16, 51:5, 51:13, 64:10, 64:20, 78:5, 79:11, 79:13, 79:15, 86:13, 111:9, 125:11, 126:8, 127:10, 149:4, 149:5, 149:7, 149:10, 149:15 new [9] - 29:5, 30:21, 42:21, 55:6, 55:21,

99:4, 110:15, 126:12, 130:16 newer [1] - 54:18 newspapers [1] - 5:10 next [12] - 6:21, 8:6, 9:3, 58:24, 73:14, 74:23, 115:20, 115:25, 131:21, 142:22, 144:11 night [2] - 111:19, 114:1 night's [1] - 103:22 nightclub [2] - 98:22, 99:12 nine [2] - 33:3, 89:8 **NJDEP** [1] - 45:8 **NJDOT** [1] - 110:21 no-build [2] - 86:20, 89:6 nobody [2] - 70:9, 111:7 nobody's [1] - 117:12 non [1] - 32:16 non-residential [1] -32:16 nonconforming [8] -

26:24, 26:25, 27:6, 27:18, 27:24, 28:7, 30:17, 39:19 none [1] - 133:16 nonlawyer [1] - 61:10 normal [1] - 85:2 normally [1] - 146:17 north [15] - 33:25, 43:4, 68:25, 91:1, 91:16, 94:5, 95:1, 100:21, 101:25, 109:1, 113:17, 113:19, 131:12 North [2] - 79:9, 103:23 northbound [1] -118:2 northerly [1] - 84:20 northern [11] - 34:25, 36:4, 65:19, 88:21, 89:18, 90:20, 92:10, 92:16, 96:14, 107:5, 108:21 **Notary** [4] - 149:3, 149:4, 149:15, 149:15 note [1] - 15:21 noted [3] - 43:24, 81:24, 148:7 notes [1] - 144:19 nothing [4] - 21:2, 29:21, 55:22, 64:7 notice [7] - 5:7, 5:9, 73:6, 73:7, 73:10, 76:6, 77:17 noticed [2] - 44:16, 52:10 notices [1] - 27:3 notifying [1] - 77:16 November [2] -110:23, 111:11 number [18] - 31:17, 33:9, 33:17, 35:22, 37:21, 38:7, 38:13, 42:18, 44:2, 56:13, 59:1, 65:5, 65:17, 102:5, 123:22, 131:16, 142:2, 143:3 numbers [3] - 98:5, 119:2, 120:10

0

oath [2] - 65:7, 149:6 object [2] - 73:10, 76:7 objecting [2] - 70:15, 70:18 objectives [2] - 50:4,

50:12 obligated [1] - 125:16 observed [1] - 91:20 obtained [3] - 84:11, 85:1, 85:4 obviously [7] - 23:18, 41:1, 53:18, 113:13, 127:22, 129:6, 136:20 occasions [1] - 42:19 occupancy [1] -122:23 occupants [2] -101:14, 143:3 OF [4] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:4, 2.7 off-ramp [2] - 84:14, 84:18 OFFICE [1] - 2:7 office [9] - 5:11, 14:13, 15:18, 19:20, 27:2, 36:12, 82:17, 82:19, 102:8 official [1] - 5:10 offset [1] - 100:7 often [1] - 90:17 old [11] - 24:20, 42:15, 54:14, 54:15, 54:22, 54:25, 55:20, 111:22, 122:18, 122:19, 133:10 on-ramp [1] - 84:17 on-site [1] - 27:5 once [2] - 24:13, 41:18 One [1] - 2:8 one [98] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:7, 10:1, 13:1, 13:24, 17:7, 22:6, 22:9, 23:4, 25:9, 25:14, 25:19, 32:12, 33:8, 33:24, 34:24, 35:5, 38:9, 45:16, 53:4, 53:5, 54:22, 54:25, 55:24, 58:20, 59:1, 59:8, 61:4, 61:24, 62:2, 62:5, 62:24, 63:1, 66:6, 66:8, 66:21, 66:22, 67:1, 67:2, 68:3, 69:7, 71:11, 73:11, 74:1, 74:4, 74:15, 76:13, 78:1, 79:16, 83:3, 84:24, 87:1, 88:1, 100:19, 100:21, 100:23, 101:7, 102:23, 102:25, 103:1, 103:3, 103:25, 104:5, 104:8, 104:19, 104:20, 105:8,

106:15, 110:20, 111:24, 112:23, 119:16, 120:11, 121:14, 124:19, 126:3, 126:15, 129:18, 131:5, 131:16, 134:15, 134:17, 135:17, 135:18, 136:1, 136:5, 137:17, 138:9, 138:12, 138:19, 139:2 one's [2] - 78:4, 78:5 one-and-a-half [1] -124:19 one-bedroom [2] -25:9, 139:2 one-family [2] - 53:4, 53:5 one-lane [1] - 101:7 one-way [1] - 135:17 ones [2] - 60:13, 92:23 ongoing [2] - 80:3, 85:2 Open [1] - 5:7 open [18] - 32:5, 32:7, 45:11, 45:13, 45:15, 45:18, 46:8, 47:7, 48:13, 48:14, 54:4, 86:11, 115:5, 115:7, 117:3, 132:4, 132:23, 144:19 opened [1] - 141:20 opening [2] - 127:21, 129.6 opens [1] - 128:24 operate [7] - 85:22, 85:23, 86:3, 88:25, 89:1, 90:19, 109:24 operates [5] - 87:3, 90:1, 90:20, 90:21, 91:17 operating [3] - 72:2, 86:1, 99:11 operation [6] - 12:15, 77:12, 92:1, 98:5, 98:10, 99:12 **Operations** [1] - 79:24 opinion [2] - 47:11, 110:19 **opportunity** [6] - 6:11, 24:8, 42:9, 50:2, 65:6, 144:22 opposed [2] - 98:22, 135:13 opposes [1] - 84:19 opposing [1] - 88:25 ordinance [20] -23:16, 28:2, 29:22, 30:1, 31:23, 31:25,

39:23, 45:16, 50:21, 124:14, 138:18, 139:10, 139:16, 139:19, 139:25, 140:2, 141:2, 141:19, 142:5, 142:6 ordinances [3] -22:20, 23:16, 125:13 organizing [1] - 22:20 original [5] - 33:18, 82:5, 83:15, 130:15, 131.8 originally [3] - 84:10, 93:20, 94:23 otherwise [1] - 134:21 ourselves [1] - 59:12 outcome [1] - 149:9 outdated [1] - 35:20 outdoor [4] - 13:9, 98:8, 98:22, 99:11 outline [5] - 23:13, 26:10, 29:15, 31:3, 49:5 outlined [2] - 37:10, 50:24 outlying [1] - 42:10 output [1] - 111:10 outs [1] - 118:20 outside [9] - 136:19, 137:1, 137:7, 140:18, 140:22, 141:5, 141:8, 141:24, 142:3 outstanding [1] - 39:3 overage [2] - 45:9, 139:8 overall [6] - 45:24, 83:23, 84:9, 89:13, 100:7, 100:8 overexaggerating [1] - 120:8 overlap [1] - 139:11 overlaps [1] - 139:19 overlay [7] - 23:16, 24:9, 29:6, 40:13, 53:10, 55:12, 55:14 Overpeck [1] - 39:4 oversized [1] - 35:20 overtime [1] - 146:20 overview [1] - 24:18 own [3] - 117:23, 119:4, 128:22 owner [3] - 37:15, 73:14, 137:17 owners [6] - 70:4, 72:8, 73:2, 75:10, 76:4, 90:6 ownership [2] - 75:21, 107:4 owns [4] - 59:22,

62:16, 68:17

Ρ

P.E [2] - 2:15, 2:17

p.m [2] - 1:2, 148:7 P.O [1] - 1:24 **P.P** [1] - 2:16 package [8] - 13:11, 16:9, 16:10, 16:20, 17:7, 23:19, 123:17 page [4] - 58:22, 58:23, 58:24, 111:1 paid [1] - 147:7 Palisades [5] - 23:15, 52:12, 82:18, 87:19, 117:11 **PALISADES** [1] - 1:1 pandemic [2] - 85:3, 106:16 paperwork [1] - 14:11 parallel [4] - 42:8, 42:12, 93:5, 93:14 parcel [3] - 25:15, 30:8, 108:24 park [8] - 46:11, 46:13, 47:11, 91:4, 91:6, 95:12, 96:9 Park [6] - 12:2, 23:15, 52:12, 82:18, 87:19, 117:11 PARK [1] - 1:1 parking [75] - 24:23, 25:25, 39:7, 39:9, 39:21, 40:15, 45:2, 61:13, 80:7, 90:25, 91:1, 91:10, 91:13, 91:16, 91:24, 92:2, 92:8, 92:19, 92:24, 93:5, 93:14, 93:23, 94:6, 94:20, 95:2, 95:4, 95:19, 95:23, 96:12, 96:13, 96:17, 96:23, 99:3, 99:15, 100:8, 102:2, 109:19, 109:23, 110:3, 112:5, 122:20, 123:22, 124:9, 124:14, 124:18, 125:7, 125:18, 125:25, 126:2, 126:5, 126:15, 127:3, 136:17, 136:18, 137:7, 137:13, 138:13, 138:14, 138:15, 139:4, 139:6, 139:8,

140:18, 140:22,

141:5, 141:23, 141:24, 141:25, 142:1, 142:4, 143:7, 143:14, 143:16, 143:17, 143:18 parks [1] - 125:21 part [22] - 23:1, 23:19, 27:21, 29:10, 29:11, 30:10, 41:8, 50:19, 62:19, 62:20, 66:16, 67:23, 68:25, 73:18, 122:25, 123:16, 132:20, 133:9, 133:13, 133:22, 137:6 part-time [1] - 23:1 partially [1] - 126:14 participated [1] - 73:8 particular [4] - 23:21, 49:9, 49:11, 99:16 particularly [5] -37:19, 38:12, 47:23, 88:5, 98:2 parties [1] - 149:7 passed [2] - 40:12, 125:12 passenger [1] - 98:16 passing [1] - 49:2 past [4] - 37:4, 50:25, 90:17, 118:4 path [1] - 107:15 patio [1] - 13:9 patrons [1] - 91:4 pattern [4] - 75:14, 83:10, 117:25, 118:5 paul [1] - 134:6 **PAUL** [1] - 1:12 Pauly [5] - 11:16, 71:12, 71:13, 74:1, 135.8 Pauly's [1] - 74:22 pavement [2] - 68:10, 101:2 pay [5] - 6:22, 6:24, 146:21, 146:24, 147:6 PB[3] - 103:9, 103:13, 103:14 **PB-1** [1] - 103:12 PE [2] - 3:12, 64:9 peak [19] - 84:11, 84:12, 85:11, 85:13, 85:16, 87:25, 88:1, 88:7, 88:9, 88:10, 88:13, 98:3, 99:20, 99:22, 99:24, 100:4, 117:17, 117:20, 118:13 pedestrian [1] - 87:20

people [10] - 59:7, 70:9, 73:4, 74:4, 82:20, 90:3, 119:8, 122:18, 139:20, 146:19 per [4] - 26:14, 88:1, 89:9, 124:19 percent [19] - 25:4, 29:3, 31:9, 31:13, 31:15, 32:5, 32:6, 44:21, 44:24, 45:12, 45:13, 45:17, 46:8, 48:11, 125:5, 125:18, 126:11 perhaps [5] - 12:21, 15:2, 43:18, 53:13, 57:9 period [2] - 84:12 permission [1] - 82:7 permit [1] - 39:2 permits [2] - 39:2, 72:25 permitted [11] - 28:3, 29:7, 30:2, 30:24, 73:9, 75:20, 90:11, 90:12, 90:13, 90:14 permitting [1] - 99:11 perpendicular [3] -90:24, 91:10, 107:8 personal [1] - 117:24 personally [3] - 74:11, 82:17, 82:23 perspective [1] - 36:9 pertain [1] - 30:20 pertaining [1] - 23:14 pertains [1] - 30:17 pervious [1] - 46:13 pets [1] - 47:13 phase [1] - 29:6 Philadelphia [2] -78:1, 78:4 phone [1] - 104:21 photographs [2] -13:12, 27:12 phrase [2] - 37:5, 37:8 physically [1] - 135:9 picked [1] - 10:18 picking [1] - 117:20 piece [3] - 62:1, 68:15, 69:16 pipe [5] - 132:14, 132:16, 132:18, 133:3, 133:5 place [2] - 37:23, 118:16 placement [2] - 11:9, 36:12 Plan [20] - 23:16, 23:22, 40:23, 50:2, 50:4, 50:7, 50:10,

50:12, 50:16, 51:12, 54:7, 54:14, 54:18, 54:21, 55:9, 55:20, 55:22, 56:2, 139:10, 139:19 plan [21] - 23:18, 24:1, 33:10, 33:18, 40:5, 40:10, 43:12, 49:7, 50:3, 50:21, 55:19, 59:25, 60:1, 60:3, 65:15, 83:24, 89:17, 95:18, 131:10, 135:13, 136:3 planned [2] - 96:17, 97:15 **PLANNER** [1] - 2:16 planner [6] - 12:13, 21:24, 22:24, 24:3, 57:11. 103:2 planner's [1] - 23:23 Planners [1] - 22:2 planners [2] - 40:7, 72:24 planning [20] - 12:12, 12:19, 13:3, 20:5, 20:12, 20:14, 20:19, 21:18, 43:25, 44:2, 48:20, 50:14, 52:24, 53:7, 55:6, 55:12, 76:2, 103:14, 103:23, 123:17 plans [13] - 22:20, 65:4, 76:8, 77:20, 82:19, 91:12, 102:10, 130:1, 130:4, 130:16, 131:8, 132:5, 132:6 playing [1] - 57:13 **Plaza** [1] - 2:8 Pledge [1] - 5:4 **plus** [2] - 139:4, 139:8 point [10] - 15:10, 51:23, 51:24, 53:20, 61:19, 84:25, 89:20, 112:24, 113:15, 137:5 pointed [3] - 91:9, 109:22, 109:25 points [1] - 115:24 police [1] - 122:1 portion [2] - 24:24, 46:5 position [3] - 22:25, 28:10, 46:22 positive [1] - 40:1 possibility [1] - 98:21 possibly [2] - 57:3, posted [1] - 5:8

PP [2] - 3:5, 21:4

Pellino [1] - 7:7

practical [2] - 119:24, 121:23 practice [2] - 79:18, 92:24 practicing [1] - 79:10 precedence [1] -139:16 precluded [1] - 73:5 preemptive [1] -139:23 **prefer** [3] - 20:9, 145:17, 145:18 preliminarily [1] -60:15 preparation [1] - 24:1 prepared [4] - 34:8, 81:25, 84:2, 106:25 present [2] - 6:19, 26:20 presentation [3] -10:7, 10:13, 12:9 presented [1] - 65:16 presently [5] - 26:18, 98:17, 131:1, 143:21, 143:22 preserving [1] - 91:15 pretty [2] - 85:24, 121:25 previous [3] - 6:10, 124:16, 130:2 previously [2] - 65:16, 83:25 primarily [1] - 138:15 **primary** [3] - 30:7, 137:12, 144:20 principal [11] - 21:21, 25:19, 28:3, 29:16, 29:22, 30:6, 30:7, 30:11, 30:13, 37:7, 41:9 printed [1] - 104:25 private [5] - 22:22, 23:3, 73:2, 75:9, 76:4 probability [1] -121:19 problem [8] - 20:15, 97:3, 97:5, 103:21, 113:1, 113:20, 119:14, 131:3 problematic [1] - 16:1 problems [2] - 39:16, 113:9 PROCEEDING [1] -1:4 process [1] - 77:18 **produce** [1] - 86:20 Professional [2] -79:23, 149:5

11:6, 19:9, 21:18, 21:24, 50:14, 79:12 professionals [2] -20:21, 104:1 prohibited [2] - 30:3, 90:15 **prohibition** [1] - 92:14 prohibits [1] - 29:22 project [18] - 24:6, 33:3, 34:23, 50:9, 50:13, 51:15, 53:1, 72:22, 75:7, 88:8, 88:24, 99:23, 108:6, 108:16, 117:13, 119:15, 131:15, 141:20 projected [3] - 86:8, 86:12, 86:18 projecting [1] - 86:11 projections [2] - 87:7, 87:16 projects [2] - 22:8, 23.4 promised [1] - 13:7 promoting [1] -127:14 proper [1] - 76:6 properties [12] -13:15, 41:20, 42:10, 42:22, 43:3, 53:6, 60:24, 61:21, 66:19, 69:3, 70:8 property [56] - 11:11, 25:13, 25:14, 26:18, 27:14, 37:15, 38:14, 38:16, 42:8, 42:24, 43:5, 52:11, 59:2, 59:23, 60:21, 60:25, 62:3, 62:10, 62:12, 62:19, 63:2, 63:6, 65:23, 66:1, 66:14, 66:18, 67:3, 67:13, 67:22, 68:15, 69:5, 69:6, 69:16, 70:4, 72:7, 73:2, 73:13, $73{:}14,\,74{:}14,\,74{:}23,$ 75:9, 75:10, 76:4, 77:3, 89:22, 90:6, 98:7, 101:9, 101:11, 101:13, 101:22, 123:15, 142:13 proposal [8] - 24:13, 24:21, 28:18, 29:5, 43:11, 50:3, 68:2, 93:6 propose [6] - 30:21, 62:21, 63:1, 67:18,

73:23, 93:4

proposed [37] - 11:8,

24:15, 31:24, 32:11,

35:18, 35:19, 36:3, 37:19, 38:4, 38:10, 38:12, 40:20, 41:16, 45:7, 50:16, 55:2, 86:16, 87:5, 87:10, 88:11, 88:17, 90:8, 92:14, 107:8, 124:8, 124:11, 126:19, 132:9, 132:10, 132:13, 132:17 Proposed [3] - 4:8, 34:14, 34:15 proposing [13] - 25:4, 25:19, 32:14, 32:16, 32:18, 35:11, 40:16, 44:11, 67:1, 68:18, 91:24, 93:20, 94:23 proprietary [1] - 75:21 **prove** [1] - 37:6 provide [10] - 37:2, 85:15, 88:18, 101:11, 107:19, 108:17, 113:5, 123:23, 123:24, 141:14 provided [12] - 5:9, 16:6, 16:8, 73:6, 89:23, 92:9, 107:11, 107:22, 113:3, 124:8, 130:14, 149:6 providing [3] - 45:4, 47:11, 143:19 prudent [1] - 133:22 **PTOE** [2] - 3:12, 64:9 public [7] - 22:22, 37:13, 40:3, 49:2, 54:4, 117:4, 122:25 Public [5] - 3:9, 3:17, 5:7, 149:4, 149:15 published [3] - 86:13, 87:8, 110:23 **pull** [1] - 117:12 purpose [5] - 40:4, 43:25, 84:4, 85:17, 86:10 purposes [3] - 44:2, 51:25, 88:23 push [3] - 113:6, 145:12, 145:13 put [13] - 13:24, 27:1, 53:9, 63:2, 78:11, 82:21, 93:5, 109:15, 113:14, 116:8, 125:16, 126:4, 144:4 putting [4] - 56:13,

32:23, 33:17, 33:22,

33:25, 34:4, 34:23,

Q

qualified [1] - 78:25 qualify [1] - 20:24 quality [1] - 47:16 quality-of-life [1] -47.16 questioned [3] -107:5, 107:7, 112:6 questioning [3] -56:16, 57:10, 140:17 Questions [4] - 3:7, 3:9, 3:13, 3:17 questions [16] - 12:5, 16:15, 49:8, 53:14, 53:19, 65:5, 115:6, 115:8, 115:13, 115:17, 116:1, 117:5, 130:8, 144:21, 145:4, 147:17 queues [1] - 90:16 quick [3] - 21:15, 136:5, 138:3 quite [1] - 54:19

R

R.P.R [3] - 1:23, 149:3, 149:14 railroad [2] - 42:8, 42:12 raise [2] - 64:1, 64:3 raised [3] - 52:8, 102:13, 116:1 raises [1] - 109:13 ramp [18] - 38:15, 84:14, 84:17, 84:18, 92:10, 96:14, 97:11, 111:17, 111:19, 113:3, 113:4, 113:6, 113:15, 113:16, 129:8, 132:3, 132:12, 132:21 ramping [1] - 128:10 ramps [2] - 96:22, 128:20 **RAMUNDO** [1] - 2:5 range [1] - 41:22 rate [1] - 14:17 rates [1] - 86:12 rather [3] - 27:23, 49:1, 124:20 reach [1] - 88:2 read [1] - 136:11 reading [1] - 136:6 ready [1] - 127:1

real [1] - 126:6

realize [1] - 143:18 really [9] - 31:4, 38:5, 42:3, 44:8, 44:13, 78:23, 89:12, 127:4 rear [4] - 32:8, 46:7, 46:8, 98:7 reason [3] - 32:2, 123:14, 135:17 reasoning [1] - 51:8 reasons [10] - 29:12, 37:2, 37:5, 37:6, 37:8, 37:10, 37:21, 38:13, 39:15, 112:23 receive [1] - 14:19 received [16] - 14:18, 15:3, 15:5, 19:4, 19:7, 19:8, 34:16, 77:17, 81:13, 82:1, 82:10, 105:14, 105:17, 105:20, 105:23, 106:1 recent [2] - 18:2, 52:15 recess [2] - 57:24, 114:3 recessed [1] - 38:19 **Recitation** [1] - 5:3 recognize [1] - 139:22 recommend [1] -128:7 recommends [2] -134:8, 134:24 reconcile [1] - 112:13 reconciliation [1] -51:11

reconcile [1] - 112:13 reconciliation [1] -51:11 record [11] - 12:24, 18:1, 21:9, 51:25, 64:14, 73:18, 82:8, 99:7, 109:15, 138:5, 149:6

recorded [1] - 59:18

records [1] - 59:5 recount [1] - 144:1 recreational [1] - 47:5 rectangle [1] - 94:17 Recused [1] - 2:4 redevelopment [1] -43:9

redistribute [1] -82:20 reduced [3] - 25:3, 60:12, 99:2 reducing [3] - 31:12, 44:23, 100:7 reduction [10] - 31:14

reduction [10] - 31:14, 88:15, 89:13, 97:17, 97:21, 97:22, 97:23, 98:1, 98:6, 99:5 refer [3] - 65:13, 83:23, 122:4

pylon [2] - 35:5, 35:15

61:11, 121:19,

125:10

professional [6] -

referee [1] - 149:6 reference [1] - 29:25 references [1] - 32:22 referred [1] - 61:6 referring [1] - 33:23 refers [1] - 45:19 reflect [1] - 86:14 regard [30] - 25:11, 26:4, 26:5, 27:3, 29:5, 30:15, 32:1, 32:7, 35:22, 37:4, 37:14, 39:3, 39:13, 39:19, 40:2, 40:25, 41:3, 44:11, 44:18, 45:6, 45:11, 45:15, 45:25, 47:23, 49:15, 50:1, 50:8, 55:1, 109:7, 109:12 regarding [1] - 36:12 region [1] - 86:15 Registered [1] - 149:5 regulation [1] - 149:10 regulations [2] - 45:8, 139:17 rehash [1] - 24:20 reiterate [1] - 97:16 related [2] - 149:7, 149:8 relates [1] - 48:1 relatively [1] - 88:4 relief [17] - 31:22, 32:4, 32:9, 35:22, 36:16, 43:24, 44:1, 44:20, 45:10, 45:13, 46:7, 46:24, 47:10, 120:24, 134:21, 135:8, 135:10 reliefs [1] - 32:23 rely [1] - 53:17 remain [7] - 85:9, 90:11, 90:13, 98:22, 100:12, 133:20, 133:21 remains [1] - 66:15 remember [3] - 54:12, 73:12, 78:7 reminds [1] - 105:7 remove [1] - 91:12 rendering [4] - 65:15, 83:24, 89:17, 93:11 repairing [1] - 95:7 replace [4] - 38:5, 132:14, 132:16, 133:5 replacing [4] - 35:13, 35:16, 97:25, 99:4 **Report** [10] - 4:9, 4:12, 4:13, 4:16, 4:18, 81:12, 105:13,

105:25 report [40] - 13:25, 14:7, 14:10, 14:12, 14:15, 14:23, 15:3, 15:12, 16:5, 20:2, 51:18, 52:8, 80:22, 81:6, 81:16, 81:21, 82:4, 82:6, 83:9, 83:15, 102:5, 102:16, 102:24, 103:1, 103:3, 103:7, 104:11, 111:1, 111:25, 124:3, 132:2, 142:24, 142:25, 143:2, 143:6, 143:7, 143:10, 143:12, 144:4 reporter [7] - 10:21, 57:21, 113:24, 145:25, 146:2. 147:12 **REPORTER** [4] - 55:3, 139:5, 146:6, 146:9 Reporter [2] - 149:4, 149:5 REPORTERS [1] -1:23 reports [4] - 12:18, 102:19, 103:5, 105:11 represent [1] - 97:16 representative [1] -20:1 represents [1] - 98:1 repugnant [1] - 52:24 request [2] - 26:8, 134:21 requested [2] - 45:11, 107:9 requesting [1] -123:17 require [5] - 78:16, 110:14. 126:1. 132:14, 146:2 required [11] - 31:8, 32:5, 41:6, 44:22, 45:14, 45:17, 120:21, 120:24, 124:11, 125:18, 140:2 requirement [8] -

44:12, 45:24,

124:22, 125:3,

126:10, 127:5,

136:14, 139:13

requirements [6] -

31:19. 37:16. 40:9.

research [4] - 27:11,

80:3, 124:17, 124:20

134:14, 134:20 reserve [1] - 115:11 resident [6] - 117:11, 137:13, 137:17, 138:12, 138:16, 138:17 Residential [3] - 4:7, 19:4, 110:13 residential [33] -23:21, 24:22, 25:7, 26:2, 28:19, 31:11, 31:18, 32:16, 32:18, 34:4, 35:1, 35:24, 36:2, 38:4, 38:18, 39:10, 40:15, 40:21, 47:23, 53:10, 87:10, 87:24, 88:3, 110:17, 136:7, 136:12, 136:15, 136:19, 137:1, 137:6, 137:12, 138:13, 142:7 residents [2] - 47:12, 97:10 respect [7] - 23:20, 31:18, 32:24, 45:6, 47:25, 50:22, 55:1 respond [15] - 6:19, 15:14, 15:21, 18:2, 18:4, 65:6, 104:8, 106:13, 106:18, 109:20, 115:22, 116:1, 116:2, 116:16, 145:1 responded [5] - 14:10, 107:6, 109:8, 109:14, 109:16 responding [2] -16:21. 82:3 Response [3] - 4:10, 82:9, 83:5 response [18] - 5:20, 6:1, 6:5, 14:14, 16:2, 16:15, 16:19, 16:20, 17:25, 53:24, 57:19, 83:10, 106:7, 110:7, 112:9, 112:15, 114:7, 142:20 responsive [1] - 82:7 rest [1] - 28:10 restaurant [13] - 88:6, 97:24, 98:2, 98:20, 98:21, 99:10, 99:13, 99:19, 118:10, 118:24, 122:12, 142:17 restaurant/bar [1] restricting [1] - 77:4

Review [2] - 4:15, 105:19 review [25] - 6:11, 16:18, 18:5, 18:13, 20:6, 20:10, 23:17, 23:23, 24:8, 29:24, 50:8, 58:21, 70:4, 75:21, 81:25, 102:8, 104:6, 111:8, 111:24, 116:15, 123:4, 123:7, 144:19, 144:23, 148:3 reviewed [9] - 23:14, 23:15, 23:18, 23:23, 28:2, 77:20, 80:22, 102:9, 109:5 reviewing [3] - 65:3, 105:8, 132:6 reviews [1] - 52:1 revised [1] - 91:12 revision [1] - 104:14 rezoned [1] - 53:9 Ridgefield [1] - 12:2 ridiculous [1] - 141:20 riding [1] - 122:2 right-of-way [2] -92:20, 92:25 right-turn [2] - 65:25, 67:18 right-turns [2] - 90:12, 90:14 rights [1] - 75:9 rise [4] - 5:3, 53:6, 119:25, 120:2 road [5] - 34:2, 59:15, 66:22, 101:2, 116:23 Road [1] - 21:5 role [1] - 72:24 **Roll** [1] - 5:12 roll [7] - 7:15, 8:13, 9:10, 58:2, 114:4, 114:21, 128:24 rolling [1] - 119:11 roof [2] - 45:2, 128:23 room [1] - 95:10 Route [15] - 38:15, 38:19, 38:22, 44:19, 49:3, 49:21, 56:25, 84:14, 84:17, 86:17, 90:17, 112:3, 112:20, 113:13, 132:11 RSIS [18] - 110:8, 110:11, 110:15, 121:13, 124:17,

124:20, 134:17,

results [2] - 55:6,

retaining [1] - 95:7

85:18

138:21, 139:13, 139:23, 139:25, 140:3, 140:11, 140:12, 140:13, 141:1, 142:5, 142:7 **Ruby** [8] - 73:15, 73:22, 84:21, 86:3, 87:1, 101:19, 101:20, 101:21 **runs** [1] - 132:3

S

SADDLE [1] - 1:24 safely [1] - 57:3 safety [2] - 36:9, 36:13 salute [1] - 5:2 salvage [3] - 38:5, 98:4, 98:9 sanitary [3] - 130:14, 130:25, 133:10 satisfy [1] - 80:4 save [1] - 116:6 saw [4] - 52:24, 70:9, 104:16, 104:21 scale [2] - 49:25, 99:3 scenario [3] - 47:2, 89:6, 89:11 schedule [2] - 82:25, 140:16 schools [2] - 37:14, 56:15 SCHOR [4] - 54:6, 54:13, 54:16, 55:8 Schor [2] - 3:9, 54:6 **Schulman** [2] - 33:18, 45:5 **SCHULMAN** [5] - 15:9, 60:2, 60:8, 131:19, 131:24 science [1] - 79:8 **scope** [1] - 75:5 se [1] - 26:15 search [1] - 70:4 second [26] - 6:16, 7:1, 7:14, 8:12, 9:8, 9:9, 25:8, 32:15, 34:3, 34:25, 92:8, 95:16, 95:23, 96:1, 96:4, 96:11, 96:16, 97:8, 103:2, 103:4, 127:19, 137:7, 137:14, 138:15, 143:6, 147:23 seconds [1] - 89:9 SECRETARY [1] -

2:14

secretary [4] - 17:19,

82:2, 82:25, 130:2

result [1] - 120:9

105:16, 105:22,

section [3] - 44:8,
133:20, 136:7
sector [2] - 22:22,
23:4
see [23] - 13:16, 14:22,
14:24, 15:8, 16:1,
18:5, 33:14, 42:9,
42:14, 54:10, 70:14,
100:14, 109:13, 110:2, 112:2, 112:4,
112:7, 112:12,
119:12, 128:11,
132:19, 132:24,
143:12
seek [1] - 25:17
seem [2] - 112:12,
112:13
send [11] - 12:23,
17:20, 82:23, 105:2,
116:9, 116:16, 130:1, 143:25,
144:8, 144:25
sense [4] - 26:2, 26:7,
41:15, 70:8
sensitive [1] - 39:1
sent [4] - 15:7, 16:19,
76:8, 77:19
SEONGHYE [1] - 1:17
separate [1] - 90:3
September [3] - 84:11,
85:1, 111:14 series [1] - 25:18
serious [2] - 12:15,
132:16
serve [3] - 37:18,
37:25, 92:2
serves [1] - 37:13
service [4] - 85:19,
86:1, 89:5, 146:5
Service [6] - 85:24,
86:3, 86:24, 86:25, 112:1, 112:2
services [1] - 108:7
session [1] - 58:1
set [1] - 132:5
setback [3] - 26:23,
31:7, 43:17
seven [3] - 28:13,
28:14, 110:1
several [4] - 20:21,
35:13, 51:24, 76:6
severe [1] - 94:7 sewage [1] - 130:21
sewage [1] - 130.21 sewer [12] - 39:11,
130:14, 130:17,
130.14, 130.17,
130:18, 130:19, 130:20, 130:25,
130:18, 130:19, 130:20, 130:25, 131:9, 131:11,
130:18, 130:19, 130:20, 130:25,

shared [7] - 60:25, 62:19, 65:20, 66:18, 70:11, 100:18, 101:1 shifting [2] - 68:11, 68:22 ship [1] - 112:4 show [11] - 32:21, 35:3, 39:14, 39:15, 52:6, 94:13, 107:16, 108:20, 108:24, 131:9, 133:15 showing [1] - 107:14 shown [7] - 37:11, 93:10, 130:15, 130:23, 131:10, 132:5, 133:17 shows [3] - 34:22, 111:13, 131:11 sic [2] - 52:16, 96:9 side [27] - 34:1, 62:10, 63:3, 71:2, 74:9, 86:2, 88:21, 89:4, 89:21, 90:7, 91:1, 91:16, 91:25, 92:6, 92:10, 92:16, 93:1, 94:5, 95:1, 95:15, 96:18, 100:21, 101:19, 109:1, 121:4, 134:8, 135:22 sidewalk [3] - 93:8, 94:2, 122:2 sight [3] - 97:2, 97:4, 115:13 sign [14] - 32:11, 32:12, 32:16, 32:18, 32:20, 32:22, 32:23, 34:3, 34:24, 35:1, 35:5, 35:19, 35:25, 36:3 Signage [3] - 4:8, 34:14, 34:15 signage [4] - 32:24, 34:23, 35:4, 35:13 signalized [2] - 84:15, 84:16 signals [1] - 90:17 significant [4] - 43:12, 98:1, 98:23, 102:5 significantly [3] -38:19, 96:7, 99:3 signs [10] - 32:14, 33:24, 34:7, 34:23, 35:13, 35:14, 35:15, 35:17, 35:22, 35:23 similar [7] - 22:8, 23:4, 86:22, 87:2,

89:5, 112:17, 119:19

similarly [1] - 80:12

4:12, 16:18, 18:13,

Simoff [26] - 4:11,

20:6, 80:12, 80:17, 81:24, 82:7, 82:10, 83:11, 84:25, 97:1, 102:9, 102:12, 102:16, 105:13, 106:6, 106:10, 106:19, 107:22, 109:22, 111:2, 115:24, 138:14 SIMOFF [41] - 2:17, 16:25, 17:3, 82:14, 102:13, 102:25, 106:23, 107:25, 108:3, 108:19, 109:3, 111:5, 111:8, 111:13, 111:23, 112:6, 112:18, 113:10, 115:12, 115:18, 115:21, 116:8, 116:13, 116:18, 116:21, 116:25, 135:3, 136:5, 136:11, 136:22, 136:25, 137:4, 137:9, 137:15, 137:19, 137:24, 138:23, 140:16, 140:21, 141:1, 141:9 Simoff's [5] - 14:23, 16:22, 18:4, 82:3, 103:12 simply [2] - 42:5, 45:21 single [2] - 30:12, 42:2 sit [3] - 48:3, 73:9, 121:1 Site [1] - 110:13 site [73] - 10:9, 11:8, 23:18, 24:1, 24:15, 24:25, 25:8, 27:5, 28:7, 28:11, 32:21, 33:10, 33:18, 34:2, 34:7, 35:4, 35:19, 36:1, 37:7, 37:19, 37:22, 38:8, 38:12, 38:17, 38:18, 38:24, 39:6, 39:11, 39:15, 40:25, 41:15, 41:17, 44:13, 44:17, 45:24, 48:2, 48:6, 48:25, 49:7, 49:17, 49:18, 49:20, 49:23, 53:11, 59:25, 60:1, 60:3, 65:15, 65:19, 83:23, 84:6, 84:20, 84:23, 86:9, 86:11, 86:21, 87:5, 87:6, 88:11, 88:15, 89:3, 89:13, 89:16, 94:1, 97:17,

100:22, 107:15, 124:13, 132:15, 135:13, 143:19 site-plan-rendering [1] - 65:15 sits [2] - 44:18, 96:3 situation [4] - 25:25, 37:21, 44:25, 100:20 six [8] - 26:19, 28:12, 53:6, 55:13, 55:22, 95:3, 141:19, 145:4 sixteen [1] - 126:24 size [9] - 26:15, 35:23, 41:14, 49:17, 53:17, 55:22, 56:22, 60:13, 108:5 slanted [1] - 95:3 slate [1] - 27:22 sleeping [1] - 56:25 slightly [1] - 92:25 small [2] - 60:2, 95:18 smaller [4] - 35:1, 43:17, 55:23, 108:21 soft [1] - 113:14 someone [1] - 55:21 sometimes [2] - 76:1, 82:21 somewhat [2] - 31:19, 124:17 somewhere [2] -33:13, 113:24 son [1] - 12:14 sorry [20] - 10:16, 41:11, 54:11, 55:3, 55:5, 56:18, 57:5, 71:7, 72:1, 74:20, 84:16, 90:15, 93:10, 103:20, 104:24, 118:11, 139:5, 139:9, 141:16, 142:23 sort [5] - 45:20, 84:18, 90:3, 92:4, 121:21 south [11] - 38:15, 42:11, 68:25, 74:3, 86:16, 91:25, 95:15, 96:2, 96:18, 102:1, 113:19 southbound [4] -113:17, 118:1, 119:15, 121:24 southern [10] - 34:5, 35:2, 35:25, 90:7, 92:6, 92:15, 108:21, 108:25, 109:7, 127:19

46:9, 47:7, 137:13,

137:14, 137:18, 138:10, 138:12, 138:19, 138:20, 141:7 Spaces [2] - 4:7, 19:4 spaces [44] - 26:2, 90:25, 91:1, 91:3, 92:19, 92:24, 93:5, 93:9, 93:10, 93:21, 94:6, 94:20, 94:24, 95:4, 99:9, 107:7, 107:8, 110:1, 124:9, 124:10, 124:19, 125:7, 126:1, 126:2, 126:5, 126:15, 126:16, 126:20, 126:22, 126:25, 127:1, 127:3, 136:12, 136:17, 137:19, 138:10, 138:19, 139:2, 141:4, 142:1, 142:4, 143:7, 143:17, 143:18 speaks [1] - 18:21 special [6] - 37:2, 37:5. 37:6. 37:8. 37:10, 39:15 specialize [1] - 79:17 specific [4] - 28:2, 29:21, 43:20, 43:21 specifically [6] - 30:1, 30:2, 45:25, 51:23, 102:11, 123:16 **spell** [2] - 21:9, 64:14 **spelling** [1] - 70:10 spend [1] - 146:25 **spinning** [1] - 11:13 **spirit** [1] - 73:20 **split** [2] - 74:10, 74:15 splitting [1] - 65:24 spot [2] - 110:3, 129:18 **spots** [1] - 91:13 sprinklered [1] - 122:6 square [7] - 32:16, 32:17, 32:21, 35:8, 86:17, 122:22, 143:3 stall [1] - 125:22 stalls [2] - 96:10, 96:13 stand [2] - 12:4, 71:23 standard [8] - 31:20, 39:23, 44:9, 87:13, 138:21, 140:3, 140:11 Standards [1] - 110:13 space [17] - 26:1, 32:5, 32:7, 45:11, standards [6] - 26:4, 45:13, 45:15, 45:18, 26:14, 32:6, 45:16,

121:13, 140:15

standing [2] - 22:16, 64:22 standpoint [3] - 27:20, 46:23, 121:23 Stanhope [1] - 22:25 start [5] - 7:5, 18:9, 21:14, 103:7, 118:17 started [1] - 100:3 starts [2] - 117:25, 118:6 **state** [3] - 21:8, 64:13, 139:17 State [10] - 22:16, 64:20, 79:9, 79:15, 125:11, 126:8, 127:10, 149:4, 149:4, 149:7 statement [3] - 29:25, 50:9, 56:17 station [2] - 110:22, 125:22 stations [6] - 124:13, 125:17, 125:19, 125:25, 126:13, 126:18 **statistics** [1] - 81:22 statute [5] - 51:6, 54:24, 125:12, 126:17, 149:7 stay [2] - 116:24, 116:25 staying [2] - 11:25, 69:6 stays [3] - 28:20, 83:3, 142:18 Steve [11] - 14:3, 14:6, 15:13, 15:22, 53:25, 74:13, 102:23, 105:1, 120:14, 121:11, 132:18 **STEVE** [1] - 2:15 **STEVEN** [1] - 1:18 stick [1] - 118:24 still [8] - 22:15, 31:25, 68:12, 92:15, 93:9, 119:8, 139:24, 141:25 stimulation [2] -42:23, 42:25 stipulation [2] - 75:2, 120:5 stop [4] - 61:22, 75:24, 76:10, 84:17 stops [2] - 113:11, 113:17 storage [3] - 48:13, 48:14, 98:8 store [9] - 25:5, 90:22, 91:4, 92:1, 92:3, 95:12, 96:10,

100:12, 142:14 stories [6] - 24:22, 28:12, 28:13, 28:14, 31:23 storm [4] - 131:6, 132:3, 132:8, 133:11 storms [2] - 131:4, 131:5 **stormwater** [1] - 45:4 story [2] - 24:22, 31:22 straight [1] - 96:23 street [2] - 86:2, 89:4 Street [4] - 1:4, 1:4, 8:7, 96:8 **stripe** [1] - 68:8 striped [1] - 101:11 striping [1] - 67:12 structure [8] - 26:23, 27:6, 87:12, 91:7, 92:8, 92:9, 96:12, 124:9 structures [7] - 25:19, 30:7, 30:11, 30:13, 38:9, 41:9, 42:6 studies [3] - 80:7, 80:21, 81:22 **study** [10] - 80:23, 84:2, 84:3, 84:4, 84:9, 85:8, 86:10, 89:15, 122:23, 123:16 stuff [1] - 118:17 subdivision [1] -25:18 subject [3] - 52:11, 65:20, 89:22 submit [1] - 17:20

substantial [1] - 40:3

suburban [2] - 87:14,

substantially [1] -

suffer [1] - 37:15

sufficient [6] - 39:7,

141:23, 141:25

suggest [1] - 141:3

suggested [2] - 20:5,

suitability [2] - 49:9,

suitable [3] - 37:20,

Suite [3] - 2:8, 21:5,

summarize [5] - 84:1,

85:18, 87:22, 89:2,

suited [1] - 38:13

37:22, 49:24

39:9, 39:21, 141:6,

112:17

87:17

31:21

49:12

64:10

92:5

summarized [1] -89:14 **summary** [1] - 123:25 Sunday [1] - 111:19 superceded [1] -105:1 supercedes [2] -125:12, 139:24 supplement [1] - 19:8 supplemental [2] -112:16, 147:15 supposed [1] - 113:4 Supreme [1] - 52:15 surface [4] - 45:2, 96:10, 124:10, 136:18 **surprising** [1] - 86:4 surrounded [1] - 53:4 surrounding [2] -11:11, 43:3 survey [7] - 59:25, 60:17, 60:18, 65:4, 130:13, 130:24, 144:1 surveys [1] - 60:1 Susan [4] - 3:10, 3:18, 55:17, 138:6 swear [7] - 20:3, 20:23, 20:25, 63:21, 63:23, 63:24, 64:5 switched [1] - 45:2 **SWORN** [1] - 3:2 sworn [4] - 21:6, 63:14, 63:16, 64:11 system [3] - 45:5, 46:20, 46:21

Т

table [1] - 112:10 talks [1] - 52:16 tall [1] - 35:8 tape [1] - 10:19 target [1] - 52:7 team [1] - 33:4 technical [1] - 15:13 template [1] - 108:2 templates [5] - 107:9, 107:12, 108:20, 121:18 ten [1] - 59:15 tenants [2] - 36:7, 41:23 terms [7] - 27:11, 39:11, 41:10, 42:5, 50:25, 52:5, 52:6 Terranova [7] - 3:17, 5:17, 7:20, 8:18, 9:15, 58:8, 114:10

TERRANOVA [14] -1:15, 5:18, 7:21, 8:19, 9:16, 58:9, 114:11, 142:24, 143:5, 143:11, 143:15, 143:22, 143:24, 144:3 test [1] - 80:1 Testa [2] - 6:22, 7:6 TESTA [37] - 2:3, 11:21, 13:20, 16:13, 17:10, 18:18, 20:25, 21:8, 34:19, 57:8, 63:22, 64:3, 64:13, 75:15, 81:20, 83:7, 102:20, 103:6, 103:10, 103:13, 103:15, 103:21, 104:2, 104:12, 138:4, 138:7, 141:6, 141:12, 141:17, 141:22, 142:8, 142:10, 142:14, 142:17, 144:16, 147:13, 147:25 testified [2] - 22:5, 23:5 testifies [2] - 21:6, 64:11 testify [1] - 57:14 testifying [1] - 64:24 **TESTIMONY** [1] - 3:2 testimony [26] - 10:8, 10:23, 11:6, 12:9, 12:22, 13:8, 21:1, 23:13, 24:17, 24:20, 25:10, 32:3, 37:3, 43:22, 52:1, 52:7, 53:13, 64:5, 97:14, 109:9, 115:5, 123:21, 144:23, 149:6 THE [43] - 34:18, 34:20, 54:9, 54:15, 54:23, 55:3, 55:5, 66:4, 66:7, 66:10, 66:15, 66:24, 67:5, 67:8, 67:17, 68:5, 68:20, 68:22, 78:15, 93:16, 108:17, 108:23, 111:6, 112:14, 112:24, 117:17, 117:21, 118:12, 119:19, 119:25, 120:20, 120:23, 123:25, 124:7, 125:2, 125:6,

127:5, 127:24,

146:6, 146:9

128:3, 128:5, 139:5,

theirs [2] - 59:21, 116:9 theme [1] - 51:12 themselves [2] - 90:3, 131:14 therefore [1] - 85:7 they've [4] - 24:17, 41:12, 70:12, 73:6 thinking [1] - 53:9 third [1] - 147:24 thirdly [1] - 32:17 thread [1] - 51:16 three [22] - 24:22, 32:23, 35:6, 36:6, 37:9, 40:15, 41:22, 61:4, 93:5, 93:9, 93:10, 93:13, 93:20, 94:24, 101:18, 104:5, 111:1, 115:24, 125:23, 130:8, 134:4 three-family [1] - 61:4 three-story [1] - 24:22 throughout [6] -21:23, 22:6, 22:7, 79:15, 86:15, 87:14 throw [2] - 59:17, 141:21 title [2] - 59:5, 70:4 today [10] - 44:9, 48:9, 86:4, 87:3, 90:8, 90:20, 106:25, 113:1, 131:21, 145:10 today's [1] - 82:8 together [2] - 27:2, 78:8 tomorrow [2] - 12:15, 103:22 tonight [8] - 6:9, 10:2, 12:11, 52:1, 82:13, 106:20, 116:20, 144:9 took [12] - 27:19, 47:2, 47:8, 55:24, 58:20, 60:8, 80:1, 86:7, 87:4, 111:18, 112:15, 119:9 **top** [2] - 77:21, 113:15 topography [8] -10:11, 28:11, 38:17, 41:15, 44:13, 44:17, 48:1, 49:11 total [2] - 88:2, 124:9 touching [1] - 67:22 town [5] - 44:9, 54:17, 54:21, 139:16, 139:18 towns [5] - 139:22, 139:24, 140:4, 140:5

traced [1] - 38:1 track [2] - 36:22, 43:10 tracks [2] - 42:8, 42:13 traffic [105] - 12:11, 13:2, 16:5, 20:2, 20:5, 36:9, 36:13, 39:8, 44:5, 44:6, 56:23, 57:3, 57:9, 59:16, 64:25, 67:10, 68:2, 74:2, 75:14, 75:16, 75:19, 76:11, 79:10, 79:18, 79:21, 80:4, 80:7, 81:6, 83:10, 84:2, 84:3, 84:5, 84:6, 84:8, 84:9, 84:10, 85:4, 86:6, 86:8, 86:12, 86:16, 86:18, 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 86:23, 87:5, 87:15, 87:18, 87:20, 87:23, 88:5, 88:15, 88:17, 88:20, 89:3, 89:13, 89:14, 90:16, 91:9, 96:9, 97:17, 98:13, 98:16, 98:24, 99:15, 99:18, 100:8, 102:6, 103:3, 106:14, 106:15, 110:21, 111:10, 112:10, 113:3, 113:6, 113:8, 113:9, 113:11, 113:12, 113:16, 115:5, 117:14, 117:23, 117:24, 118:5, 118:7, 118:9, 118:20, 119:3, 119:4, 119:5, 119:6, 119:7, 119:9, 119:12, 119:17, 120:8, 120:16, 121:18, 121:23, 122:10 Traffic [5] - 4:9, 79:23, 80:6, 81:12, 117:10 **TRAFFIC** [1] - 2:17 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 1:4 transcript [11] - 12:22, 29:19, 99:8, 115:23, 128:12, 145:6, 146:12, 146:20, 147:6, 147:16, 149:10 transcripts [2] - 58:21, 128:17 transit [1] - 87:20 transmitted [1] - 82:2 Transportation [4] -80:2, 86:14, 87:8, 111:10

travel [3] - 89:23, 96:11, 96:13 traverse [1] - 101:21 treatises [2] - 80:5, 80:8 tremendous [1] -49:22 tried [2] - 52:7, 108:14 trigger [2] - 30:10, 47:21 triggered [2] - 28:15, 31:3 trip [2] - 87:16, 116:23 trips [11] - 87:21, 87:24, 87:25, 88:9, 88:12, 99:23, 117:18, 118:13, 118:16, 122:13 truck [5] - 98:16, 108:20, 108:21, 121:25, 127:22 trucks [2] - 98:9, 107:15 true [1] - 135:11 truth [6] - 21:2, 64:6, 64:7 truthfully [1] - 16:7 try [3] - 139:24, 139:25, 140:1 trying [7] - 17:15, 17:19, 68:14, 70:3, 73:13, 76:25, 123:13

turf [2] - 46:15, 46:16 turn [5] - 65:25, 67:18, 68:11, 90:2

turnaround [1] -110:14 turned [2] - 10:12, 92:7

turning [5] - 107:9, 107:12, 108:1, 108:19, 121:18

turns [9] - 76:3, 90:10, 90:12, 90:14, 90:15, 91:18, 92:14, 101:12, 113:16 two [75] - 6:22, 8:6, 10:6, 11:6, 13:1,

18:20, 24:22, 25:10, 25:13, 25:19, 29:16, 29:22, 30:6, 30:7, 30:11, 30:13, 32:14, 33:24, 34:6, 34:7, 34:23, 36:6, 37:7, 38:9, 40:14, 41:9, 41:16, 41:22, 45:16, 56:14, 59:7, 61:3, 62:24, 67:9, 69:6,

69:16, 70:23, 71:2,

71:10, 72:7, 73:2,

74:18, 74:20, 75:10, 76:16, 76:18, 77:24, 89:23, 90:8, 92:7, 101:6, 101:18, 102:2, 102:22, 102:24, 103:5, 104:17, 105:11, 107:1, 120:16, 124:22, 124:23, 126:2, 126:14, 134:16, 138:10, 138:18, 138:19, 138:23, 139:2, 146:2, 146:12, 146:23

two-and-a-half [1] -25:13 two-bedroom [5] -25:10, 56:14, 124:23, 138:18, 139:2

two-family [1] -124:22 two-lane [1] - 101:6 two-way [2] - 92:7,

120:16 two-week [1] - 146:23 type [8] - 47:20, 48:17, 48:19, 49:24, 72:25, 99:12, 100:6, 124:17

typical [2] - 85:24, 120:15

U

ultimately [1] - 83:17 uncertain [1] - 122:6 uncommon [1] - 93:3 under [13] - 12:16, 33:17, 48:23, 65:7, 77:17, 86:17, 89:6, 110:8, 110:13, 121:8, 121:13, 132:20, 149:6 underdrain [1] - 46:20 undergoing [1] -12:14 underneath [1] -132:19 understood [1] - 96:1 undue [1] - 37:15 unfortunately [5] -12:14, 56:8, 82:18, 119:7, 127:24 Union [1] - 52:16 unit [5] - 24:10, 124:20, 137:17, 138:18 units [10] - 24:21,

25:9, 36:2, 61:12, 87:23, 117:15, 118:7, 119:18, 119:23, 121:20 **University** [2] - 2:8, 79:9

unsignalized [1] -86:5

untouched [1] - 69:8 unusual [5] - 25:23, 25:24, 42:1, 49:16, 70:7

13:18, 14:11, 15:25, 18:16, 24:1, 26:22, 33:9, 44:8, 49:21, 54:4, 57:13, 60:4, 61:12, 62:6, 68:3,

up [55] - 10:18, 13:11,

69:22, 72:14, 74:12, 83:3, 106:14, 107:1, 111:15, 111:19, 112:3, 112:19,

113:4, 113:13, 115:12, 115:15, 115:24, 116:16, 117:3, 119:5, 119:6,

119:17, 121:24, 123:21, 125:17, 127:19, 128:15, 129:19, 132:6,

134:1, 134:8, 137:5, 138:14, 141:20, 142:4, 142:25,

143:4, 145:4, 145:5, 148:4 update [1] - 14:13

updated [1] - 145:1 upper [1] - 136:13 upstairs [1] - 83:2 urban [2] - 85:25,

USE [1] - 2:14 user [1] - 95:8

87:13

users [2] - 90:5, 101:17

uses [21] - 27:15, 27:18, 27:21, 29:7, 29:16, 29:22, 30:6, 34:6, 38:7, 39:17, 40:22, 42:5, 48:25,

76:19, 87:9, 87:10, 87:11, 87:13, 88:5, 99:2, 124:12

utilities [1] - 39:11 utilization [1] - 126:17 utilize [2] - 59:12,

60:24 utilizing [1] - 101:25 V

valid [1] - 85:9 variance [22] - 26:8, 28:15, 28:24, 28:25, 30:5, 30:15, 32:1, 32:15, 36:16, 37:6, 40:4. 43:21. 43:24. 44:1, 45:13, 47:14, 50:16, 50:23, 120:21, 120:23, 135:10, 135:14 variances [19] - 23:5, 29:9, 29:15, 31:2, 31:5, 31:17, 32:11, 36:24, 37:1, 37:3, 39:13, 39:25, 43:16, 43:17, 43:20, 43:24, 44:10, 47:20, 49:6 varies [1] - 85:13 various [4] - 48:25, 79:14, 80:5, 87:9 vehicle [16] - 87:17, 87:18, 88:1, 89:9, 96:3, 124:13, 125:7, 125:19, 125:21, 126:5, 126:20, 126:22, 126:25, 128:22, 137:14, 138:15

vehicles [5] - 95:22, 98:17, 127:15, 128:21

verbatim [1] - 149:6 version [1] - 60:2 versus [3] - 24:15, 35:11, 52:15

vertical [2] - 128:3, 128:4 Veterans [1] - 64:10

Vice [3] - 3:8, 3:14, 3:16 VICE [50] - 1:12, 5:16,

6:14, 7:1, 7:14, 7:19, 8:10, 8:17, 9:6, 9:14, 11:17, 58:7, 59:22, 61:24, 62:16, 62:25, 63:5, 63:10, 66:2, 66:5, 66:8, 66:11, 66:20, 66:25, 67:6, 67:14, 67:24, 68:12, 69:10, 69:15, 69:21, 70:17, 70:20, 71:1, 71:8, 74:18, 76:12, 76:20, 76:24, 114:9, 134:7, 134:18, 134:23, 135:1, 135:4, 135:16,

135:20, 135:24,

144:6, 147:23 VINCENT [1] - 1:14 Vinny [3] - 5:1, 117:8, 122:5 violation [1] - 110:11 visible [1] - 49:1 visitor [3] - 137:7, 137:13, 138:15 visual [2] - 38:5, 44:7 **voir** [1] - 21:15 **Voir** [2] - 3:6, 3:12 VOIR [3] - 21:12, 64:17, 78:21 volume [3] - 56:14, 75:19, 83:10 volumes [5] - 86:20, 86:21, 86:23, 111:14 vote [1] - 8:8 voted [1] - 114:24

W

wait [1] - 73:9 waiting [1] - 53:20 waiver [1] - 135:13 waives [1] - 147:25 **wall** [1] - 95:7 wants [5] - 16:2, 71:2, 100:17, 140:23, 141:10 warehouse [1] - 86:16 warehouses [1] -42:15 warranted [1] - 36:16 water [8] - 39:11, 45:2, 46:19, 47:1, 130:16, 130:25, 131:10, 131:15 ways [1] - 67:9 weather [1] - 99:11 week [4] - 14:7, 104:9, 115:25, 146:23 weeks [3] - 10:6, 146:3, 146:12 weigh [1] - 47:13 weighed [1] - 12:18 weird [1] - 37:8 welfare [2] - 37:19, 44:5 west [1] - 42:11 West [2] - 23:1, 73:15 westbound [3] -84:16, 89:7 wetland [1] - 39:2

wheelchair [1] -

white [1] - 94:17

whole [7] - 21:2,

43:20, 46:6, 52:18,

128:22

wide [5] - 69:10, 89:22, 89:23, 120:13, 120:16 widen [1] - 101:2 widening [2] - 91:21, 95:9 wider [1] - 135:21 width [7] - 68:6, 74:9, 89:24, 101:5, 120:12, 121:3, 129:8 **William** [4] - 20:17, 20:22, 21:10, 21:20 WILLIAM [1] - 3:5 willing [1] - 146:24 wind [1] - 141:21 wish [1] - 97:12 WITNESS [40] - 3:2, 34:18, 34:20, 54:9, 54:15, 54:23, 55:5, 66:4, 66:7, 66:10, 66:15, 66:24, 67:5, 67:8, 67:17, 68:5, 68:20, 68:22, 78:15, 93:16, 108:17, 108:23, 111:6, 112:14, 112:24, 117:17, 117:21, 118:12, 119:19, 119:25, 120:20, 120:23, 123:25, 124:7, 125:2, 125:6, 127:5, 127:24, 128:3, 128:5 witness [3] - 13:24, 53:13, 83:9 witnesses [3] - 11:6, 13:1, 144:20 word [1] - 135:10 wording [1] - 37:4 words [4] - 69:16, 77:5, 127:7, 127:21 works [1] - 91:20 worst [2] - 47:2, 89:11 woven [1] - 51:13 writing [1] - 116:12 written [8] - 16:2, 59:3, 61:9, 61:16, 61:19, 69:9, 70:5, 71:16 wrote [1] - 16:14

64:7, 72:22, 141:20

Υ

yard [7] - 31:7, 32:7, 32:8, 38:5, 45:17, 45:25, 46:8 year [1] - 81:10 years [19] - 27:16,

28:6, 37:25, 39:24, 40:13, 41:7, 41:13, 42:4, 54:14, 54:18, 55:13, 55:22, 59:15, 72:3, 74:25, 126:10, 141:19 yellow [1] - 67:9 Yoon [6] - 5:21, 7:22, 8:20, 9:17, 58:10, 114:12 YOON [7] - 1:17, 5:22, 7:23, 8:21, 9:18, 58:11, 114:13 York [1] - 78:5 yup [3] - 47:18, 48:16, 48:18

Ζ

ZBA-1 [4] - 4:12, 103:25, 105:15, 111:9 **ZBA-2** [3] - 4:13, 104:4, 105:18 **ZBA-3** [3] - 4:15, 104:7, 105:21 **ZBA-4** [3] - 4:16, 104:7, 105:24 ZBA-5 [4] - 4:18, 104:11, 105:10, 106:2 zone [25] - 23:21, 24:9, 26:5, 26:9, 26:11, 26:12, 29:6, 29:8, 31:8, 32:12, 32:13, 35:20, 40:5, 40:10, 40:13, 40:17, 43:12, 50:20, 53:4, 53:10, 55:12, 55:14, 72:24, 109:1 zoning [6] - 27:14, 48:23, 50:21, 55:1, 55:6, 76:2 **ZONING** [1] - 1:1